

Association of body mass with pulmonary function in the Childhood Asthma Management Program (CAMP)

K G Tantisira, A A Litonjua, S T Weiss, A L Fuhlbrigge, for the Childhood Asthma Management Program Research Group

Thorax 2003;58:1036–1041

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr K G Tantisira,
Channing Laboratory, 181
Longwood Avenue,
Boston, MA 02115, USA;
rekgf@channing.
harvard.edu

Revised version received
4 June 2003
Accepted for publication
11 July 2003

Background: While increases in body mass index (BMI) have been associated with the incidence and prevalence of asthma, the mechanisms behind this association are unclear.

Methods: We hypothesised that BMI would be independently associated with measures of asthma severity in a population of children with mild to moderate asthma enrolled in the Childhood Asthma Management Program (CAMP). A multivariable baseline cross sectional analysis of BMI with our outcomes of interest was performed.

Results: BMI was generally not associated with symptoms, nor was it associated with atopy. While BMI was positively associated with the methacholine concentration that causes a 20% fall in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (PC₂₀FEV₁), this association did not persist after adjustment for FEV₁. Increasing BMI was associated with increasing FEV₁ ($\beta=0.006$ l, 95% CI (0.001 to 0.01)) and forced vital capacity (FVC) ($\beta=0.012$ l, 95% CI (0.007 to 0.017)). However, decrements in the FEV₁/FVC ratio were noted with increasing BMI ($\beta=-0.242$, 95% CI (-0.118 to -0.366)). Thus, an increase in BMI of 5 units was associated with a decrease in FEV₁/FVC of over 1%.

Conclusions: Although the association of FEV₁ and FVC with BMI did not support our initial hypothesis, the decrease noted in the FEV₁/FVC ratio has potential relevance in the relationship between BMI and asthma severity.

Over the past 20 years the incidence and prevalence of obesity among the youth in America have steadily increased. The most recent data from the Centers for Disease Control's (CDC) Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) revealed a prevalence of obesity—defined as a body mass index (BMI) in excess of 95% of the age specific distribution—of 14% in children aged 6–11 surveyed between 1988 and 1994.¹ In comparison, NHANES II, conducted between 1976 and 1980, found a prevalence of obesity of 7.6% for the same population. This has been accompanied by a similar rise in the associated rates of asthma. The CDC self-reported prevalence of asthma in children aged 5–14 rose from 42.8 per 1000 in 1980 to 74.4 per 1000 in 1994.²

Given the dramatic rise in the prevalence of obesity and asthma, it is not surprising that there has been an increasing body of literature on the association between BMI and asthma. In the paediatric population, increases in BMI have been associated with an increased incidence³ and prevalence^{4–7} of asthma. At the extremes of BMI, a similar increase in the prevalence of asthma has been noted with overweight⁸ and obesity in children.⁹ Furthermore, this relationship may be influenced by sex. While greater preadolescent asthma incidence¹⁰ and severity^{11, 12} have generally been associated with boys, the obesity/asthma relationship may be a phenomenon of girls. The risk of prevalent asthma has been found to be higher in obese girls than non-obese girls or boys of any body mass in cross sectional studies of German children.¹³ Additionally, increases in BMI have been associated with incident wheezing, peak flow variability, and bronchodilator response in girls of school age but not boys.¹⁴

While the association between increased BMI and asthma is well documented, the mechanisms behind this association in children are unclear. We hypothesised that BMI would be independently related to asthma severity as reflected by pulmonary function, symptom outcomes, and relevant

intermediate phenotypes in asthmatic children. We considered that these effects might be modified by sex. These hypotheses were examined using the baseline data from a cohort of children in the Childhood Asthma Management Program (CAMP) study.

METHODS

Study population

The CAMP study is a randomised clinical trial comprising 1041 children with asthma. The trial design and methodology have been previously published.¹⁵ Inclusion criteria included: age 5–12, asthma for at least 6 months, mild to moderate asthma severity, and methacholine sensitivity with a provocative concentration (PC₂₀) of no more than 12.5 mg/ml. Demographic data; home environment characteristics; asthma symptoms, severity, and treatment; allergy history; and relevant family history were collected at baseline. Each patient's parent or guardian signed a consent statement. All information and measures were collected at the time of randomisation, following a screening period of at least 28 days on salbutamol (albuterol) on an as needed basis only.

Pulmonary function testing

Spirometric and methacholine testing were performed on a Collins Stead-Wells dry seal Survey III spirometer.¹⁵ At least three acceptable manoeuvres meeting American Thoracic Society (ATS) standards were required, with at least two reproducible forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC) manoeuvres within 5% of best required for each test. Airway responsiveness was performed in a standardised fashion, at least 4 hours after use of short acting bronchodilators and 24 hours after use of long acting bronchodilators.¹⁵

Measures

BMI was calculated from measured values of height and weight by the equation $BMI = \text{weight (kg)}/\text{height}^2 \text{ (m}^2\text{)}$. Pulmonary function outcomes included pre- and post-bronchodilator FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁/FVC ratio, and peak flow, analysed as quantitative traits. Asthma symptom outcomes were dichotomised and considered relevant as follows: school absences (>5, since fewer than this might be expected from non-asthma factors), emergency department visits and hospital admissions (any), doctor visits (>6, since reasonable visits may be expected every other month for a healthy asthmatic), days on oral steroids (>7, since up to a week's worth may be dispensed for mild asthma), physical education restriction (any reported), and cough/wheeze at rest or with exercise (>once/month over 6 months). Outcomes were based upon occurrences over past year, except steroid days (past 6 months) and cough/wheeze (monthly average over the past 6 months). Intermediate phenotypes of asthma and atopy included FEV₁ change with bronchodilator and log transformations of PC₂₀, serum IgE, and eosinophil count, evaluated in a quantitative fashion.

BMI was the primary predictor of interest. Potential confounders included clinic attended, age, race, sex, caregiver education, family income, familial asthma history, presence of environmental tobacco smoke, and participant Tanner stage. Tanner stage was defined by male genital and female breast development. BMI was modelled as a linear term, while the other potential confounders were either dichotomised or categorised into groups. Additional analyses using other surrogates of total body fat (waist circumference and waist to hip ratio) as predictors were also performed. Finally, analyses of the upper extremes of BMI were performed using age, ethnic, and sex specific BMI percentile cut offs of 85% for overweight and 95% for obese.¹⁶

Statistical analysis

Univariate and multivariable regression analyses incorporating significant potential confounders were performed. Modelling using linear regression was performed for all of the pulmonary function and intermediate phenotype outcomes. Symptom outcomes were modelled using logistic regression techniques. Height adjustment was performed for models evaluating the FEV₁, FVC, and peak flows. Collinearity diagnostics were performed. Potential for effect modification of sex with BMI was evaluated by use of stratified analyses and interaction terms. The assumption of linearity within the BMI predictor was assessed by dividing BMI into quintiles and looking for threshold and non-linear effects. To further evaluate the potential for the age dependency of BMI, Box-Cox transformations of age on BMI were performed. We then re-evaluated all of our models using the transformed BMI, thereby verifying our results in an a priori age adjusted fashion. Since the transformed BMI results were similar in magnitude but difficult to interpret, we have used the non-transformed, age adjusted BMI in reporting our results. All analyses were performed using the SAS statistical software package (Version 6.12, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Table 1 presents baseline descriptive data on the BMI of 1039 asthmatic children participating in the CAMP study. 123 of the children (11.8%) met the criteria for obesity and a further 181 (17.4%) for overweight. Overall, asthma was mild in these children, with a mean post-bronchodilator FEV₁ of 102% predicted. As expected, BMI was significantly associated with measures of growth and development such as age and Tanner stage. Other significant potential confounders associated with BMI in the univariate analysis included

Table 1 Relation of BMI to selected confounders* of asthma severity in the Childhood Asthma Management Program (CAMP) population

Variable	N	Median BMI	p value‡
Overall	1039†	17.09	
Age (years)			0.0001
5–6	263	15.96	
7–8	319	16.56	
9–10	272	17.93	
11–12	185	19.60	
Ethnicity			0.0001
White	709	16.96	
Black	138	17.63	
Hispanic	98	18.77	
Other	94	16.55	
Sex			0.52
Boys	621	17.04	
Girls	418	17.19	
Tanner stage			0.0001
I	749	16.61	
II	202	18.10	
III	56	20.57	
IV	24	21.11	
V	3	24.69	
Parental smoking			0.04
Neither	746	16.97	
Mother only	89	17.30	
Father only	96	17.26	
Both	100	17.32	

*Not shown are CAMP centre (p=0.0001), history of parental asthma, parental educational level, and parental income (all with p values >0.20).

†Baseline height not recorded for two children.

‡For within group comparisons of ranked BMI (Kruskal-Wallis test).

ethnicity, clinical centre, and presence of any parental smoking. Sex, parental income, caregiver education, and history of parental asthma were not significantly associated with BMI. Since age is closely correlated with BMI in children, we reassessed all analyses using a Box-Cox transformation of age on BMI to provide age adjusted estimates of the relationships. The results of these subsequent analyses paralleled our primary results (data not shown).

Relationship between BMI and respiratory symptoms

The relationship between BMI and reported measures of asthma severity are shown in table 2. In the multivariable analysis only cough/wheeze with exercise was related to BMI (OR 1.05, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.10 for more than one coughing/wheezing episode with exercise per month over the past 6 months).

Relationship between BMI and other measures of body fat and intermediate phenotypes of asthma and atopy

The four intermediate phenotypes of interest—eosinophil count, IgE level, bronchodilator response, and methacholine sensitivity—and their adjusted relationship to BMI, waist circumference, and waist to hip ratio are shown in table 3. BMI was negatively associated with bronchodilator response ($\beta = -0.003$, $p = 0.02$)—that is, as BMI increased, small decrements in the response to bronchodilators were noted. A higher BMI was also related to a higher logPC₂₀ (less airways reactivity). However, after adjustment for baseline levels of FEV₁, this effect was no longer seen. Neither of the measures of atopy (IgE and eosinophil count) was significantly associated with BMI. No consistent relationship between the measures of body fat (BMI, waist circumference, and waist to hip ratio) and any of the intermediate phenotype outcomes was noted.

Table 2 Relationship between BMI and asthma symptom outcomes

Outcome†	Crude odds ratio (95% CI)	p value	Adjusted* odds ratio (95% CI)	p value
School absence	1.01 (0.97 to 1.05)	0.67	1.01 (0.96 to 1.05)	0.77
Emergency room visits	1.02 (0.98 to 1.06)	0.25	1.02 (0.98 to 1.07)	0.36
Hospital admissions	0.99 (0.91 to 1.08)	0.84	0.95 (0.86 to 1.05)	0.33
Visits to doctor	1.04 (0.99 to 1.09)	0.06	1.00 (0.95 to 1.05)	0.90
Days on steroids	1.03 (0.98 to 1.09)	0.23	1.01 (0.95 to 1.07)	0.87
Physical education restriction	0.97 (0.92 to 1.02)	0.19	0.99 (0.93 to 1.05)	0.72
Cough/wheeze at rest	1.02 (0.99 to 1.06)	0.21	1.03 (0.99 to 1.07)	0.22
Cough/wheeze with exercise	1.05 (1.01 to 1.09)	0.02	1.05 (1.01 to 1.10)	0.03

*Adjusted for age, race, sex, clinic, Tanner stage, and parental smoking.

†Outcomes based on occurrences over past year, except steroid days (past 6 months) and cough/wheeze (monthly average over the past 6 months). The outcomes were dichotomised at the following levels: school absences (≤ 5 v > 5), ER visits and hospital admissions (none v any), visits to doctor (≤ 6 v > 6), days on steroids (≤ 7 v > 7), physical education restriction (none v any), and cough/wheeze (≤ 1 v > 1).

Relationship between BMI and pulmonary function

Table 4 shows the post-bronchodilator multivariable relationship between BMI and pulmonary function outcomes. BMI was positively associated with spirometric measurements including FEV₁, FVC, and peak flow. Pre-bronchodilator trends were similar (data not shown). The strongest relationships were noted for FVC. Specifically, an increase in BMI of 5 units (as would occur between normal weight and obesity) was associated with a gain in FVC of slightly more than 60 ml. Increases in BMI were thus associated with increased spirometric pulmonary function. In contrast, significant decrements in the FEV₁/FVC ratio were noted in association with increasing BMI (p for trend for boys = 0.002; fig 1).

The strength of the BMI effects on pulmonary function seemed to vary when stratified by sex. Although the direction of the effect was the same for both sexes, the association with BMI was significant only in girls in relation to the FEV₁, change in FEV₁ with bronchodilator, and log PC₂₀ (table 4). The relationship between BMI and both pre- and post-bronchodilator FVC was also stronger in girls than in boys. However, the FEV₁/FVC ratio decrements with increasing BMI were more substantial in boys. Univariate analysis of the relationship between sex and the potential confounders of pulmonary function was performed. There were no significant differences between boys and girls with regard to height, ethnicity, age, parental smoking, or clinic. The addition of interaction terms to models evaluating sex and BMI as predictors of pulmonary function outcomes did not show a significant interaction between sex and BMI in any of the multivariable models tested (data not shown).

BMI quintile analysis

Age adjusted BMI quintile analysis was performed (fig 2). For both boys and girls spirometric measures (FEV₁, FVC, and peak flow) increased in a linear fashion as the BMI

quintile increased. No significant within group differences were noted for either bronchodilator response or PC₂₀. Overall, no overt threshold effects were noted. Modelling BMI as a linear predictor of pulmonary function therefore seems reasonable. Moreover, while the results of obesity specific analyses paralleled our other results, the lack of a threshold suggests that the extremes of weight did not have a unique association with our outcomes. No results of obesity specific analyses are therefore presented.

DISCUSSION

The overall magnitude and direction of the associations seen do not support the hypothesis that increasing BMI significantly contributes to overall asthma severity in a large cross sectional population of children with mild to moderate asthma. In general, increasing body mass was correlated with increasing spirometric values and was not associated with asthma symptoms. The notable exception to this was the association of increasing BMI with decrements in the FEV₁/FVC ratio. The relationship between BMI and the intermediate phenotypes of asthma evaluated, however, were less clear. While measures of atopy were not correlated with BMI, we did find a significant relationship between BMI and two common intermediate phenotypes of asthma—change in FEV₁ with bronchodilator and degree of methacholine sensitivity. However, no significant relationship between BMI and PC₂₀ remained after adjustment for baseline FEV₁, suggesting that this effect may have been driven by underlying airways size. This was further supported by the lack of association between these measures of airway responsiveness and waist circumference and waist to hip ratio.

Previous population based studies of children have reported increases in spirometric measurements with increases in body weight and BMI. In calculating reference values for FEV₁ and FVC, however, early studies concluded that adjustment for weight was unnecessary because the

Table 3 Relationship between measures of body fat and markers of asthma and atopy*

	BMI (kg/m ²) β (95% CI)	p value	Waist (cm) β (95% CI)	p value	Waist to hip ratio β (95% CI)	p value
Log eosinophil†	-0.017 (-0.036 to 0.001)	0.06	-0.011 (-0.017 to 0.005)	0.0009	-0.51 (-1.470 to 0.456)	0.30
Log IgE†	-0.028 (-0.059 to 0.002)	0.07	-0.005 (-0.016 to 0.061)	0.38	-0.29 (-1.933 to 1.359)	0.73
BD change‡	-0.003 (-0.001 to -0.004)	0.02	-0.0007 (-0.014 to 0)	0.06	0.047 (-0.064 to 0.158)	0.41
Log PC ₂₀ †	0.034 (0.012 to 0.057)	0.003	0.007 (0.002 to 0.015)	0.11	-0.037 (-0.933 to 0.859)	0.95
Log PC ₂₀ (FEV ₁ adjusted)†	0.006 (-0.017 to 0.028)	0.64	-0.005 (-0.014 to 0.003)	0.21	0.0004 (-1.195 to 1.195)	0.99

*Adjusted for age, race, sex, clinic, Tanner stage, and parental smoking.

†Natural log.

‡Bronchodilator (BD) change defined as change in FEV₁ with bronchodilator divided by initial FEV₁.

Table 4 Relationship between BMI and spirometric parameters and airway responsiveness*

Outcome	Overall		Boys		Girls	
	β (95% CI)	p value	β (95% CI)	p value	β (95% CI)	p value
Post-BD						
FEV ₁ (l)†	0.006 (0.001 to 0.010)	0.01	0.003 (-0.003 to 0.009)	0.32	0.008 (0.004 to 0.012)	0.02
FVC (l)†	0.012 (0.007 to 0.017)	0.0001	0.009 (0.002 to 0.016)	0.009	0.014 (0.006 to 0.021)	0.0004
FEV ₁ /FVC	-0.242 (-0.118 to -0.366)	0.0001	-0.297 (-0.127 to -0.467)	0.0006	-0.188 (-0.001 to -0.375)	0.05
Peak flow (l/min)†	0.230 (-0.674 to 1.14)	0.61	0.149 (-1.127 to 1.425)	0.82	0.640 (-0.717 to 1.987)	0.36
BD change‡	-0.003 (-0.001 to -0.004)	0.02	-0.001 (-0.004 to 0.002)	0.37	-0.003 (-0.001 to -0.006)	0.02
Log PC ₂₀	0.034 (0.012 to 0.057)	0.003	0.021 (-0.008 to 0.054)	0.18	0.043 (0.008 to 0.078)	0.02

*Adjusted for age, race, sex, clinic, Tanner stage, and parental smoking.

†Also adjusted for height.

‡Bronchodilator (BD) change defined as change in FEV₁ with bronchodilator divided by initial FEV₁.

additional explained variance was small after adjustment for height, sex, and race.^{17, 18} Lazarus *et al*¹⁹ reported a much larger positive association of weight with height adjusted FEV₁ and FVC in a cross sectional study of normal school-children. However, they subsequently found decreases in height and weight adjusted spirometric measures with increasing skinfold thicknesses, concluding that an increased BMI in children may not adequately distinguish increased lean tissue mass from increased fat mass. Fung *et al*²⁰ also noted increased spirometric flows correlating to increased BMI in a population of Chinese schoolchildren. Nevertheless, overweight children (>90% predicted) had decrements in these pulmonary measures in association with increasing BMI, supporting other studies which noted a classic restrictive ventilatory defect in obese children.²¹ In contrast, only one of our subjects had an age adjusted BMI in excess of 150% of predicted. Any expected decline in spirometric parameters due to large increases in fat mass would not therefore have been powered to be detected in our study. Overall, our data suggest that the small but significant increases in FEV₁ and FVC seen in normal children in association with increases in BMI are also present in children with mild to moderate asthma (table 4, fig 2).

Although airway responsiveness (AHR) has recently been correlated with asthma severity in children,²² little is known about the relationship between BMI and intermediate phenotypes of asthma, including measures of atopy, AHR, and bronchodilator response. In a cross sectional population based study of teenagers in Taiwan, Huang *et al*²³ noted a decreased prevalence of AHR in the lowest quintile of BMI in teenage girls and increased atopy in girls with the highest

BMI. These findings were not seen in boys. While other studies have not noted any independent effect of BMI on methacholine sensitivity,^{24, 25} the consistent association of obesity with exercise induced bronchospasm in children^{26, 27} has suggested a relationship between increased AHR and BMI. Although our cohort of asthmatic children differed from the above, the initial finding of a positive association between PC₂₀ and BMI was still somewhat puzzling. However, this effect was not found when the regression was adjusted for airway size, nor was it seen with other measures of body fat (table 3). Similarly, none of the other intermediate phenotypes were consistently associated with any of the proxies for body fat mass.

The exact reason why the relationship between BMI and pulmonary function would be stronger in one sex in our study population (table 4) is unknown. However, this prepubertal relationship can be most readily explained by differential airways size. Throughout childhood girls have larger airways in relation to lung size than boys, a phenomenon that begins to reverse in adolescence.²⁸ While airway size can help to explain the differential spirometric values noted in our study, smaller airway calibre has also been used to explain the increased AHR in adult women.²⁹ Similarly, the findings of decreased AHR and bronchodilator responsiveness in the girls relative to the boys in our study may be simply related to differential airway calibre.

We cannot exclude the possibility that differences in sample size between the boys and girls in our study could account for our finding that decrements in FEV₁/FVC ratio noted with increasing BMI were more prominent in the CAMP boys (fig 1); however, differences in airway size between boys and girls may also play a role. The FEV₁/FVC ratio is commonly used as a measure of the degree of airflow




Figure 1 Median post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC ratio across age adjusted BMI quintiles after Box-Cox transformation of age on BMI. In both sexes increases in BMI are associated with decrements in the FEV₁/FVC ratio. This effect was more pronounced in boys (p for trend=0.002).




Figure 2 Relationship between median post-bronchodilator FEV₁ and FVC and age adjusted BMI quintiles. Spirometric measures increased linearly across the BMI quintiles in both boys and girls.

obstruction and severity of asthma. While ratio measures are described in few population based studies of paediatric lung function, in young children overall measures of airflow to FVC are decreased in boys compared with girls³⁰⁻³¹ and in asthmatics compared with non-asthmatics.¹² Increasing BMI correlated with decreasing FEV₁/FVC ratio in one other population based study of paediatric spirometry.³² This association was also greater in boys. While the presence of asthma in that study did not appear to affect the relationship between BMI and FEV₁/FVC ratio, only 7% of these children reported a diagnosis of asthma, probably resulting in insufficient power for detection. Whether these differences help to explain the increased incidence of asthmatic symptoms in prepubertal boys requires further study.

While we found that many of the effects noted were stronger in one sex, analyses failed to note a significant interaction between sex and BMI. It is likely, given the modest effects noted as well as the parallel direction of the effects within each sex, that a larger sample size or sicker patient population could have resulted in significant interaction terms.

The overall direction and magnitude of the relationships between BMI and the outcomes of interest in this study do not support a significant detrimental association between increasing BMI and asthma severity in children. The potential reasons for this departure from our hypothesised relationships are several. Firstly, our source population, a cohort of children with pre-existing asthma, differs from the general population based cohorts used in the incidence³⁻¹⁴ and prevalence⁴⁻⁷ studies associating asthma and BMI. Moreover, comparisons of within group phenotypes are not comparable to studies evaluating differences between asthmatic and normal subjects. The strict entry criteria for this clinical trial¹⁵ may also not have provided enough variability in the outcomes of interest to detect differences in our predictor of interest. Previous studies of asthma symptoms have used primarily inner city subjects,³³⁻³⁴ a population which contrasts with that of the CAMP children.¹⁵ Additionally, many of these previous studies focused on obesity and asthma. Only one of the children enrolled in CAMP had a baseline BMI in excess of 150% of predicted for age. Thus, any potential associations due to extremes of BMI would not have been adequately powered within our cohort. In this study, BMI was modelled as our primary surrogate of total body fat. The usefulness of this measure in children has been criticised.¹⁹ The best epidemiological measure of body fat in children and adolescents remains controversial,³⁵ but may be one using skinfold measures which were not assessed in CAMP. Hence, BMI used as a primary predictor may not have adequately distinguished between large children and obese ones. Finally, in a previous study of incident asthma in children, asthma risk was inversely related to Tanner stage in boys (RR 0.3 for stage V compared with stage I) but positively related to Tanner stage in girls (RR 1.6 for stage V compared with stage I).³ If puberty is highly correlated with any of our outcomes of interest, our study may not have been powered to detect these associations. The report of a much stronger association between BMI and the prevalence of asthma in children over 10 years of age compared with younger children⁸ lends support to this possibility. Over 90% of our cohort were Tanner stages I or II, and less than 3% were stages IV or V at baseline assessment.

Our study also suffers from the limitations common to cross sectional studies. Certainly the association between BMI and pulmonary function cannot demonstrate causality or even the direction of the relationship. One could infer that children with higher FEV₁ and FVC levels are more apt to eat more and therefore increase their BMI or, equally, that BMI levels directly alter levels of pulmonary function.

Longitudinal studies within a cohort of asthmatic subjects are necessary to help clarify this relationship.

In conclusion, we have noted changes in spirometric pulmonary function related to BMI in a cohort of asthmatic children. BMI at baseline, however, was not prominently related to respiratory symptomatology or to any of four prominent intermediate phenotypes of asthma and atopy. Although these findings do not support our original hypothesis, the discovery of a decrement in the FEV₁/FVC ratio in association with increasing BMI suggests that a significant relationship may yet exist. Further studies evaluating specific aspects of the BMI/asthma relationship are warranted. Such studies evaluating change in BMI over time, extremes of body mass effects, or sex specific pubertal changes on this relationship may provide further insights into the pathogenesis and treatment of childhood asthma.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Childhood Asthma Management Program is supported by contracts NO1-HR-16044, 16045, 16046, 16047, 16048, 16049, 16050, 16051, and 16052 with the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and General Clinical Research Center grants M01RR00051, M01RR0099718-24, M01RR02719-14, and RR00036 from the National Center for Research Resources.

Dr Tantisira is supported by NIH: 2T32 HL07427, Clinical Epidemiology of Lung Diseases, Dr Litonjua is supported by a Mentored Clinical Scientist Development Award: KO8-HL03870, and Dr Fuhlbrigge is supported by a Mentored Clinical Scientist Development Award: KO8 HL03919-01, from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.



Members of the CAMP Research Group are available on the *Thorax* website at www.thoraxjnl.com/ supplemental.

Authors' affiliations

K G Tantisira, A A Litonjua, S T Weiss, A L Fuhlbrigge, Channing Laboratory, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Funding: The Childhood Asthma Management Program is supported by contracts NO1-HR-16044, 16045, 16046, 16047, 16048, 16049, 16050, 16051, and 16052 with the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and General Clinical Research Center grants M01RR00051, M01RR0099718-24, M01RR02719-14, and RR00036 from the National Center for Research Resources.

REFERENCES

- 1 Division of Health Examination Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics, Division of Nutrition and Physical Activity, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC. Update: Prevalence of overweight among children, adolescents, and adults—United States, 1988–1994. *MMWR* 1997;**46**:199–202.
- 2 Mannino DM, Homa DM, Pertowski CA, et al. Surveillance for asthma—United States, 1960–1995. *MMWR* 1998;**47**:1–28.
- 3 Camargo CA Jr, Field AE, Colditz GA, et al. Body mass index and asthma in children aged 9–14. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;**159**:A150.
- 4 Figueroa-Munoz JI, Chinn S, Rona RJ. Association between obesity and asthma in 4–11 year old children in the UK. *Thorax* 2001;**56**:133–7.
- 5 Epstein LH, Wu YW, Paluch RA, et al. Asthma and maternal body mass index are related to pediatric body mass index and obesity: results from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Obes Res* 2000;**8**:575–81.
- 6 Gold DR, Rotnitzky A, Doamokosh AI, et al. Race and gender differences in respiratory illness prevalence and their relationship to environmental exposures in children 7 to 14 years of age. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993;**148**:10–18.
- 7 Lee SI, Shin MH, Lee HB, et al. Prevalences of symptoms of asthma and other allergic diseases in Korean children: a nationwide questionnaire survey. *J Korean Med Sci* 2001;**16**:155–64.
- 8 Rodriguez MA, Winkleby MA, Ahn D, et al. Identification of population subgroups of children and adolescents with high asthma prevalence: findings from the third national health and nutrition examination survey. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2002;**156**:269–75.

- 9 Gennuso J, Epstein LH, Paluch RA, *et al*. The relationship between asthma and obesity in urban minority children and adolescents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 1998;**152**:1197–200.
- 10 Martinez FD, Wright AL, Taussig LM, *et al*. Asthma and wheezing in the first six years of life. The Group Health Medical Associates. *N Engl J Med* 1995;**332**:133–8.
- 11 Meurer JR, George V, Subichin S, *et al*. Asthma severity among children hospitalized in 1990 and 1995. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2000;**154**:143–9.
- 12 Gold DR, Wypij D, Wang X, *et al*. Gender- and race-specific effects of asthma and wheeze on level and growth of lung function in children in six US cities. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994;**149**:1198–208.
- 13 von Kries R, Hermann M, Grunert VP, *et al*. Is obesity a risk factor for childhood asthma? *Allergy* 2001;**56**:318–22.
- 14 Castro-Rodriguez JA, Holberg CJ, Morgan WJ, *et al*. Increased incidence of asthmalike symptoms in girls who become overweight or obese during the school years. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;**163**:1344–9.
- 15 Childhood Asthma Management Program Research Group. The Childhood Asthma Management Program (CAMP): design, rationale, and methods. *Control Clin Trials* 1999;**20**:91–120.
- 16 Rosner B, Prineas R, Loggie J, *et al*. Percentiles for body mass index in US children 5 to 17 years of age. *J Pediatr* 1998;**132**:211–22.
- 17 Dockery D, Berkey CS, Ware J, *et al*. Distribution of forced vital capacity and forced expiratory volume in one second in children 6 to 11 years of age. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1983;**128**:405–12.
- 18 Schwartz J, Katz S, Fegley R, *et al*. Analysis of spirometric data from a national sample of healthy 6- to 24-year-olds (NHANES II). *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1988;**138**:1405–14.
- 19 Lazarus R, Colditz G, Berkey CS, *et al*. Effects of body fat on ventilatory function in children and adolescents: cross-sectional findings from a random population sample of school children. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 1997;**24**:187–94.
- 20 Fung KP, Lau SP, Chow OK, *et al*. Effects of overweight on lung function. *Arch Dis Child* 1990;**65**:512–5.
- 21 Inselman LS, Milanese A, Deurloo A. Effect of obesity on pulmonary function in children. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 1993;**16**:130–7.
- 22 Weiss ST, Van Natta ML, Zeiger RS. Relationship between increased airway responsiveness and asthma severity in the Childhood Asthma Management Program. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;**162**:50–6.
- 23 Huang SL, Shiao G, Chou P. Association between body mass index and allergy in teenage girls in Taiwan. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1999;**29**:323–9.
- 24 Rasmussen F, Lambrechtsen J, Siersted HC, *et al*. Low physical fitness in childhood is associated with the development of asthma in young adulthood: the Odense schoolchild study. *Eur Respir J* 2000;**16**:866–70.
- 25 Schachter LM, Salome CM, Peat JK, *et al*. Obesity is a risk for asthma and wheeze but not airway hyperresponsiveness. *Thorax* 2001;**56**:4–8.
- 26 Kaplan TA, Montana E. Exercise-induced bronchospasm in nonasthmatic obese children. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 1993;**32**:220–5.
- 27 del Rio-Navarro B, Cisneros-Rivero M, Berber-Eslava A, *et al*. Exercise induced bronchospasm in asthmatic and non-asthmatic obese children. *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr)* 2000;**28**:5–11.
- 28 Becklake MR, Kauffmann F. Gender differences in airway behaviour over the human life span. *Thorax* 1999;**54**:1119–38.
- 29 De Marco R, Locatelli F, Sunyer J, Burney P, the European Community Respiratory Health Survey Study Group. Differences in incidence of reported asthma related to age in men and women. A retrospective analysis of the data of the European Respiratory Health Survey. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;**162**:68–74.
- 30 Wang X, Dockery DW, Wypij D, *et al*. Pulmonary function between 6 and 18 years of age. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 1993;**15**:75–88.
- 31 Rosenthal M, Cramer D, Bain SH, *et al*. Lung function in white children aged 4 to 19 years: II. Single breath analysis and plethysmography. *Thorax* 1993;**48**:803–8.
- 32 Pistelli R, Brancato G, Forastiere F, *et al*. Population values of lung volumes and flows in children: effect of sex, body mass and respiratory conditions. *Eur Respir J* 1992;**5**:463–70; erratum 1992;**5**:904.
- 33 Luder E, Melnik TA, DiMaio M. Association of being overweight with greater asthma symptoms in inner city black and Hispanic children. *J Pediatr* 1998;**132**:699–703.
- 34 Belamarich PF, Luder E, Kattan M, *et al*. Do obese inner-city children with asthma have more symptoms than nonobese children with asthma? *Pediatrics* 2000;**106**:1436–41.
- 35 Sangi H, Mueller WH. Which measure of body fat distribution is best for epidemiologic research among adolescents? *Am J Epidemiol* 1991;**133**:870–83.

LUNG ALERT

Factors associated with physicians' decisions to withdraw mechanical ventilation in anticipation of death

▲ Cook D, Ricker G, Marshall J, *et al*. Withdrawal of mechanical ventilation in anticipation of death in the intensive care unit. *N Engl J Med* 2003;**349**:1123–32

Eight hundred and fifty one consecutive patients mechanically ventilated for at least 72 hours in intensive care units in 15 different centres were prospectively followed. The relation between various factors and withdrawal of mechanical ventilation was assessed using Cox proportional hazards analysis.

Ventilation was withdrawn in 19.5% of the original cohort. Four factors were identified as being associated with withdrawal of ventilation: use of inotropes and vasopressors (hazard ratio 1.78, $p = 0.004$), physician's prediction of a less than 10% chance of survival (hazard ratio 3.49, $p = 0.002$) and of severely impaired future cognitive function (hazard ratio 2.51, $p = 0.04$), and the physician's perception that the patient did not want life support (hazard ratio 4.19, $p < 0.001$).

Physicians' perceptions and predictions formed the majority of factors in this study, which extends our understanding of the process of withdrawal of life support and questions the traditional biomedical model used (age and severity of illness or organ dysfunction were not factors). It is encouraging that one of the key factors was the wishes of the patients, but these might not be accurately reflected by relatives or physicians. Further research is required to clarify this issue.

A R L Medford

Clinical Research Fellow and Honorary Specialist Registrar,
University of Bristol, Southmead Hospital, Bristol, UK
andrew.medford@bristol.ac.uk