Evaluating young children with chronic respiratory problems can be challenging. In young children, the history is often obtained secondhand, from the parents, while older children may not be able to describe their symptoms in ways understood by adults. Obtaining spirometric data is limited by age and is dependent on technique and cooperation. Other studies—such as skin or RAST testing, immune and gastrointestinal studies, and radiography—provide valuable information but may not allow a definitive diagnosis to be made. There has been increasing discussion about the need for bronchial biopsy in children for diagnostic purposes and to learn about the earliest phases of respiratory illnesses.

Until recently, there were very few endobronchial biopsy data in children. The major limitations of the procedure in children are a fear of complications such as haemorrhage or pneumothorax, a hesitancy to undertake an invasive procedure, and the uncertainty that it would provide meaningful data prospectively on children presenting for bronchoscopy. A major limitation is concern over the safety of the procedure. This paper reports the results of efforts to develop a method that is safe and provides adequate specimen for evaluation.

Methods: 170 children aged 2.5 to 16 years with chronic respiratory symptoms were studied under general anaesthesia in an outpatient surgery setting. Bronchoalveolar lavage and biopsies were obtained using a 4.9 mm flexible bronchoscope through a laryngeal mask airway. At least three biopsies were taken.

Results: No patient required topical adrenaline to control bleeding, nor was there a change in the state of any of the patients. There were no episodes of pneumothorax, haemoptysis, pneumonia, or significant fever. All children less than four years old received a single dose of antibiotic intravenously after the procedure. The average length of time for the procedure was 12 minutes (range 6 to 27). Recovery time averaged 90 minutes. The limiting factor was the ability of the child's airway to accommodate the bronchoscope.

Conclusions: This report should encourage clinicians to incorporate endobronchial biopsy into the evaluation of children with difficult respiratory problems.
Endobronchial biopsy in children

The bronchoscope was advanced to the level of the carina and both sides of the bronchial tree were inspected. The right middle lobe was lavaged with normal saline (20–50 ml) unless the visual examination showed a macroscopic abnormality elsewhere. The lavage aspirate was divided into three aliquots: culture for aerobic bacteria, cell count and differential, and staining for lipid laden macrophages.

Endobronchial biopsies were taken from various sites of the right bronchial tree at the level of the third branch in children less than 10 years old and at the third or fourth branch in the older children, using Bard Precisor BRONCHO coated disposable biopsy forceps (Bard Endoscopic Technologies, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA). A minimum of three biopsies was taken. The specimens were placed in formalin and processed for staining with haematoxylin and eosin. Biopsies from the left side of the bronchial tree were taken only if the visual examination showed a macroscopic abnormality.

Once the procedure was completed, patients were taken to a recovery area with face mask oxygen and their ECG, blood pressure, and pulse were monitored until they were sitting up and tolerating fluids, typically about 90 minutes. They were then discharged from the endoscopy suite. Patients of less than five years of age were given either ampicillin (50 mg/kg) or cefazolin (25 mg/kg) as a single intravenous dose after the procedure.

RESULTS

The mean age of the patients was 9.3 years (range 2.5 to 16). The most common indication for the procedure was chronic cough. Other conditions were asthma, recurrent pneumonia, focally abnormal breath sounds, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, haemoptysis, interstitial lung disease, and dyspnoea (table 1). Twenty-nine patients (six girls, 23 boys) were between six and 10 years old, and 75 (39 girls, 36 boys) were 11 to 16 years old. Regarding ethnicity, 121 were white (57 girls, 64 boys), 22 were black (six girls, 16 boys), 25 were hispanic (13 girls, 12 boys), and two were Asian (one girl, one boy). The procedure took an average of 12 minutes (range 6 to 27). No patient experienced laryngospasm or bronchospasm. Adrenaline. There were no episodes of haemoptysis, minimal and never required intervention with topical adrenaline. Desaturation resolved with a brief interruption of the procedure.

Table 1 Indication for bronchoscopy and the sex of the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>F/M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>46/52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent pneumonia</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal breath sounds</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoptysis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BPD, bronchopulmonary dysplasia; F, female; ILD, interstitial lung disease; M, male.

DISCUSSION

The decision to attempt mucosal biopsies arose from our experience in removing pulmonary foreign bodies and our frustration in not being able at times to make a definitive diagnosis by other means. During foreign body removal, bleeding occurred on many occasions, with visible disruption of the mucosa because the object was impacted or had a jagged surface. The mucosa was oedematous, hyperaemic, often macerated, and bled easily. However, after removal, the children uniformly did well, without haemoptysis, desaturation, or pneumothorax. Following to this experience, and starting in 1997, we felt that endobronchial biopsy could be incorporated into our evaluation of children with persistent respiratory symptoms with reasonable safety.

The methods employed in our series vary considerably from other recent reports. In the series by Cokugras et al,4 10 children (aged five to 14 years) were examined by rigid bronchoscopy, with all drug treatments stopped for four weeks. In the series by Payne et al,5 83 children, asthmatic and non-asthmatic (aged four to 17 years), were studied. In the asthmatic group, some were studied with flexible and others with rigid bronchoscopes. Most importantly, all asthmatic children received oral prednisolone (2 mg/kg/day, maximum dose 40 mg/day) for two weeks and nebulised salbutamol (5 mg) immediately before the procedure.

In our series, patients were studied exclusively for clinical reasons and continued their prescribed drug treatment. They were not pretreated with systemic steroids or bronchodilators. All were examined with a flexible fibroptic bronchoscope through a laryngeal mask airway and had lavage aspirates as well as mucosal biopsies taken. Lastly, patients as young as 2.5 years of age were studied successfully. The limiting factor was the child being big enough to accommodate the 4.9 mm bronchoscope. Younger children (six to 30 months) were studied with a smaller (3.5 mm) bronchoscope and forceps without complications. However, the
biopsy specimen was inadequate for evaluation owing to its small size or because of crush artefact. Further attempts were abandoned after studying three patients.

We did not experience any episodes of clinically significant fever, in contrast to other published reports.9 However, we did treat all children less than four years of age with a single dose of antibiotic intravenously after the procedure. This was incorporated into the procedure following our earlier experience of bronchial lavage on young children, where—in line with published reports—fever occurred frequently. Fever did not occur in children over four years of age unless purulent secretions were recovered. It occurred often in children less than four years old regardless of the physical appearance of the airway. Review of bronchial lavage culture data from the younger children showed that 12% were positive for bacteria: >100,000 cfu/ml of S pneumoniae, M catarrhalis, or non-typable H influenza. Gram stains and differential cell counts on the lavage fluid were negative for squamous epithelial cells, making oral contamination unlikely. Other investigators10 have recently reported a similar incidence (14.8%) of bacterial bronchitis in asthmatic children.

A debate has emerged about bronchial biopsy in children.11 The issues raised concern the ethics of performing invasive prospective studies and withdrawing therapeutic drugs beforehand.4 We also have concerns over this. The first relates to the classification of patients with moderate asthma. It is doubtful whether patients who really have moderate asthma could tolerate removal of anti-asthma treatment for four weeks without deteriorating. The fact that these patients did not deteriorate would suggest that they had mild disease or that they did not have asthma at all. That might explain the fact that eosinophils were seen in only two of 10 patients’ biopsies. As these patients tolerated withdrawal of treatment, there was not even a good clinical reason to study them. In the second report,1 all asthmatic children were treated with oral steroids for two weeks beforehand at a dose commonly used to treat asthma exacerbations. The data collected in this circumstance are of little value in either the general sense or for the individual patients, as they do not reflect the patients’ baseline clinical status.

Our data were collected solely for clinical reasons without any change in drug treatment. While broad conclusions about the biopsy findings are not possible owing to the variability in the patients’ symptoms and drug treatment regimens, that was not the purpose of this report. Our aim was to document the safety of the procedure. The data show four things. The first is that bronchial biopsy is indeed safe and technically feasible in children as young as 2.5 years. Second, it is safe over a wide range of clinical conditions. Third, rigid bronchoscopy is not necessary. This should give pulmonologists confidence to perform the procedure themselves. Lastly, the data show that patients do not need to be pretreated routinely with systemic steroids. This should allow a more accurate assessment of their clinical situation.

The decision to undertake bronchoscopy on a child is not an easy one. The potential benefit has to outweigh the risk. For the moment, bronchoscopy with endobronchial biopsy should be reserved for those patients who have failed medical management and remain burdened by their condition.

Conclusions
This series shows that endobronchial biopsy is quite safe when done under general anaesthesia using a flexible bronchoscope and a laryngeal mask airway. We hope this report will encourage other practitioners to consider endobronchial biopsy in evaluating children with respiratory conditions that are hard to diagnose.
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