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Abstract

Background - The rebound increase in bronchial reactivity and fall in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) following treatment with β agonists seen in several studies has occurred regardless of concurrent steroid therapy. Little is known about the effect of adding β agonists to corticosteroids, but in a recent study regular treatment with terbutaline appeared to reduce some of the beneficial effects of budesonide. The effects of budesonide alone and in combination with regular terbutaline treatment on lung function, symptom scores, and bronchial reactivity were therefore examined.

Methods - Sixteen subjects with mild stable asthma inhaled budesonide 800 μg twice daily for two periods of 14 days with terbutaline 1000 μg three times daily or placebo in a double blind crossover fashion. FEV1, and the dose of histamine or adenosine monophosphate (AMP) causing a 20% fall in FEV1, (PD20) were measured before and 12 hours after the final dose of treatment, and changes from baseline were compared. Seven day mean values for daily morning and evening peak expiratory flow (PEF) values, symptom scores, and rescue medication were compared before and during treatment.

Results - Morning and evening PEF rose more with budesonide plus terbutaline than with budesonide alone, with a mean difference of 19 l/min occurring in the evening (95% confidence interval (CI) 2 to 36). There was no difference in symptom scores during treatment. Following treatment the mean increase in FEV1 was 150 ml higher with budesonide alone (95% CI -10 to 300). There was no difference between treatments in change in histamine and AMP PD20.

Conclusions - Evening PEF was greater when budesonide was combined with regular terbutaline. There was no evidence of a difference in bronchial reactivity following the two treatment regimens. The findings of a previous study were not confirmed as the reduction in FEV1, after budesonide and terbutaline was smaller and not statistically significant. Further work is needed to determine whether this disparity in findings in the two studies is due to a type 2 statistical error in this study or a spurious finding in the previous study.
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High doses of β agonists have been associated with epidemics of asthma deaths and, in some studies, regular exposure to β agonists has had deleterious effects on lung function and bronchial reactivity once the acute bronchodilator effect has worn off. The mechanism of these effects is unclear but they appear to occur regardless of whether subjects are taking corticosteroids or not and may even be greater in patients taking corticosteroids. Although inhaled β agonists and corticosteroids are the mainstay of asthma treatment, relatively little is known about the interaction between these agents. Some early studies looked at the effect of adding a glucocorticoid to a β agonist and found an additive rather than synergistic interaction in acute dose response studies. The effect of adding regular β agonist therapy to inhaled steroids has not been studied in detail. In a recent study in which we examined the airway effects of budesonide alone and in combination with terbutaline, terbutaline appeared to reduce the beneficial effects of budesonide on lung function and its protection against the early response to allergen. A negative interaction between β agonists and steroids has also been seen at a molecular level in the human lung in vitro. A negative interaction could possibly explain some of the adverse effects of regular β agonist therapy. In this study we have examined the effects on lung function and bronchial reactivity of two weeks treatment with inhaled budesonide given alone and in combination with terbutaline in 16 subjects with mild stable asthma. Subjects were also challenged with inhaled histamine and adenosine monophosphate (AMP), stimuli which cause bronchoconstriction via direct and indirect mechanisms, respectively.

Methods

SUBJECTS

Sixteen non-smoking subjects (three women) aged 25–55 years were recruited. Subjects had a forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) of 70% predicted or more, an increase in FEV1 of at least 15% after inhaled terbutaline 500 μg, a PD20 FEV1 (dose of histamine causing a 20% fall in FEV1) of 4 μmol histamine or less, and a positive skin test to at least two commonly tested allergens. Subjects were receiving no treatment other than an inhaled β agonist at least three times per week, and had stable asthma with no exacerbation of their symptoms or respiratory tract infection in the six weeks prior to the study. Subjects gave written informed consent to the study which...
was approved by the Nottingham City Hospital ethics committee.

MEASUREMENTS
FEV₁ was measured with a dry bellows spirometer (Vitalograph, Vitalograph Ltd, Bucks, UK) as the higher of two measurements within 100 ml and peak expiratory flow rate (PEF) as the best of three readings using a mini Wright peak flow meter (Airmed MB3, Mefar SRL, Bovezzo, Italy) with subjects inhaling from functional residual capacity to total lung capacity (inhalation time one second, pause time six seconds, pressure 152 kPa, output 4 μl/μl puff). Subjects inhaled three puffs of saline followed by doubling doses of histamine or AMP in dose ranges of 0.02–42.9 μmol for histamine and 0.09–96 μmol for AMP. FEV₁ was measured two minutes after each dose and the test was stopped when FEV₁ had fallen by 20% from the post–saline value. PD₂₀ FEV₁ was calculated by linear interpolation of the last two readings on the log dose response plot. Subjects recorded PEF twice daily before treatment on a diary card throughout the study plus rescue bronchodilator use and symptom scores for night time and daytime symptoms (each on a 5 point scale; 0 = no symptoms, 4 (at night) = awake twice or more due to chest tightness and (for daytime) = symptoms so severe that the subject could not go to work or perform usual activities).

PROTOCOL
This was a double blind randomised crossover study in which subjects inhaled budesonide dry powder (Turbohaler) 800 μg twice daily for two 14 day periods in open fashion together with either placebo or terbutaline 1000 μg three times daily (Turbohaler) in a double blind fashion. Subjects used ipratropium bromide (Atrovent, Boehringer Ingelheim) for symptom relief throughout the study. After a two week run-in period subjects attended in the morning for measurement of FEV₁ and PD₂₀ histamine, followed one hour later by PD₂₀ AMP. Subjects then inhaled the study medication for 14 days, taking the last doses of budesonide and placebo/terbutaline on the evening of day 14. They returned 12 hours later on the morning of day 15 for repeat measurements of FEV₁, PD₂₀ histamine, and PD₂₀ AMP. Subjects then entered a four week washout period in which they used their usual β agonist for symptom relief for the first two weeks and ipratropium for the second two weeks, before crossing over to the second treatment period. Timing of measurements was kept constant for each subject and treatment order was randomised. The study design gave 95% power to detect a difference between treatments of 160 ml for FEV₁ and 1.4 doubling doses for bronchial reactivity at the 5% significance level.

## Results
Three of the 16 subjects were withdrawn, two for non-compliance with visits and one for an upper respiratory tract infection whilst taking budesonide and placebo. Mean FEV₁ was 78% predicted and mean PEF 88% predicted. There were no treatment order or period effects and no significant differences in mean baseline values for FEV₁ or PD₂₀ histamine or AMP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PEF (am)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>During treatment</th>
<th>Change from baseline (95% CI)</th>
<th>Mean within subject difference between treatments (95% CI) (combination versus budesonide alone)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budesonide + placebo</td>
<td>470 (80)</td>
<td>503 (80)</td>
<td>33 (12 to 55) p &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>9 (–11 to 28) p &gt; 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budesonide + terbutaline</td>
<td>483 (72)</td>
<td>525 (77)</td>
<td>42 (15 to 70) p &lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1
Mean (SD) baseline peak expiratory flow (PEF) and change during treatment

**Histamine, and PD20 AMP.** Subjects then entered a four week washout period in which they used their usual β agonist for symptom relief for the first two weeks and ipratropium for the second two weeks, before crossing over to the second treatment period. Timing of measurements was kept constant for each subject and treatment order was randomised. The study design gave 95% power to detect a difference between treatments of 160 ml for FEV1 and 1.4 doubling doses for bronchial reactivity at the 5% significance level.

**ANALYSIS OF DATA**
PD20 values were log transformed prior to analysis and are expressed as geometric mean values. Change in PD20 was measured in doubling doses of constrictor agonist. Analysis of variance was used to determine whether there was any period or order effect of treatment and to compare baseline values for FEV1 and PD20 histamine and AMP on day 1 of each treatment period. Changes from baseline values in FEV1, and PD20 histamine and AMP after treatment (day 1 versus day 15) were compared between treatments by the paired t test. Symptom scores, use of ipratropium, and PEF were calculated for each subject as the total for the last seven days of the run-in/washout and treatment periods, and changes in symptom scores and use of ipratropium from baseline were compared during treatment by the Wilcoxon ranked sum test. PEF is also represented as amplitude percent mean (am – pm/mean × 100%). Changes in PEF during treatment were compared by the t test. Mean values are given with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).

**PEF, SYMPTOM SCORES, AND IPRATROPION USE**
Changes in seven day mean values of PEF during treatment are presented in table 1. Morning and evening PEF increased from
Twelve hours after cessation of treatment FEV₁ was higher after budesonide alone, although the difference was not statistically significant (95% CI −10 to 300). There were no significant differences between regimens in symptoms during treatment or in change in bronchial reactivity to histamine or AMP after cessation of treatment.

This study was designed to explore the effect of adding regular β agonist treatment to corticosteroid treatment following the unexpected finding in a recent parallel group study by Wong et al.²⁰ that some of the beneficial effects of budesonide were reduced by the concomitant use of terbutaline. In this earlier study evening PEF was higher in patients taking budesonide plus terbutaline, but following treatment FEV₁ and protection against the early response to antigen were greater after budesonide alone. The findings of Dahl et al.²¹ in 37 subjects with nocturnal asthma are also similar in that PEF values were higher during treatment with budesonide combined with oral terbutaline, whilst subject preference and improvement in FEV₁ following treatment were greater after budesonide alone, although the latter was not statistically significant. The only other study to compare budesonide with and without terbutaline is difficult to interpret since β agonists were used for symptoms during both limbs of the study and the timing of measurements was not given.³⁴

In the present study the magnitude of the difference in FEV₁ (150 ml) was smaller than that seen in the study by Wong et al. (350 ml) and was of borderline statistical significance. It was not associated with a fall in morning PEF, although PEF was measured some 9–10 hours after the last dose of β agonist when the high dose of terbutaline (1000 µg) may be causing some residual bronchodilatation. There was also no difference between the two treatment regimens for either histamine or AMP responsiveness, in contrast to the greater protection seen against antigen challenge with budesonide alone in the study by Wong et al.²⁰ The studies differed in that reactivity was measured 12 hours after the last dose of treatment in this study compared with 33 hours in the study by Wong et al.²⁰

The present study does not therefore confirm a negative interaction between corticosteroids and β agonists. The difference between our present findings and those of Wong et al.²⁰ may be because our previous findings were spurious
or may be due to differences in timing or, since the trend in the FEV, in the present study was similar to that in our previous study, it might be due to a type 2 statistical error. On the basis of these findings and our previous study we cannot exclude the possibility that the efficacy of corticosteroids or the duration of their effect is reduced by concurrent treatment with a β agonist, although any effect is likely to be small, only apparent once the bronchodilator effect of the β agonist has worn off, and the optimum time to detect it has not been determined.
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