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Abstract

Background - Nocturnal airway narrowing is a common problem for patients with asthma but the role of inflammation in its pathogenesis is unclear. Overnight changes in airway inflammatory cell populations were studied in patients with nocturnal asthma and in control normal subjects.

Methods - Bronchoscopies were performed at 0400 hours and 1600 hours in eight healthy subjects and in 10 patients with nocturnal asthma (\(> 15\%\) overnight fall in peak flow plus at least one awakening/week with asthma). The two bronchoscopies were separated by at least five days, and both the order of bronchoscopies and site of bronchoalveolar lavage (middle lobe or lingula with contralateral lower lobe bronchial biopsy) were randomised.

Results - In the normal subjects there was no difference in cell numbers and differential cell counts in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid between 0400 and 1600 hours, but in the nocturnal asthmatic subjects both eosinophil counts (median \(0.11 \times 10^4\) cells/ml at 0400 hours, \(0.05 \times 10^4\) cells/ml at 1600 hours) and lymphocyte numbers (\(0.06 \times 10^4\) cells/ml at 0400 hours, \(0.03 \times 10^4\) cells/ml at 1600 hours) increased at 0400 hours, along with an increase in eosinophil cationic protein levels in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (3.0 \(\mu\)g/ml at 0400 hours, 2.0 \(\mu\)g/l at 1600 hours). There were no changes in cell populations in the bronchial biopsies or in alveolar macrophage production of hydrogen peroxide, GM-CSF, or TNFa in either normal or asthmatic subjects at 0400 and 1600 hours. There was no correlation between changes in overnight airway function and changes in cell populations in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid.

Conclusions - This study confirms that there are increases in inflammatory cell populations in the airway fluid at night in asthmatic but not in normal subjects. The results have also shown a nocturnal increase in eosinophil cationic protein levels in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, but these findings do not prove that these inflammatory changes cause nocturnal airway narrowing.

(Thorax 1994;49:257–262)

Nocturnal cough, wheeze, or chest tightness waken more than 90% of asthmatic subjects at least once a month. These symptoms result from overnight airway narrowing, the causes of which include increased parasympathetic tone and decreased non-adrenergic, non-cholinergic bronchodilator tone. Increased bronchoconstrictor mediator release has also been suggested as a factor in the pathogenesis of nocturnal asthma, but a recent study has cast some doubt on the importance of this mechanism.

Increased numbers of neutrophils, eosinophils, and lymphocytes have been found in the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid of patients with nocturnal asthma at 0400 hours, but not in a group of asthmatic subjects without nocturnal symptoms. However, the concentration of mediators in BAL fluid was not assessed, nor were the possible changes in the bronchial mucosal cell populations. To clarify the role of inflammation, and particularly the role of eosinophils and lymphocytes, in the pathogenesis of nocturnal asthma, we have therefore performed bronchial biopsies and BAL in patients with nocturnal asthma. We also studied normal subjects to clarify whether any changes found in the asthmatic patients were a result of circadian variations also observed in normal subjects.

Methods

SUBJECTS

Eight normal non-smoking subjects (six men, two atopic) of mean age 34 (range 28–42) years and ten non-smoking patients with nocturnal asthma (four men, six atopic) of mean age 36 (range 21–49) years were studied (table 1). Nocturnal asthma was defined as a minimum 15% overnight fall in peak expiratory flow (PEF) and at least one night time wakening per week due to cough, wheeze, or breathlessness over a two week run in period. Each subject gave written informed consent to the study which had the approval of the local ethics committee.

BRONCHOSCOPY

Bronchoscopy was performed at 0400 and 1600 hours, the order being randomised. The bronchoscopies were separated by at least five days, and nine of the 18 subjects had their first bronchoscopy at 0400 hours. No drug dosages were withheld before bronchoscopies. Both normal and asthmatic subjects were premedicated with nebulised salbutamol (5 mg) and ipratropium (0.5 mg) from an Acorn nebuliser 20 minutes before bronchoscopy. Thereafter, all subjects had a FEV1 of more than 50% predicted before bronchoscopy. A peripheral venous catheter was inserted and blood samples were taken for differential white cell counts. All received 0.6 mg atropine, 5–10 mg midazolam, and 2.5–7.5 mg Cyclimorph intra-
venously immediately before bronchoscopy. Lignocaine 2% spray was applied topically to the nose, pharynx, larynx, and bronchi as required. Oxygen was delivered at a rate of 3 l/min via nasal canulae continuously during bronchoscopy. Electrocardiography and oximetry were recorded throughout.

The sites of bronchial biopsy and bronchoalveolar lavage were also randomised. On one occasion lavage was performed in the middle lobe and bronchial biopsies were taken from subsegmental carinae in the left lower lobe, and on the other occasion lavage was performed in the lingula and biopsies were performed from subsegmental carinae of the right lower lobe. Lavage was performed with 8 × 30 ml aliquots of sterile normal saline at 37°C with the bronchoscope wedged in a subsegmental division.

**Macroage function**

Cells from BAL fluid were resuspended in medium RPMI 1640 (Gibco, Paisley, UK) at 1 × 10⁶ macrophages/ml, and the macrophages enriched by plastic adherence for one hour in 35 mm petri dishes (Corning, Stone, UK). The cells were then washed in Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) with 25 mmol/l Hepes buffer (Gibco) and the non-adherent cells were counted. The macrophages were cultured in RPMI 1604, supplemented by 15% lipopolysaccharide-free fetal bovine serum, for 20 hours. The supernatants were aspirated, centrifuged to remove any detached cells, and stored at −80°C until batch assay by ELISA (in-house) for TNFα and GM-CSF. Data were expressed as ng/10⁶ macrophages/20 hours. The adherent macrophages were washed (HBSS) and phorbol ester stimulated hydrogen peroxide release was measured by the change in fluorescence intensity of p-hydroxyphenylacetic acid, during its oxidation, catalysed by horseradish peroxidase. Data were expressed as nmol hydrogen peroxide/10⁶ macrophages/hour.

**ASSAYS**

**Bronchoalveolar lavage**

The lavage sample was centrifuged at 4°C. The BAL fluid was removed and stored at −70°C. The cells were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline and counted with a haemocytometer. Cytospins were made using 0.5 × 10⁶ cells per slide at 300 rpm for three minutes and fixed with methanol before staining with Giemsa. Differential cell counts were performed, without knowledge of the patient’s diagnosis or the time of the sample, by light microscopy at 400 × magnification, counting a minimum of 400 cells in five random fields.

Histamine, eosinophil cationic protein, and tryptase were assayed in the BAL fluid. Histamine was assayed using a commercial radioimmunoassay kit (Serotec, Oxford, UK). The sample was acetylated and the modified histamine competed with 125I-acetylated histamine for binding sites on antihistamine antibody coated tubes. Eosinophil cationic protein in the BAL fluid was assayed using a commercial radioimmunoassay kit (Pharmacia, Milton Keynes, UK). Sample eosinophil cationic protein competed against a fixed volume of 125I-eosinophil cationic protein for binding sites on specific antibodies. The addition of a second antibody immunosorbed separated the bound and free eosinophil cationic protein following centrifugation and decanting. Tryptase was assayed with a modified commercial coated tube radioimmunoassay (Pharmacia, Milton Keynes, UK). The sample of BAL fluid was incubated on an agitator overnight at room temperature with a fixed volume of “in-house” AA5 coupled sepharose antibody. After washing, a fixed volume of 125I-antitryptase was added and incubated overnight at room temperature. After incubation bound 125I-antitryptase was removed by washing and centrifugation. The remaining radioactivity was directly proportional to the concentration of tryptase in the sample. Standard curves were constructed with linear portions between 0.5−150 nM for histamine, 2.0−200 μg/l for eosinophil cationic protein, and 2.0−50.0 units/l for tryptase.

**Bronchial biopsies**

The bronchial biopsies (at least three from each individual) were placed in OCT compound (Miles Inc, Illinois, USA), immediately snap frozen with liquid nitrogen in the theatre, and stored at −70°C. Sections were cut and fixed with acetone. Sections from each block were stained with haematoxylin and eosin and viewed to confirm that adequate bronchial tissue was present. Further sections from each case were then stained by a standard indirect immunoperoxidase technique to identify the total inflammatory cell infiltrate (CD45; Dako Ltd, UK), the T lymphocyte population (CD3; Dako Ltd, UK), and eosinophils (EG2; Sera-Lab, Sussex, UK). Endogenous peroxidase activity in the tissues was blocked with hydrogen peroxide. Sections were counterstained with haematoxylin and mounted.

The number of positive cells in the submucosa for each case was counted by light microscopy at 400 × magnification with the aid of an eyepiece graticule (Graticules Ltd, Kent, UK) without knowledge of the time or subject group. The total submucosal area for each section, excluding glands and any smooth muscle components, was then measured using the IBAS II, a semi-automated image analysis system (Kontron Image Analysis Division, Mackay, Wallace, Howie, Brown, Greening, Church, Douglas

---

Table 1 Characteristics of patients with nocturnal asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age/Sex</th>
<th>FEV₁ at 6400 hours (%)</th>
<th>FEV₁ at 1600 hours (%)</th>
<th>Drugs*</th>
<th>PD₂₀</th>
<th>Overnight fall in PEFR (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38/F</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>B,A,T,S</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42/F</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>B,S</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49/M</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>B,T,S</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34/M</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>B,A,S</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49/M</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>B,T,S,C</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45/M</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45/M</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>B,T,S</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/F</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>B,S</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32/F</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>B,S</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/F</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>B,S</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SE)</td>
<td>63 (6)</td>
<td>83 (4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>29 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* B = inhaled β₂ agonist; A = inhaled anticholinergic; T = oral theophylline; S = inhaled corticosteroid; C = inhaled disodium cromoglicate.

† PD₂₀ = FEV₁; geometric mean 98 ng.
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Table 2  Median (range) absolute cell counts (×10^3/l) in peripheral blood in normal and asthmatic subjects at 0400 hours and 1600 hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0400 hours</th>
<th>1600 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total white cells</td>
<td>6.55 (4.50-8.00)</td>
<td>6.10 (3.30-8.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>3.49 (2.94-4.62)</td>
<td>3.83 (1.47-5.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>2.48 (1.14-2.78)</td>
<td>1.80 (1.52-2.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>0.33 (0.20-0.37)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.14-0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>0.15 (0.06-0.50)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.05-0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>0.07 (0.03-0.09)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.02-0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthmatic subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total white cells</td>
<td>7.60 (5.40-11.40)</td>
<td>9.10 (5.40-12.60)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>3.60 (2.45-7.16)</td>
<td>5.79 (2.88-8.51)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>2.63 (1.87-3.69)</td>
<td>2.04 (1.17-2.75)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>0.45 (0.19-1.10)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.18-1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>0.26 (0.16-0.72)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.04-0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>0.06 (0.03-0.14)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.03-0.11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 v counts at 0400 hours.

*Pd20 histamine

The provocative dose of histamine causing a 20% fall in forced expiratory volume in one second (PD20 FEV1) was assessed by increasing doses of histamine (10-1600 µg) delivered from an automatic inhalation synchronised dosimeter jet nebuliser (Spira, Elektro 2 Respiratory Care Center, Hameenlinna, Finland).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data are expressed as medians with range or mean (SE) as appropriate. Spirometry data were analysed with the Student’s t test. Bronchoalveolar lavage, bronchial biopsy, and peripheral blood data were not normally distributed and were therefore analysed with the Wilcoxon signed rank test.

Results

SAFETY ASPECTS

All subjects tolerated two bronchoscopies. No bronchospasm had to be terminated early in either group, although one asthmatic patient required salbutamol solution via the bronchoscope after BAL because of bronchocstriction. No subject complained of prolonged cough, wheeze, or breathlessness after the procedure.

Normal subjects

Before administration of bronchodilators the mean (SE) FEV1 was 107% (4%) predicted at 0400 hours and 106% (4%) predicted at 1600 hours. The percentage fall in FEV1 following bronchoscopy was similar at both times (8% (4%) and 3% (2%).

Asthmatic subjects

Before administration of bronchodilators the mean (SE) FEV1 was 63% (6%) predicted at 0400 hours and 83% (4%) predicted at 1600 hours, with a mean overnight fall in PEF of 29% (6%). The percentage fall in FEV1 following bronchoscopy was similar at both times (27% (5%) and 29% (4%)).

PERIPHERAL BLOOD ANALYSIS

Normal subjects

There was no change in numbers of total white cells, neutrophils, or eosinophils at 0400 hours compared with 1600 hours (table 2). Lymphocyte and basophil numbers were increased at 0400 hours compared with 1600 hours.

Asthmatic subjects

An increase in total cell count was seen at 1600 hours compared with 0400 hours (table 2) mainly as a result of an increase in neutrophil numbers at 1600 hours. Lymphocytes were significantly increased at 0400 hours. There were no significant differences in eosinophil or basophil numbers.

BRONCHOALVEOLAR LAVAGE FLUID

Normal subjects

There was no difference in the absolute or percentage return of lavage fluid between 0400 hours and 1600 hours (69% (2%) and 69% (4%)). There was no difference in the total cell count in BAL fluid between the time points (table 3), nor was there any difference in any individual cell type (table 3).

Asthmatic subjects

There was no significant difference in the percentage return of lavage fluid at 0400 hours and 1600 hours (55% (5%) and 63% (3%)). There was no difference in total white cell count in the BAL fluid between both time points (table 3), but there was a significant increase in both lymphocyte and eosinophil numbers at 0400 hours (fig 1).

Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid mediators

There was no significant difference in eosinophil cationic protein, histamine or tryptase levels in the fluid between 0400 and 1600 hours in the normal subjects (table 4, fig 2). In the patients with nocturnal asthma the levels of eosinophil cationic protein in BAL fluid were significantly higher at 0400 hours than at 1600 hours (table 4), but there was no significant difference between levels of histamine and tryptase at the two time points.
Asthmatic subjects

Normal subjects

Table 4  Median (range) concentrations of inflammatory mediators in normal and asthmatic subjects at 0400 hours and 1600 hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0400 hours</th>
<th>1600 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histamine (nM)</td>
<td>0.6-0 (0.50-2.20)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.50-2.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin (units/l)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.04-0.21)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.06-0.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECP (ng/l)</td>
<td>2.0 (2.0-4.0)</td>
<td>2.0 (2.0-4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthmatic subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histamine (nM)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50-3.50)</td>
<td>1.60 (0.5-3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin (units/l)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.10-2.90)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.07-1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECP (ng/l)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.0-9.7)</td>
<td>2.0 (2.0-4.1)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECP = eosinophil cationic protein.
* p < 0.01 v value at 0400 hours.

Macrophase function

There was no significant difference in macrophage production of hydrogen peroxide between the two times in either normal subjects (11.2 (2.0-6.0) nmol/10^6 macrophages/hour) or asthmatic subjects (5.8 (1.5) and 7.3 (2.0) nmol/10^6 macrophages/hour).

Similarly, there was no significant difference in TNFα production between the two times in either the normal subjects (173 (32) and 106 (16) ng/10^6 macrophages/20 hours) or in the patients with nocturnal asthma (140 (59) and 245 (50) ng/10^6 macrophages/20 hours), nor was there any significant differences in GM-CSF production between the two times (normal subjects 102 (42) and 72 (42) ng/10^6 macrophages/20 hours; asthmatics 26 (9) and 223 (93) ng/10^6 macrophages/20 hours).

Bronchial biopsies

There was no evidence of an increase in inflammatory cell numbers in the bronchial submucosa at 0400 hours compared with 1600 hours in either group. This was the case whether the data were analysed in terms of cells/unit area of submucosa (table 5) or cells/mm basement membrane. Four of the normal subjects had higher eosinophil counts in their bronchial biopsies at 1600 hours for no obvious reason.

Comparison between asthmatic and normal subjects

When the differences in cell numbers and mediators at 0400 and 1600 hours were compared in the asthmatic and normal subjects there were significant differences for total cell numbers and neutrophils in peripheral blood (p < 0.05), but no significant differences in the changes in cell populations between the asthmatic and normal subjects for either bronchoalveolar lavage or bronchial biopsies. There was a significantly greater increase (p < 0.01) in the level of eosinophil cationic protein in BAL fluid at 0400 hours in the asthmatic subjects.

CORRELATION BETWEEN PULMONARY FUNCTION AND INFLAMMATORY CELL NUMBERS

In the patients with nocturnal asthma there were no significant correlations between the overnight percentage fall in PEF and the absolute or percentage change in total cell count, lymphocytes, neutrophils, or eosinophils in BAL fluid. There were no significant correlations between overnight percentage fall in PEF and overnight absolute or percentage change in total blood cell count for any individual cell type. In addition, the patient with the largest fall in PEF at night (66%) had fewer eosinophils (1% v 4%) in their BAL fluid at 0400 hours than at 1600 hours and unchanged lymphocyte numbers (1%). There was a significant correlation between the PD_{10} and change in baseline FEV_{1}, between the time points (r = 0.90, p < 0.001).

Discussion

This study shows a significant increase in lymphocyte and eosinophil numbers in BAL fluid at 0400 hours compared with 1600 hours, and an increase in eosinophil cationic protein levels in BAL fluid in patients with nocturnal asthma but no change in any of these variables.
in normal subjects. There was, however, no significant increase in total cell numbers in BAL fluid at 0400 hours, and no change in submucosal inflammatory cell numbers in the bronchial biopsies in the nocturnal asthmatic subjects at 0400 hours. In the patients with nocturnal asthma there was a significant rise in peripheral blood lymphocyte count and fall in peripheral blood neutrophil count at 0400 hours but no significant change in peripheral blood eosinophil numbers.

Our results confirm and extend the observations of Martin et al.\(^6\) of an increase in inflammatory cells in BAL fluid at 0400 hours in patients with nocturnal asthma. In particular, we have confirmed a significant elevation of eosinophil numbers, but we have also found an increase in lymphocyte numbers which was not evident in the study by Martin et al. In contrast to our study, Martin and colleagues found a doubling of total white cells in BAL fluid at 0400 hours in patients with nocturnal asthma, and a trebling of neutrophil numbers, whereas Jarjour et al.\(^{13}\) like us, failed to find any increase in total white cell numbers in BAL fluid at 0400 hours compared with 1600 hours in patients with nocturnal asthma.

The total white cell count in BAL fluid reported in the study of Martin and colleagues\(^6\) was 2–3 times higher than that recorded in the present study, and there may be methodological differences to account for this. For example, our nocturnal asthmatic subjects had a slightly smaller overnight fall in PEF (29% (6%) v 40% (3%)) and nine of the 10 subjects were receiving inhaled steroids, whereas none of those studied by Martin were receiving these drugs. In the United Kingdom it is recommended that all patients with recognised nocturnal asthma should be treated with inhaled steroids\(^4\) and we did not consider it ethically acceptable to stop these drugs for this study. Inhaled steroids were taken by all patients on a twice daily regime, and at an identical period before each of the two bronchoscopies. Their use may certainly have altered the results, and it is possible, for example, that the proximal deposition of inhaled steroids in the bronchial tree may have modified the inflammatory cell population in the bronchial biopsies\(^6\) and possibly in the BAL fluid too. However, the use of inhaled steroids may have allowed us to show that some of the changes previously reported as potential causes of nocturnal bronchoconstriction – for example, the increase in neutrophils in BAL fluid – are not necessary factors for the airways to narrow at night. Another difference between the study of Martin and colleagues\(^6\) and our own is the fact that in their study all lavages were performed from the same lobe and the two bronchoscopies were separated by only four days in four of the seven nocturnal asthmatic subjects. Repeat bronchoalveolar lavage in the same lobe has been shown to increase inflammatory cell numbers.\(^6\) The longest interval between repeat bronchoscopies in that study\(^6\) was three days when there was a tenfold increase in neutrophil numbers – although statistically non-significant in the five subjects studied – and, furthermore, the subjects studied were not asthmatic.\(^6\) The lack of correlation between changes in cell numbers in BAL fluid and the overnight fall in PEF raises doubts as to whether the changes in inflammatory cell population cause overnight airway narrowing. Indeed, the patient with the largest overnight fall in PEF had fewer eosinophils and unchanged lymphocyte numbers in the BAL specimens taken at 0400 hours. However, the number of subjects with nocturnal asthma studied was relatively small at 10 – albeit three more than in the study by Martin et al.\(^6\) and five more than Jarjour et al.\(^{13}\) – and this conclusion needs to be re-examined when more nocturnal asthmatic subjects have been studied.

The increase in numbers of lymphocytes, eosinophils, and eosinophil cationic protein at 0400 hours in the patients with nocturnal asthma does indicate changes in lung inflammatory cell populations and mediator release overnight, but the significance of these changes requires further investigation.
finding of parallel increases in eosinophils and lymphocytes in BAL fluid at night is compatible with similarities in the factors controlling migration of these two cell types from the blood to the tissues. Eosinophils and lymphocytes share the capacity to adhere to VCAM-1 expressed on activated vascular endothelium.\textsuperscript{17,18} VCAM-1 expression increases after allergen challenge\textsuperscript{19} and is increased when stimulated by IL-4 released from mast cells and Th2 lymphocytes.\textsuperscript{20,21} In addition, both eosinophils and lymphocytes are attracted by two lymphokines, IL-2 and lymphocyte chemoattractant factor.\textsuperscript{22}

The lack of change in cell populations in the bronchial biopsies in the patients with nocturnal asthma, despite significant changes in cell populations in BAL fluid, might reflect differences in sampling location or cell kinetics. The bronchial biopsies were taken at the subsegmental carinae whereas BAL fluid is derived from the more distal airways. It is possible, therefore, that there were changes in the submucosal airway cell populations distal to the sites of biopsy, perhaps reflecting more proximal deposition of inhaled steroids. It is equally possible that the inflammatory cells sampled in the BAL fluid had migrated through the airway submucosa earlier in the night and had left the submucosa before the bronchial biopsies were performed.

The rise in the level of eosinophil cationic protein in BAL fluid at night contrasts with our finding of an overnight fall in plasma levels of eosinophil cationic protein at night in patients with nocturnal asthma.\textsuperscript{10} The concentration in BAL fluid is much more likely to reflect changes in airway concentrations of mediators than is the concentration in peripheral venous blood, and the current results may reflect flux of eosinophils into the airway at night.

Jarjour and colleagues\textsuperscript{13} found an increase in superoxide production from alveolar macrophages in the early morning in patients with nocturnal asthma. We failed to find any evidence of increased production of hydrogen peroxide from macrophages in the early morning, nor could we detect any significant changes in cytokine production by the alveolar macrophages at this time, although there was a tendency towards increased GM-CSF production in the nocturnal asthmatic subjects at 0400 hours.

Our results suggest that there are increases in some airway inflammatory cells and mediators at night in patients with nocturnal asthma. The precise role of this in the pathogenesis of nocturnal airway narrowing, and its interaction with, and relative importance to, changes in autonomic tone which predispose to nocturnal airway narrowing remains to be clarified.
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