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Abstract

Background – The main adverse effects of β₂ agonists relate to their systemic activity. The time course and dose response relations of the systemic effects of salmeterol compared with salbutamol were investigated.

Methods – A double blind, randomised, crossover study was carried out in 14 healthy subjects who attended on seven days. Heart rate, QT interval, blood pressure, plasma potassium and glucose concentrations were measured for four hours following inhaled placebo, salmeterol 100, 200 and 400 µg and salbutamol 600, 1200 and 2400 µg given by metered dose inhaler. Maximum changes from baseline and maximum absolute values following each dose of treatment were used to construct log dose response curves and calculate relative dose potency.

Results – Both salmeterol and salbutamol caused dose dependent changes in heart rate, QT interval, and plasma potassium and glucose concentrations. The onset of cardiac effects was rapid following both drugs, whereas changes in glucose and potassium concentrations occurred more gradually with salmeterol. The increase in heart rate and fall in potassium level were sustained over the four hours whereas glucose levels gradually returned towards baseline. The relative dose potency of salmeterol compared with salbutamol for changes from baseline was 7.1 (95% CI 3.9 to 14.4) for the QT interval and 8.2 (95% CI 5.7 to 12.6) for plasma potassium concentration. Salmeterol caused steeper dose response curves for heart rate and plasma glucose concentration than salbutamol so relative dose potency values could not be calculated.

Conclusions – These findings support previous data that salmeterol 100 µg is broadly equivalent to salbutamol 800 µg for systemic effects. The greater systemic effects of salmeterol are most likely to be due to greater potency relative to dose, although it may also have greater systemic bioavailability. The steeper dose response curve for heart rate with salmeterol indicates that it has a narrower therapeutic window than salbutamol and thus should be prescribed at the lowest effective dose.
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Beta₂ agonists have adverse systemic effects if administered in high doses. This may be relevant to the two most recent epidemics of asthma deaths since the β₂ agonists implicated – isoprenaline and fenoterol – were administered as high dose formulations compared with salbutamol and terbutaline, in addition to being less β₂ adrenoceptor selective.¹⁻⁷ The long acting β₂ agonist salmeterol is more potent than salbutamol so the recommended dose for salmeterol is lower (50 µg compared with 200 µg), implying a fourfold potency difference.⁶ The potency ratio of salmeterol to salbutamol may be greater, however, as potency ratios of 10–100 were found in studies in vitro and values of 8–10 for systemic effects in subjects with asthma.⁹⁻¹¹

Due to differences in the time course of salmeterol and salbutamol, a non-cumulative dose response protocol is a better way of comparing the systemic effects of the two drugs than the cumulative dose response studies carried out previously.¹⁰⁻¹¹ We have compared the time course and dose response relations of salmeterol and salbutamol in healthy, non-asthmatic subjects. Subjects inhaled single doses of salmeterol 100, 200 and 400 µg, salbutamol 600, 1200 and 2400 µg, and placebo on separate days and the heart rate, blood pressure, QT interval, plasma potassium and glucose concentrations were monitored for four hours after administration.

Methods

Subjects

Fourteen subjects (seven men) aged between 20 and 45 years were recruited. All were within 15% of ideal body weight (Metropolitan Life Insurance) and healthy as determined from full medical history, physical examination, and laboratory screening tests. Exclusion criteria included a history of drug allergy, drug abuse, alcohol consumption of four or more units a day, smoking more than 10 cigarettes per day, any regular medication during the four weeks prior to the study, and inability to use a metered dose inhaler satisfactorily. Women of child bearing age were required to have adequate contraception and a negative pregnancy test.

Subjects gave informed written consent and the study was approved by the Nottingham City Hospital and the University of Nottingham Medical School ethics committees.

Measurements

Subjects were semi-recumbent for all pharmacodynamic measurements. Heart rate and
Table 1  Mean (SE) baseline values of heart rate (HR), QT, interval, plasma potassium concentration, plasma glucose concentration, diastolic and systolic blood pressure on the seven study days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Salmeterol 100 µg</th>
<th>Salmeterol 200 µg</th>
<th>Salmeterol 400 µg</th>
<th>Salbutamol 600 µg</th>
<th>Salbutamol 1200 µg</th>
<th>Salbutamol 2400 µg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>61.4 (1.6)</td>
<td>65.0 (2.3)</td>
<td>63.8 (1.9)</td>
<td>66.1 (2.2)</td>
<td>64.3 (1.9)</td>
<td>64.1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT interval (ms)</td>
<td>408.7 (3.5)</td>
<td>408.1 (4.2)</td>
<td>406.7 (3.8)</td>
<td>413.4 (4.2)</td>
<td>410.0 (3.0)</td>
<td>410.1 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺ (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.79 (0.05)</td>
<td>3.81 (0.04)</td>
<td>3.85 (0.04)</td>
<td>3.86 (0.09)</td>
<td>3.81 (0.05)</td>
<td>3.84 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.89 (0.08)</td>
<td>5.13 (0.09)</td>
<td>5.12 (0.10)</td>
<td>5.17 (0.12)</td>
<td>5.02 (0.16)</td>
<td>5.19 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>66.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>69.3 (1.8)</td>
<td>67.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>69.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>69.8 (2.0)</td>
<td>69.7 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>112.0 (1.5)</td>
<td>112.4 (1.7)</td>
<td>110.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>113.9 (1.9)</td>
<td>111.6 (2.2)</td>
<td>114.3 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If findings were not significant, an estimate of the relative dose potency of salmeterol compared with salbutamol was obtained with 95% confidence intervals (CI). If the curves were not parallel or not linear, salbutamol 600 μg was compared with salmeterol 100 μg, salbutamol 1200 μg with salmeterol 200 μg, and salbutamol 2400 μg with salmeterol 400 μg by paired t test. Analyses were carried out using a SAS statistical package.

**Results**

All 14 subjects, mean age 29.7 years (range 20–45), completed the study. Ten subjects were lifelong non-smokers, two were ex-smokers (less than five pack years), and two were current smokers (less than 10 cigarettes per day). Baseline values showed no significant treatment effect (table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Salbutamol 600 g</th>
<th>Salbutamol 1200 g</th>
<th>Salbutamol 2400 g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QTc (ms)</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[K⁺] (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Log dose response curves were tested for lack of linearity at the 5% level and for lack of parallelism at the 10% level over the dose range employed according to the methods of Bennett, Tattersfield, Finney. If findings were not significant, an estimate of the relative dose potency of salmeterol compared with salbutamol was obtained with 95% confidence intervals (CI). If the curves were not parallel or not linear, salbutamol 600 μg was compared with salmeterol 100 μg, salbutamol 1200 μg with salmeterol 200 μg, and salbutamol 2400 μg with salmeterol 400 μg by paired t test. Analyses were carried out using a SAS statistical package.

**Time course**

**QTc interval, blood pressure, plasma potassium and glucose concentrations**

Salmeterol and salbutamol caused a dose related increase in the QTc interval and plasma glucose concentration and a fall in the plasma potassium concentration (fig 1). The increase in QTc interval was rapid following both drugs, although the increase was more prolonged with salmeterol. The fall in the plasma potassium concentration and the increase in the plasma glucose concentration following salmeterol occurred more gradually and were more prolonged than those seen following salbutamol; the greatest difference in time to maximum effect occurred with the highest dose of both drugs (fig 1). No dose related changes in systolic or diastolic blood pressure occurred with either drug.

**Heart rate**

Both drugs caused a dose related increase in heart rate one minute after inhalation which was sustained over the following nine minutes (fig 2). The maximum increase in heart rate occurred within 30–53 minutes for all doses of salbutamol and had largely disappeared by 240 minutes, whereas the maximum increase with salmeterol occurred between 75 and 135 minutes and values were still high at 240 minutes. The highest dose of salmeterol (400 μg) caused a greater mean (SE) increase in heart rate (33 (4.3) bpm) and plasma glucose concentration (2.3 (0.36) mmol/l) than the highest dose of salbutamol (2400 μg, 24 (1.5) bpm, and 1.6 (1.57) mmol/l). Salmeterol also produced the greatest individual changes in heart rate (59 bpm), plasma potassium concentration (−1.3 mmol/l), plasma glucose concentration (5.2 mmol/l), and QTc interval (199 ms) compared with salbutamol (36 bpm, −1.1 mmol/l, 3.5 mmol/l, and 182 ms, respectively).

**Relative dose potency**

The log dose response relationships for change and for absolute values of heart rate
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Figure 3  Mean (SE) maximum changes from baseline and absolute values for plasma potassium concentration and heart rate following placebo, salmeterol in doses of 100 μg, 200 μg and 400 μg, and salbutamol in doses of 600 μg, 1200 μg and 2400 μg.

(10–240 min), QT, interval, and plasma potassium and glucose concentrations were linear (p>0.05) for both salmeterol and salbutamol except for absolute heart rate (p=0.045) and plasma glucose concentration (p=0.019) following salmeterol. For the measurements which produced a linear log dose response following both drugs, QT, interval and plasma potassium concentration did not deviate from parallelism (p>0.10) whilst changes in heart rate (10–240 min) and plasma glucose concentration were significantly non-parallel (p=0.013 and p=0.018, respectively), the response to salmeterol being steeper than that to salbutamol (figs 3 and 4).

The mean (95% CI) relative dose potency for change and absolute values of plasma potassium concentration of salmeterol compared with salbutamol were 8.2 (5.7 to 12.6) and 7.8 (5.9 to 10.5), and for change and absolute values of QT, interval were 7.1 (3.9 to 14.4) and 6.5 (3.8 to 11.8).

For heart rate and plasma glucose concentration salmeterol 100 μg and 200 μg did not differ significantly from salbutamol 600 μg and 1200 μg, respectively; however, salmeterol 400 μg caused a significantly greater increase in both measurements than salbutamol 2400 μg (p<0.01).

ADVERSE EVENTS

Symptoms
Adverse events (particularly tremor and palpitations) occurred significantly more often with salmeterol 400 μg than with salmeterol 200 μg or salbutamol 2400 μg. Salmeterol 200 μg and salbutamol 2400 μg caused more adverse effects than placebo. There were no significant differences in the frequency of adverse effects between placebo, salbutamol 600 μg and 1200 μg, or salmeterol 100 μg.

ECG changes
Prolonged QT, interval, defined as >460 ms, and T wave flattening occurred more commonly with the higher doses of β2 agonists and with salmeterol more than with salbutamol. Other ECG changes included premature ventricular beats (one salbutamol 2400 μg) and first degree atrioventricular block (one placebo, one salmeterol 100 μg).

Discussion
Inhalation of salmeterol and salbutamol caused dose dependent changes in heart rate, QT, interval, and plasma glucose and potassium concentrations as expected from β2 agonists.

TIME COURSE
The timing and magnitude of the changes in heart rate, QT, interval, plasma glucose and potassium concentrations following salbutamol were broadly similar to those seen in previous studies. Inhaled salmeterol had a slower onset of action than salbutamol, although both drugs caused an early dose dependent increase...
in heart rate which was apparent after one minute and was sustained. The mean maximum increase in heart rate during the first 10 minutes when heart rate was recorded at one minute intervals was 19 bpm for salbutamol (at one minute) and 17 bpm for salmeterol (at six minutes). Such a rapid and large increase in heart rate has not been documented previously with either drug. The increase in QTc interval following both drugs was also rapid, whilst the changes in plasma potassium and glucose concentrations occurred more gradually. The rapid cardiac effects may therefore be due to a direct effect of the β agonist on the heart following rapid absorption from the lung or, possibly, the buccal mucosa, analogous to the bolus effect seen with intravenous administration.

The early rise in heart rate with both β₂ agonists was followed by a further increase. This was particularly marked one to two hours after salmeterol 400 µg and may in part be due to absorption of the swallowed fraction of inhaled salmeterol from the gastrointestinal tract. Both drugs were given by metered dose inhaler without a spacer so that some 80% of the drug is likely to have been swallowed. Peak plasma concentrations of salmeterol and salbutamol usually occur one hour after an oral dose (Glaxo Wellcome data on file). The plasma glucose concentration had returned towards baseline at four hours after salmeterol, presumably due to homeostatic responses including insulin release and reduced glucagon production. In contrast, the increase in heart rate and the fall in the plasma potassium concentration were sustained at four hours whilst the QTc interval had fallen slightly. The absence of homeostasis for the β₂ agonist induced tachycardia is presumably because heart rate is predominantly controlled by sympathetic tone and any compensatory increase in vagal tone would have a small effect at most.

We found no significant changes in blood pressure following either drug, in keeping with some previous studies, although others have shown a dose dependent increase in the systolic blood pressure or a decrease in the diastolic blood pressure. The differences between studies may reflect differences in the efficiency of homeostatic control of blood pressure or confounding by other factors that affect blood pressure.

**RELATIVE DOSE POTENCY**

The log dose response curves for QTc interval and plasma potassium concentration were linear and parallel whereas those for heart rate and plasma glucose concentration were steeper for salmeterol than for salbutamol. This meant that we could only calculate a relative dose potency for salmeterol compared with salbutamol for change in QTc interval and plasma potassium concentration; the figures we obtained, 7.1 and 8.2, respectively, are similar.
to our previous estimates in man.\textsuperscript{10,11} There was no significant difference in heart rate or glucose between the low and medium doses of the two \(\beta_2\) agonists whereas salmeterol 400 \(\mu\)g caused a significantly greater maximum chronotropic and hyperglycaemic response than salbutamol 2400 \(\mu\)g. The frequency of adverse effects showed a similar pattern with the lowest doses of salmeterol (100 \(\mu\)g) and salbutamol (600 \(\mu\)g) having a similar profile to placebo whilst the highest dose of salmeterol (400 \(\mu\)g) had the most adverse effects, in keeping with its greater systemic effects and prolonged action.

\section*{EXPLANATION OF OUR FINDINGS}

Salmeterol is approximately 10 times more potent than salbutamol in relaxing pre-contracted tracheal tissue and in inhibiting airway smooth muscle contraction, two \(\beta_2\) adrenoceptor mediated effects.\textsuperscript{8,9} Our finding that salmeterol was some 7–8 times more potent than salbutamol in producing \(\beta_2\) adrenoceptor mediated systemic effects (change in plasma potassium and QT\(_c\) interval) is in keeping with these data. Another possible explanation for our potency difference is that salmeterol has greater systemic bioavailability due to increased absorption from the lung, buccal mucosa, or decreased gastrointestinal tract first pass metabolism. At therapeutic doses the swallowed fraction of salbutamol contributes relatively little to systemic effects due to its fairly high first pass metabolism,\textsuperscript{20,21} the extent of first pass metabolism for salmeterol is unknown. Absorption from the lung is affected by the size and site of deposition of particles from an inhaler and a third possible explanation for our findings is that more drug was deposited per puff from the metered dose inhaler delivering salmeterol than that delivering salbutamol, resulting in greater absorption of salmeterol into the systemic circulation.\textsuperscript{22} On balance, however, it seems likely that the high relative dose potencies are due to true differences in potency rather than differences in systemic absorption, although we are currently assessing the contribution of salmeterol absorption from the gastrointestinal tract to systemic effects.

The steeper log dose response curves for heart rate and glucose with salmeterol compared with salbutamol was unexpected. Differences in intrinsic activity and selectivity at \(\beta_2\) adrenoceptors should not produce non-parallel log dose response curves, nor can it be attributed to increased systemic bioavailability of salmeterol since the QT\(_c\) interval and plasma potassium dose response curves were not affected. Differences in homeostatic responses to the drugs would be expected to have, if anything, a greater effect on the maximum response to salmeterol because of its slower onset, and underestimation of the peak salbutamol effect is unlikely as frequent measurements were made throughout the study. A non-linear increase in effect would be seen if salmeterol demonstrated zero or mixed zero first order metabolism, but there is no evidence to support this and it does not explain the parallel dose response curves seen with plasma potassium concentrations and QT\(_c\) interval. The most likely explanation is that the doses of salbutamol given were insufficient to reach the steep part of the dose response curves, although similar doses appeared to produce heart rate responses on the linear portion of the log dose response curve in previous studies.\textsuperscript{20,21} Alternatively, the high lipophilicity of salmeterol may produce a high myocardial reservoir and thus a greater and more prolonged cardiac response.\textsuperscript{23,24}

In conclusion, salmeterol was some eight times more potent than salbutamol for change in QT\(_c\) interval and plasma potassium concentration which suggests that, for peak systemic effects, salmeterol 100 \(\mu\)g is broadly equivalent to salbutamol 800 \(\mu\)g. The changes seen following salmeterol 100 \(\mu\)g were small compared with placebo which is in keeping with the low incidence of adverse effects seen in most clinical studies. The largest individual changes seen after the lowest doses of both drugs for heart rate, QT\(_c\) interval, plasma potassium and glucose concentrations were: +20 bpm, +53 ms, −0.8 mmol/l and +1.3 mmol/l respectively, for salmeterol 100 \(\mu\)g and +31 bpm, +76 ms, −0.5 mmol/l and +1.2 mmol/l for salbutamol 600 \(\mu\)g. Our study was carried out in fit healthy young subjects and although most patients with asthma will demonstrate tachyphylaxis to the systemic effects of regular salmeterol, some older subjects with other risk factors for cardiovascular adverse effects may show larger changes with therapeutic doses of salmeterol. The relatively steeper dose response curves for salmeterol show that it has a relatively small therapeutic window and support the current recommendations that salmeterol should be prescribed at the lowest effective dose and that a twice daily rather than “as required” dose regimen is appropriate.
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