Long term effects of non-invasive mechanical ventilation on pulmonary haemodynamics in patients with chronic respiratory failure
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Abstract
Background—It is not known whether long term nocturnal mechanical ventilation (NMV) reduces pulmonary hypertension in patients with chronic respiratory failure (CRF).

Methods—Pulmonary haemodynamics, spirometric values, and gas exchange were studied in 33 patients requiring NMV due to CRF (20 with thoracic restriction, 13 with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)) at baseline and after 1 year of NMV given in the volume cycled mode. Patients with COPD also received supplemental oxygen.

Results—Long term NMV improved gas exchange while lung function remained unchanged. Mean pulmonary artery pressure at rest before NMV was higher in patients with thoracic restriction than in those with COPD (33 (10) mm Hg v 25 (6) mm Hg). After 1 year of NMV mean pulmonary artery pressure decreased in patients with thoracic restriction to 25 (6) mm Hg (mean change –8.5 mm Hg (95% CI –12.6 to –4.3), p<0.01) but did not change significantly in patients with COPD (mean change 2.2 mm Hg (95% CI –0.3 to 4.8)).

Conclusions—Long term NMV in CRF improves pulmonary haemodynamics in patients with thoracic restriction but not in patients with COPD.
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Nocturnal mechanical ventilation (NMV) reverses symptoms of hypoventilation and improves pulmonary gas exchange in patients with chronic respiratory failure (CRF). The efficacy of NMV has been convincingly demonstrated in patients with CRF due to thoracic restriction and neuromuscular disease.1,2 However, in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) the data are conflicting.3,4 Preliminary results from the French and Italian multicentre trials failed to demonstrate an effect of NMV, particularly on the prognosis of COPD.5,6 It has recently been shown that an increase in mean pulmonary artery pressure was an independent predictor of hospitalisation in patients with COPD.7 Whether long term NMV has a beneficial effect on pulmonary hypertension in CRF is unknown. We therefore conducted a prospective study to investigate the long term effects of NMV on pulmonary haemodynamics after 1 year of NMV in patients with CRF secondary to both restrictive thoracic disorders and COPD.

Methods
Patients
In a two year period (from 1 June 1994 to 1 June 1996) all patients who were considered for NMV were approached about the study protocol. During this period a total of 45 patients with thoracic restriction and 31 patients with COPD started NMV. Informed consent to participate in the study was given by 20 patients with thoracic restriction (group A) and 13 patients with COPD (group B). All patients finished the study.

The inclusion criteria were chronic hypercapnic respiratory failure (PaCO2 >6.4 kPa) due to restrictive thoracic diseases (post-tuberculosis sequelae and scoliosis, group A) or COPD (forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) <1 litre and FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio of <50%, group B).8 All patients had to have received maximal medical treatment supervised by a chest physician with no hospital admission for at least 1 month before the study and to have remained free of an exacerbation during this time. No significant difference was observed between the pre-admission blood gas tensions and lung function (1 month before admission) and those obtained on admission to hospital, confirming that the patients were in a clinically stable condition.

Subjects with acute respiratory failure (requiring continuous mechanical ventilation), severe acidosis (defined as pH <7.30), or left ventricular failure (defined as radiographically demonstrable congestion of pulmonary veins and/or an ejection fraction <50% and/or left ventricular end diastolic diameter >55 mm on echocardiography) were excluded. All patients underwent a polygraphic sleep study (Poly-MESAM, MAP, Martinsried, Germany) before entry and those with obstructive sleep apnoea were excluded.

Anthropometric parameters, blood gas analysis, and lung function data of the two groups of patients are presented in table I.

MEASUREMENTS
Baseline measurements were performed before starting NMV. Spirometric tests and whole body plethysmography (Masterlab, Jaeger,
Effects of non-invasive mechanical ventilation on pulmonary haemodynamics

Table 2 Mean (SD) values of parameters before and after 1 year on NMV in patients with thoracic restriction (group A) and patients with COPD (group B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Mean change (95% CI)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After 1 year NMV</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After 1 year NMV</th>
<th>Mean change (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂ (kPa)</td>
<td>6.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>8.9 (1.3)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.6 to 2.9)***</td>
<td>6.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>7.2 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.5 to 1.8)***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO₂ (kPa)</td>
<td>7.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>5.9 (0.6)</td>
<td>–1.9 (–2.4 to –1.3)***</td>
<td>8.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>6.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>–1.2 (–2.0 to –0.8)***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.35 (0.04)</td>
<td>7.39 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.01 to 0.05)***</td>
<td>7.36 (0.4)</td>
<td>7.39 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.01 to 0.05)***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaO₂ (%)</td>
<td>72.5 (9.5)</td>
<td>90.8 (5.2)</td>
<td>18.0 (12.1 to 30.4)***</td>
<td>76.2 (5.2)</td>
<td>88.3 (3.8)</td>
<td>7.0 (5.0 to 12.6)***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaO₂ nadir (%)</td>
<td>55.0 (9.5)</td>
<td>72.3 (10.0)</td>
<td>17.7 (9.7 to 28.1)***</td>
<td>60.0 (5.7)</td>
<td>68.5 (7.8)</td>
<td>8.5 (3.4 to 14.1)***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean change in parameters (95% confidence interval, CI) from baseline to 1 year on NMV (***p<0.01, **p<0.001, Wilcoxon test).

Study Protocol

The protocol was approved by the ethical review committee and all subjects gave their written informed consent to participate. A run in period of 1 month without NMV was used in order to confirm clinical stability as judged by gas exchange and lung function. Pre-NMV and post-NMV blood gas analysis was performed with the patient breathing room air; oxygen therapy was discontinued 1 hour before the test.

NMV was instituted using the volume cycled mode (either Dräger EV 800 (Lübeck, Germany) or PLV 100 (Respironics, Murrysville, USA)). Details on how individual ventilator settings were obtained are described elsewhere. Hypoxaemic COPD patients received supplemental oxygen according to the guidelines of the American Thoracic Society.

Patients received 6-8 hours of daytime and nocturnal oxygen at a rate of 1 l/min if NMV alone did not correct the hypoxaemia. No patient with restrictive thoracic disease required oxygen therapy during the study.

Pre-study medication was not changed during the study period, except for diuretic medication which was managed according to a protocol based on the clinical condition (reduced, withdrawn if permitted, or reintroduced, depending on the incidence of peripheral oedema). Follow up was scheduled every 6 weeks. All measurements were made before (baseline) and after 1 year of NMV.

Statistical Analyses

Results are expressed as mean (SD). The Wilcoxon test was used for the inrasubject comparisons within each group. A p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

All patients completed the pre-NMV evaluation and were started on NMV. Ventilator use per day was similar in both study groups (7.1 (0.5) hours in group A and 7.3 (0.3) hours in group B) and of equal efficacy (PaCO₂ 5.2 (0.4) kPa in group A, 5.4 (0.4) kPa in group B). Oxygen use averaged 15.2 (0.7) hours/day in patients with COPD. With the additional oxygen therapy during NMV, PaO₂ increased significantly in these patients (from 7.3 (0.4) kPa to 11.7 (1.0) kPa).

In all patients in group A diuretic medication was either reduced (n=12) or discontinued.
In group B diuretic medication was reduced in two patients, continued in six, and five patients did not receive diuretic medication.

Gas exchange data at baseline and after 1 year of NMV are summarised in table 2. After 1 year of NMV both groups showed significant improvement in daytime blood gas tensions and in nocturnal SaO₂ measured during unassisted ventilation on room air. However, the increase in PaO₂, SaO₂ mean and nadir, and decrease in PaCO₂ were lower in group B than in group A (table 2). Lung function remained unchanged in both groups.

Baseline PAPmean and pulmonary vascular resistance index at rest were moderately increased in group A and only mildly increased in group B (table 3). Pulmonary hypertension was more severe in group A than in group B and worsened during exercise in both groups (table 3). Normal pulmonary capillary wedge pressures at rest and at the end of the exercise excluded left heart dysfunction in both groups.

After 1 year of NMV resting PAPmean (table 3, fig 1) and pulmonary vascular resistance index at rest were unchanged in patients in group B (table 3). In both groups capillary wedge pressure and cardiac index did not change. Compared with the pre-NMV data, the degree of exercise induced worsening of pulmonary hypertension after 1 year of NMV was similar in both groups.

Discussion

After 1 year of NMV a substantial reduction in PAPmean was observed in patients in group A. This reduction may contribute to the benefit conferred by NMV in patients with CRF due to thoracic restriction.¹²

Pulmonary hypertension at baseline was more severe in group A patients than in those in group B, despite comparable degrees of hypercapnia and hypoxaemia. Furthermore, pulmonary hypertension did not improve in patients with COPD after 1 year of NMV.

This study has three limitations. Firstly, the ideal study design would have been a randomised controlled trial to determine whether NMV was the important factor underlying the findings. We used a prospective cohort study design as a randomised controlled trial would have been unethical in patients with thoracic restriction as there is already evidence of a marked reduction in pulmonary hypertension due to NMV.

Secondly, the number of recruited patients was relatively small, despite a 3 year study period. Between 1 June 1994 and 1 June 1996 all patients with COPD and with thoracic restriction who began NMV in our hospital...

Table 3 Mean (SD) values of the haemodynamic parameters at baseline and after 1 year NMV in patients with thoracic restriction (group A) and patients with COPD (group B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPmean (mm Hg)</td>
<td>33.2 (10.0)</td>
<td>####</td>
<td>55.1 (14.4)</td>
<td>25.3 (6.0)</td>
<td>####</td>
<td>44.8 (14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCW (mm Hg)</td>
<td>9.0 (5.0)</td>
<td>####</td>
<td>16.4 (5.5)</td>
<td>8.7 (3.2)</td>
<td>####</td>
<td>15.5 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVRI (dyn/cm²/m²)</td>
<td>606 (200)</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>654 (172)</td>
<td>410 (127)</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>474 (143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>After 1 year NMV</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPmean (mm Hg)</td>
<td>24.8 (6.2)</td>
<td>####</td>
<td>46.9 (13.9)</td>
<td>27.5 (6.0)</td>
<td>####</td>
<td>47.8 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCW (mm Hg)</td>
<td>9.2 (3.4)</td>
<td>####</td>
<td>16.4 (5.5)</td>
<td>9.2 (2.8)</td>
<td>####</td>
<td>16.5 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVRI (dyn/cm²/m²)</td>
<td>432 (158)</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>508 (169)</td>
<td>408 (92)</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>471 (111)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PAP = pulmonary arterial pressure; PCW = pulmonary wedge pressure; PVRI = pulmonary vascular resistance index.

*Mean change in haemodynamic parameters (95% confidence interval, CI) from baseline to 1 year NMV (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, Wilcoxon test).

#Comparison of the values before and at the end of maximal load (max) (p<0.05, ###p<0.001, Wilcoxon test).

Figure 1 Individual values of mean pulmonary artery pressure (PAPmean) before and after 1 year of NMV in patients with thoracic restriction (group A) and patients with COPD (group B). **p<0.01 (Wilcoxon).
were approached to participate in the study; 41.9% of patients with COPD and 44.4% with thoracic restriction consented to the study protocol. However, we believe that the findings can be generalised, particularly with respect to patients with thoracic restriction. Furthermore, the fact that our findings are based on right heart catheterisation, the gold standard of haemodynamic measurements, may compensate for the relatively small size of the study sample.

A third limitation is the fact that the patients with COPD had a lower pulmonary artery pressure at baseline than the patients with thoracic restriction, despite similar baseline blood gas abnormalities without oxygen supplementation during the day and at night in both groups. Compared with patients with thoracic restriction, relatively few COPD patients had significant pulmonary hypertension. This is important since there is less room for improvement in pulmonary hypertension in a patient group that started out with lower pressures. We therefore did not compare the haemodynamic findings between the two groups.

Hypoxaemia and hypercapnia both increase pulmonary vasculature tone and induce pulmonary hypertension. In our study NMV led to a sustained improvement in gas exchange, shown as a decrease in PaCO₂ during daytime spontaneous ventilation and an increase in SaO₂ during the one night sleep study without NMV. Our findings support the hypothesis that the increase in PaO₂ and decrease in PaCO₂ caused by NMV are instrumental in causing the improvement in pulmonary haemodynamics observed in patients with CRF due to thoracic restriction. In contrast, despite equal efficacy of NMV on ventilation after 1 year, pulmonary hypertension at rest was unchanged and hypercapnia and hypoxaemia during spontaneous breathing without oxygen supplementation were reduced but persisted in patients with COPD. Despite additional oxygen therapy (mean 15.2 hours/day), improvement in gas exchange in the COPD group was less than in patients with thoracic restriction. The oxygen therapy free interval during the day in the COPD group (lasting approximately 9 hours/day) may be an additional cause of the hypoxaemia induced pulmonary hypertension.

Finally, mechanical factors may also have an important effect on the pulmonary circulation. Wilkinson et al. found that longitudinal muscularisation of larger vessels resulted from mechanical distortion (stretching) in the lungs caused by progressive airway obstruction. This possible cause of pulmonary hypertension in COPD would not be expected to be changed by NMV.

It is interesting to speculate why peripheral oedema often remained unchanged and diuretics were still indicated in patients in group B. Apart from right heart function, peripheral oedema is related to renal and endocrine factors. Furthermore, a persistent hypoxaemia during the interval without oxygen supplementation and the higher CO₂ during spontaneous breathing in patients in group B may have important effects on renal blood flow.

As there are no comparable data available on the long term effects of NMV on pulmonary hypertension in COPD, it is of interest to consider two previous studies which have investigated the influence of long term oxygen therapy on pulmonary hypertension in COPD. In 21 patients receiving at least 15 hours oxygen/day for 2 years the PAPmean did not change while in 21 patients not treated with long term oxygen therapy the PAPmean increased by 2.8 mm Hg per year. In patients treated with oxygen for >18 hours/day the PAPmean fell by 3 mm Hg, whereas no change was reported in patients receiving oxygen for 12 hours/day. Further uncontrolled studies found a small decrease in pulmonary hypertension in patients receiving oxygen for 14-16 hours/day.

Preliminary data from the French and Italian multicentre trials failed to show a significant benefit of NMV in COPD compared with long term oxygen therapy. However, in an uncontrolled study Léger et al. showed that NMV may reduce the frequency of hospital admissions due to acute exacerbations in COPD. In this context, Kessler et al. found that baseline hypercapnia and pulmonary hypertension, even if moderate, are independent predictors of hospitalisation due to acute exacerbations of COPD. In conjunction with our findings concerning pulmonary haemodynamics, most patients with CRF due to COPD may not benefit from NMV. However, further studies are needed to elucidate predictive parameters for the subgroup of patients with COPD who respond to NMV.

There are few published data on pulmonary haemodynamics before and during the follow up of NMV in patients with CRF, but data have recently become available on the short term haemodynamic effects of NMV in CRF in a heterogeneous population. Using non-invasive methods (echocardiography and radionuclide assessments), Thorens et al. showed that mean and systolic PAP decreased and right ventricular ejection fraction increased after 4 hours and after a few days of NMV in patients with CRF. However, compared with right heart catheterisation, non-invasive measurements of pulmonary haemodynamics may be considered less reliable. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to address directly the long term changes in pulmonary haemodynamics due to NMV using pulmonary catheterisation in non-invasively ventilated patients with CRF secondary to different diseases.

In conclusion, NMV caused a substantial reduction in pulmonary hypertension after 1 year of treatment in patients with CRF due to restrictive lung and thoracic diseases. In contrast, NMV had no effect on pulmonary hypertension in patients with CRF resulting from COPD. This differential effect on pulmonary haemodynamics may account for the relatively greater benefit conferred by NMV in patients with restrictive lung and thoracic diseases than in those with COPD. The lack of effect of NMV on pulmonary hypertension in COPD underlines the need for further studies to identify subgroups responding to this intervention.
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