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Abstract

Background—Inhaled corticosteroids have dose related systemic effects determined by oral (swallowed or oropharyngeal absorption) and lung bioavailability. A study was undertaken to evaluate the significance of oropharyngeal absorption for fluticasone propionate.

Methods—Sixteen healthy volunteers of mean age 29.3 years were studied using an open randomised, placebo controlled, four way crossover design. Treatments were: (a) fluticasone metered dose inhaler (pMDI) 250 µg, 8 puffs; (b) fluticasone pMDI 250 µg, 8 puffs + mouth rinsing/gargling (water); (c) fluticasone pMDI 250 µg, 8 puffs + mouth rinsing/gargling (charcoal); and (d) placebo pMDI, 8 puffs + mouth rinsing/gargling (water). Overnight (ONUC) and early morning (EMUC) urinary cortisol/creatinine ratios and 8 am serum cortisol (SC) levels were measured.

Results—Significant (p<0.05) suppression of ONUC, EMUC, and SC occurred with each active treatment compared with placebo. The mean values (95% CI for difference from placebo) were: (a) ONUC (nmol/mmol): fluticasone (2.8, 95% CI 3.6 to 7.9), fluticasone + water (3.1, 95% CI 3.3 to 7.7), fluticasone + charcoal (2.3, 95% CI 4.1 to 8.5); placebo (8.6); (b) EMUC (nmol/mmol): fluticasone (5.6, 95% CI 8.4 to 24.5), fluticasone + water (7.6, 95% CI 6.6 to 22.4); fluticasone + charcoal (5.6, 95% CI 8.7 to 24.5) placebo (22.1). There were no significant differences between active treatments. The numbers of subjects with an overnight urinary cortisol of <20 nmol/10 hours were 0 (placebo), 11 (fluticasone), 12 (fluticasone + water), and 13 (fluticasone + charcoal).

Conclusions—Oropharyngeal absorption of fluticasone does not significantly contribute to its overall systemic bioactivity as assessed by sensitive measures of adrenal suppression. In view of almost complete hepatic first pass inactivation with fluticasone, there is no rationale to employ mouth rinsing to reduce its systemic effects although it may be of value for reducing oral candidiasis.

(Thorax 1999;54:614–617)
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Inhaled corticosteroids are currently recognised as first line anti-inflammatory therapy in patients with asthma. It is recognised, however, that all inhaled corticosteroids are associated with dose related systemic adverse effects, being absorbed into the systemic circulation via the gastrointestinal tract (oral bioavailability) or the lung (lung bioavailability).

Oral bioavailability is determined firstly by the fraction of drug systemically absorbed across the oropharyngeal mucosa and, secondly, by the swallowed fraction which undergoes first pass hepatic metabolism. Absorption from the lung, as from the buccal cavity, is not subject to first pass metabolism. The degree of hepatic first pass metabolism differs among the inhaled corticosteroids.

Fluticasone propionate exhibits almost complete (99%) first pass inactivation in the liver. The systemic bioavailability of fluticasone propionate is therefore determined mainly by its lung bioavailability as well as any potential oropharyngeal absorption. It is well recognised that, when using a pressurised metered dose inhaler (pMDI), the majority of inhaled corticosteroid (approximately 80%) is deposited in the oropharynx with only 10–20% reaching the lung. It is therefore important to know whether there is a significant degree of direct buccal absorption and whether this moiety can be attenuated by mouth rinsing.

The main purpose of this study was therefore to dissect out the lung versus oropharyngeal components of systemic absorption when using fluticasone via pMDI alone. As there is almost complete first pass metabolism of the swallowed fraction, any reduction in systemic absorption due to mouth rinsing can be assumed to be due to the buccal component per se. We therefore employed a rigorous mouth rinsing protocol using both activated charcoal and water.

Methods

SUBJECTS

Sixteen healthy non-smoking volunteers (eight men) were recruited into the study. Their mean (SE) age was 29.3 (2.3) years. The subjects were all non-smokers with no history of respiratory or other disease. In addition, all had normal physical examination, spirometric indices, urinalysis and routine haematology/biochemical blood tests. Pregnant women and those on the oral contraceptive pill were excluded. Approval for the study was obtained from the Tayside medical ethics committee and all patients gave written informed consent.

STUDY DESIGN

This was an open label single centre, placebo controlled, randomised study with a four way crossover design. Subjects attended an initial screening during which they were instructed in...
Table 1 Mean values with 95% confidence intervals for each treatment compared with placebo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Fluticasone</th>
<th>Fluticasone + water</th>
<th>Fluticasone + charcoal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.00 am serum cortisol (nmol/l)</td>
<td>425.3</td>
<td>202.0</td>
<td>241.5 (91.2 to 276.5)</td>
<td>186.0 (146.7 to 332.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight urinary cortisol/creatinine (nmol/mmol)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.8 (3.6 to 7.9)</td>
<td>3.1 (3.3 to 7.7)</td>
<td>2.3 (4.1 to 8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early morning urinary cortisol/creatinine (nmol/mmol)</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>5.6 (8.4 to 24.5)</td>
<td>7.6 (6.6 to 22.4)</td>
<td>5.6 (8.7 to 24.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study was powered at 80% with a sample size of 16 in order to detect a 20% difference in overnight urinary cortisol excretion. All data were analysed with a Statgraphics software package (STSC Software Group, Rockville, Maryland, USA). All data were normally distributed and analysed parametrically. Comparisons were made by a multifactorial analysis of variance (MANOVA) using subject, treatment, and period as factors. This was followed by Bonferroni multiple range testing set at 95% confidence interval in order to obviate multiple pairwise comparisons. Hence, all comparisons are reported as being significant (p<0.05, two tailed) or not. 95% confidence intervals for differences between treatments were calculated.

Results

Significant (p<0.05) suppression of overnight or early morning urinary cortisol/creatinine ratio and serum cortisol levels occurred with each treatment containing fluticasone propionate compared with placebo (table 1, fig 1).

![Figure 1 Mean overnight and early morning urine cortisol/creatinine ratios, and early morning serum cortisol levels for each treatment. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals from pooled SE values. All three active treatments were significantly (p<0.05) different from placebo for all three end points. P = placebo, F = fluticasone propionate, W = water mouth rinse + gargle, C = charcoal mouth rinse + gargle.](http://thorax.bmj.com/first-published-as-10.1136/thx.54.7.614-on-1-july-1998)
Discussion

Our study is the first to assess the contribution of oropharyngeal absorption of inhaled fluticasone propionate towards adrenal suppression, a surrogate marker of overall systemic bioavailability. It is now clear using charcoal block that absorption of fluticasone across the oropharyngeal mucosa does not contribute significantly to the overall systemic bioactivity profile. Furthermore, gargling with water in conjunction with use of fluticasone via a pressurised metered dose inhaler does not lead to reduced systemic bioactivity.

Fluticasone propionate is a suitable corticosteroid for assessing the buccal absorption moiety for several reasons. Firstly, because of 99% first pass metabolism in the liver, even if our patients had inadvertently swallowed any corticosteroid this would be unlikely to reach the systemic circulation and be implicated in subsequent adrenal suppression. Any adrenal suppression seen can therefore be assumed to be secondary to absorption of fluticasone directly from the lung, assuming the effectiveness of activated charcoal in attenuating buccal absorption. Secondly, fluticasone propionate has a high degree of lipophilicity relative to other inhaled corticosteroids, thus ensuring good mucosal absorption. Thirdly, we have previously found a marked degree of detectable systemic bioactivity via a pMDI, as assessed by sensitive markers of adrenal suppression, with similar doses of fluticasone propionate.

Measuring adrenal suppression is a recognised way of assessing systemic bioactivity of an inhaled corticosteroid. An overnight or first waking collection of urinary free cortisol is simple for the patient to collect and is as sensitive as a 24 hour collection, particularly when corrected for creatinine excretion.

Using activated charcoal as a mouth wash effectively removes possible oropharyngeal absorption from the oral bioavailability equation and allows assessment of the relative gut and lung components of systemic bioavailability. No differences were observed in the degree of adrenal suppression associated with the use of rigorous mouth washing (water or charcoal) between each inhalation of fluticasone. Our results suggest that direct buccal absorption of fluticasone propionate is not an important component of systemic bioactivity, which is more likely to be due to absorption across the lung vascular bed of the inhaled fraction. Intuitively, this is perhaps not surprising, given the relatively small absorptive surface area of the buccal mucosa compared with the lung and the short mucosal exposure time before swallowing occurs.

Mouth washing with water is unlikely therefore to reduce the systemic bioactivity of fluticasone propionate. This may not apply to other corticosteroids such as beclomethasone dipropionate or budesonide due to their lower first pass hepatic inactivation, estimated to be 90% and 70%, respectively. Studies examining the effect of mouth rinsing on systemic bioactivity of these corticosteroids have produced conflicting results. In one study mouth rinsing was associated with a 15% difference in serum cortisol suppression with 1.6 mg budesonide daily via a Turbohaler whilst another study suggested mouth rinsing had no impact on 24 hour urinary free cortisol in patients taking 1.6 mg budesonide daily via Turbohaler for two weeks. Following a single 2 mg dose of beclomethasone by Diskhaler, mouth rinsing without swallowing also did not appear to influence adrenal suppression (as 8.00 am serum cortisol), perhaps reflecting the use of only 20 ml of water after the last inhalation. Despite this, the same authors noted in another study that swallowing 50 g of activated charcoal after a single dose of 2 mg beclomethasone by Diskhaler reduced 8.00 am serum cortisol suppression by 48%. This result is in keeping with the known low degree of hepatic first pass metabolism of beclomethasone. Nevertheless, whatever the inhaled corticosteroid, mouth washing with water after use may be of value to obviate local complications such as buccal candidiasis, particularly when using higher doses.
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