Cephalometric measurements in snorers, non-snorers, and patients with sleep apnoea

F Maltais, G Carrier, Y Cormier, F Sériès

Abstract
Cephalometry is often used to assess patients with sleep apnoea but whether these measurements differ from those in non-apnoeic snorers and how they are influenced by age is not clear. Cephalometric radiographs of patients with sleep apnoea were compared with those of snorers without sleep apnoea and those of non-snorers. Fifty two snorers with suspected sleep apnoea had a conventional sleep study and were divided into two groups: those with an apnoea-hypopnoea index greater than 10/h (n = 40, sleep apnoea group) and those whose apnoea-hypopnoea index was 10/h or less (n = 12, snorer group). The cephalometric measurements in these patients were compared with those of 34 non-snorers. Controls were subdivided into two groups: control group 1 included 17 subjects similar in age to the sleep apnoea and snorer group (mean (SD) age 50±0 (10-9), 50±7 (9-4), and 50±6 (9-7) years); control group 2 included 15 young men (25±4 (2-6) years). The distance from the mandibular plane to the hyoid bone (MP-H) and the length of the soft palate were greater in the patients with sleep apnoea (28±7 (7-8) and 43±6 (5-0) mm) than in the snorers (23±7 (4-2) and 40±3 (4-9) mm). The MP-H was similar in snorers and age matched control subjects, but was significantly greater in the older than in the younger control subjects (22±1 (6-1) vs 17±0 (6-8)). The soft palate was longer in subjects who snored (both sleep apnoea patients and snorers) than in control subjects. The MP-H distance significantly correlated with age for all subjects (snorers and controls) and for the control subjects alone. This study shows that non-apnoeic snorers have cephalometric abnormalities that differ from those of patients with sleep apnoea and that cephalometric values are influenced by the subject's age.

Obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome is characterised by recurrent occlusion of the upper airways resulting from inspiratory collapse of the pharyngeal walls. This occurs when the forces developed by contraction of the pharyngeal dilator muscles are less than the negative inspiratory pressure. Any reduction in upper airway calibre (for example, by structural abnormalities) may contribute to this collapse: the narrower the upper airways the greater the inspiratory transmural pressure gradient (Bernoulli principle) and the instability of the upper airways. In addition, these structural abnormalities decrease the operating length of the pharyngeal dilator muscles, compromising their mechanical efficiency. A reduction in pharyngeal area predisposes to the development of a sleep apnoea syndrome. These upper airway abnormalities may be subtle and detectable only by computed tomography, acoustic reflection, or cephalometry. Patients with sleep apnoea commonly have cephalometric abnormalities by comparison with younger control subjects. It is not known, however, if cephalometric measurements are influenced by age. Studies using cineradiography and acoustic reflection have found upper airway abnormalities in snorers without sleep apnoea. Symptomless snorers have cephalometric abnormalities, but their measurements have not been compared with those from patients with sleep apnoea. We have compared the cephalometric measurements obtained in snorers with and without the sleep apnoea syndrome and in young and older normal non-snorers. Our objectives were to determine which measurements were most abnormal in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea and in snorers, and to determine whether age influences these measurements.

Methods
SUBJECTS
Eighty four white men were included in the study. Fifty two subjects were referred to our sleep laboratory for investigation of snoring and symptoms suggesting the sleep apnoea syndrome (morning headaches, nocturnal awakenings and chokings, poor sleep quality, daytime somnolence). The other 32 subjects were recruited from the cardiopulmonary staff of our institution; all were non-snorers (according to their spouse or other family members) and had no clinical evidence of the sleep apnoea syndrome.

STUDY DESIGN
Lateral cephalometric radiographs were obtained for each subject with the technique described by Riley et al. The conventional landmarks were determined (fig 1) with the subject's head in the neutral position, eyes looking directly forward at a film tube distance of exactly 60 inches (152 cm). Dental prostheses were removed for cephalometric measurements in the 20 subjects who had them (18 with sleep apnoea, two snorers). All radiographs were interpreted by the same investigator (GC), who did not know the
group to which the subject belonged.

Each snorer (n = 52) had a conventional night time polygraphic recording. The recorded variables were: electroencephalogram (C4-A1, C3-A2), electro-oculogram, submental electromyogram, electrocardiogram, nasal and mouth flows with thermistors, thoracoabdominal movements with an inductance vest (Respirtrac; Ambulatory Monitoring Inc, Ardsley, New York), and oxygen saturation (SaO2) with a Biox II A or Biox 3700 ear oximeter. In 41 patients intrathoracic pressure was measured with an oesophageal balloon. All measurements were recorded on a 16 channel polygraph (Model 78; Grass Instruments, Quincy, Montana) at a paper speed of 10 mm/s. The body mass index (weight/height²) was used as an index of obesity.16

Obstructive apnoea was defined as the complete cessation of airflow for at least 10 seconds associated with thoracoabdominal paradox or inspiratory efforts, or both. Hypopnoea consisted of a reduction in airflow with a decrease in SaO2 of at least 5%. The apnoea index and apnoea–hypopnoea indices were defined by the frequency of these events per hour of sleep.17

Sleep apnoea was diagnosed when the apnoea-hypopnoea index was over 10.18 Obstructive events accounted for most apnoeic and hypopnoeic respiratory abnormalities in all our subjects.

The 84 subjects were divided into four groups: (1) those with obstructive sleep apnoea (n = 40); (2) snorers with an apnoeahypopnoea index of 10 or less (n = 12); (3) non-snorers similar in age to the patients with sleep apnoea and the snorers (control group 1, n = 17); and (4) young non-snorers (control group 2, n = 15).

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Differences between the four groups for age, body mass index, and cephalometric measurements were assessed first by an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and then by a Student-Newman-Keuls test for multiple comparisons when ANOVA showed a significant difference between the different groups. For the comparison of two groups (patients with sleep apnoea and snorers) we used an unpaired Student’s t test for parametric variable (total sleep time) and a Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric variables (sleep stages, apnoea index, apnoea-hypopnoea index, mean sleeping SaO2).

Correlation analysis between age and cephalometric measurements was done by linear regression by the least squares method.

**Results**

Anthropometric characteristics of the different groups are given in table 1. The mean age was similar in the patients with sleep apnoea, snorers, and control group 1. By definition, subjects in control group 2 were younger than those in the other groups. The body mass index was significantly higher in those with sleep apnoea than in the snorers of either control group. The body mass index was similar in the snorers and the two control groups.

The results of the sleep studies obtained in the patients with sleep apnoea and the snorers are shown in table 2. The total sleep time was similar in the two groups. Patients with sleep

**Table 1** Mean (SD) values for the anthropometric characteristics and the cephalometric measurements for each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients with sleep apnoea</th>
<th>Snorers</th>
<th>Control 1</th>
<th>Control 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>50 (0.9)*</td>
<td>50 (9.4)*</td>
<td>50 (8.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>37 (9.7)*</td>
<td>37 (0.37)*</td>
<td>22 (3.8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA (deg)</td>
<td>81 (6.45)</td>
<td>80 (4.14)</td>
<td>79 (6.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB1 (deg)</td>
<td>79 (3.43)</td>
<td>79 (6.41)</td>
<td>78 (2.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB2 (deg)</td>
<td>3 (2.0)</td>
<td>2 (7.1)</td>
<td>2 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-ANS (mm)</td>
<td>54 (6.2)</td>
<td>54 (6.49)</td>
<td>55 (3.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANS-Gn (mm)</td>
<td>74.7 (7.4)</td>
<td>75.2 (7.1)</td>
<td>73.5 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI—body mass index; see figure 1 for other abbreviations. Values followed by a and b are significantly different (p < 0.05, ANOVA and Student-Newman-Keuls test).

**Table 2** Mean (SE) results of the polysomnographic studies on patients with obstructive sleep apnoea and snorers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients with sleep apnoea</th>
<th>Snorers</th>
<th>Control 1</th>
<th>Control 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total sleep time (h)</td>
<td>5.5 (0.2)</td>
<td>6.0 (0.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage I-IV (%) total sleep time</td>
<td>82.0 (2.7)</td>
<td>76 (1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage I-II (%) total sleep time</td>
<td>72 (1.2)</td>
<td>10 (2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage REM (%) total sleep time</td>
<td>97 (1.0)</td>
<td>13 (1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apnoea index (n/h)</td>
<td>24 (3.8)</td>
<td>19 (0.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apnoea-hypopnoea index (n/h)</td>
<td>53 (5.3)</td>
<td>34 (0.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial oxygen saturation (%)</td>
<td>87 (1.3)</td>
<td>92 (0.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05; †p < 0.01 (unpaired t test or Mann-Whitney U test; see "Statistical analysis").
snorers and age matched control subjects had similar MP-H values. The MP-H was smaller in younger control subjects than in the snorers (p < 0.01) and the older control subjects (p < 0.05). The soft palate was longer in subjects with sleep apnoea than in the snorers (p < 0.05)—see figure 3—and longer in those with sleep apnoea and in the snorers than in either control group (p < 0.01). Patients with sleep apnoea tended to have a smaller posterior airway space than snorers, but the difference was not significant; it was, however, smaller in both groups of snorers than in the control subjects (p < 0.05)—figure 3.

There was a significant relation between age and the MP-H distance in the two control groups, who differed only by age (fig 4). This relation was also observed when all four groups were included in the analysis. The length of the soft palate and the posterior airway space were not correlated with age.

**Discussion**

Our results confirm that cephalometric measurements of snorers without sleep apnoea and patients with sleep apnoea differ from those of non-snorers, and show that, among normal subjects, MP-H distance increases with age. Although objective measurements of snoring have been suggested, there are no standard criteria to differentiate snorers from non-snorers. As the aim of this study was not to quantify snoring, but to look at the cephalometric differences between subjects with clinically important snoring and habitual non-snorers, the selection of snorers and non-snorers was done by questionnaire. Snoring was observed in obstructive sleep apnoea patients and in snorers by definition as they were referred to us for heavy snoring. Snoring was always confirmed by the technician during the polysomnographic study. The presence of snoring was assessed in a similar way in previous reports on the pharyngeal properties of snorers.

As the occurrence of snoring has been determined by questionnaire in large epidemiological studies and as subjects in the control groups did not snore, even occasionally, we believe that any substantial overlap between our snoring and non-snorers groups is unlikely.

We do not believe that the presence or absence of dental protheses can account for the differences between the patients with sleep apnoea and the snorers as differences were observed even if we considered only subjects without a prothesis. In these two groups (sleep apnoea and snoring), the results of cephalometric measurements were very similar in those with and without a dental prosthesis. This is presumably because cephalometry was standardised with the mandible in the neutral position, the lips relaxed, and the teeth not clenched.

We used cephalometric radiographs to assess the upper airway anatomy because it is simpler than other methods of measuring upper airway patency (acoustic reflection, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging).
Although it is a two dimensional assessment, there is a good correlation between the posterior airway space and the pharyngeal space measured by cephalometry and by computed tomography. The results obtained in our youngest control subjects and in those with sleep apnoea are similar to those previously reported for corresponding groups.

Our groups were not matched for body mass index. The body mass index was not, however, correlated with any of the cephalometric parameters, so the differences in cephalometric measurements observed between the four groups is unlikely to have been influenced by this variable. The pharyngeal cross sectional area of patients with sleep apnoea (measured by computed tomography) and of normal subjects (measured by acoustic reflection) is not correlated with weight. This lack of correlation may reflect the poor correlation between the amount of fat in the neck and face and fat elsewhere. The role of fat deposition in determining upper airway patency is not clear, with conflicting results from computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging.

Other factors, such as differences in lung volumes, may contribute to the difference in upper airway dimension between the different groups.

Our results show that there are cephalometric differences between non-snorers and snorers with and without sleep apnoea. As our snoring subjects were mostly heavy snorers, we are aware that these results cannot be extrapolated to every snorer. The length of the soft palate and the posterior airway space differed between snoring subjects and non-snorers, so these cephalometric abnormalities seem likely to be associated with snoring. In contrast, MP-H distance was significantly greater in patients with sleep apnoea than in either heavy snorers or older control subjects, suggesting that this abnormality is more specific to sleep apnoea.

The position of the hyoid bone may be an important determinant of obstructive sleep apnoea because it anchors the musculature of the tongue. When this bone is abnormally low (increasing the MP-H distance) the tongue positioned further back, thus reducing upper airway patency, and adding to the effect of a longer soft palate. The role of the position of the hyoid bone in obstructive apnoea is shown by the effectiveness of surgery to advance the hyoid in the treatment of sleep apnoea.

Although anatomical factors play an important part in the development of obstructive sleep apnoea, other factors, such as an increase in pharyngeal compliance and fluctuations in the activity of the pharyngeal dilators and in upper airway resistance when respiratory drive is changed can account for the absence of sleep apnoea in some snorers with a small pharynx. The large overlap in cephalometric measurements between those with sleep apnoea and snorers limits the usefulness of these radiographs in screening for sleep apnoea.

The MP-H distance was longer in the age matched control subjects than in the younger control group and the relation between MP-H and age was significant. This is consistent with the results of Brown et al, who found a decrease in pharyngeal cross sectional area with age. These changes may be related to changes in lung volumes, fat deposition in the upper airway, or upper airway adaptation with aging, or some combination of these. This finding emphasises the need to compare cephalometric measurements of subjects of similar ages.

Cephalometric measurements in our study were obtained with the subjects in the sitting position. Fitzpatrick et al have recently reported changes in upper airway dimensions with position (that is, sitting versus supine). This position dependence of upper airway dimensions could be related to the effects of gravity on upper airway structures and to the lung volume dependence of upper airway patency. Further studies need to look at the position dependence of cephalometric measurements in those with sleep apnoea snorers, and non-snorers.
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