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Abstract

Background – Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) are involved in the detoxification of xenobiotics by conjugation with glutathione. One of the mu class genes of this superfamily of enzymes, GSTM1, is polymorphic because of a partial gene deletion. This results in a failure to express GSTM1 in approximately 50% of individuals. Several studies have linked GSTM1 null status to an increased risk of lung carcinoma. This study investigated the expression and distribution of GST isoenzymes in human lung, and developed a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay which would allow genotyping of archival, paraffin embedded lung tissue.

Methods – Distribution was examined using a panel of polyclonal anti-GST antibodies for immunohistochemistry in normal tissue of 21 tumour-bearing lungs. DNA for PCR was extracted from paraffin blocks and a control group of 350 blood lysates. As a positive control each assay amplified part of GSTM4, a mu class gene which is not polymorphic but which shows strong sequence homology to GSTM1. The presence of GST in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid was sought by Western analysis.

Results – Proximal airways contained pi class GST, alpha class GST, and mu class GST with expression concentrated in the brush border. In distal airspaces no alpha GST was expressed but pi GST and mu GST were present in alveolar cells and also alveolar macrophages. Pi class GST was present in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. The PCR assay enabled genotypic determination using DNA extracted from archival material. Of the control group 56% were null at the GSTM1 locus.

Conclusions – The distribution of GST isoenzymes in the lung is heterogeneous with an apparent decrease in GST in distal lung. Since GSTM1 status has already been associated with susceptibility to disease, the PCR assay developed will allow further studies of the relation between genotype and structural disorders in the lung using archival pathological material.

Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) are a superfamily of enzymes involved in the conjugation of a wide range of electrophilic substrates with glutathione, thereby facilitating detoxification and further metabolism and excretion. Regulation of GST expression is complex: it has tissue specificity in terms of distribution and it may be induced by xenobiotics, including carcinogens such as aromatic hydrocarbons and benzo(a)pyrene, which are present in cigarette smoke. At least five different families exist, four cytosolic (GST P, A, M, and T) and at least one microsomal GST. GSTP and M are both involved in the detoxification of 7,12-dihydroxy-9,10-oxo-7,8,9, 10-tetrahydrobenzo(a)pyrene, an active cytochrome P450-derived metabolite of benzo(a)pyrene. Although GST catalytic activity is much higher in liver, lung GST is probably of importance in the primary metabolism of many inhaled xenobiotics present in cigarette smoke or the atmosphere. Furthermore, GSTs can be induced in mouse lung by phenolic antioxidants such as butylated hydroxyanisole. GSTM contains at least five distinct genes. Owing to a polymorphism of human GSTM1, which comprises a deletion of part of exons 4 and 5 of the gene, about half of the population fail to express this isoenzyme. Several studies have shown that individuals who fail to express GSTM1 are more susceptible to pituitary adenoma and to developing adenocarcinoma of colon and lung. This indicates that individuals with a GSTM1 null genotype/phenotype have less protection against chemical stress.

In addition to xenobiotic metabolism, some human GSTs have significant selenium-independent glutathione peroxidase activity and they are also implicated in non-substrate covalent binding of some carcinogens. The conjugation of leukotriene A4 with glutathione to produce the cysteinyl leukotrienes C4, D4, and E4 is a GST-dependent reaction. Since leukotrienes are intimately involved in lung injury and inflammation, variation of GST expression between individuals may have significant effects on the extent of lung injury.

Several studies of GST distribution in rats or mice have been published but there are few reports on the distribution of GST isoenzymes in adult human lung. In this paper we describe the distribution of GST isoenzymes in human lung tissue and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, and describe a polymerase chain reaction assay for determining the GSTM1 genotype using DNA derived from formalin fixed archival biopsy and autopsy material.

Methods

LUNG TISSUE

Twenty one lungs or lobes of lungs obtained...
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at pneumonectomy were studied. These lungs had been removed because of small peripheral tumours which proved to be lung carcinomas. For histological preparation lungs were fixed inflated with buffered formalin for 24 hours before selection of blocks from macroscopically normal lung. All but two of the patients from whom tissue was taken were known cigarette smokers. These blocks were then processed to paraffin wax and sectioned at 2 μm for immunohistochemical study.
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IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY
Polyclonal rabbit antisera to human GST were donated by Dr J D Hayes and have been described elsewhere. Anti-GSTP was raised against purified human lung GSTP and the other antisera were raised against human liver preparations. This antibody reacted only with GSTP in liver and lung Western blots, and cross reacted with no other GST isoenzyme. Anti-GSTM was raised against liver GSTM, which is the product of the GSTM1 gene. However, since GSTM4 has greater than 90% sequence homology with GSTM1, it is possible that the polyclonal antibody could react with the product of both genes. The immunostaining protocol was as described previously using avidin-peroxidase and 3,3-diaminobenzidine detection. The only addition was preincubation of sections with 50% pooled normal human serum in Tris-buffered saline (TBS). This preincubation resulted in significant reduction of background staining in controls using normal rabbit serum instead of polyclonal antibody. Antisera were used at a dilution of 1:200 in TBS containing 5% normal swine serum. Sections were assessed by two independent observers who then discussed the results at a twin headed microscope.

WESTERN BLOT ANALYSIS OF BRONCHOALVEOLAR LAVAGE FLUID
Four bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples were obtained from healthy volunteers. These were not obviously blood stained. Following centrifugation to remove cellular debris, 10 ml aliquots were taken and placed in separate lengths of 14 mm Viskose dialysis tubing (Medicell International Ltd, London, UK). Protein was concentrated by dialysis against glycol methacrylate (BDH Chemicals, Poole, Dorset, UK) overnight at 4°C to give a final sample volume of 200 μl. An aliquot of this concentrate was tested for the presence of haemoglobin using proprietary Dipstix to ensure that GST detected was not simply the result of leakage from red blood cells. The protein extract was mixed with an equal volume of sample buffer (3·6 ml distilled water, 1 ml 0·5M Tris-HCl pH 6·8, 10% w/v SDS, 0·8 ml 1M dithiothreitol, 0·8 ml glycerol, and 0·05 ml 0·05% w/v bromophenol blue) and boiled for five minutes before loading on a 7·5% polyacrylamide gel. Purified GSTP (Sigma, UK) and liver cytosol were included as controls for antibody specificity. Protein was transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with GSTP antibody. Detection was by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham, UK).

POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION (PCR)
Preparation of DNA
DNA was extracted from paraffin blocks, mounted tissue sections and peripheral blood using a modification of published techniques. Sections were cut at 10 μm from blocks or scraped from slides and placed in an Eppendorf tube. To this was added 400 μl buffer A (50 mM KCl, 2·5 mM MgCl2, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8·0), 0·45% Nonidet P-40, 0·45% Tween-20) containing 200 mg/ml proteinase K. The sections were then incubated for two hours at 55°C before boiling for 20 minutes and storing at 4°C. In PCR reactions 15 μl of this crude solution was used as template DNA. Control blood samples were collected from a randomly selected, anonymous white population (n = 350) at a routine blood donor clinic.

PCR assay
The PCR buffer was a standard mix of nucleotides and contained 3% DMSO. The amplification was achieved by adding five units of Taq polymerase (Promega, UK) in a hot start, and undergoing 35 amplifications of 59°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 90 seconds, and 94°C for 30 seconds. Amplified DNA was electrophoresed in 3% agarose gels. DNA was visualised by ethidium bromide staining and examined under ultraviolet irradiation.

Primers
The primer strategy used a modification of that used by Zhong et al6 and Shea et al22 (fig 1). This method allowed the amplification of both GSTM1 and GSTM4 and hence provided a positive control for each reaction since GSTM4, which is not polymorphic, was always amplified. This was particularly important as DNA extracted from archival paraffin blocks may be of poor yield. A loss of GSTM1 identified in this way could therefore be distinguished from a failed PCR reaction.

The following oligonucleotide primers were used in the PCR reaction:

22y: 5’-CTGCCCTACTTGGATGATGG-3’
23y: 5’-ATCCTTCTTCTTTCTGTC-3’
24y: 5’-TTCTGGATTGTACAGATC-3’

GSTM1 GENE

5’- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 3’

267 bp deletion

Primers: 22y 23y 24y

Figure 1 Schematic structure of the GSTM1 gene. The upstream primer (22y) is situated in exon 4, whereas both downstream primers (23y and 24y) are located in exon 5. Both 22y and 23y anneal to GSTM4 in addition to GSTM1 and thus serve as a positive control for the PCR assay. Primer 24y is specific to GSTM1.
Results
LOCALISATION OF GST ISOENZYMES IN LUNG TISSUE
There was complete agreement between the two observers on the pattern of distribution of GSTs in the lung samples. No formal attempt was made to quantify the amount of GST present, but cases were scored as positive when the staining intensity was greater than a control slide for which non-immune rabbit serum was substituted for primary antiserum (fig 2f).

GSTP
GSTP was present in every lung examined. Bronchial epithelial cells were strongly positive with reactivity noted in cytoplasm, brush border, and most nuclei. Muscle, nerve, serous glands, and chondrocytes were also positive (fig 2a). Terminal bronchioles and both type 1 and type 2 pneumocytes contained GSTP. Endothelial cells were not stained. Alveolar macrophages were variably positive (fig 2b). No differences were noted between smokers and the two tissue samples from non-smokers.

GSTA
There was GSTA present in the cytoplasm, some nuclei, and brush border of most, but not all, bronchial epithelial cells. Some chondrocytes stained but alveolar lining cells

The primers 22y and 23y, when used together in a PCR reaction, amplify a DNA fragment of 202 bp in length, while the use of 22y and 24y together results in the amplification of a fragment 275 bp long.

Figure 2 (a) GSTP in bronchial epithelial cells (big arrowhead), serous cells (small arrowhead), and chondrocytes (bottom right side of photomicrograph). (b) Alveolar macrophages in the lumen and lining cells, particularly type II alveolar cells (arrowheads), stained strongly for GSTP. (c) GSTA presence limited to airway epithelium (arrowhead); alveoli and macrophages not stained. (d) GSTM present in bronchial epithelium (arrowhead) including the brush border. (e) Heterogeneous staining of alveolar macrophages for GSTM (small arrowhead) and weak staining of alveoli (big arrowhead) which was more intense than a negative control sample. (f) Negative control showing no significant reaction product. All that is visible is nuclei counterstained with haematoxylin. There is no immunoreactivity.
and macrophages were consistently negative (fig 2c).

**GSTM**
The staining intensity for GSTM was less than for other isoenzymes. Only two cases of the 21 studied showed strong staining for GSTM, seven were weakly stained, and the remainder were negative. The pattern of distribution was the same as for GSTP, however – that is, bronchial epithelium, types 1 and 2 alveolar cells, and macrophages (figs 2d and 2e).

**LOCALISATION OF GST ISOENZYMES IN BRONCHOALVEOLAR LAVAGE FLUID**
All four samples showed reactivity with GSTP antibody, although in two cases GSTP appeared to be partly degraded (fig 3). In three cases no evidence was found to indicate that haem was present. In one sample there was trace positivity by testing with Dipstix.

**POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION ANALYSIS**
PCR analysis resulted in specific fragments which were easily interpreted when visualised on agarose gels as described (fig 4). Of 16 DNA samples extracted from archival lung tissue nine were null at the GSTM1 locus. There was no correlation demonstrable between GSTM1 genotype and immunophenotype. Analysis of 350 random DNA samples extracted from blood samples showed that 198 individuals (56%) were null for GSTM1.

**Discussion**
Our findings suggest that GST mediated protection against xenobiotic and oxidative stress in the lung can be divided into two functional compartments. In proximal airways all three cytotoxic forms (GST P, A, and M) were present in lining epithelium, whereas the distal alveolar compartment contained GSTP and GSTM but no GSTA in both type 1 and 2 pneumocytes as well as macrophages. This is consistent with biochemical studies which showed that GSTP is the predominant lung isoenzyme. In a previous study of GST in human lung development all isoenzymes were identified, with GSTP being the predominant isoenzyme. The levels of GSTP were higher during fetal development, with strong reactivity in bronchi and protoalveoli, and fell during late gestation. In another study of only three human lungs GSTP, GSTA, and GSTM were all reportedly present in alveoli. This is inconsistent with the work of Fryer and colleagues in fetal lung and with our own study. The discrepancy may be because an immunofluorescence assay was used in the study of Awasthi et al which is more sensitive but also produces higher backgrounds. When we omitted the preincubation of sections with a blocking buffer containing 50% human serum we also obtained diffuse alveolar staining with all antibodies. Our findings are in broad agreement with the distribution of GST enzymes reported by Antilla and colleagues.

In previous studies of localisation of GST in mouse and rat lungs cellular GST was restricted to bronchial epithelial cells, including the brush border, with virtually no GST identifiable in alveoli. In addition, GSTP in rat lung was also identified as an extracellular protein in association with elastin. This was apparent in electron but not light microscopic preparations. We found no evidence for extracellular, interstitial GST but we have suggested that GSTP is present in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. Another possibility for the presence of GSTP in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid is by leakage from red blood cells. However, three of the samples were negative for haem when tested and the fourth showed a trace of haem. This may indicate the presence of blood contamination, but another possibility is that haem present in cytochromes P450 was detected. Cytochromes P450 are thought to be a normal constituent of the epithelial lining fluid in the lung (R Richards, personal communication). Furthermore, our findings are consistent with a previous study in which both GSTA and GSTP were found in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of tumour-bearing lungs.

Cigarette smoke contains a plethora of chemicals, many of which are electrophilic or become electrophiles after metabolism principally by cytochrome P450 enzymes. These compounds serve as substrates for GSTP, GSTA, and GSTM. Proximal airways contained GSTA...
which is involved in selenium-independent glutathione peroxidase reactions, and which may detoxify DNA and lipid hydroperoxides. Its presence in the brush border is therefore suggestive of a role for GSTA in the protection of brush border membranes. Most acute damage following cigarette smoke inhalation is seen in small distal airways and alveoli,24 site lacking this selenium-independent peroxidase protection. In individuals null at the GSTM1 locus it therefore follows that the level of protection in distal airways afforded by GSTs is significantly reduced. Several reports have suggested that the expression of GSTM1 in lung shows evidence of polymorphism which is consistent with the gene expressed being GSTM1 rather than GSTM4.2526 In one study of 10 lungs, however, no evidence of polymorphic expression was seen although the level of GSTM catalytic activity was markedly variable between cases.27 In this present study we cannot confirm that the immunohistochemical expression seen was specifically the polymorphic enzyme GSTM1 rather than the non-polymorphic GSTM4. Further studies are in progress to address this problem by analysis of mRNA.

Support for the association of GSTM1 status and disease susceptibility comes from in vitro studies of leucocytes which showed that cells from GSTM1 null individuals were more prone to epoxide-induced DNA injury and sister chromatid exchange.28 For this reason the determination of GSTM1 genotype is important in considering individual susceptibility to xenobiotics by inhalation of cigarette smoke. This is equally relevant to metabolism occurring in the lung, as well as other organs including the liver where there is high expression of the enzyme.29

The presence of GSTP in alveolar macrophages and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid is interesting. GSTP functions as a homodimer and it can be readily inactivated by oxidation of reduced sulphhydryl groups.30 It may therefore function as a "sacrificial reactive protein" in addition to being a GST-dependent enzyme. Indirect support for this suggestion is provided from a study where cigarette smoke reduced GSTP activity in alveolar macrophages,31 despite the fact that cigarette smoke contains many chemicals which might increase GSTP expression. In these cells GSTP activity may have been reduced by oxidative degradation rather than by reduced expression of the protein.
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1. Ketterm B, Meyer DJ, Clark AG. Soluble glutathione trans-
ferase isozymes. In: Sies H, Ketterm B, eds. Glutathione trans-
3. Jeremstov B, Martinez M, Dock L. Glutathione trans-
ferase catalysed conjugation of benzo[a]pyrene diol-epoxide with glutathione in rat hepatocytes. In: Hayes JD, Pickett CB, Mantle TJ, eds. Glutathione S-transferases and drug re-
6. Board PG. Genetic polymorphisms of glutathione S-trans-
ferases in man. In: Hayes JD, Pickett CB, Mantle T, eds. Glutathione S-transferases and drug re-
11. Seidegard J, Pero RW, Miller DG, Beattie EJ. A glutathione transferase in human leukocytes as a marker for the sus-
12. Fryer AA, Zhao I, Allardese J, Boggild MD, Perrett CW, Clayton RN et al. The glutathione S-transferase: poly-
14. Shimizu T. Enzymes functional in the synthesis of leu-
15. Counsell DB, Cihla HP, Oberley TD, Oberley LW. Im-
16. Awasthi YC, Singh SV, Ahmad H, Moller PC. Im-
munocytotoxic evidence for the expression of GST1, GST2, and GST3 gene loci for glutathione S-transferase in human. Lung 1987;165:323-32.
17. Fryer AA, Hume R, Strange RC. The development of glutathione S-transferase and glutathione peroxidase activ-
21. Smith CAD, Moss JF, Gough AC, Spurr NK, Wolf CR. Molecular genetic analysis of the cytoskeletal genes of the 
26. Howie AF, Bell D, Hayes PC, Hayes JD, Beckett CJ. Glutathione S-transferase isoenzymes in human bronch-
}