Enhanced expression of cyclo-oxygenase isoenzyme 2 (COX-2) in asthmatic airways and its cellular distribution in aspirin-sensitive asthma
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Abstract

**Background** – There are two isoforms of cyclo-oxygenase (COX), namely COX-1 and COX-2. COX-1 is constitutively expressed in most tissues and in blood platelets. The metabolites derived from COX-1 are probably involved in cellular housekeeping functions. COX-2 is expressed only following cellular activation by inflammatory stimuli and is thought to be involved in inflammation.

**Methods** – The expression of COX-1 and COX-2 isoenzymes has been studied in the bronchial mucosa of 10 normal and 18 asthmatic subjects, 11 of whom had aspirin-sensitive asthma (ASA) and seven had non-aspirin-sensitive asthma (NASA).

**Results** – There was a significant fourfold and 14-fold increase, respectively, in the epithelial and submucosal cellular expression of COX-2, but not of COX-1, in asthmatic patients. There was no significant difference in the total number of cells staining for either COX-1 or COX-2 between subjects with ASA and NASA, but the number and percentage of mast cells that expressed COX-2 was significantly increased sixfold and twofold, respectively, in individuals with ASA. There was a mean fourfold increase in the percentage of COX-2 expressing cells that were mast cells in subjects with ASA and the number of eosinophils expressing COX-2 was increased 2.5-fold in these subjects.

**Conclusions** – COX-2-derived metabolites may play an essential part in the inflammatory processes present in asthmatic airways and development of drugs targeted at this isoenzyme may have therapeutic potential in the treatment of asthma. Mast cells and eosinophils may also have a central role in the pathology of aspirin-sensitive asthma.
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(12 men) of mean (SE) 39 (3) years with forced aeroallergens and atopy was defined as the one was using prednisolone orally, four were the double immunostaining. A four were using regular nasal corticosteroids staining and the ABC technique combined with lysine aspirin inhalation challenge as previously month after aspirin provocation. Bronchial biopsy samples were immediately snap frozen and stored at −70°C until analysed. All subjects provided written and informed consent and the study was approved by the Hochgesbirgsklinik, Davos-Wolfgang ethics committee.

Methods

Subjects

Ten normal volunteers (seven men) of mean (SE) age 23 (1) years and 18 asthmatic patients (12 men) of mean (SE) 39 (3) years with forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) of 90.9 (4.8)% were studied, of whom 11 had aspirin-sensitive asthma (ASA) and the remainder had non-aspirin-sensitive asthma (NASA). The diagnosis of aspirin sensitivity was made on the basis of history and confirmed by a 20% or greater fall in FEV₁ following lysine aspirin inhalation challenge as previously described. The NASA group also underwent lymph node biopsy of the airway mucosa taken as previously described at least one month after aspirin provocation. Bronchial biopsy samples were immediately snap frozen in embedding medium and stored at −70°C until analysed. All subjects provided written and informed consent and the study was approved by the Hochgesbirgsklinik, Davos-Wolfgang ethics committee.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described using the avidin biotin complex (ABC) technique for single immunostaining and the ABC technique combined with the indirect alkaline phosphatase technique for the double immunostaining. Affinity purified rabbit polyclonal anti-COX-1 or anti-COX-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>FEV₁ (l)</th>
<th>FEV₁ (% predicted)</th>
<th>Lysine-aspirin (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Skin test</th>
<th>Eosinophils (× 10³/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>nBDP (200 µg), BUD (100 µg), A, T (700 µg), IB</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>BUD (100 µg), A, T (700 µg), IB</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>BDP (1500 µg), A, T (700 µg), nBUD (200 µg)</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>BDP (4 mg), IB, T</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>PRED (2 mg), BUD (800 µg)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>BUD (400 µg), A, T</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>PRED (6 mg), BUD (1200 µg), A, T (700 µg)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>BDP (1000 µg), nBUD (250 µg), A, IB</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>BUD (600 µg), A, T (400 mg)</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>BUD (300 µg), A, IB</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>BUD (800 µg), nBUD (200 µg), A</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean (SE) 42.5 (4.14) 3.28 (0.18) 94.55 (4.23) 7.50 (0.49) 0.49 (0.15)

Lysine-aspirin = threshold dose of lysine-aspirin producing a 20% fall in FEV₁; PRED = prednisolone; BDP = beclomethasone dipropionate; BUD = budesonide; nBUD = nedocromil; n = nasal route; A = albuterol; T = ipratropium bromide; C = cromolyn; F = fenoterol; R = repreterol.

* Geometric mean.

For definitions of abbreviations see footnote to table 1.
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Figure 1  (A) Asthmatic airway stained with COX-1 polyclonal antibody. The photograph shows minimal staining of the airway epithelium and two inflammatory cells which have stained for COX-1. (B) Asthmatic airway stained with COX-2 polyclonal antibody. The photograph shows significant staining of airway epithelial cells and submucosal inflammatory cells. The submucosal cells have been double stained for COX-2 and for macrophages with a monoclonal antibody to macrophages (EBM11).

(gift from Dr Jilly Evans, Merck Frosst, Canada) were used at a dilution of 1/1000. All the primary antibodies used for cell identification were mouse monoclonal IgG, antibodies and comprised the following: AA1 (DAKO, High Wycombe, UK), a mast cell tryptase marker used at a dilution of 1/50; EBM11 (DAKO), a pan-macrophage marker that recognises CD68 used at 1/100 dilution; anti-CD3 (DAKO), a pan-T lymphocyte marker used at 1/50 dilution; NP57 (DAKO), an anti-human neutrophil elastase antibody used at 1/600 dilution; BMK13 (Cymbus Bioscience Ltd, Chilworth, UK), a pan-eosinophil marker that recognises major basic protein used at 1/40 dilution. The secondary antibodies were a goat anti-mouse alkaline phosphatase conjugated antibody (Sera-lab, Crawley Down, UK) used at a dilution of 1/100 and a swine anti-rabbit biotinylated antibody (DAKO) used at a dilution of 1/200. Single staining was performed on the frozen bronchial biopsy specimens with the rabbit polyclonal antibody to COX-1.

The biopsies were double immunostained using a rabbit polyclonal antibody to COX-2 concurrently with one monoclonal antibody to each cell type: neutrophils (NP57); macrophages (EBM11); eosinophils (BMK13); mast cells (AA1); and T lymphocytes (anti-CD3). Staining for COX-1 was by the ABC technique as previously described with the modification that incubation with the two secondary antibodies were made separately and for 30 minutes each. Endogenous biotin, alkaline phosphatase and peroxidase activity was abolished as previously described. The immunoperoxidase colour reaction was developed by incubation with diaminobenzidine, which produces a brown precipitate, and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate/ nitroblue tetrazolium (Sigma, Poole, Dorset, UK) which produces a blue precipitate. A positive control using nasal polyp tissue and a negative control without primary antibody was included in each staining run.

Statistical analysis of the COX-1 and COX-2 data was performed by the Mann-Whitney U test, using Minitab Software (Minitab Inc.) Results are expressed as mean (SE).

Results

Expression of COX-1 and COX-2 in bronchial epithelium

There was no significant difference in the epithelial expression of COX-1 between normal
Expression of COX-2 in asthma

shows an example of an asthmatic airway stained for COX-1. In contrast, there was a mean fourfold increase in the bronchial epithelial expression of COX-2 in asthmatic subjects (p = 0.002; fig 2A), but there was no significant difference in the bronchial epithelial expression of COX-2 between subjects with ASA and NASA (p = 0.79). Figure 1B shows an example of an asthmatic airway stained for COX-2.

Expression of COX-1 and COX-2 in bronchial submucosa

There was no significant difference between the number of COX-1 positive cells in the submucosa of normal and asthmatic subjects (90.4 (18.3) cells/mm² and 118.4 (20.4) cells/mm², respectively, p = 0.56) or between subjects with ASA and NASA (97.5 (23.0) cells/mm² and 148.3 (36.2) cells/mm², respectively, p = 0.31). In contrast, there was a significant mean 14-fold increase in the number of COX-2 positive cells in the submucosa from asthmatic subjects (p = 0.0001; fig 2B), but there was no significant difference between the total number of COX-2 positive cells in the bronchial submucosa from subjects with ASA and NASA (180.9 (54.5) cells/mm² and 178.3 (67.9) cells/mm², respectively, p = 0.93).

Cellular profile in bronchial submucosa of subjects with ASA and NASA

There were more mast cells (AA1) (79.0 (14.6)/mm² versus 28.25 (7.06)/mm²; p = 0.01) and more total eosinophils (BMK13) (157.0 (30.9)/mm² versus 100.72 (9.9)/mm²; p = 0.25), more total neutrophils (NP57) (104.3 (29.2)/mm² versus 100.72 (9.9)/mm²; p = 0.36), total macrophages (EBM11) (239.5 (62.5)/mm² versus 207 (62.5)/mm²; p = 0.79), or T lymphocytes (CD3) (56.1 (22.9)/mm² versus 74.6 (35.0)/mm²; p = 0.59) between subjects with ASA and NASA.

Figure 2 Expression of COX-2 in (A) the bronchial epithelium in normal asthmatic non-aspirin-sensitive (NASA) and aspirin-sensitive (ASA) asthmatic subjects and (B) the numbers of COX-2-staining cells in the airway in normal asthmatic NASA and ASA subjects.

Figure 3 (A) Percentage of mast cells (identified using the anti-tryptase antibody AA1) expressing COX-2 immunostaining and (B) percentage of COX-2-containing cells that are mast cells.
**SUBMUCOSAL CELLULAR EXPRESSION OF COX-2**

There was a significant mean sixfold increase in the numbers of mast cells expressing COX-2 (p = 0.004) between subjects with ASA and NASA (56.2 (12.7) cells/mm² and 9.0 (2.0) cells/mm², respectively). There was also a significant mean twofold increase in the percentage of mast cells expressing COX-2 (p = 0.008) and a mean fourfold increase in the percentage of COX-2-expressing cells that were mast cells (p = 0.006) in subjects with ASA compared with NASA (fig 3A and B).

There was a significant 2.5-fold increase in the number of total eosinophils expressing COX-2 (p = 0.044) between subjects with ASA and NASA (104.8 (29.7) cells/mm² and 39.9 (7.9) cells/mm², respectively). The percentage of total eosinophils expressing COX-2 and the percentage of COX-2 cells that were eosinophils was also raised in subjects with ASA but the difference failed to reach statistical significance (p = 0.212 and p = 0.058, respectively; fig 4A and B).

There was no significant difference in the expression of COX-2 in activated eosinophils, neutrophils and macrophages of subjects with ASA and NASA. T lymphocytes did not stain for COX-2.

**Discussion**

COX exists in at least two isoforms with similar molecular weights (approximately 70 kDa). COX-1 is involved in maintaining normal physiological function of tissues – for example, it produces prostacyclin which when released by the endothelium is antithrombogenic and when released by the gastric mucosa is cytoprotective. COX-2 expression is induced by pro-inflammatory cytokines in vitro in cell types such as endothelial cells, macrophages, and fibroblasts and in vivo its expression is augmented in inflamed lesions. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs inhibit the activity of COX, a property which explains both its therapeutic and adverse effect. The ability of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs to inhibit COX-2 may explain their therapeutic potential as anti-inflammatory drugs, whereas inhibition of COX-1 may account for their unwanted side effects such as gastric and renal damage. The two COX isoenzymes are pharmacologically distinct. For example, aspirin completely inhibits bi-oxygenation of arachidonate by COX-1, while aspirin treated COX-2 metabolises arachidonate primarily to 15-lipoxygenase-derived metabolites such as 15-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (15-HETE), instead of PGH₂ due to acetylation of COX-2 by aspirin. 15-HETE could affect the function of any of a number of cells and tissues involved in homeostasis and inflammation. Aspirin, indomethacin, and piroxicam are more potent at inhibiting COX-1, whereas flurbiprofen, meclofenamate, and diclofenac are equipotent at inhibiting COX-1 and COX-2.

There are no previous reports of the expression of COX-1 and COX-2 isoenzymes in bronchial asthma. We have shown that asthmatic bronchial epithelium and submucosal inflammatory cells have enhanced expression of COX-2, suggesting that the quantities and profile of COX-2-derived metabolites may be important in the amplification of the inflammatory response in asthmatic airways. The results were obtained by comparing biopsy samples obtained by fiberoptic bronchoscopy from asthmatic and normal control individuals. The mucosal biopsy samples were analysed using specific antibodies to each of the COX isoenzymes, as well as to individual cell types.

The use of double immunohistochemistry allowed co-localisation of cyclo-oxygenase iso-enzyme to specific cells. The results indicate that the inflammatory milieu by the endothelium is antithrombogenic and in bronchial asthma does not affect its regulation. Previous clinical observations that non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs such as indomethacin and aspirin do not benefit the majority of asthmatic patients have suggested that COX metabolites have little part to play in the mechanisms of airways inflammation. However, the discovery of the inducible isoenzyme of COX now demands a reinterpretation of these clinical observations, especially since the airway microenvironment in asthma with the abundance of pro-inflammatory cytokines will encourage the enhanced expression of COX-2.
Expression of COX-2 in asthma

2. Specific COX-2 inhibitors may have a therapeutic use in asthma.

Aspirin intolerance is marked by the development of bronchospasm, nasal-ocular symptoms, urticaria, angio-oedema, and anaphylaxis after ingestion of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. These symptoms may occur in isolation or in any combination.1 The prevalence of aspirin sensitivity in asthma is estimated to be 10–30%. Symptoms generally appear after the third decade of life and are frequently associated with chronic rhinitis, sinusitis, and nasal polyps. These subjects often have persistent asthma, requiring regular treatment with either inhaled or oral corticosteroids.26 The propensity for non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs to precipitate reactions in aspirin-sensitive individuals is related to the capacity of these drugs to inhibit COX.14 Previous work has shown that aspirin treated COX-2 metabolises arachidonic acid primarily to 15-lipoxygenase metabolites instead of PGH₂.24 There were no significant differences in the age, baseline lung function, or the usage of medication between subjects with ASA and NASA. The diagnosis of aspirin sensitivity was confirmed by lysine-aspirin bronchoprovocation, whereas the control group of NASA individuals were proven to be aspirin-tolerant by both inhalation and oral aspirin challenge. We have confirmed in this study the findings of a previous study17 that the number of mast cells and eosinophils are increased in the Airways of ASA subjects. The present data extend these observations by demonstrating that there is an increase in the numbers of mast cells and eosinophils expressing COX-2 in subjects with ASA. The percentage of mast cells staining for COX-2 and the percentage of COX-2-containing cells that are mast cells are also increased in patients with ASA. These data support the view that these two cell types may participate in enhancing the basal airways inflammation in asthmatic patients with aspirin sensitivity. However, it is unlikely that the increased expression of COX-2 in the mast cell and eosinophil contributes to the mechanism of the aspirin-provoked asthmatic response, since administration of nimesulide (100 mg), a COX-2 inhibitor, to aspirin-sensitive asthmatic patients did not provoke bronchoconstriction.27
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