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Abstract

Background—Long term oral corticosteroid treatment is a cause of myopathy of the skeletal muscles. The effect of long term treatment with oral corticosteroids on the respiratory muscles is uncertain. Respiratory muscle function and fatigue in sternomastoid muscle were investigated in a group of patients with chronic severe asthma who were taking oral corticosteroids. The results were compared with those from a group of patients with chronic airflow limitation who were not taking oral steroids.

Methods—Twelve patients with chronic severe asthma, taking a mean daily dosage of 8 mg of prednisolone for a mean (SD) of 16±8 (9-1) years, were compared with patients with chronic airflow limitation and individually matched for sex, age, and severity of airflow limitation. Lung function tests, maximal mouth pressures, and quadriceps and sternomastoid muscle strength were measured. The sternomastoid muscle was fatigued by maximal headlift exercise to 70% of initial headlift force and the endurance time noted. Sternomastoid fatigue was assessed by twitch maximum relaxation rate (TMRR) measured in the fresh state and for 30 minutes after exercise.

Results—There was no significant difference between the control group and the corticosteroid group for maximal mouth pressures, fresh state TMRR, and quadriceps and sternomastoid strength. The control group had a significantly longer mean (SD) endurance time than the corticosteroid group (121 (47) s vs 86 (24) s), and also had significantly less slowing and faster recovery of the TMRR after exercise. The slowing and recovery of the TMRR in the corticosteroid group, however, was similar to that previously reported for normal subjects.

Conclusion—Respiratory muscle weakness does not occur more often in patients taking oral corticosteroids. The corticosteroid group was more prone to fatigue than the control group, but was similar to normal subjects. This suggests that chronic airflow limitation may produce a training effect on the respiratory muscles that might be attenuated by long term oral corticosteroid treatment.

(Thorax 1993;48:979–984)

Despite the widespread use of potent topical inhaled corticosteroids for the treatment of asthma, some patients with chronic severe asthma remain dependent on long term oral corticosteroid treatment to control their symptoms. These patients are at risk from the well known systemic side effects of oral corticosteroids, which include adrenal suppression, osteoporosis, impaired carbohydrate metabolism, truncal obesity, dermal thinning, and myopathy. Corticosteroid induced myopathy has been described mainly in the proximal skeletal muscles and is usually related to long term treatment. Myopathy of the peripheral muscles has been described in asthmatic patients given long term oral corticosteroid treatment and also in patients given short periods of treatment with intra-venous steroids.

Little is known about the effects of long term oral corticosteroid treatment on the function of the respiratory muscles. If corticosteroid induced myopathy also involves the respiratory muscles, this could predispose to weakness and fatigue of these muscles and subsequent respiratory failure. To date, studies have not shown obvious respiratory muscle weakness in patients with respiratory disease given steroids. It is not known, however, if long term corticosteroid treatment makes the respiratory muscles prone to fatigue.

We have previously described a simple and sensitive test to detect fatigue in an accessory muscle of respiration—namely, the slowing of the sternomastoid muscle twitch maximum relaxation rate (TMRR). The TMRR of certain muscles in animals is slower in muscles with myopathy induced by corticosteroids. Therefore, the TMRR of the sternomastoid muscle may serve as an indicator of corticosteroid induced myopathy in the respiratory muscles in humans.

We have investigated respiratory muscle function and sternomastoid muscle fatigue with the sternomastoid TMRR technique in a group of patients with chronic severe asthma requiring long term oral corticosteroid treatment.
Patients and methods

Patients

We studied 12 patients with chronic severe asthma requiring long term oral corticosteroid treatment. All had a well documented history of variability of lung function responsive to oral corticosteroid treatment, but could not be weaned off their oral corticosteroids despite high dose inhaled corticosteroid medication. All had been taking oral corticosteroids for at least two years before the study. Patients were studied in a stable clinical condition on their minimum maintenance oral corticosteroid dose plus their usual medications, which included high dose inhaled corticosteroids (>1500 μg/day beclomethasone), inhaled β2 agonists, ipratropium bromide and, in four patients, oral theophyllines.

It was possible to individually match these patients with a control group of patients with chronic airflow limitation who had never taken regular oral steroids. The two groups were matched for sex, age, height, and indices of airflow limitation and hyperinflation. All had previously received one or two short courses (<2 weeks) of oral corticosteroids for acute exacerbations or as a steroid trial during the course of their disease, but none for at least six months before the study. The control group was also studied in a stable clinical condition on their usual medication, which included inhaled β2 agonists, ipratropium bromide and, in five patients, oral theophyllines. Eight of the 12 were also taking inhaled corticosteroids (<1000 μg/day of beclomethasone).

We also studied 18 patients with chronic severe asthma requiring long term oral corticosteroid treatment whom we could not match, and include data from these patients to compare with the smaller group of 12 patients taking corticosteroids.

No patients had any evidence of neuropathic or joint disorders that would have impaired their ability to carry out the tests on muscle strength and endurance. Two patients taking long term oral corticosteroids had complained in the past of muscle weakness, especially of the legs. All patients gave written informed consent and the study was approved by the ethics committee of University College Hospital.

Lung Function Studies

All patients underwent detailed lung function tests. Spirometry and peak flow were measured with a computerised dry rolling seal spirometer (Gould Pulmonograph System 2130, UK). Total lung capacity (TLC) and lung volume subdivisions were calculated from measuring thoracic gas volume in a constant volume whole body plethysmograph (Fenyves and Gut, Switzerland). At least three measurements were made for each variable. Predicted values were obtained from regression equations given in Cotes.12

Respiratory Muscle Strength

Respiratory muscle strength was assessed by maximal mouth pressures with a technique adapted from Black and Hyatt.13 A mouthpiece was attached to a rigid perspex tube 30 cm in length and 3 cm internal diameter. The tube had a 1.5 mm diameter leak hole 15 cm down its length to prevent closure of the glottis during respiratory manoeuvres. The pressure generated within the tube was measured with a Medex vascular pressure transducer (Medex Inc, Rossendale, UK) attached to the end of the tube. This system has been validated for measuring respiratory pressures and has a precision of ±2%.14 Maximum inspiratory pressure (Pimax) was measured from residual volume and maximum expiratory pressure (Pemax) was measured at total lung capacity with the patients’ palms pressing against their cheeks to prevent any contribution from the buccal musculature. The maximal pressure sustained for at least one second was recorded and the best of four attempts taken. Reference values previously established by our laboratory with this technique were used.11

Sternomastoid Muscle Strength and Endurance

Sternomastoid muscle strength was assessed by measuring the maximum voluntary head-lift force. The patients lay on a couch with their head and shoulders resting on a pillow at an angle of 30–45 degrees. Patients were asked to lift their foreheads a few centimetres from the resting position and to push as hard as possible against a pad attached to a load cell (Dyanometer UF-2, Ormed, UK) secured above them. The headlift force thus obtained was recorded on a chart recorder and calculated in Newtons (N). The best of four attempts was taken. Seventy per cent of the maximum headlift force was calculated and marked on the chart recorder. The patients were then exercised by performing repeated maximal headlifts against the fixed pad for two seconds followed by two seconds rest (giving a tension/time index of 50%). This exercise was continued until each subject could not achieve 70% of their initial maximum headlift force on three consecutive attempts despite active encouragement. The time taken to this point was recorded as the endurance time.

Sternomastoid Fatigue

Sternomastoid fatigue was assessed from the sternomastoid twitch maximum relaxation rate. Full details of this technique have been described previously.9 Briefly, patients were studied lying at an angle of 30–45 degrees. The right sternomastoid muscle was stimulated with two electrodes (3M Red Dot ECG electrode), one placed on the sternum and the other placed over the insertion of the accessory nerve into the body of the muscle. The anterior force vector generated by the muscle contraction was measured with a load cell (Dyanometer UF-2, Ormed, UK) attached to a curved perspex head pressed firmly on to the medial (sternal) tendon of the muscle. The load cell was in turn
attached to a custom designed rigid metal frame that allowed adjustments in several directions to simplify ideal placement.

The muscle was electrically stimulated with a unidirectional square wave impulse of 50 μs. Twitches were recorded with a UV light sensitive chart recorder running at 100 mm/s (Micromovements, UK). Initially, maximal stimulation voltage was determined by measuring the twitch force response to increasing voltages and was taken as the lowest voltage that gave a maximal twitch response (usually 50–80 V). Stimulation voltage for each patient was set at 10 V above the maximal voltage (to ensure supramaximal stimulation) and all twitches performed at 1 Hz with the breath held at end tidal expiration. Up to eight twitches could be performed during each breathhold. At least 24 twitches were measured in the fresh state. After the endurance exercise protocol, twitches were recorded at 1, 2-5, 5, 7-5, 10, 15, and 30 minutes, with at least eight twitches on each occasion.

All recorded twitches were analysed by hand. The TMRR was taken as the slope of the tangent drawn against the steepest part of the relaxation curve (usually the initial 10–50% of the curve) normalised for the peak twitch force, and expressed as % force loss/10 ms. Any twitch that did not have a smooth relaxation curve was rejected. At least six acceptable twitches were used to calculate the mean TMRR for each period after exercise.

PERIPHERAL MUSCLE STRENGTH
Peripheral muscle strength was assessed from the quadriceps muscle with a standard quadriceps chair.16 The leg was held in passive flexion with an ankle strap attached to a custom built strain gauge. Patients were asked to make maximal extension movements at the knee against the ankle strap. The force produced was recorded on a chart recorder. The best of four attempts was used and force was calculated in Newtons (N). Predicted values for quadriceps strength were calculated from equations based on age, sex and height, and values below 60% of these values were taken as abnormal (D A Jones, personal communication).

STATISTICS
Standard statistical methods to calculate the mean, standard deviation, standard error of the mean, and Pearson’s correlation were used. An unpaired t test was used to analyse the differences between the control group and matched patients on oral corticosteroids after ensuring that the two groups had equal variances by means of the F test. A p value of less than 0-05 was taken as significant.

Results
Table 1 gives details of the mean age, steroid dose, duration of treatment, and lung function of the matched corticosteroid group and control group. The two groups were closely matched in terms of age, height, weight and all indices of lung function. Although the control group had lower indices of gas transfer (as would be expected from a group of patients with mostly chronic airflow limitation induced by smoking rather than asthma), the differences between the two groups did not reach statistical significance. Also shown in table 1 are the results from the additional 18 patients taking corticosteroids who, as a group, had significantly less severe airflow limitation than the group of 12 corticosteroid dependent patients. Table 2 summarises the respiratory and quadriceps strength for the three groups. Only one patient in the smaller corticosteroid group had evidence of quadriceps weakness. This patient had previously reported muscle weakness, but did not have abnormal respiratory pressures or weak sternomastoid muscles. Four patients in the control group had evidence of quadriceps muscle weakness.

The mean maximal mouth pressures for both the matched corticosteroid group and the control group were similar and within the normal range for adults as established previously in our laboratory (table 2).15 In the matched corticosteroid group, however, two patients had a Pmax or Ptim below the normal range for their sex as did five patients in the control group. Based on Fisher’s exact test, there was no significant difference in the prevalence of patients with respiratory pressures below the normal range between the two groups. Of the five patients who had abnormal respiratory pressures in the control group, three had also had quadriceps weakness. In the 18 additional patients on corticosteroids, three were below the normal range for either Pmax or Ptim.

The mean sternomastoid muscle TMRRs in the matched corticosteroid and control groups were similar (table 2) and were within the normal range established in this laboratory from 32 normal subjects (mean SD 9-62 (1-91), range 5-8–13-44% force loss/10 ms).

The (SD) endurance time of headlift exercise was significantly longer in the control

| Table 1 Mean (SD) data for age and lung function |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Control group (n = 12) | Corticosteroid group (n = 12) | Additional corticosteroid patients (n = 18) |
| M/F | M/F | M/F |
| Age (y) | 61-4 (9-3) | 59-5 (10-4) | 57-1 (14-9) |
| Height (m) | 1-63 (0-11) | 1-64 (0-11) | 1-67 (0-14) |
| Average daily dose prednisolone (mg) | N/A | 8-00 (2-6) | 8-00 (3-11) |
| Duration of treatment (y) | N/A | 16-8 (9-1) | 13-3 (9-5) |
| Peak flow (l/min) | 160 (75) | 189 (89) | 278 (88)* |
| FEV₁/FVC (%) | 2-47 (0-74) | 2-57 (1-05) | 3-19 (0-85) |
| TLC (l) | 6-66 (1-85) | 6-99 (2-17) | 6-14 (1-37) |
| FRC (l) | 4-73 (1-65) | 4-89 (1-65) | 3-75 (1-06)* |
| TLCO (mmol/kPa/s) | 5-94 (1-51) | 7-05 (2-03) | 8-40 (2-80) |
| KCO (mmol/kPa/s) | 1-32 (0-42) | 1-48 (0-53) | 1-72 (0-52) |

* p < 0.05 between corticosteroid groups.

M/F—male/female; N/A—not applicable; FEV₁—forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC—forced vital capacity; TLC—total lung capacity; FRC—functional residual capacity; TLCO—carbon monoxide transfer factor; KCO—transfer factor per unit lung volume.
Table 2  Mean (SD) values of respiratory and peripheral muscle strength, endurance time, and fresh state TMRR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control group (n = 12)</th>
<th>Corticosteroid group (n = 12)</th>
<th>Additional corticosteroid patients (n = 18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pimax (cm H2O)</td>
<td>52.1 (22.4)</td>
<td>66.1 (24.0)</td>
<td>67.4 (26.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pimax (cm H2O)</td>
<td>95.7 (49.3)</td>
<td>119.3 (52.1)</td>
<td>96.1 (32.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps MVC (N)</td>
<td>226 (90)</td>
<td>266 (103)</td>
<td>276 (106)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternomastoid MVC (N)</td>
<td>80.6 (22.5)</td>
<td>82.3 (28.8)</td>
<td>81.1 (24.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endurance time (s)</td>
<td>121 (47)</td>
<td>86 (24)*</td>
<td>102 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh state TMRR</td>
<td>10-10 (1-95)</td>
<td>10-36 (2-02)</td>
<td>10-94 (2-35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05 v control group.

Pimax—maximum inspiratory mouth pressure; Pimax—maximum expiratory mouth pressure; MVC—maximal voluntary contraction; TMRR—twitch maximum relaxation rate.

Discussion

We have shown that there is little evidence of respiratory muscle weakness, as assessed by maximal mouth pressures, in asthmatic patients taking long term oral corticosteroids. Treatment compared with a control group of patients with chronic airflow limitation.

Patients taking corticosteroids had fresh state sternomastoid TMRRs well within the normal range and similar to the control group. Asthmatic patients taking corticosteroids, however, had significantly shorter sternomastoid exercise endurance time with significantly more slowing of the TMRR and slower rate of recovery than controls. This suggests that the sternomastoid muscle in corticosteroid dependent asthmatic patients fatigues more easily and takes longer to recover from fatigue than in patients with equivalent severity of airflow obstruction but not taking oral corticosteroids.

The prolonged slowing of the TMRR in the asthmatic group shows a major advantage of the TMRR over the more commonly used indicator of the fatiguing process, the maximum relaxation rate (MRR; measured after tetanic stimulation or maximum voluntary contractions). After fatiguing exercise, slowing of the sternomastoid TMRR lasts for longer than the MRR, and seems to follow the time course of low frequency fatigue.

Also, the sternomastoid muscle TMRR slows before the loss of force generating capacity and the degree of slowing and rate of recovery of the TMRR is related to the preceding load. Therefore, slowing of the TMRR can indicate if the muscle is undergoing a potentially fatiguing load. Comparison of the corticosteroid group with our previously published results for 10 normal subjects without respiratory disease and exercised to the same degree of fatigue showed that the slowing and recovery of the sternomastoid TMRR are similar (fig 3). Although these two groups are not directly comparable (the normal subjects were younger and had a different sex distribu-
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gandevia and colleagues have also found increased endurance in the respiratory muscles of patients with chronic airflow limitation and asthma.25–26 Their asthmatic patients had mild to moderate airflow obstruction but were not taking long term oral corticosteroids. Their findings showed enhanced endurance of both inspiratory and expiratory muscles but not limb muscles in both asthmatic patients, and patients with chronic airflow limitation. They also suggested that this was due to a training effect that chronic or intermittent episodes of airflow obstruction had on the respiratory muscles. Our asthmatic patients, however, showed reduced endurance of the sternomastoid muscle compared with patients with chronic airflow limitation. This suggests that there is a difference between the sternomastoid and other muscles of respiration, or that there is a myopathic effect from the oral corticosteroids, or that steroids attenuated the training effects of a chronic respiratory load.

Another possible explanation for our findings could relate to differences in the two diseases we have studied. The load on the respiratory muscles in chronic airflow limitation is fairly constant, whereas it may be intermittent in asthma. All our asthmatic subjects, however, were studied in a stable condition and had severe airflow limitation in the stable state. Therefore, they would have a similar chronic load to that of the patients with chronic airflow limitation together with intermittent exacerbations, so should have exhibited a similar training effect. Also, our asthmatic patients could have performed less well because of bronchospasm induced by exercise. Although we did not measure lung function after exercise, no patients complained of worsening of asthma after the exercises, or required bronchodilators.

Few studies have examined the effect of long term oral corticosteroid treatment on the respiratory muscles of humans. One study has examined the effect of a short course of prednisolone (20 mg/day for 14 days) on normal subjects and found no change in respiratory pressures or endurance.7 Picardo et al examined a group of 34 patients with chronic severe asthma who had been taking an average dose of 11.9 mg of prednisolone for a mean of 7.9 years (a higher mean dose but a shorter duration of treatment than in our group) and found no difference in respiratory or peripheral muscle strength when compared with a group of age, sex, height, and weight matched asthmatic patients. The control group was not well matched in terms of respiratory impairment, however, with the steroid group having a forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) of 54.1% of predicted compared with the control group with an FEV1 of 73% (p < 0.001).

The clinical effect of therapeutic doses of corticosteroids on peripheral skeletal muscle is well known,12,13 but animal models of corticosteroid myopathy have produced conflicting results. Corticosteroids produce selective atrophy of fast twitch type II fibres in skeletal muscles14–16 and in the diaphragms of various animals,17–20 without change in the proportion of type I to type II fibres within the muscle. Although these studies show changes...
occurring within the muscle, the manifestation of the myopathy was variable. These inconsistent results may be due to the different animals used, different corticosteroids, and widely differing dose regimens.

Studies that have examined the endurance of corticosteroid treated diaphragms have also generated conflicting results; some reporting increased or unchanged endurance,1,2 and others reduced endurance.3-5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 


