Effect of aminophylline on brain tissue oxygenation in patients with chronic obstructive lung disease

Nishimura and colleagues (December 1992; 47:1025-9) introduce their study of blood gas changes immediately following intravenous theophylline and ethylenediamine by citing two unreliable and misleading references.1,2 to the upsetting effect of theophylline on children's behaviour. The latter is only loosely related because ethylenediamine is not inert and these papers concern long term oral theophylline alone.

The first study which claimed that "teachers could easily discriminate between children on theophylline and on placebo" used 53 questions irredemably skewed by restricting the answers to "average," "somewhat more," or "much more." Two questions, "gets into fight?" and "gets angry easily?" reached 5% significance. The rest—the same questionnaire to parents and four psychomotor tests—found nothing. These questions missed the point of treatment; so the teachers' scores were actually twice as wrong as right. The claim is therefore positively misleading. Even more so is the implication that teachers by themselves could tell which children were on theophylline. Not cited by the present authors is a more careful similar study1 with negative findings.

In the second study,2 school behaviour problems "spontaneously raised" by earlier parents were not confirmed by comparing behaviour checklists and five psychomotor tests with controls. The authors noted the same degree of worsening in the control group in the remaining test as of improvement in the group changed to cromoglicate. They gave no data to support their further claim of "improvement on all concentration measures" in that group. Only one of these two references indirectly and correctly implies that there is no evidence of impairment in adults. It is not mentioned in the many acute studies carried out over decades with aminophylline, and was not found by those who used appropriate tests. It would have been good to have simultaneous cognitive or psychomotor data from Nishimura's study since its uniquely valuable features cannot easily be repeated.
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Concentrations of the domestic house dust mite allergens Der p 1 and Der p 11 after treatment with solidified benzyl benzoate (Acarosan) or liquid nitrogen

We are not entirely surprised by the failure of liquid nitrogen treatment to reduce house dust mite antigen levels within treated homes as reported in the study by Dr S Kalra and colleagues (January 1993;48:10-13). We conducted a double blind placebo controlled trial of liquid nitrogen in the homes of 30 asthmatic children with dust mite allergy confirmed both on skin testing and by specific IgE determination. The 15 homes in the active arm of the trial were treated with nitrogen with a protocol similar to that of Kalra and colleagues—that is, the child's bedroom and the sitting room were treated—but we did not treat other areas of the house. After treatment a high pressure vacuum cleaner was used in an attempt to remove dead mites and their excreta. Dummy treatment consisted of treating a small area of bedroom carpet near the door (so that "smoke" could be seen to come from under the door), followed by high pressure vacuum cleaning.

There was no effect on the quantity of Der p 1 antigen trapped in petri dishes exposed in the bedroom before and after interventions at the after the treatment and, not unexpectedly, there was no effect on asthma symptom scores, peak expiratory flows, bronchial response to methacholine, or levels of specific IgE.

Despite this disappointing result, we have received numerous reports from individual patients of the success of this treatment, which is available commercially in Aberdeen. Based on this anecdotal evidence, we have the impression (which we cannot propose to investigate in a further trial) that certain technical factors might be important in determining the success of the treatment. Firstly, despite the expense involved, all soft furnishings must be soaked thoroughly—in particular the mattress must be treated—until it is dry and chilled. Secondly, if the treatment is followed by vacuum cleaning, this should be vested by the outside air in such a way that the dust cannot be blown back into the house. Thirdly, repeated treatments appear to give additional benefit by ensuring that mites do not recolonise the rooms before allergenic traces of their previous occupation have gone. A process which takes several months. Finally, few children react to only a single allergen, and it is important to focus this relatively expensive treatment on individuals whose spectrum of allergy is limited either to house dust mite alone, or to dust mite plus seasonal or other allergens to which they will not be exposed continually.

Although on the basis of our trial work we cannot recommend the use of liquid nitrogen in the management of children with allergy to the house dust mite, we believe that investigation of this treatment should be continued. It has already given encouraging results in the homes of adults in whom it resulted in a marked reduction in bronchial hyperreactivity.1
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AUTHOR'S REPLY. It is encouraging that Drs Ninan and colleagues have obtained similar results to our own with regard to nitrogen treatment in their large study. Whilst research must continue, we are not enthusiastic about the principles of acaridical treatment.

If a new drug for asthma were introduced into clinical practice, it would have to be proven to be efficacious and safe in long term use. Acaricides have become widely available and heavily marketed in spite of satisfying neither of these criteria. Over and over, they are still being bought by our patients. We question whether this is the correct approach. House dust mites are a domestic infestation directly analogous to mosquitoes in tropical countries. Malaria control incorporates barriers—that is, mosquito nets—and changing the natural habitat—that is, draining the swamp. Insecticides are only transiently effective.

Ultimately we are going to have to address the question of how we are going to change our domestic environment to make it less conducive to mite infestation. This involves controlling vellum fibres and dust mites, and dust mite plus seasonal or other allergens to which they will not be exposed continually.