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Abstract

Background – Pulmonary artery pressure is elevated in patients with advanced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Release of atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) is increased in pulmonary hypertension and this hormone may both selectively vasodilate pulmonary vessels and inhibit pulmonary vascular remodelling. The hypothesis that ANP has a physiological role in protection of the pulmonary circulation from pressure overload, and that it may be beneficial in patients with COPD, has been examined.

Methods – Ten patients with hypoxic COPD were infused for 30 minute periods with saline followed by ANP at 0·4, 2, and 10 pmol/kg/min respectively via a pulmonary artery catheter whilst monitoring haemodynamics and oxygenation.

Results – Levels of immunoreactive ANP (irANP) increased from a mean (SD) of 23 (15) pmol/l to a maximum of 94 (41) pmol/l. Neither systemic blood pressure, cardiac output nor total systemic vascular resistance showed any correlation with irANP levels. There were negative correlations between levels of ANP and mean pulmonary artery pressure which fell from 28·7 to 25·9 mm Hg, pulmonary artery wedge pressure which fell from 6·5 to 4·6 mm Hg, and total pulmonary vascular resistance which fell from 489 to 428 dynes s cm⁻¹. There was a small fall in PaCO₂, from 6·2 to 5·9 kPa, whilst venous admixture and oxygen delivery both increased non-significantly.

Conclusions – At these pathophysiological concentrations there was evidence that ANP selectively reduced right ventricular afterload. These data support the hypotheses that increased plasma levels of ANP may be beneficial in hypoxic COPD, and that endogenous ANP may ameliorate pulmonary hypertension in humans.

(Thorax 1994;49:233–239)
that the high concentrations of ANP found in severe pulmonary hypertension may have a homeostatic function.

**Methods**

**Patients**

Local ethical committee approval for the study was obtained. A power calculation showed that, to allow an 80% chance of detecting a fall in pulmonary artery pressure (Ppa) of 5 mmHg by a two-tailed paired t-test with \( \alpha = 0.05 \), assuming a variance of the difference between pairs of 28 (from Adnot et al.), at least nine patients would be required. Ten patients were therefore enrolled, selected from a respiratory outpatient clinic and included after giving formal written consent.

**Inclusion criteria**

Patients were included in the study if they satisfied all the following conditions: severe chronic airflow obstruction with FEV₁/FVC less than 60%; history of more than 10 pack years of tobacco consumption; stable respiratory failure with Pao₂ < 8.0 kPa.

**Exclusion criteria**

Patients were excluded if they had any clinical evidence of ischaemic or valvular heart disease, any significant intercurrent disease, or had had an acute exacerbation of COPD during the three months before the study. Because ANP is known to cause an increase in haematocrit as a result of a shift of fluid from the intravascular space, any patient with a haematocrit of > 50% was also to have been excluded, although no otherwise eligible subject was excluded on the basis of this criterion.

**Study protocol**

Patients were seen by a dietician to establish a constant salt intake over the week before the study day, and were admitted to hospital either on the evening before or the morning of the study. On rising patients were asked to micturate. They were not given oxygen supplementation or diuretic treatment on the day of the study, but were allowed other morning medication and a light breakfast. They then remained nil by mouth until completion of the study. Just before commencement of the study patients were again asked to void the bladder, storing an aliquot of urine at −20°C.

Right heart catheterisation was performed in an operating theatre under sterile conditions. A 7 French gauge, five lumen, flow directed, balloon tipped, thermodilution catheter (Criticath, Spectramed Inc) was introduced through a brachial or internal jugular vein. Placement of the catheter tip in the pulmonary artery was determined by monitoring the pressure trace during advancement, if necessary with the aid of an image intensifier.

The catheter was clamped in the position at which a pulmonary artery wedge pressure could be recorded. After catheter insertion patients sat semirecumbent for the duration of the study. A 21-gauge intravenous cannula (Viggo) was positioned in a forearm vein for peripheral venous blood samples. Transcutaneous oxygen saturation (SaO₂) and pulse rate were continuously monitored with a pulse oximeter (Minolta Puls Ox-7). Systemic blood pressure was measured with a Dynamap sphygmomanometer on the contralateral arm. Cardiac output measurements were made in triplicate, each thermal bolus consisting of 10 ml of room temperature saline. Cardiac output was determined with a Gould Model SP2009B recorder and bedside computer. Arterial blood gas samples were taken from the radial artery during the baseline saline infusion and maximal ANP infusion.

**ANP infusion**

There were four infusion periods, each lasting 30 minutes. For the first period saline was infused at the same rate as that required for the maximum dose of ANP. Incremental doses of ANP were then infused, equivalent to 0.4, 2, and 10 pmol/kg/min (called ANP-1, ANP-2, and ANP-3, respectively). We have previously shown that these doses produce plasma levels within the pathophysiological range and have renal effects when infused into normal volunteers. The following measurements were taken after 15 minutes of each infusion period: pulmonary artery pressure, cardiac output, pulse rate, systemic blood pressure, arterial oxygen saturation (SaO₂), and mixed venous blood gas tensions. Venous blood samples were taken from the peripheral venous cannula for immediate centrifugation and were stored at −20°C for subsequent assay of plasma ANP concentration. Fifteen minutes after the end of the final infusion of ANP a further set of recordings was made and urine collected and stored at −20°C.

**Materials**

Human synthetic ANP (Sigma Chemical Company, Poole, Dorset) was dissolved in 0.05 mol/l acetic acid (FSA Laboratory Supplies, Loughborough) and sterilised by passage through a Millipore GV, low protein binding filter (Millipore, Harrow, Middlesex), the first 1.0 ml being discarded. It was then diluted to 2 nmol/ml in a solution of 50% normal saline (Baxter, Thetford) and 50% Haemaccel (Hoechst, Hounslow, Middlesex) to minimise adsorption of ANP to surfaces. Vials containing 15 ml of the solution were frozen at −20°C until used.

Before infusion ANP was thawed and diluted to 0.5 pmol/l with normal saline. The solution was infused in a syringe driver (Vickers) via the “CVP medication” lumen of the pulmonary artery catheter.
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ANP RADIOIMMUNOASSAY
Blood samples were centrifuged immediately at 4°C and 3000 rpm for 20 minutes. Plasma was aspirated and stored in polypropylene vials at −20°C. Extraction of plasma ANP was carried out using Sep-Pak C18 cartridges (Waters Associates). One millilitre of plasma was acidified with trifluoroacetic acid (0.1%) and applied to a primed cartridge which was then washed with 5 ml 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid and eluted with 2.0 ml of 70% acetonitrile. Samples were vacuum dried and stored at −20°C for up to one week until assayed. Alpha human ANP radioimmunooassay kits (RIK8798, Peninsula Laboratories Inc) were used. Plasma samples were reconstituted with 1 ml of the buffer and assayed in duplicate.

Between 20% and 40% of the label was bound in the absence of unlabelled peptide and 1–2% in the absence of antibody. The intrassay coefficient of variation was 13% and the interassay coefficient of variation was 6%. The IC₅₀ for the assays was 50–90 pg/ml. Recovery of iodine-125 was 70–90% using this extraction method. No correction was made for extraction losses.

CALCULATIONS AND STATISTICAL METHODS
Total pulmonary vascular resistance (TPVR) was calculated by:

$$TPVR \ (\text{dyne s cm}^{-2}) = \frac{PPA \ (\text{mm Hg})}{Q \ (\text{l/min})} \times 80$$

Arteriolar PVR (PVRART) was calculated by:

$$PVRART \ (\text{dyne s cm}^{-2}) = \frac{PPA - PPAW \ (\text{mm Hg})}{Q \ (\text{l/min})} \times 80$$

where PPA is pulmonary artery pressure, PPAW is pulmonary artery wedge pressure, and Q is cardiac output.

Mean systemic arterial pressure (mean Psa) was calculated by:

$$\text{mean Psa} = \text{SBPdiast} + \frac{(\text{SBP syst} - \text{SBPdiast})}{3}$$

where SBPdiast is systemic blood pressure, and SBPdiast is systemic diastolic blood pressure.

Shunt fraction was calculated from the shunt equation.³¹ Coefficient of oxygen delivery (COD) was calculated from:

$$\text{COD} = \frac{\text{CaO}_2}{\text{Cao}_2 - \text{Cvo}_2}$$

where CaO₂ is the oxygen content of arterial blood and Cvo₂ is the oxygen content of mixed venous blood.

The significance of increases in levels of immunoreactive ANP (irANP) during the different infusion periods was assessed by a single factor analysis of variance, followed by multiple range testing (using the Microsoft Excel statistical function). The relations between ANP levels and haemodynamic parameters measured at each time point were assessed by regression analysis in groups.³² The individual regressions of the dependent variable in question – for example, Ppa on log concentration of irANP level during saline and ANP infusion (the independent variable) – for each patient were calculated. The significance of the common slope was assessed by analysis of variance for differences between the regression slopes. Calculations were performed on a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. Parameters measured at two time points only were compared by a two tailed, Student’s paired t test (using the Microsoft Excel statistical function). A p value of <0·05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS
The mean age of the patients was 67·9 (range 61–79) years and seven of the 10 were men (table 1). All had severe fixed airflow obstruction with FEV₁/FVC ranging from 21% to 53%, and a history of heavy tobacco consumption of between 10 and 50 pack years. One patient (no. 5) probably had additional fibrotic lung disease with more severe hypoxia than would be expected for his degree of airflow obstruction. All had arterial hypoxaemia, and six patients were hypercapnic. All patients were taking regular inhaled β₂ agonists and some were also taking inhaled anticholinergics; none was taking a theophylline.

Six patients were receiving long term domiciliary oxygen therapy, two (nos 6 and 10) were about to commence it, and two did not have

Table 1 Patient characteristics, arterial blood gas tensions, and lung function data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Pack years</th>
<th>LTOT prescribed</th>
<th>Duration (days)</th>
<th>FEV₁ (l)</th>
<th>FEV₁ (%) pred</th>
<th>FVC (l)</th>
<th>PaO₂ (kPa)</th>
<th>PaCO₂ (kPa)</th>
<th>H⁺ (nmol/l)</th>
<th>Past history of oedema</th>
<th>Baseline Ppa (mm Hg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1685</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5/10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LTOT = long term oxygen therapy; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in one second; % pred = percentage of predicted; FVC = forced vital capacity; Ppa = pulmonary artery pressure.

Patient 5 also had evidence of fibrotic lung disease.
sufficiently severe hypoxaemia to qualify (nos 3 and 9) having a \( \text{Pao}_2 \) greater than 7·3 kPa. Five patients had a history of oedema, although none was in overt cor pulmonale during the study.

**PLASMA ANP LEVELS**

As can be seen in fig 1 there was a dose dependent increase in serum levels of irANP (ANOVA \( p<1\times10^{-13} \)). Both of the higher rates of ANP infusion (ANP-2 and ANP-3) resulted in significant increases, by 89% (\( p<0.005 \)) and 422% (\( p<0.0005 \)) of baseline values, respectively.

One patient (no. 4) showed an atypical response. The resting ANP level was the highest for the group at 50 pmol/l, and levels were not increased by subsequent ANP infusion. This subject also had the highest resting mean PPa at 37 mm Hg and was the only subject whose PPa did not fall during ANP infusion; indeed it rose to 40 mm Hg. He experienced a fall in \( \text{Pao}_2 \) during ANP-3 from 6·6 to 6·1 kPa, and \( \text{Paco}_2 \) fell from 7·0 to 6·6 kPa.

**HAEMODYNAMIC EFFECTS**

**Pulmonary haemodynamics**

Haemodynamic effects of ANP infusion are shown in table 2. The baseline mean pulmonary artery pressure and total pulmonary vascular resistance were elevated in all patients (ranges 20–38 mm Hg and 292–740 dynes s cm\(^{-5} \), respectively). Mean pulmonary artery pressure showed a significant, negative correlation with irANP levels, falling from a mean (SD) of 28·7 (6·4) mm Hg during saline infusion to 25·9 (6·9) mm Hg at maximum infusion rate (\( r=-0.55, p<0.005 \); figs 2 and 3). Total pulmonary vascular resistance was also significantly correlated, with a fall of 12% from 489 (168) to 428 (151) dynes s cm\(^{-5} \) (\( r=-0.46, p<0.005 \); fig 4). There was no correlation with pulmonary arteriolar resistance which fell from 329 (125) to 299 (96) dynes s cm\(^{-5} \) (\( r=-0.11, p>0.04 \); table 2).

**Systemic haemodynamics**

Neither cardiac index, total systemic vascular resistance, nor systemic blood pressure correlated with irANP levels (table 2). Total systemic vascular resistance fell by 5% from 1887 dynes s cm\(^{-5} \) during saline infusion to 1799 dynes s cm\(^{-5} \) at ANP-3 (\( r=-0.07, p=0.98 \)). In four patients the PPAW was unobtainable, but none of the remaining patients had an elevated resting value (range 5·2–9 mm Hg). There was a significant correlation between ANP levels and pulmonary artery wedge pressure, which fell from 6·5 (1·1) to 4·6 (1·4) mm Hg at the maximum dose (\( r=-0·80, p<0·001 \); fig 5). Furthermore, PPAW was strongly correlated with both PPA (\( r=0·67, p<0·01 \)) and TPVR (\( r=0·60, p<0·01 \)) in the six subjects in whom it was measured.

**GAS EXCHANGE**

There was a small but significant fall in \( \text{Paco}_2 \) from 6·2 (0·9) to 5·9 (0·9) kPa, \( p<0·0005 \) (table 2). The mean \( \text{Pao}_2 \) showed no significant change from 6·7 (0·8) kPa at baseline to 6·9 (0·9) kPa at ANP-3. Individual patients varied in their response: in five patients \( \text{Pao}_2 \) increased by a mean of 0·6 (range +0·3 to +0·8) kPa, and in five patients it fell by a mean of −0·4 (range −0·2 to −0·6) kPa. The oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry suggested a significant, positive correlation between ANP levels and \( \text{Sao}_2 \) (\( r=0·42, p<0·01 \)).

To determine the right to left shunt fraction, mixed venous blood gases were assessed in nine patients. As might be expected shunt fraction, which is partly derived from \( \text{Pao}_2 \), also showed a variable response to ANP infusion between patients, increasing in five and falling in four.

**RENAL EFFECTS**

Urinary volume was not assessed. However, creatinine concentration fell from 9·3 (3·9) to 5·9 (3·6) mmol/l (\( p<0·02 \)), and the ratio of urinary sodium to creatinine rose from 12 (11) to 22 (12) (\( p<0·002 \)).

**ADVERSE EFFECTS**

The ANP infusion was well tolerated. No patient required supplemental oxygen during the procedure. One patient felt transiently faint upon removal of the right heart catheter, associated with systemic hypotension. This resolved within three minutes and was typical of a vasovagal episode.

**Discussion**

As expected, all patients had evidence of a restricted pulmonary vascular bed with raised mean pulmonary artery pressures, total pulmonary vascular resistance and, in the six in whom it could be measured, elevated pulmonary arteriolar resistance. The normal PPAW measurements and normal cardiac index suggest that the left ventricular function of the group was unimpaired.

As we have previously found,\(^{35} \) mean (SD)
baseline serum irANP levels were mildly elevated at 23 (15) pmol/l compared with the levels of less than 12 pmol/l found by our assay in normal subjects. Infusion of ANP was associated with a dose related increase in plasma irANP levels up to concentrations previously found in patients with severe pulmonary hypertension.34 The primary endpoint of this study was to establish the effect of such pathophysiological levels of ANP on the pulmonary circulation in COPD. The observed fall in mean pulmonary artery pressure was modest, but correlated significantly with ANP levels. Our patients also showed a renal response to ANP as shown by the significant increase in urinary sodium to creatinine ratio, similar to that we have previously described in normal volunteers.30

The reduction in TPVR was a result of the pulmonary artery pressure falling in the presence of a stable cardiac output. The reduction of right ventricular afterload appears to have been due in large part to the fall in PPAW, accounting for approximately 36% of the reduction in TPVR in the subjects in whom it was measurable. Indeed, a fall in PPAW has been a consistent finding when ANP has been infused variously into animals, normal human volunteers,35 and patients with heart failure.36-38 Pulmonary arteriolar resistance, representing the degree of vasoconstriction present in the pulmonary vascular bed, did not change significantly in the patients in whom it could be calculated. The data do not allow conclusions to be drawn on whether pulmonary vasodilation occurred at these levels of irANP, and whether this mechanism contributed to the fall in right ventricular afterload.

Caution should be exercised in interpreting the significance of changes in the multiple secondary and derived endpoints examined in this study. However, there was little evidence for effects of the infusion on systemic haemodynamics, with the exception of PPAW and heart rate. ANP infusion was not associated with changes in systemic arterial pressure with or in total systemic vascular resistance (TSVR). TSVR is the result of both the pres-
Figure 4 Mean (SE) total pulmonary vascular resistance during different infusion periods. See legend to fig 1 for abbreviations.

Figure 5 Mean (SE) pulmonary artery wedge pressure during different infusion periods. See legend to fig 1 for abbreviations.

sure drop across the systemic circulation and right atrial pressure. Because right atrial pressure is lowered by ANP infusion, the lack of change in TSVR further suggests that relaxation did not occur in systemic resistance vessels.

Pulse rate showed a small but significant increase, probably accounting for cardiac output being maintained in the face of the observed fall in preload. The lack of an increase in cardiac output has also been found in experimental animals and normal human volunteers, and distinguishes ANP from other vasodilators. The likely explanation is that ANP causes a reduction in cardiac preload and is a poor systemic vasodilator.

The fall in pulmonary vascular resistance was achieved without mean arterial oxygen tension falling, or a significant increase in venous admixture. No patient developed severe hypoxaemia or other adverse haemodynamic effects from ANP infusion. In agreement with a previous study, Paco₂ fell in all patients during ANP infusion, although this may have been attributable to anxiety or some other factor (see below). The unexpected finding of an improvement in shunt fraction in some of our patients may reflect the derived nature of this parameter. Alternative explanations include mild vasodilatation causing pulmonary blood flow to be diverted from units with very low ventilation-perfusion ratios to those with higher values, or to bronchodilatation improving the distribution of ventilation.

Interestingly, it has recently been reported that ANP infusion increased Pao₂ and reduced the alveolar-arterial oxygen difference in normal volunteers exposed to hypobaric hypoxia.

Patient no. 4 showed no increase in plasma levels of irANP during the infusion, had a high resting ANP level, and was alone in not showing a fall in pulmonary artery pressure. Although the lack of increase in irANP is unexplained, this does provide support for the changes in pulmonary haemodynamics observed in the remaining patients being a result of an increase in plasma ANP levels.

Conversely, the fall in Paco₂ in this patient suggests that this change, which was seen in all patients, may not have been attributable to ANP.

One other study has examined the effect of ANP infusion in human pulmonary hypertension. Adnot et al. infused incremental doses of ANP over 10 minute periods via a peripheral vein in a group of seven patients with hypoxic COPD. They achieved much higher peripheral blood ANP levels than in our study, with even the lowest dose increasing the concentration from a baseline value of 44 pmol/l up to 120 pmol/l. These higher plasma concentrations had a significant depressor effect on the systemic circulation. These authors also found evidence of pulmonary selectivity, however, with a much greater fall in pulmonary than systemic vascular resistance. As in our study they also found a fall in Paco₂, apparently due to an increase in minute ventilation. Despite this, and in contrast to our study, Paco₂ fell sharply and significantly; we agree with their conclusion that this was due to the more profound pulmonary vasodilatation they observed exacerbating ventilation-perfusion mismatching.

Several studies have shown that ANP levels are elevated in pulmonary hypertension, whether due to various lung diseases or to cardiac failure. In this study we have found evidence that the concentrations of ANP found in severe pulmonary hypertension may selectively reduce right ventricular afterload in patients with hypoxic COPD. Thus a negative feedback loop may exist in which ANP release is increased to ameliorate the harmful effects of pulmonary hypertension on the pulmonary vasculature and right heart. The beneficial acute effects of low dose ANP suggest that drugs which inhibit its metabolism may have therapeutic potential in COPD.
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