Association of anxiety with perception of histamine induced bronchoconstriction in patients with asthma
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Abstract

Background – The perception of bronchoconstriction varies among patients with asthma and this perception may be related to the covariation of sensory and affective aspects of dyspnoea. A study was performed to evaluate whether there are differences in the perception of histamine induced bronchoconstriction between anxious and non-anxious perceivers and whether anxious perception of bronchoconstriction can be predicted by higher levels of baseline anxiety.

Methods – Seventy eight asthmatic subjects referred for a histamine challenge test undertook baseline measures for anxiety symptomatology and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) followed by perceived breathlessness (Borg scale), anxiety (SUDS), and FEV1 measurement before and during induced bronchoconstriction. Based on the correlation between Borg and SUDS scores, the patients were divided into anxious and non-anxious perceivers.

Results – Forty one patients reported no anxiety during the challenge test. The anxious perceivers (n=20) had higher levels of perceived breathlessness and anxiety at 20% fall in FEV1, and were more accurate in their perception of airways obstruction than non-anxious perceivers (n=58). However, they did not report higher baseline levels of anxiety symptomatology.

Conclusions – Anxiety experienced during bronchial challenge testing may result from the accurate perception of physiological changes and further direct attention to airways obstruction.
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Variability exists between individuals in perceived dyspnoea for a given degree of bronchoconstriction.1 Studies investigating individual differences in dyspnoea in relation to level of anxiety experienced during airways obstruction have yielded equivocal results. The frequency of retrospectively reported panic-type symptoms during typical asthmatic attacks was found to be related to a more positive medical outcome.2 However, in a more recent study no significant association was observed between level of anxiety and breathlessness during a methacholine inhalation test.4

Attempts have also been made to relate perception of bronchoconstriction to individual differences in baseline anxiety symptomatology or morbidity with mixed results. In some studies anxious dependent subjects (asthmatic patients as well as normal controls) had significantly greater threshold values for inspiratory and expiratory resistive loads,5,6 whereas in another study patients with insensitivity to emotional arousal performed significantly worse on an asthma perception task.5

A methodological problem associated with most of the studies into anxiety and perception of bronchoconstriction is that the role of “panic fear” personality or symptomatology7 has been investigated which differs from more contemporary psychiatric investigations of anxiety symptoms or disorders.7 Moreover, in many studies the perception of physiological changes has been measured in global terms of dyspnoea or breathlessness. However, dyspnoea is not a unidimensional concept but includes sensory and affective components.9

This study aimed to explore the perception of induced bronchoconstriction in subjects in whom sensory and affective aspects of dyspnoea were strongly correlated over successive inhalations of histamine (anxious perceivers) and subjects in whom these aspects did not covary (non-anxious perceivers).

Methods

SUBJECTS

Consecutive referrals to the University Hospital lung function laboratory for a histamine challenge test for clinical diagnosis and follow up participated in the study. Inclusion criteria were a diagnosis of asthma according to American Thoracic Society criteria10, and age between 18 and 65 years. Exclusion criteria were admission to hospital and poor Dutch speakers. The study was approved by the University Hospital medical ethics committee.

The response rate to the mailed invitation to participate in the study was 79%. The sample consisted of 78 asthmatic patients (30 men) of mean (SD) age 34.5 (12.4) years and mean duration of asthma 17.4 (12.7) years. Nineteen were smokers and 52 used daily asthma medication (31 bronchodilators, 20 corticosteroids). Fifty six patients used medication during asthma exacerbations (53 bronchodilators). The mean number of previous his-
continued until the FEV₁ had fallen by 20% or more from baseline or the maximum concentration of 8 mg/ml was reached. Bronchial responsiveness was expressed as the provocative concentration of histamine causing a 20% fall in FEV₁ from baseline. This was obtained from the log dose-response curve by log linear interpolation of the last two points.¹²

DATA ANALYSIS
Non-parametric analyses were used as the values of most variables were not normally distributed. The within subject Spearman rank correlation coefficient between Borg and SUDS scores was taken as an index of the degree of anxiety of perceived breathlessness and was used to categorise subjects as anxious and non-anxious perceivers of bronchoconstriction. A rho value of 0.7 was chosen as the limit for the separation of anxious and non-anxious perceivers of airway obstruction – that is, in anxious perceivers anxiety and perceived breathlessness are strongly associated. The correlation between Borg scale and FEV₁ scores was used as an index of the accuracy of the perception of airways obstruction. A correlation of 0.7 was used as the limit for the separation of good perceivers and bad perceivers.¹¹ The characteristics of anxious and non-anxious perceivers were compared by Mann-Whitney U tests or χ² analyses as appropriate. p values (two tailed) of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
The mean within subject correlation between FEV₁ values in litres and Borg scale scores was relatively strong (rho = −0.76 (0.27)). Forty one patients (53%) reported no anxiety (SUDS) during the challenge test. With the chosen threshold (rho = 0.7) 20 of the 78 subjects (26%) were classified as anxious perceivers and 58 (74%) as non-anxious perceivers. Moreover, using a threshold of rho = −0.7, 53 of the 78 subjects (68%) were classified as good perceivers and 25 (32%) as bad perceivers of airways obstruction.

There was no significant difference between anxious and non-anxious perceivers with respect to age, sex, respiratory symptoms in daily life, duration of asthma, use of daily medication for asthma, smoking, number of previous challenges, bronchial responsiveness (PC₂₀), and predicted baseline FEV₁. Moreover, none of the baseline anxiety characteristics (ACQ, BSQ, STAI, SDS, and ADIS-R panic disorder) significantly differed between groups (table 1).

After saline inhalation both groups showed a mean fall in FEV₁ of 1%. The fall in FEV₁ to the highest histamine concentration of anxious (mean fall from baseline = 28.4 (11.6)%) and non-anxious perceivers (26.5 (9.6)%) was highly comparable (p = 0.90). After inhalation of saline the medians on the Borg scale and SUDS were 0.25/5.0 in the anxious perceivers and 0.5/0.5 in the non-anxious perceivers (both differences not significant). When the FEV₁ had fallen 20% after saline inhalation the me-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-anxious perceivers</th>
<th>Anxious perceivers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>33.4 (12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>22.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUATLD</td>
<td>6.3 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of asthma (years)</td>
<td>16.7 (12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily medication (yes/no)</td>
<td>40/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (yes/no)</td>
<td>11/47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous challenges (n)</td>
<td>5.8 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range) PC₂₀ (mg/ml)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.03-8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (% predicted)</td>
<td>90.8 (14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder (yes/no)</td>
<td>7/51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACQ (median, range)</td>
<td>15.5 (14-29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSQ (median, range)</td>
<td>21.0 (17-48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI-X2 (median, range)</td>
<td>37.5 (22-58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS (median, range)</td>
<td>34.0 (22-53)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Mean (SD) descriptive and experimental data of anxious and non-anxious perceivers

IUATLD = International Unit Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease questionnaire; PC₂₀ = provocative concentration of histamine to cause a 20% fall in FEV₁; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in one second; ACQ = Agoraphobic Cognitions Questionnaire; BSQ = Body Sensations Questionnaire; STAI-X2 = trait subscale of State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; SDS = Self-Rating Depression Scale.

Baseline anxiety assessment
Anxiety symptomatology was measured using several measures: (1) the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease questionnaire (IUATLD) to assess the occurrence of 12 respiratory symptoms during the previous 12 months; (2) the Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule (Revised) (ADIS-R), a structured psychiatric interview protocol for diagnosing panic disorder; (3) the Body Sensations Questionnaire (BSQ) to measure the degree to which subjects fear somatic sensations common associated with panic and the Agoraphobic Cognitions Questionnaire (ACQ) to assess the inclination to misinterpret bodily sensations catastrophically; (4) the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) which measures generalised anxiety; and (5) the Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS) which measures the degree of depression.

Assessment during induced bronchoconstriction
The Borg scale was used to measure perceived breathlessness and the Subjective Units of Distress Scale (SUDS) to measure the level of anxiety during the induction of bronchoconstriction. FEV₁ was measured with a dry rolling seal spirometer (Morgan Spirow, UK) according to a standardised procedure. Baseline FEV₁ was expressed as the percentage of the predicted value. Inhalations of histamine were conducted against a standardised procedure. Baseline FEV₁ was expressed as the percentage of the predicted value. Inhalations of histamine were
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Discussion
This study shows that, in an outpatient sample of asthmatic subjects, anxious perceivers of histamine induced airways obstruction manifest higher scores for both perceived breathlessness and level of anxiety at 20% fall in FEV₁. Anxious perceivers are also more likely to be accurate in their perception of induced bronchoconstriction than non-anxious perceivers. The association between anxious and accurate perceiving of airways obstruction may be interpreted in different ways which are not mutually exclusive. It is conceivable that subjects became more anxious because they accurately perceived progressing degrees of airways obstruction. The lack of a significant difference in anxiety at baseline between anxious and non-anxious perceivers is consistent with this hypothesis. On the other hand, it may be hypothesised that evoked anxiety further facilitates vigilance and attention to airways obstruction and results in a more accurate perception of histamine induced bronchoconstriction. This result is consistent with the work of Kinsman and coworkers who found that retrospective reports of asthma focused anxiety are related to a more positive medical outcome, suggesting a more vigilant stance towards and accurate perception of respiratory symptoms. Because of the correlational nature of our study causal inferences are unwarranted. However, this finding does not imply a linear relationship between anxiety and accuracy of perception of airways obstruction. It is possible that only mild levels of anxiety are related to accurate perception while the absence of anxiety may be associated with symptom disregard and very severe anxiety with impairment of attention span and concentration. The mixed results of previous studies into anxiety and perception of bronchoconstriction may be due to differences between studies in the levels of anxiety of the patients in addition to differences in the methods of measuring anxiety. It will therefore be valuable to analyse the existence of a possible curvilinear association between anxiety and the accuracy of perception in more detail in future studies.

Unexpectedly, we found no significant differences between anxious and non-anxious perceivers with respect to baseline anxiety symptomatology. The induction of bronchoconstriction for at least the fourth time in the safe environment of a hospital where a bronchodilator may be used in case of severe dyspnoea probably prevented the occurrence of higher levels of anxiety.

The scores for the baseline anxiety characteristics (ACQ, BSQ, STAI) which, according to norms for a psychiatric outpatient population, were all below average suggest a relatively low degree of anxiety symptomatology in the present study sample. It could be argued that this is due to observer bias, response bias, and/or selection bias. However, observer bias seems unlikely because in previous studies, also using a structured diagnostic interview, comparable point prevalences for panic disorder have been reported. With clinical unstructured interviews much higher prevalence rates have been reported. Moreover, the rather high response rate of 79.3% suggests that the investigated sample is representative.

On the other hand, selection bias may preclude generalisation of the present results to our asthmatic patients. In outpatients of a university hospital routinely referred to a lung function laboratory for a histamine challenge test for clinical diagnosis and follow up. Many of the earlier studies which suggested that asthmatic patients have increased anxiety symptomatology were conducted on hospital inpatients who are likely to have more severe asthma than outpatients. In contrast to inpatients, in subjects with asthma taken from a general population no significant association between anxiety and depression and asthma has been observed.

We conclude that accurate perception of bronchoconstriction may induce mild degrees of anxiety directing attention to airways obstruction. Further research in this area could make use of asthmatic patients with no previous experience with challenge tests. Experimental manipulation of anxiety is necessary to elucidate the direction of the causal relationship between anxiety and accuracy of perception of airways obstruction.
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