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Abstract

Background – Recent studies have suggested that air pollutants resulting from vehicle exhaust emissions and burning of fossil fuels, either in combination or individually, may enhance the airway response of asthmatic subjects to inhaled allergen. It was hypothesised that the airway response to inhaled allergen after exposure to a combination of 400 ppb nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and 200 ppb sulphur dioxide (SO₂) is increased 24–48 hours after exposure.

Methods – Thirteen mild atopic asthmatic volunteers were exposed for six hours to a single exposure of air and three exposures of the combination of 400 ppb NO₂ + 200 ppb SO₂, in randomised order, and then challenged with increasing concentrations of Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus allergen either immediately after exposure to air, or immediately, 24 hours or 48 hours after exposure to the combination of the two pollutants, until a 20% fall in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) was recorded.

Results – Exposure to 400 ppb NO₂ + 200 ppb SO₂ significantly decreased the dose of D. pteronyssinus allergen required to produce a 20% fall in FEV₁ (PD₂₀FEV₁) at all times after exposure when compared with air. The mean percentage changes in allergen PD₂₀FEV₁ immediately, 24 hours, and 48 hours after exposure to 400 ppb NO₂ + 200 ppb SO₂ were -37% (95% confidence intervals (CI) -50 to -23), -63% (CI -75 to -51), and -49% (CI -75 to -28.8), respectively, when compared with the PD₂₀FEV₁ after air exposure and were significant at all time points studied. The allergen PD₂₀FEV₁ at 24 hours after exposure to the combination of the two pollutants was also found to be significantly lower when compared with that immediately after exposure to the two pollutants.

Conclusion – These results demonstrate that exposure to a combination of NO₂ and SO₂ at concentrations which can be encountered during episodes of increased outdoor and indoor air pollution, enhances the airway response to inhaled allergen in asthmatic subjects. This effect persists over a period of 24–48 hours and is maximal 24 hours after exposure to these air pollutants.
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Epidemiological studies have suggested that there may be a link between episodes of severe air pollution and emergency room admissions for asthma, impaired lung function, cough, and infections of the lower respiratory tract, and that the clinical effects of pollutants may occur within 1–2 days of exposure. Bates and colleagues analysed the daily attendances at the accident and emergency departments of nine hospitals in relation to pollution levels in Vancouver, Canada and found that, in the winter, visits for respiratory complaints in all age groups were correlated with levels of sulphur dioxide (SO₂). Additionally, these authors found that there was a correlation between respiratory visits and levels of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) on the same day and 1–2 days later in patients over 60 years of age. Similarly, retrospective studies by Walters and colleagues have demonstrated that there were significant associations between the winter levels of SO₂ and smoke and hospital admissions for asthma and other respiratory conditions, lagged by two days, in Birmingham, UK.

Recent evidence suggests that exposure to pollutants may also contribute to increased airway responsiveness in atopic individuals genetically predisposed to airway disease. Tunnicliffe and colleagues have shown that exposure for one hour to 400 ppb NO₂ increased airway responsiveness to inhaled allergen in atopic asthmatic subjects. Similarly, we have reported recently that exposure for six hours to a combination of 400 ppb NO₂ and 200 ppb SO₂, but not the individual pollutants, led to a significant decrease in the amount of Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus allergen needed to produce a 20% fall in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) in mild asthmatic patients. In the present study we have tested the hypothesis that pollution induced increase in airway responsiveness to inhaled allergen in atopic asthmatic patients is maximal 1–2 days after exposure to the pollutants.

Methods

SUBJECTS

Fourteen volunteers with mild asthma were enrolled into the study according to selection criteria described previously. This study was approved by the ethics committee of St Bartholomew’s Hospital, London and all patients gave written consent on entry.

POWER CALCULATION

The number of subjects recruited into the study was estimated on the basis of findings from
Table 1 Mean (SE) lung function and airway hyperresponsiveness parameters on each study day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air exposure (challenge immediately after exposure)</th>
<th>NO₂+SO₂ exposure (challenge immediately after exposure)</th>
<th>NO₂+SO₂ exposure (challenge 24 hours after exposure)</th>
<th>NO₂+SO₂ exposure (challenge 48 hours after exposure)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (l)</td>
<td>FEV₁ (l)</td>
<td>PD₂₀FEV₁ (CBU)</td>
<td>FEV₁ (l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre exp</td>
<td>Post exp</td>
<td>Pre exp</td>
<td>Post exp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.25)</td>
<td>(0.25)</td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td>(0.28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC = forced vital capacity; PD₂₀FEV₁ = dose of allergen required to produce a 20% fall in FEV₁; exp = exposure; chall = challenge.

our previous study⁵ which showed a 60.5% reduction in the dose of D. pteronyssinus allergen needed to produce a 20% fall in FEV₁ (PD₂₀FEV₁) following exposure for six hours to a combination of 400 ppb NO₂ + 200 ppb SO₂ in eight asthmatic individuals. The number of subjects required to observe a difference between the response to allergen inhalation after exposure to air or a combination of 400 ppb NO₂ + 200 ppb SO₂ at α = 0.05 with 80% power was calculated according to the formula:

\[(z₁ + z₂)² \sigma^2 \sigma_n^2 = 9.22 (-10)\]

where \(z₁\) and \(z₂\) are the ordinates for the normal distribution, \(\sigma^2\) is the difference between treatments, and \(\sigma_n^2\) is its standard deviation. Fourteen volunteers were recruited to allow for withdrawals.

STUDY DESIGN

On the first day of the study each volunteer was skin prick tested and his/her lung function evaluated by measuring forced vital capacity (FVC) and FEV₁. This was followed by allergen inhalation provocation testing as described previously.⁵ On subsequent visits, which were at least two weeks apart, each volunteer underwent spirometric testing at the beginning of each visit and was then subjected to one of the following four experimental protocols in single blind randomised order: (1) exposure for six hours to air followed by spirometric testing immediately and then 10 minutes after exposure, followed by allergen challenge with D. pteronyssinus allergen; (2) exposure for six hours to 400 ppb NO₂ + 200 ppb SO₂ followed by spirometric testing immediately and then 10 minutes after exposure, followed by allergen challenge above (a sequence similar to that following exposure to air); (3) exposure for six hours to 400 ppb NO₂ + 200 ppb SO₂ followed by spirometric testing immediately and then 24 hours after exposure, followed by allergen challenge as above; and (4) exposure for six hours to 400 ppb NO₂ + 200 ppb SO₂ followed by spirometric testing immediately and then 48 hours after exposure, followed by allergen challenge as above.

In order to minimise any variations in lung function as a result of circadian rhythms, all study exposures, spirometric measurements, and allergen challenges were performed at the same time on each study day for each individual. All exposures were carried out in a modified controlled environmental chamber that we have described previously.⁵

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All spirometric data were analysed by an individual blinded to the study protocol. All data were tested for normal distribution prior to further evaluation. Differences in the FEV₁ and FVC before exposure to air or the pollutant mixture and before allergen challenge were compared with Wilcoxon’s non-parametric test. PD₂₀FEV₁ values were log transformed prior to analysis by two way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and then compared by the Student’s t test. Values of p<0.05 were considered to be significant.

A comparison was also made between the allergen PD₂₀FEV₁ values after exposure to air and the allergen PD₂₀FEV₁ values immediately, 24 hours, and 48 hours after exposure to 400 ppb NO₂ and 200 ppb SO₂ and expressed as a percentage change with 95% confidence intervals.

Results

Thirteen volunteers (10 men) of mean (SE) age 28.1 (1.9) years (range 21–39) completed the study. Their mean (SE) baseline FEV₁ values were 3.77 (0.20) l (93.3 (2.7)% predicted) and FVC values were 4.74 (0.25) l (100.2 (2.4)% predicted). The predicted lung function for each individual was determined using a computer software package based on tables constructed by a working party on standardised lung function testing.⁶ Table 1 shows the effect of exposure for six hours to either air or a combination of 400 ppb NO₂ and 200 ppb SO₂ on FEV₁ and FVC measurements of the asthmatic volunteers at the different time points studied.

Analysis of the percentage changes in FEV₁ measured prior to any exposure and before allergen challenge immediately after exposure to air or immediately, 24 hours, or 48 hours after exposure to the pollutant gases showed that, although there was a slight decrease in the FEV₁ at each time point following exposure to the pollutant gas mixture, this was not significantly different when compared with exposure to air. Similarly, analysis of changes in FVC showed that the slight decrease in this measurement noted immediately and 24 hours after exposure to the combination of the two pollutants was not significant when compared with exposure to air. In contrast, there was a slight but significant (p<0.05) increase in FVC...
made between the effects of prior exposure to air or the pollutant gas mixture at these times. This discrepancy in the study design was a matter of necessity rather than choice, since it would have meant that the volunteers would need to undergo a total of 11 visits instead of the minimum seven necessary to complete the study, which most of the volunteers declined to make.

To our knowledge, this is the first report of a time lagged effect of acute inhalation of atmospheric pollutants, in a laboratory setting, on human bronchial reactivity to allergen. Although this was a randomised single blind study in that the investigator, but not the volunteer, was aware of the nature of the study gases on different exposure days, assessment and calculation of all results (spirometric and allergen PD<sub>20</sub>FEV<sub>1</sub> values) were performed by an investigator blinded to the study protocol. The six hour exposure period employed in this study is similar to that used in previous studies in our laboratory and is representative of exposure experienced by taxi and bus drivers, traffic wardens, couriers, and road maintenance workers during episodes of pollution. Indeed, studies of households with gas cooking appliances have suggested that exposure to high levels of NO<sub>2</sub> may occur over prolonged periods in the kitchen/indoors.  

Previous epidemiological studies have suggested that there may be a time lagged association between increased levels of NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> and impaired lung function, respiratory symptoms, and hospital emergency room visits maximal 1–2 days after exposure.  

Analysis of the results for <i>D. pteronyssinus</i> allergen PD<sub>20</sub>FEV<sub>1</sub> indicated that prior exposure to the combination of 400 ppb NO<sub>2</sub> + 200 ppb SO<sub>2</sub> significantly decreased the PD<sub>20</sub>FEV<sub>1</sub> at all time points investigated when compared with prior exposure to air for six hours. Analysis of the <i>D. pteronyssinus</i> allergen PD<sub>20</sub>FEV<sub>1</sub> noted at different time points after exposure to the combination of 400 ppb NO<sub>2</sub> + 200 ppb SO<sub>2</sub> indicates that this was decreased by 37% (95% CI −50 to −23) immediately after exposure, −63% (95% CI −75 to −51) 24 hours after exposure, and −49% (95% CI −75 to −28.8) 48 hours after exposure to the pollutants compared with exposure to air. The decrease in PD<sub>20</sub>FEV<sub>1</sub> was significant at 24 hours (p<0.001) but not at 48 hours after exposure to the combination of the two pollutants when compared with that immediately after exposure to the combination of the pollutants (fig 1).

Discussion
In this study we have confirmed the findings of our previous study that exposure of mild atopic asthmatic subjects for six hours to a combination of 400 ppb NO<sub>2</sub> and 200 ppb SO<sub>2</sub> leads to an increase in the airway responsiveness of these individuals to inhaled allergen without any significant detrimental effects on lung function. Furthermore, these studies have shown that the enhancing effect of the two pollutants on airway responsiveness persists over a period of 48 hours and is maximal 24 hours after exposure. The limitation of this preliminary study, however, is that allergen challenge was not carried out in each individual additionally at 24 hours and 48 hours after exposure to air, and therefore strict comparisons could not be
inhaled allergen, not only immediately after exposure but also up to 48 hours later.
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