Occupational asthma due to gas metal arc welding on mild steel
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Abstract
Occupational asthma has been documented in electric arc welders exposed to manual metal arc welding on stainless steel. A subject is described who developed late and dual asthmatic reactions after occupational-type challenge exposure to gas metal arc welding on uncoated mild steel.
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A number of electric arc welding techniques are used in industrialized countries. The most common process, manual metal arc welding, is performed with flux covered electrodes in order to protect melted metal from oxidation. Gas metal arc welding is an increasingly used technique in which an inert or active gas (argon, helium, or carbon dioxide) allows for the generation of an oxygen-free atmosphere.

Occupational asthma has been documented in workers exposed to manual metal arc welding on stainless steel. Asthma and systemic reactions have also been associated with welding metals coated with epoxy resins and chloro-containing polymer lacquers. We describe a subject who developed asthmatic reactions when exposed to gas metal arc welding on uncoated mild steel.

Case report
A 21 year old man had been employed for three months as a welder in a workshop manufacturing automatic gates when he first experienced asthma. He welded pieces of uncoated mild steel using a gas metal arc welding process with a mixture of argon (80%) and carbon dioxide (20%) as the shielding gas. Before that time he had welded iron with a manual metal arc technique for one year without experiencing respiratory symptoms. Chest tightness, wheezing, and cough occurred at the end of the workshift on Mondays. The symptoms appeared earlier and worsened progressively throughout the week, and subsided after about one day off work. The subject never experienced malagia, chills or fever. He was treated with inhaled beclometasone (1000 μg daily) and fenoterol when necessary but this treatment failed to control the symptoms. He left his work four months after the onset of respiratory symptoms and was referred for investigation eight months later. At that time he experienced asthma on exercise and did not take medication on a regular basis. He had smoked 10 cigarettes a day for six years and had no history of atopy. Skin prick tests with common inhalant allergens and sulphate salts of nickel, zinc, copper and manganese at 10 mg/ml in saline gave negative results.

Serial monitoring of peak expiratory flow rates could not be performed because we were not allowed to send the subject back to his workplace. It was therefore decided to carry out occupational-type inhalation challenges in the hospital workshop. Baseline spirometric measurements showed a forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) of 4.221 (100% predicted value) and a FEV₁/FVC of 66% (79% predicted). On the control day without exposure to occupational agents spontaneous fluctuations of FEV₁ were less than 10%. The provocative concentration of histamine causing a 20% fall in FEV₁ (PC₂₀) was 1.0 mg/ml. Gas metal arc welding on mild steel (electrode wire, Nertalic 70A, SAF, Alleur, Belgium) for two hours resulted in a late asthmatic response with a fall in FEV₁ of 26% eight hours after exposure (figure). On the next morning bronchial responsiveness to histamine was not changed compared with the control day value (PC₂₀ = 0.9 mg/ml). On the following day the subject was again exposed to gas metal arc welding on mild steel for two hours. He developed an immediate fall of 23% in FEV₁, followed by progressive recovery and a late decrease reaching 25% at eight hours. On the next morning histamine PC₂₀ remained unchanged (1.1 mg/ml). One week later the subject welded mild steel using a manual metal arc process for two hours without developing significant changes in FEV₁.

Two previously unexposed control asthmatic subjects (histamine PC₂₀ values of 0.03 and 0.5 mg/ml) exposed to gas metal arc welding on mild steel for two hours did not show significant changes in FEV₁.

Environmental analysis during gas metal arc welding on mild steel was performed using
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Changes in FEV₁ after welding for two hours on mild steel using a gas metal arc process during the first (•) and second (○) challenges as well as after manual metal arc welding (□). BDT = inhaled bronchodilator (salbutamol 200 μg).
personal samplers located on the shoulders. The concentration of respirable particles was 2.1 mg/m³. Flame atomic absorption spectrometry demonstrated concentrations of iron (994 μg/m³), manganese (144 μg/m³), and copper (30 μg/m³) to be below their respective threshold limit (TLV) values. Highly variable concentrations of ozone ranging from 0.06 to 0.16 ppm were measured using a TLD-1 tape monitor (MDA Scientific). Neither chromium, nickel, cobalt nor aldehydes were detected.

Specific inhalation challenge with ozone for two hours at a mean (SD) concentration of 0.12 (0.02) ppm by the exposed subject did not cause significant changes in FEV₁ nor in histamine PC₂₀ (1.2 mg/ml).

Discussion
In our subject gas metal arc welding on mild steel elicited an isolated late asthmatic reaction and a dual reaction on repeated exposure. There is convincing evidence that these reactions were not due to a non-specific irritant effect. Late and dual reactions are more consistent with a hypersensitivity mechanism. Moreover, the change in the temporal pattern of the bronchial response from an isolated late reaction to a dual reaction on repeated challenge has also been documented with picric acid, a low molecular weight chemical responsible for asthma caused by red cedar wood dust. In our subject the asthmatic reactions were not associated with an increase in non-specific bronchial hyperresponsiveness which can be observed, although inconsistently, after late reactions due to occupational agents. Gas metal arc welding on mild steel did not induce a bronchial reaction in two control asthmatic subjects.

The agent(s) causing asthma in our subject could not be definitively identified. Welding fumes contain a complex mixture of metal oxide particulates originating from the evaporation of welded metals and consumable electrodes. Ultraviolet radiation in the arc can lead to the production of toxic gases, including oxides of nitrogen and ozone. In subjects with asthma due to manual metal arc welding on stainless steel Keskinen et al found that exposure to manual metal arc welding on mild steel as well as to gas metal arc welding on stainless steel did not induce asthmatic reactions. It was postulated, although unproved, that chromium and/or nickel were the causal agents since these metals are generated during stainless steel welding and have been documented as causing asthma in other occupations. In our subject the asthmatic reactions were specifically related to the gas metal arc welding procedure since manual metal arc welding on mild steel did not cause asthma. Using specific inhalation challenges we could reasonably exclude ozone as the causative agent.

To our knowledge this is the first report of asthmatic reactions caused by gas metal arc welding on uncoated low alloy steel. Awareness of this association may be important in view of the widespread use of this welding technique.