Pneumococcal capsular antigen detection and pneumococcal serology in patients with community acquired pneumonia
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Abstract

Background Methods to determine the microbial cause of community acquired pneumonia include detection of pneumococcal antigen and measurement of pneumococcal capsular antibody response. Their usefulness compared with conventional microbiological techniques was investigated in patients with pneumonia, some of whom had been treated with antibiotics.

Methods Pneumococcal capsular antigen was detected by latex agglutination in sputum and the results compared prospectively with results of conventional microbiological techniques in 90 patients with community acquired pneumonia. Serum, urine, and pleural fluid samples were also tested for antigen. Serum pneumococcal capsular antibody titres were measured.

Results A diagnosis was established by conventional microbiological techniques in 53 patients, 30 of whom had pneumococcal pneumonia. The sensitivity of antigen detection in first day sputum specimens (n = 18) in those with pneumococcal pneumonia was 94%; antigen was present in 23 of the 27 patients who produced representative sputum on admission and during follow up. The specificity of antigen detection in sputum in patients with non-pneumococcal pneumonia and lung infarction was 87%. Antigen was present in 12 of 25 patients with pneumonia of unknown aetiology who produced representative sputum. Antigen was rarely detected in serum and urine, but was present in pleural fluid in three of four patients with pneumococcal pneumonia and in all four patients with pneumonia of unknown aetiology. Pneumococcal antigen remained detectable in patients treated with antibiotics. Pneumococcal capsular antibody detection was as specific (85%) as antigen detection, but had a lower sensitivity (50%).

Conclusion Pneumococcal antigen detection in sputum or pleural fluid is of value in making a rapid diagnosis and provides an additional diagnostic result in patients with pneumococcal pneumonia, especially those receiving antibiotic treatment.

Despite the availability of antibiotic treatment community acquired pneumonia is still a severe, life threatening illness.1-5 It is often difficult to establish a causative agent rapidly because of a lack of good quality sputum, pretreatment with antibiotics and the delay caused by serological testing.

Although sputum may be contaminated with oropharyngeal flora, a Gram stained smear is of diagnostic value, especially when the leucocyte to squamous epithelial cell ratio is > 5.6 Washing sputum to reduce contamination may improve the reliability of the method.6-10

To improve the diagnostic yield in patients with pneumonia methods to detect pneumococcal antigen in sputum and other body fluids have been developed.11-16 Antigen detection increases the diagnosis of pneumococcal infection, especially in patients with sputum samples with no bacteria in Gram stained smears and may influence the choice of antibiotic treatment.11-20 We compared the results of pneumococcal capsular antigen detection in washed sputum, serum, urine, and pleural fluid specimens with those of conventional microbiological culture techniques on patients in hospital with a community acquired pneumonia. The relation of antigen detection to the pneumococcal capsular antibody response was also studied.

Patients and methods

PATIENTS
The study was performed between April 1987 and October 1989. Ninety patients suspected of having a community acquired pneumonia were included. Pneumonia was defined as an acute illness with fever and one or more transient shadows on the chest radiograph. Patients with lung cancer and immunocompromised patients were excluded.

After diagnosis the patients were classified into the following four groups:

Group 1a—patients with pneumococcal pneumonia, diagnosed by blood culture yielding *Streptococcus pneumoniae*.

Group 1b—patients with probable pneumococcal pneumonia, diagnosed on the basis of the finding of lancet shaped Gram positive encapsulated diplococci on Gram stained sputum smears (sputum culture was used to confirm this finding).

Group 2—patients with pneumonia of other known aetiology, defined by a non-pneumococcal pathogen predominant in a Gram stained smear or culture of sputum or by a positive blood culture or a fourfold or greater increase in titre to pneumococcal capsular antigen.
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rise or fall in antibody titre in any of the serological tests. Lung infarction presenting as pneumonia was diagnosed on the basis of clinical symptoms and a high probability ventilation-perfusion scan (segmental or larger perfusion defect with ventilation mismatch).

Group 3—patients with mixed bacterial pathogens including \textit{S. pneumoniae} seen in the Gram stained sputum smear and confirmed by culture.

Group 4—patients in whom no positive microbiological or serological results were obtained, the pneumonia being classified as of unknown aetiology.

**SPECIMENS**

On admission and during follow up sputum (if available), serum, and urine specimens were collected daily for microbiological and antigen investigation. Three blood samples for aerobic and anaerobic culture were obtained on day one of the study. Pleural fluid was examined when present. Gram staining was performed within a few hours after specimen collection. All specimens were cultured according to conventional methods, including that for \textit{Legionella} spp. Sputum was stained and cultured for \textit{Mycobacterium} spp only if clinically indicated. Sputum and oropharyngeal secretions were cultured for respiratory viruses, cytomegalovirus, and \textit{Mykoplasma pneumoniae}. Acute and convalescent serum samples were assessed by complement fixation tests for \textit{M. pneumoniae}, \textit{Chlamydia psittaci}, \textit{Coxiella burnetii}, respiratory viruses, and cytomegalovirus, and by indirect immunofluorescence for \textit{Legionella pneumophila} (serogroups 1–6). A fourfold or greater rise in titre to \( \geq 1:128 \) or a single serum titre of \( \geq 1:256 \) was considered evidence of \textit{Legionella} infection.

**SPUTUM EXAMINATION**

Sputum samples were sequentially washed three times with physiological saline according to Mulder\(^4\) if they were sufficient for this. The resultant purulent fragments were used for Gram staining and culture. Representative sputum originating from the lower respiratory tract was defined as that containing \( \geq 50 \) leucocytes and \( \leq 5 \) squamous epithelial cells per low power field (total magnification \( \times 100 \)). Specimens deviating from this standard were considered to be non-representative specimens and were not included in the results. Cultures were performed semiquantitatively. Bacteria were identified by standard methods.

**PNEUMOCOCCAL ANTIGEN DETECTION**

The latex particle agglutination test was performed with the Wellcogen Kit (Wellcome Diagnostics, Dartford, England) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Washed sputum specimens were liquefied and homogenised by adding an equal volume of 1:10 diluted Sputolysin (Stat-Pack, Behring Diagnostics, La Jolla, United States), vortexing for 30 seconds, and leaving them at room temperature for at least 15 minutes. Pleural fluid was treated in the same way except that a quarter volume of diluted Sputolysin was added to the specimen. All other specimens were treated as advised by the manufacturer.

**DETERMINATION OF PNEUMOCOCCAL ANTIBODIES**

Titres of IgG and IgM antibodies against \textit{S. pneumoniae} were measured by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)\(^21\) by using polyvalent pneumococcal vaccine (Pneumovax; Merck Sharp and Dohme) containing capsular polysaccharides of the 23 most common pneumococcal types as antigen. A minimal two fold rise or fall in the titre of serum antibodies (IgG or IgM, or both) against pneumococcal capsular polysaccharide was considered significant.\(^21\)–\(^24\)

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The \( \chi^2 \) test with Yates's correction was used in the analysis of categorical variables. Continuous variables with abnormal distribution were analysed by the Mann-Whitney U test.

**RESULTS**

Ninety patients (32 women and 58 men, mean (range) age 58.5 (23–89) years) with community acquired pneumonia were included in the study.

**MICROBIAL DIAGNOSIS**

A microbial diagnosis was established in 53 of the 90 patients (table 1). Three patients had lung infarction, and in 34 patients (group 4) no aetiological cause was found for their pneumonias.

**Table 1 Isolated pathogens and numbers of deaths in 90 patients with community acquired pneumonia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogens</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>No of deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups 1a and 1b (n = 30):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. pneumoniae}</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. pneumoniae} and influenza A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. pneumoniae} and parainfluenza 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. pneumoniae} and respiratory syncytial virus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. pneumoniae} and cytomegalovirus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. pneumoniae} and varicella zoster virus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 (n = 22):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{M. pneumoniae}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{C. psittaci}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{C. psittaci} and cytomegalovirus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Haemophilus influenzae}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Moraxella catarrhalis}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Proteus mirabilis}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{P. mirabilis} and \textit{Escherichia coli}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Serratia marcescens}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed aerobic bacteria, anaerobic bacteria, and adenovirus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parainfluenza 3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytomegalovirus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung infarction</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3 (n = 4):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. pneumoniae} and \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. pneumoniae} and \textit{Haemophilus parainfluenzae}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. pneumoniae, M. pneumoniae} and influenza B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. pneumoniae} and \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4 (n = 34):</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Results of various diagnostic tests for pneumococci in 90 patients with community-acquired pneumonia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sputum</th>
<th>Blood</th>
<th>Urine</th>
<th>Pleural fluid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gram staining</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Antigen*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 1a and 1b (n=30)</td>
<td>21/27</td>
<td>19/27</td>
<td>23/27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1a (n=14)</td>
<td>9/11</td>
<td>5/11</td>
<td>8/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1b (n=16)</td>
<td>16/16</td>
<td>14/16</td>
<td>15/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 (n=22)</td>
<td>0/16</td>
<td>0/16</td>
<td>2/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3 (n=4)</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4 (n=34)</td>
<td>0/26</td>
<td>1/26</td>
<td>12/25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Antigen detection in first representative sputum sample. †Three patients did not expectorate representative sputum. ‡Convalescent serum samples could not be obtained in two patients who died.

Pneumococci were identified in Gram stained sputum smears from 21 out of 27 samples in groups 1a and 1b, and were cultured from 19 specimens (table 2). Eleven patients with pneumococcal bacteraemia (group 1a) expectorated representative sputum, five specimens of which showed pneumococci in the Gram stained smear and the culture. Pneumococcus was isolated from sputum culture in only one patient in group 4 (patients with pneumonia of unknown aetiology), but was not seen in any of the Gram stained smears.

Pneumococcal Capsular Antigen Detection

On day one of the study 18 of the 30 patients with pneumococcal pneumonia (groups 1a and 1b) expectorated a representative sputum specimen for Gram staining, culture, and antigen determination. The sensitivity of antigen detection in these patients was 94%. In this group antigen detection in the first available representative sputum specimen collected during the first week of the illness was positive in 23 of 27 patients tested (table 2). Three patients failed to expectorate a representative sputum specimen. Antigen detection in sputum specimens (groups 1a and 1b) obtained during the first seven days of the study showed a decrease in the number of antigen positive specimens, although the detection rate of antigen remained high (figure).

Sixteen of the 22 patients with non-pneumococcal pneumonia (group 2) produced one or more representative sputum specimens; antigen was demonstrated in two, giving a specificity for antigen detection of 87% (table 2). Pneumococcal antigen was detected in representative sputum in three of four patients in group 3 and in 12 of 25 patients in group 4.

In contrast to sputum the rate of antigen detection in serum and urine (often concentrated samples) was very low (table 2). Antigen was detected in pleural fluid, however, in three of the four patients with pneumococcal pneumonia and in all four patients with pneumonia of unknown aetiology.

Pneumococcal Antibody Detection

Fourteen of the 28 patients with pneumococcal pneumonia who had convalescent serum samples examined had a significant titre for IgM or IgG or both. One of these patients had no detectable antigen in representative sputum and two patients did not produce sputum. In group 2 (patients with pneumonia of other known aetiology) three of 20 patients had a positive serological response. Nine of the patients with pneumonia of unknown aetiology (group 4) had a positive titre.

Antibiotic Pretreatment

Four patients with pneumococcal pneumonia had been pretreated with antibiotics (table 3). Patients with pneumonia of other known aetiology (group 2) had had antibiotic pretreatment significantly more often (p < 0.0005) and for a longer period of time (five versus 1-5 days, p = 0.03) than those with pneumococcal pneumonia.

Table 3 No of patients treated with antibiotics and duration of treatment before admission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of patients treated</th>
<th>Median (range) duration of treatment (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups 1a and 1b</td>
<td>4/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>17/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>13/34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of the total sputum specimens from patients with pneumococcal pneumonia (groups 1a and 1b) that were antigen positive during follow up. % Antigen positive sputum specimens; □ total number of sputum specimens.

Monia. The most commonly isolated microorganism was S pneumoniae. An association with a viral pathogen was found in seven patients in groups 1a and 1b. Mixed bacterial infections including S pneumoniae occurred in four patients in group 3.
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Discussion

Different methods have been used to detect pneumococcal antigen in sputum and other body fluids from patients with pneumonia. We used latex agglutination because it is easy to perform and provides a result within 30 minutes. Comparison with previous studies is difficult, because of varying antigen detection techniques and different group classifications. The number of patients who were pretreated with antibiotics is also an important variable, perhaps explaining the different proportions of pathogens found in the various studies.

Patients with lung infarction, those with clinical and radiological signs identical to pneumonia, and those with pneumonia caused by a non-pneumococcal pathogen were included in the study to determine the specificity of the antigen detection test. To exclude potential cross reactivity with non-pneumococcal microorganisms, mixed infections with S. pneumoniae and other bacteria were classified as a separate group.

A microbial aetiology was established by conventional methods in 53 of the 90 patients and, as in most other studies, the most commonly isolated pathogen was S. pneumoniae.

Our results suggest that the pneumococcal antigen test is a reliable method for increasing the number of correct diagnoses in patients with community acquired pneumonia. In representative sputum a sensitivity of 94% (groups 1a and 1b) and a specificity of 87% (group 2) were established. The antigen test result remained positive during treatment with antibiotics. This observation confirms that a positive result is not dependent on viable pneumococci and is useful in patients treated with antibiotics before admission to hospital.

Some patients did not expectorate representative sputum on admission, but did later, possibly because of improvement in performance, decrease in pleural pain, or the effect of bronchodilators. Antigen detection was performed on washed representative sputum samples in only two other studies, but antigen detection during the course of the illness was not reported.

Two positive antigen reactions occurred in sputum samples in group 2. In one patient, who was pretreated with antibiotics, a viral aetiology was established, although pneumococcal infection may also have been involved. The other patient, who had a serologically proved influenza B infection, had difficulty expectorating because of severe pleuritic pain and pneumococcus may have been missed in scanty sputum. This mixed control group, chosen for logistic reasons, was not an ideal group for determination of the specificity of the test. Sputum washing may increase the specificity of the antigen detection test by decreasing the number of oropharyngeal cross reacting microorganisms.

The value of antigen detection, especially in patients already treated with antibiotics before hospital admission, was also seen in patients with pneumonia of unknown aetiology (group 4). The observation that antigen detected in a large proportion of this group suggests that these patients probably had a pneumococcal pneumonia. Conventional microbiology rarely gives a definitive diagnosis in patients receiving antibiotics before admission. Our results suggest that in such patients detectable antigen gives an indication of the cause of the pneumonia.

The yield of positive antigen detection by latex agglutination in serum and urine specimens was low, which is in agreement with previous studies. One reason for this may be a low antigen concentration in these fluids. Lower concentrations of antigen may be detected by coating the latex particles with monospecific (one serotype only) antibodies, but this technique is not realistic for diagnostic purposes as it is time consuming and expensive. Methods such as counterimmunoelectrophoresis and ELISA seem to be more sensitive for use in blood and urine, but examination of sputum by these techniques remains superior.

Although the number of specimens tested was small, antigen detection in pleural fluid was remarkably high in patients with pneumococcal pneumonia or pneumonia of unknown aetiology. This high rate of antigen detection in pleural fluid has been reported only with counterimmunoelectrophoresis.

Pneumococcal serology may be of additive value but cannot help to establish an early diagnosis. The sensitivity of 50% and specificity of 85% are similar to those in other studies. Pneumococcal typing was not done in all patients, so we do not know whether all the types isolated were present in Pneumovax.
demonstrate antigen in sputum specimens obtained during antibiotic treatment. Antigen testing of pleural fluid may complement the sputum tests, but the results of antigen detection in urine and serum samples were disappointing. Pneumococcal serological testing is far less sensitive than antigen detection but may be of value in the absence of sputum.
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