

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease • 9: Management of ventilatory failure in COPD

P K Plant, M W Elliott

Thorax 2003;58:537–542

The management of respiratory failure during acute exacerbations of COPD and during chronic stable COPD is reviewed and the role of non-invasive and invasive mechanical ventilation is discussed.

MANAGEMENT OF RESPIRATORY FAILURE DURING ACUTE EXACERBATIONS OF COPD

The purpose of managing respiratory failure/supporting ventilation in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is to prevent tissue hypoxia and control acidosis and hypercapnia while medical treatment works to maximise lung function and reverse the precipitating cause of the exacerbation. There are four strategies to consider:

- oxygen therapy;
- respiratory stimulants;
- non-invasive ventilation; and
- invasive mechanical ventilation.

They should be considered as adjuncts to optimum medical treatment which will usually include bronchodilators, systemic steroids, and antibiotics. Their use will depend on availability, but also on the severity of the respiratory failure.

pH as a marker of severity

In acute exacerbations of COPD, pH is the best marker of severity and reflects an acute deterioration in alveolar hypoventilation compared with the chronic stable state.^{1,2} Regardless of the chronic level of arterial carbon dioxide tension (P_{aCO_2}), an acute rise in P_{aCO_2} due to worsening alveolar hypoventilation is associated with a fall in pH. Warren *et al*³ retrospectively reviewed 157 admissions with COPD and found that death was associated with increasing age and a low pH, a pH of <7.26 being associated with a particularly poor prognosis. In 1992 this group also reported a prospective study of 139 episodes of respiratory failure in 95 patients with COPD.⁴ Death occurred in 10 of the 39 episodes in which $[H]^+$ rose above 55 mmol/l (that is, pH <7.26). Hypoxia and hypercapnia were not different between the survivors and those who died. Similarly, in a 1 year period prevalence study of patients admitted to hospital with COPD, the mortality in patients with a normal pH was 6.9%, rising to 13.8% in those who were acidotic (pH <7.35) after initial medical treatment.⁵ Moreover, studies of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) have also found pH to be predictive of the need for intubation and in-hospital mortality.^{6–11}

These data support the theoretical view that it is not the absolute level of P_{aCO_2} that is important but the magnitude and speed of any change, which is reflected by pH. COPD patients with acidosis account for 20% of all COPD admissions.⁵

Oxygen therapy

Since the 1960s it has been known that uncontrolled oxygen therapy can produce respiratory acidosis and CO_2 narcosis, requiring invasive mechanical ventilation.¹² Similarly, there is concern that leaving patients profoundly hypoxic is potentially life threatening¹³—for example, due to arrhythmia. The mechanism by which oxygen is responsible for the deterioration in arterial blood gases is ill understood. However, the main mechanism appears to be an increase in V_d/V_t with a small component due to a reduction in respiratory drive.^{14–16}

At present the BTS guidelines¹⁷ recommend that P_{aO_2} should be maintained at >6.6 kPa without a fall in pH below 7.26, or >7.5 kPa if the pH is satisfactory. Controlled oxygen therapy is recommended—that is, fixed percentage Venturi masks or low flow nasal cannulae. The latter are associated with more variable F_{iO_2} .¹⁸ However, there are no good quality data on the proportion of patients at risk because these studies are very difficult to perform. Studies in patients with stable COPD are unlikely to be generalisable to the unstable state, so acute studies are necessary. There are some epidemiological data to suggest that all hypercapnic patients are susceptible to oxygen therapy and these account for 47% of COPD admissions.⁵ There is also some evidence that maintaining Sp_{O_2} between 85% and 92% (equivalent to 7.3–10 kPa) minimises the risk of acidosis⁵ and that a P_{aO_2} of >10 kPa is associated with acidosis in 33–50% of hypercapnic COPD patients.^{19,20} Jubran and Tobin²¹ studied invasively ventilated patients and found that targeting an Sp_{O_2} of 92% provided a satisfactory level of oxygenation, but that oximetry was less reliable in black patients. Taking into account the shape of the oxygen dissociation curve and that patients with COPD are usually acclimatised to a degree of hypoxia, delivering oxygen to maintain an Sp_{O_2} of 85–92% may be safer and more appropriate than recommending a particular concentration of oxygen. In a small study Moloney *et al*²² found that only three of 24 patients developed clinically important CO_2 retention (defined as a rise in P_{aCO_2} of >1 kPa) with oxygen therapy administered to maintain the oxygen saturation at 91–92%. However, Agusti *et al*²¹ found that delivering oxygen at the lowest concentration to achieve an Sp_{O_2} >90% was associated with significant periods during

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr P K Plant, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Level 7, Gledhow Wing, St James's University Hospital, Leeds LS9 7TF, UK;
paul.plant@leedsth.nhs.uk

Table 1 Effectiveness of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) in patients with COPD in the ICU, on the ward, and in A&E departments

	Setting	Baseline data pH	ETI or "surrogate"	Mortality	Mode plus settings (cm H ₂ O) and use on day 1 (when stated)	
ICU studies						
	Brochard <i>et al</i> ⁸	ICU	7.28 v 7.27	11/43 v 31/42	4/43 v 12/42	PSV 20 for at least 6 hours/day
	Celikel <i>et al</i> ⁹	ICU	7.27 v 7.28	1/16 v 6/15	0/15 v 1/15	PSV 15.4 for mean of 26.7 hours
	Kramer <i>et al</i> ⁹	ICU	7.29 v 7.27	9% v 67%		IPAP 11.3, EPAP 2.6 for 20.1 hours
	Martin <i>et al</i> ¹	ICU	7.27 v 7.28	25% v 45%; 5.26 v 15.6/100 ICU days	8% v 9%	IPAP 11, EPAP 5.7
Ward studies						
	Angus <i>et al</i> ³	Ward	7.31 v 7.30	0/9 v 5/8	0/9 v 3/8	IPAP 14–18
	Bardi <i>et al</i> ⁵	Ward	7.36 v 7.39	1/15 v 2/15	0/15 v 1/15	IPAP 13, EPAP 3
	Bott <i>et al</i> ²	Ward	7.35	0/30 v 5/30	3/30 v 9/30	Volume cycled ventilators for 7.63 hours
	Plant <i>et al</i> ⁴	Ward	7.32 v 7.31	15% v 27%	10% v 20%	IPAP 10–20, EPAP 5 for median of 8 hours
A&E studies						
	Barbe <i>et al</i> ⁶	A&E and ward	7.33	0/12 v 0/12	0/12 v 0/12	IPAP 14.8, EPAP 5 for 2 × 3 hour sessions per day
	Wood <i>et al</i> ⁷	A&E	7.35 v 7.34	See text	See text	Subgroup COPD (n=6 of which 2 NIV, 4 control)

ETI=endotracheal intubation; PSV=pressure support ventilation.

the 24 hours when SpO₂ was <90%, but that this was less with Venturi masks than with nasal cannulae (5.4 v 3.7 hours, p<0.05). However, there were no episodes of worsening hypercapnia or acidosis in the patients under study. There are no definitive data to inform the correct use of supplemental oxygen in acute exacerbations of COPD, but individual titration with regular monitoring of pulse oximetry and arterial blood gas tensions should be performed. There is evidence that oxygen therapy is more effective with the use of a prescription chart.²³

Respiratory stimulants

Doxapram is the most widely used respiratory stimulant. Its effectiveness has been the subject of a Cochrane systematic review,²⁴ the conclusions of which were that doxapram is the most effective respiratory stimulant but is only able to provide minor short term improvement in blood gas tensions.^{25–27} One randomised controlled trial has compared the effectiveness of doxapram with NIV.²⁵ Seventeen patients were randomised to receive either NIV (n=9) or conventional therapy plus doxapram (n=8). In the doxapram group an improvement in Pao₂ was seen at 1 hour compared with baseline, but by 4 hours no difference was seen in either Pao₂ or Paco₂. In the NIV group Pao₂ and Paco₂ improved and was maintained. There was a statistically non-significant trend to improved survival in the NIV group with 3/8 dying with conventional care and 9/9 surviving with NIV. With the increasing use of NIV, doxapram should be confined to patients who are awaiting initiation of NIV, when it is not available or poorly tolerated, or for those who have reduced drive—for example, due to sedatives and anaesthetic agents.

Non-invasive ventilation

NIV can be used in the intensive care unit, in the ward, or in the accident and emergency department. A number of randomised controlled trials have looked at the effectiveness of NIV in these locations (table 1), with most including patients with an acute exacerbation of COPD, a raised respiratory rate, and a pH <7.35 with a Paco₂ of >6 kPa.^{28–37} Patients deemed to warrant immediate intubation were excluded from all studies.

The rates of intubation and mortality are generally higher in the ICU studies despite similar arterial blood gas criteria.^{30 38–40} Patients in the emergency department who are acidotic will have had little time to respond to medical treatment and hence those allocated to medical treatment will generally do well, avoiding intubation and mortality.³⁶ By comparison, individuals in the ICU remain acidotic despite much medical

treatment and, for them, being allocated to medical treatment will be associated with a higher risk of intubation or mortality.

By pooling the ICU studies (mean pH 7.28), the risk of intubation is 63% (95% CI ±9.4%) with a 66% reduction in risk with NIV to 21% (±7.7%).^{28–30 40} Similarly, NIV reduces mortality from 25% (±8.4%) to 9% (±5.6%), a risk reduction of 64%. Hence, in the ICU the numbers needed to treat (NNT) are 2.4 to prevent one intubation and 6.3 to prevent one death. In health economic terms Keenan *et al*⁴¹ have also shown, using decision tree analysis, that NIV in the ICU results in improved clinical outcomes but also reduced costs from the hospital's perspective.

In the ward setting 16% of all patients admitted with COPD remain acidotic.³⁴ For a typical district general hospital, this equates to 72 patients per year.⁵ It is not possible in the UK for all these patients to be managed on the ICU and ward based NIV has to be considered. However, the technique is likely to be less effective in this setting with a lower nurse to patient ratio, limited monitoring facilities, and less experience of ventilatory support.

In the largest ward trial, simple protocol driven NIV reduced the need for intubation on objective criteria from 27% to 11%, real intubation rates from 11% to 6%, and mortality from 20% to 10%. A risk reduction for all three end points of 45–50% and NNT of 8.3 for objective criteria for intubation, 20 for real intubation, and 10 for mortality was achieved.³⁴ NIV could be established in 93% of patients and only consumed an additional 26 minutes of qualified nursing time. For patients with an initial pH of <7.30 the outcome with this strategy was less good than expected from the ICU studies. This may reflect the fact that 11 of 14 centres were new to the technique. It may also reflect the limitations of the simple ventilator and protocol rather than limitations of the setting alone.

Within the A&E there is little evidence to support the routine use of NIV in all acidotic patients as the study by Barbe *et al* showed that no patients in the conventional arm required endotracheal intubation or died.³⁶ Moreover, it is known that 20% of all acidotic patients in the A&E correct their pH by the time they reach the ward, and the study by Barbe *et al* with only 12 patients in each limb was underpowered to pick up a difference in outcome.^{5 36}

Monitoring of patients on NIV and managing the failing patient

One study in the ward environment has shown a potential disadvantage of NIV. Wood *et al*³⁷ randomised 27 patients with acute respiratory distress to receive conventional treatment or NIV in the emergency department. Intubation rates were similar (7/16 v 5/11), but there was a non-significant trend

Table 2 Controlled clinical trials of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) versus conventional therapy: 1 year survival

	n	Conventional	NIV
Plant ¹¹	118	54%	62%
Bardi ³⁵	15	53%	87%
Confalonieri ⁴⁹	24	50%	71%
Vitacca ⁴⁸	27/30	37%*	70%

*All intubated in the conventional control group.

towards increased mortality in those given NIV (4/16 v 0/11, $p=0.123$). The authors attributed the excess mortality to a delay in intubation as conventional patients requiring invasive ventilation were intubated after a mean of 4.8 hours compared with 26 hours in those on NIV ($p=0.055$). It is difficult to draw many conclusions from this study about the place of NIV in acute exacerbations of COPD, given its small size; only six patients had COPD and they were not severely ill on pH criteria (mean pH at entry 7.35). The groups were also poorly matched with more cases of pneumonia in the NIV group. However, it does highlight the need to monitor patients, offer NIV in a location with trained nurses, and to ensure that endotracheal intubation is promptly available when needed.

The time at which NIV should be abandoned in favour of invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) is unclear. However, improvement in pH, a fall in $Paco_2$, and a fall in the respiratory rate over the first 1–4 hours are consistently associated with success in both controlled and uncontrolled trials.^{4–6 11 28 30 32 42–45}

Failure later in the course of the admission after a period of successful NIV—that is, beyond 48 hours—is associated with a poorer prognosis. Moretti *et al*⁴⁶ studied 137 patients admitted with COPD and acute hypercapnic respiratory failure, initially treated successfully with NIV, of which 23% deteriorated after 48 hours of NIV. These so called “late failures” were then assigned (non-randomly) to either an increased number of hours of NIV or IMV, depending on the wishes of the patient and/or relatives. Patients assigned to increased NIV did significantly worse with a mortality of 92% compared with 53% in those invasively ventilated, but there was a substantial difference in the mean pH at the time of “late failure” between the groups.⁴⁷ Regardless of this, failure of NIV late after initial successful NIV carries a poor prognosis.

Invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV)

The place of IMV in patients with COPD needs to be re-evaluated in the light of the increasing availability of NIV. The reported outcome after intubation tends to be worse than for patients treated with NIV alone (table 2),^{11 35 48 49} but is not sufficiently poor to render IMV inappropriate for the COPD population as a whole with 1 year survival rates after IMV of 44–66%.^{50–55} In assessing the appropriateness for IMV and the associated ICU admission, the severity of the underlying disease, the reversibility of the precipitating cause, the quality of life of the patient, and the presence of severe co-morbidities should be considered.¹⁷ NIV may have a role in patients who have been intubated from the outset or after a failed trial of NIV. Nava *et al*⁵⁶ found that extubation onto NIV after a failed T-piece trial at 48 hours was associated with a shorter duration of ventilatory support (10.2 days v 16.6 days), a shorter ITU stay (15.1 days v 24 days), less nosocomial pneumonia (0/25 v 7/25), and improved 60 day survival (92% v 72%) compared with continued invasive ventilation. However, in a similar study Girault *et al*⁵⁷ did not find any difference in outcome with a similar approach.

MANAGEMENT OF RESPIRATORY FAILURE IN CHRONIC STABLE COPD

The aim of pharmacological therapy in COPD is to alleviate symptoms by reversing correctable abnormalities, but in many patients the changes are largely irreversible. In time, patients develop respiratory failure, pulmonary hypertension, and peripheral oedema. Once peripheral oedema supervenes, the prognosis is poor with a 5 year mortality of 70–100%.⁵⁸ Various therapeutic strategies have been developed to treat the consequences of chronic airway obstruction in an attempt to improve survival and reduce symptoms. These include:

- long term oxygen therapy (LTOT);
- respiratory stimulant drugs; and
- mechanically assisted ventilation.

Long term oxygen therapy (LTOT)

LTOT is one of only two interventions shown to improve survival in patients with COPD, the other being smoking cessation. Two large studies in the 1970s showed at least a doubling in survival when oxygen was used for at least 15 hours per day in patients hypoxaemic due to COPD,^{59 60} although survival improved even further with more daily use.⁵⁹ The mechanism by which LTOT improves survival remains unknown. Severity of airflow limitation as measured by FEV₁ is a major predictor of survival,⁶¹ and this remains true even in oxygen treated patients.⁶² It is currently recommended if the Pao_2 falls below 7.3 kPa when the patient is clinically stable, and should be used for at least 15 hours per day. There are no data to support the use of LTOT in patients with predominantly nocturnal hypoxia.⁶³ In one study patients who did not fulfil the daytime arterial blood gas criteria for LTOT but who did have evidence of nocturnal hypoxia (mean nocturnal Sao_2 88%) were randomised to overnight oxygen or standard therapy. They found no difference in survival, evolution of pulmonary hypertension, or the time at which LTOT became necessary.

Drug treatment of chronic ventilatory failure

The use of drugs to improve arterial blood gas tensions has not found widespread acceptance, but a number of drugs have been evaluated including medroxyprogesterone,^{64 65} acetazolamide,⁶⁶ protriptyline,^{67 68} and almitrine bismesylate.⁶⁹ Protriptyline, a non-sedating tricyclic antidepressant, has been shown to improve diurnal blood gas tensions in patients with COPD, increasing Pao_2 by approximately 1 kPa.^{67 68} It is thought that the changes are mediated through a reduction in the amount of time spent in rapid eye movement (REM) sleep. Protriptyline has now been withdrawn in the UK, but other non-sedating REM suppressants such as fluoxetine have been shown to have an effect on REM related sleep disordered breathing⁷⁰ and probably warrant further evaluation. Four short term controlled studies of the use of acetazolamide in patients with chronic COPD have been reported⁷¹ and discussed in a recent Cochrane review.⁷² All showed a similar direction and size of effect; acetazolamide caused a metabolic acidosis and produced a non-significant fall in $Paco_2$ (weighted mean difference (WMD) -0.41 kPa; 95% CI -0.91 to 0.09) and a significant rise in Pao_2 (WMD 1.54 kPa; 95% CI 0.97 to 2.11). One study reported an improvement in sleep but there were no data concerning outcomes such as health status, symptoms, exacerbation rate, hospital admissions, or deaths. Side effects were reported infrequently. The reviewers concluded that the drug did have an effect but larger longer term studies were needed. Almitrine bismesylate is a pharmacologically unique respiratory stimulant which has the advantages of oral activity and prolonged duration of action. It has been shown to improve arterial blood gas tensions, particularly Pao_2 ; in one large study⁶⁹ Pao_2 increased after 1 year by an average of 2.1 kPa in one third of patients and in 55% Pao_2

increased by a least 1.6 kPa compared with placebo. A smaller proportion of patients taking almitrine were admitted to hospital and there were fewer episodes of right heart failure. However, its usefulness is limited by side effects, particularly peripheral neuropathy,⁷³ and there is a concern that it may cause worsening pulmonary hypertension^{74–75}; it is not licensed for use in the UK.

The role of respiratory stimulants probably warrants revisiting as even small changes in Pao₂ may result in patients moving above the threshold at which LTOT would be started. It remains to be seen, however, whether this translates into improved survival and patient quality of life.

Non-invasive ventilation

A number of studies have shown that NIV is feasible at home during sleep in patients with COPD,^{76–82} and that abnormal physiology can be corrected using NIV. However, there have been few controlled trials and most of these had small numbers of patients followed over a short period of time.^{83–86} They have generally been characterised by no significant advantage from NIV,^{83–85} poor tolerance,⁸³ and worse sleep efficiency.⁸⁵ However, Meecham Jones *et al*⁸⁴ showed improvements in daytime arterial blood gas tensions, sleep quality, and quality of life during the pressure support (PSV) limb of a crossover study comparing PSV and oxygen with oxygen alone. This was the only study in which the overnight control of nocturnal hypoventilation was confirmed, and the improvement in daytime Paco₂ correlated with a reduction in overnight transcutaneous CO₂. Possible explanations for the failure of NIV in other studies include: patients not hypercapnic, insufficient inflation pressures to achieve effective ventilation, and inadequate patient acclimatisation to the technique. Case series of patients with COPD^{80–87} suggest survival comparable to that seen in the oxygen treated patients in the MRC and NOTT studies.^{59–60–88} These patients were often those who had “failed” (not rigorously defined) despite LTOT.

Preliminary results from two multicentre European trials comparing NIV with LTOT in COPD suggest that NIV does not improve survival but may reduce the need for hospitalisation.^{89–90} Until further data are available, a trial of NIV can only be justified in patients who have symptoms of nocturnal hypoventilation (morning headaches, daytime sleepiness) despite maximal bronchodilator therapy or cannot tolerate LTOT even with careful administration. It should also be considered in patients with repeated admissions to hospital with acute hypercapnic ventilatory failure. Most studies suggest that it is patients with more severe hypercapnia who are likely to benefit and there is no place for nocturnal NIV at present in those without sustained daytime hypercapnia. Adequate control of nocturnal hypoventilation should be confirmed since this has been a feature of the studies in which benefit has been seen.^{77–84}

CONCLUSIONS

In acute exacerbations of COPD the purpose of oxygen therapy and ventilatory support is to prevent tissue hypoxia and hypercapnia while medical treatment optimises lung function and reverses the precipitating cause. For most hypercapnic COPD patients, maintaining SpO₂ at 85–92% (7.3–10 kPa) with controlled oxygen balances the risks of oxygen induced hypercapnia and tissue hypoxia. A low pH is an indicator of a severe and acute deterioration and such individuals benefit from receiving NIV in a location with trained staff, monitoring, and access to prompt intubation. As this group accounts for 16% of all patients with COPD, this requires ward based provision in the UK which may best be provided in respiratory care units analogous to coronary care units. A proportion of

patients will still require IMV, including those who are unconscious on admission and those who fail with NIV in the first few days. NIV should be considered again after 48 hours of IMV as a weaning method. In patients with chronic respiratory failure LTOT remains the gold standard treatment but, in certain highly selected patients, drugs or NIV may have a role; further studies are needed.

Authors' affiliations

P K Plant, M W Elliott, Department of Respiratory Medicine, St James's University Hospital, Leeds LS9 7TF, UK

REFERENCES

- 1 Juan G, Calverley P, Talamo C, *et al*. Effect of carbon dioxide on diaphragmatic function in human beings. *N Engl J Med* 1984;**310**:874–9.
- 2 Barbera JA, Roca J, Ferrer A, *et al*. Mechanisms of worsening gas exchange during acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Respir J* 1997;**10**:1285–91.
- 3 Warren PM, Flenley DC, Millar JS, *et al*. Respiratory failure revisited: acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis between 1961–68 and 1970–76. *Lancet* 1980;*i*:467–70.
- 4 Jeffrey AA, Warren PM, Flenley DC. Acute hypercapnic respiratory failure in patients with chronic obstructive lung disease: risk factors and use of guidelines for management. *Thorax* 1992;**47**:34–40.
- 5 Plant PK, Owen J, Elliott MW. One year period prevalence study of respiratory acidosis in acute exacerbation of COPD: implications for the provision of non-invasive ventilation and oxygen administration. *Thorax* 2000;**55**:550–4.
- 6 Ambrosino N, Foglio K, Rubini F, *et al*. Non-invasive mechanical ventilation in acute respiratory failure due to chronic obstructive airways disease: correlates for success. *Thorax* 1995;**50**:755–7.
- 7 Ambrosino N. Noninvasive mechanical ventilation in acute respiratory failure. *Eur Respir J* 1996;**9**:795–807.
- 8 Celikel T, Sungur M, Ceyhan B, *et al*. Comparison of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation with standard medical therapy in hypercapnic acute respiratory failure. *Chest* 1998;**114**:1636–42.
- 9 Hilbert G, Gruson D, Gbikpi-Benissan G, *et al*. Sequential use of noninvasive pressure support ventilation for acute exacerbations of COPD. *Intensive Care Med* 1997;**23**:955–61.
- 10 Meduri GU, Conoscenti CC, Menashe P, *et al*. Noninvasive face mask ventilation in patients with acute respiratory failure. *Chest* 1989;**95**:865–70.
- 11 Plant PK, Owen JL, Elliott MW. Non-invasive ventilation in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: long term survival and predictors of in-hospital outcome. *Thorax* 2001;**56**:708–12.
- 12 Campbell EJ. The management of acute respiratory failure in chronic bronchitis and emphysema. The J Burns Amberson lecture. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1967;**96**:626–39.
- 13 Mador MJ, Tobin MJ. Acute respiratory failure. In: Calverley P, Pride NB, eds. *Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease*. London: Chapman & Hall, 1995: 461–94.
- 14 Dunn WF, Nelson SB, Hubmayr RD. Oxygen-induced hypercarbia in obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991;**144**:526–30.
- 15 Aubier M, Murciano D, Fournier M, *et al*. Central respiratory drive in acute respiratory failure of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1980;**122**:191–9.
- 16 Aubier M, Murciano D, Milic-Emili J, *et al*. Effects of the administration of O₂ on ventilation and blood gases in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease during acute respiratory failure. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1980;**122**:747–54.
- 17 British Thoracic Society. BTS guidelines for the management of chronic obstructive airways disease. *Thorax* 1997;**52**(Suppl 5):S19.
- 18 Bazuaye EA, Stone TNCPA, Gibson GJ. Variability of inspired oxygen concentration with nasal cannulas. *Thorax* 1992;**47**:609–11.
- 19 Barr J, MacNee W. Acute on chronic respiratory failure: deleterious effects of high flow oxygen therapy. *Thorax* 1999;**54**(Suppl 3):A56.
- 20 Howells J, Rodger KA, Doig P, *et al*. Clinical features and outcomes of acute hypercapnic respiratory failure in a district general hospital. *Thorax* 1999;**54**(Suppl 3):A42.
- 21 Jubran A, Tobin MJ. Reliability of pulse oximetry in titrating supplemental oxygen therapy in ventilator-dependent patients. *Chest* 1990;**97**:1420–5.
- 22 Moloney ED, Kiely JL, McNicholas WT. Controlled oxygen therapy and carbon dioxide retention during exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Lancet* 2001;**357**:526–8.
- 23 Dodd ME, Kellet F, Davis A, *et al*. Audit of oxygen prescribing before and after the introduction of a prescription chart. *BMJ* 2000;**321**:864–5.
- 24 Greenstone M. Doxapram for ventilatory failure due to exacerbations of COPD. *The Cochrane Library*. Issue 4. Oxford: Update Software, 2000.
- 25 Angus RM, Ahmed AA, Fenwick U, *et al*. Comparison of the acute effects on gas exchange of nasal ventilation and doxapram in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax* 1996;**51**:1048–50 (erratum appears in *Thorax* 1997;**52**:204).

- 26 **Edwards G**, Leszczynski SO. A double blind trial of five respiratory stimulants in patients in acute ventilatory failure. *Lancet* 1967;**226**:9.
- 27 **Moser KM, Luchsinger PC, Adamson JS, et al.** Respiratory stimulation with intravenous doxapram in respiratory failure. A double-blind co-operative study. *N Engl J Med* 1973;**288**:427-31.
- 28 **Brochard L, Mancebo J, Wysocki M, et al.** Noninvasive ventilation for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 1995;**333**:817-22.
- 29 **Kramer N, Meyer TJ, Meharg J, et al.** Randomized, prospective trial of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in acute respiratory failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;**151**:1799-806.
- 30 **Celikel T, Sungur M, Ceyhan B, et al.** Comparison of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation with standard medical therapy in hypercapnic acute respiratory failure. *Chest* 1998;**114**:1636-42.
- 31 **Martin TJ, Hovis JD, Costantino JP, et al.** A randomized, prospective evaluation of noninvasive ventilation for acute respiratory failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;**161**:807-13.
- 32 **Bott J, Carroll MP, Conway JH, et al.** Randomised controlled trial of nasal ventilation in acute ventilatory failure due to chronic obstructive airways disease. *Lancet* 1993;**341**:1555-7.
- 33 **Angus RM, Ahmed AA, Fenwick U, et al.** Comparison of the acute effects on gas exchange of nasal ventilation and doxapram in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax* 1996;**51**:1048-50.
- 34 **Plant PK, Owen JL, Elliott MW.** Early use of non-invasive ventilation for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease on general respiratory wards: a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2000;**355**:1931-5.
- 35 **Bardi G, Pierotello R, Desideri M, et al.** Nasal ventilation in COPD exacerbations: early and late results of a prospective, controlled study. *Eur Respir J* 2000;**15**:98-104.
- 36 **Barbe F, Togoies B, Rubi M, et al.** Noninvasive ventilatory support does not facilitate recovery from acute respiratory failure in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Respir J* 1996;**9**:1240-5.
- 37 **Wood KA, Lewis L, Von Harz B, et al.** The use of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in the emergency department. *Chest* 1998;**113**:1339-46.
- 38 **Brochard L, Mancebo J, Wysocki M, et al.** Noninvasive ventilation for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 1995;**333**:817-22.
- 39 **Kramer N, Meyer TJ, Meharg J, et al.** Randomized, prospective trial of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in acute respiratory failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;**151**:1799-806.
- 40 **Martin TJ, Hovis JD, Costantino JP, et al.** A randomised prospective evaluation of noninvasive ventilation for acute respiratory failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;**161**:807-13.
- 41 **Keenan SP, Gregor J, Sibbald WJ, et al.** Noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in the setting of severe, acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: more effective and less expensive. *Crit Care Med* 2000;**28**:2094-102.
- 42 **Anton A, Guell R, Gomez J, et al.** Predicting the result of noninvasive ventilation in severe acute exacerbations of patients with chronic airflow limitation. *Chest* 2000;**117**:828-33.
- 43 **Warren PM, Flenley DC, Millar JS, et al.** Respiratory failure revisited: acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis between 1961-68 and 1970-76. *Lancet* 1980;**i**:467-71.
- 44 **Antonelli M, Conti G, Bui M, et al.** Noninvasive ventilation for treatment of acute respiratory failure in patients undergoing solid organ transplantation: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2000;**283**:235-41.
- 45 **Hilbert G, Gruson D, Gbikpi-Benissan G, et al.** Sequential use of noninvasive pressure support ventilation for acute exacerbations of COPD. *Intensive Care Med* 1997;**23**:955-61.
- 46 **Moretti M, Cilione C, Tampieri A, et al.** Incidence and causes of non-invasive mechanical ventilation failure after initial success. *Thorax* 2000;**55**:819-25.
- 47 **Lightowler JV, Elliott MW.** Predicting the outcome from NIV for acute exacerbations of COPD. *Thorax* 2000;**55**:815-6.
- 48 **Vitacca M, Clini E, Rubini F, et al.** Non-invasive mechanical ventilation in severe chronic obstructive lung disease and acute respiratory failure: short- and long-term prognosis. *Intensive Care Med* 1996;**22**:94-100.
- 49 **Confalonieri M, Parigi P, Scartabellati A, et al.** Noninvasive mechanical ventilation improves the immediate and long-term outcome of COPD patients with acute respiratory failure. *Eur Respir J* 1996;**9**:422-30.
- 50 **Menzies R, Gibbons W, Goldberg P.** Determinants of weaning and survival among patients with COPD who require mechanical ventilation for acute respiratory failure. *Chest* 1989;**95**:398-405.
- 51 **Gillespie DJ, Marsh HMM, Divertie MB.** Clinical outcome of respiratory failure in patients requiring prolonged (greater than 24 hours) mechanical ventilation. *Chest* 1986;**90**:364-9.
- 52 **Kaelin RM, Assimakopoulos A, Chevrollet JC.** Failure to predict six-month survival of patients with COPD requiring mechanical ventilation by analysis of simple indices. A prospective study. *Chest* 1987;**92**:971-8.
- 53 **Nava S.** Noninvasive techniques of weaning from mechanical ventilation. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* 1998;**53**:355-7.
- 54 **Stauffer JL, Fayer N, Graves B, et al.** Survival following mechanical ventilation for acute respiratory failure in adult men. *Chest* 1993;**104**:1222-9.
- 55 **Vitacca M, Clini E, Rubini F, et al.** Non-invasive mechanical ventilation in severe chronic obstructive lung disease and acute respiratory failure: short- and long-term prognosis. *Intensive Care Med* 1996;**22**:94-100.
- 56 **Nava S, Ambrosino N, Clini E, et al.** Noninvasive mechanical ventilation in the weaning of patients with respiratory failure due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;**128**:721-8.
- 57 **Girault C, Daudenthun I, Chevron V, et al.** Noninvasive ventilation as a systematic extubation and weaning technique in acute-on-chronic respiratory failure. A prospective, randomized controlled study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;**160**:86-92.
- 58 **Sahn SA, Nett LM, Petty TL.** Ten year follow-up of a comprehensive rehabilitation program for severe COPD. *Chest* 1980;**77**(Suppl):311-4.
- 59 **Nocturnal Oxygen Therapy Trial Group.** Continuous or nocturnal oxygen therapy in hypoxaemic chronic obstructive lung disease, a clinical trial. *Ann Intern Med* 1980;**93**:391-8.
- 60 **Medical Research Council Working Party Report.** Long term domiciliary oxygen therapy in chronic hypoxic cor pulmonale complicating chronic bronchitis and emphysema. *Lancet* 1981;**ii**:681-5.
- 61 **Burrows B, Earle RH.** Course and prognosis of chronic obstructive lung disease. *N Engl J Med* 1969;**280**:397-404.
- 62 **Cooper CB, Waterhouse J, Howard P.** Twelve year clinical study of patients with hypoxic cor pulmonale given long term domiciliary oxygen therapy. *Thorax* 1987;**42**:105-10.
- 63 **Chaouat A, Weitzenblum E, Kessler R, et al.** A randomized trial of nocturnal oxygen therapy in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients. *Eur Respir J* 1999;**14**:1002-8.
- 64 **Cullen JH, Brum VC, Reidt WU.** The respiratory effects of progesterone in severe pulmonary emphysema. *Am J Med* 1959;**27**:551-7.
- 65 **Skatrud JB, Dempsey JA, Bhansali P, et al.** Determinants of chronic carbon dioxide retention and its correction in humans. *J Clin Invest* 1980;**65**:813-21.
- 66 **Skatrud JB, Dempsey JA.** Relative effectiveness of acetazolamide versus medroxyprogesterone acetate in correction of carbon dioxide retention. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1983;**127**:405-12.
- 67 **Series F, Cormier Y, La Forge J.** Changes in day and night time oxygenation with protriptyline in patients with chronic obstructive lung disease. *Thorax* 1989;**44**:275-9.
- 68 **Carroll N, Parker RA, Branthwaite MA.** The use of protriptyline for respiratory failure in patients with chronic airflow limitation. *Eur Respir J* 1990;**3**:746-51.
- 69 **Voisin C, Howard P, Ansquer JC.** Almitrine bismesylate: a long-term placebo-controlled double-blind study in COAD: Vectarion International Multicentre Study Group. *Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir* 1987;**23**(Suppl 11):169-82s.
- 70 **Kopelman PG, Elliott MW, Simonds A, et al.** Short-term use of fluoxetine in asymptomatic obese subjects with sleep-related hypoventilation. *Int J Obesity Rel Metabol Disorders* 1992;**16**:825-30.
- 71 **Sackner MA.** A history of oxygen usage in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1974;**109**(Suppl):25-34.
- 72 **Jones PW, Greenstone M.** Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors for hypercapnic ventilatory failure in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Cochrane Review). *The Cochrane Library*. Issue 4. Oxford: Update Software, 2001.
- 73 **Lerebours G, Senant J, Moore N, et al.** Evolution of peripheral nerve function in hypoxaemic COPD patients taking almitrine bismesylate: a prospective long-term study. *Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir* 1987;**23**(Suppl 11):203-6s.
- 74 **Weitzenblum E, Ehrhart M, Schneider JC, et al.** Pulmonary haemodynamic effects of intravenous almitrine in patients with chronic bronchitis and respiratory insufficiency. *Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir* 1982;**18**:765-73.
- 75 **Macnee W, Connaughton JJ, Rhind GB, et al.** A comparison of the effects of almitrine or oxygen breathing on pulmonary arterial pressure and right ventricular ejection fraction in hypoxic chronic bronchitis and emphysema. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1986;**134**:559-65.
- 76 **Carroll N, Branthwaite MA.** Control of nocturnal hypoventilation by nasal intermittent positive pressure ventilation. *Thorax* 1988;**43**:349-53.
- 77 **Elliott MW, Simonds AK, Carroll MP, et al.** Domiciliary nocturnal nasal intermittent positive pressure ventilation in hypercapnic respiratory failure due to chronic obstructive lung disease: effects on sleep and quality of life. *Thorax* 1992;**47**:342-8.
- 78 **Marino W.** Intermittent volume cycled mechanical ventilation via nasal mask in patients with respiratory failure due to COPD. *Chest* 1991;**99**:681-4.
- 79 **Leger P, Bedicam JM, Cornette A, et al.** Nasal intermittent positive pressure ventilation. Long-term follow-up in patients with severe chronic respiratory insufficiency. *Chest* 1994;**105**:100-5.
- 80 **Simonds AK, Elliott MW.** Outcome of domiciliary nasal intermittent positive pressure ventilation in restrictive and obstructive disorders. *Thorax* 1995;**50**:604-9.
- 81 **Sivasothy P, Smith IE, Shneerson JM.** Mask intermittent positive pressure ventilation in chronic hypercapnic respiratory failure due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Respir J* 1998;**11**:34-40.
- 82 **Jones SE, Packham S, Hebden M, et al.** Domiciliary nocturnal intermittent positive pressure ventilation in patients with respiratory failure due to severe COPD; long term follow up and effect on survival. *Thorax* 1998;**53**:495-8.
- 83 **Strumpf DA, Millman RP, Carlisle CC, et al.** Nocturnal positive-pressure ventilation via nasal mask in patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991;**144**:1234-9.
- 84 **Meecham Jones DJ, Paul EA, Jones PW, et al.** Nasal pressure support ventilation plus oxygen compared with oxygen therapy alone in hypercapnic COPD. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;**152**:538-44.
- 85 **Lin CC.** Comparison between nocturnal nasal positive pressure ventilation combined with oxygen therapy and oxygen monotherapy in patients with severe COPD. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;**154**:353-8.

- 86 **Gay PC**, Hubmayr RD, Stroetz RW. Efficacy of nocturnal nasal ventilation in stable, severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease during a 3-month controlled trial. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1996;**71**:533–42.
- 87 **Leger P**, Bedicam JM, Cornette A, *et al*. Nasal intermittent positive pressure ventilation. Long-term follow-up in patients with severe chronic respiratory insufficiency. *Chest* 1994;**105**:100–5.
- 88 **Hamnegard CH**, Wragg SD, Mills GH, *et al*. Clinical assessment of diaphragm strength by cervical magnetic stimulation of the phrenic nerves. *Thorax* 1996;**51**:1239–42.
- 89 **Muir JF**, de la Salmoniere P, Cuvelier A, *et al*, on behalf of the NIPPV Study Group. Survival of severe hypercapnic COPD under long term home mechanical ventilation with NIPPV+oxygen versus oxygen therapy alone: preliminary results of a European multicentre study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;**159**:A295.
- 90 **Clini E**, Sturani C, on behalf of AIPO. The Italian multicentric study of non-invasive nocturnal pressure support ventilation (NPSV) in COPD patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;**159**:A295.
- 91 **Agusti AG**, Carrera M, Barbe F, *et al*. Oxygen therapy during exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Respir J* 1999;**14**:934–9.

LUNG ALERT

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor ligands for airway diseases?

▲ Patel HJ, Belvisi MG, Bishop-Bailey D, *et al*. Activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors in human airway smooth muscle cells has a superior anti-inflammatory profile to corticosteroids: relevance for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease therapy. *J Immunol* 2003;**170**:2663–9

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) are ligand-activated nuclear transcription factors belonging to the hormone receptor family. The alpha and gamma subtypes are predominantly expressed in liver, muscle, and adipose tissues where, when activated by ligands such as glitazones, they regulate lipid metabolism. Using RT-PCR, Western blot analysis, and confocal microscopy, Patel and colleagues have shown, for the first time, the expression of these receptors on cultured human airway smooth muscle cells. In addition, activation of the gamma subtype by ciglitazone decreased the synthesis of GM-CSF (an eosinophil survival factor) and G-CSF (a neutrophil survival factor) by stimulated airway smooth muscle cells, demonstrating a potential anti-inflammatory role for this class of drugs in the airway. They also inhibited smooth muscle growth and promoted their apoptosis more potently than dexamethasone.

Since smooth muscle cells have a significant role in airway diseases such as asthma and COPD, PPAR gamma ligands may prove to be effective in regulating their function, particularly in the process of airway remodelling. Their role in regulating airway smooth muscle migration and controlling steroid insensitive airway inflammation needs further study.

K Parameswaran

Firestone Institute for Respiratory Health,
St Joseph's Healthcare and Department of Medicine,
McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada
parames@mcmaster.ca