Effect of three weeks' treatment with budesonide on in vitro contractile and relaxant airway effects in the rat
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ABSTRACT An investigation was carried out to determine whether the sensitivity of rat tracheal smooth muscle to contractile and relaxant drugs was affected by three weeks' treatment with subcutaneous budesonide before death. Budesonide treatment was associated with a lower thymus weight and a smaller gain in body weight than in control animals. There was, however, no difference in the carbachol concentration-response curves or maximum responses to carbachol of tracheal smooth muscle from control and budesonide treated rats. Isometric and isotonic recordings agreed in these respects. Glucocorticoid treatment did not increase the sensitivity of tracheal smooth muscle to the relaxant drugs terbutaline and enprofylline; if anything there was a tendency for terbutaline and enprofylline to be less potent after budesonide treatment. The data suggest that in vivo effects of glucocorticoids on airway responsiveness to bronchodilating and bronchoconstricting drugs are unlikely to be due to a direct effect on bronchial smooth muscle.

Introduction

Treatment with inhaled glucocorticoids for a few weeks has been shown to reduce non-specific airway hyperreactivity in patients with asthma. Although airway hyperreactivity is thought to be due to inflammation it is measured as sensitivity to inhaled bronchoconstrictors such as histamine and muscarinic agonists. There appears to be no information on the effects of prolonged treatment with glucocorticoids on tracheobronchial smooth muscle or on non-specific reactivity in airways from healthy subjects. Such experiments are needed to exclude the possibility that glucocorticoids exert a direct effect on airway smooth muscle in addition to their anti-inflammatory actions, thereby reducing the responsiveness of smooth muscle to bronchoconstricting agents. Glucocorticoids may have a direct effect on smooth muscle. It has been claimed from in vitro studies that glucocorticoids make airway smooth muscle more responsive to both \( \beta \) receptor agonists and xanthines. In asthmatic patients with a poor acute bronchodilator response to \( \beta \) receptor agonists airway responsiveness to \( \beta \) agonists can be increased with glucocorticoid treatment.

We have examined the effects of three weeks' in vivo treatment with budesonide on rat tracheal smooth muscle responsiveness to a muscarinic agent (carbachol), a \( \beta \) adrenoceptor agonist (terbutaline), and an xanthine without adenosine blocking activity (enprofylline). The effects were evaluated in vitro by isometric and isotonic recording techniques.

Methods

We used male Sprague-Dawley rats weighing about 200 g at the start of the study. The rats were identified individually by colour code markings. Three rats were housed in each cage. Food and water were unrestricted.

Micronised budesonide was dissolved in 99% ethanol at a ratio of 2 mg budesonide in 1 ml ethanol. 100 ml 0.9% sodium chloride was added to this solution while it was being stirred. Sodium chloride was added to ethanol in the same way for the control solution. Fresh solutions were prepared every other day. Solutions were stored at 5°C and protected from light.

Each rat received two subcutaneous injections of 20 \( \mu g \) budesonide/kg or control solution daily at a constant volume dosage of 1 ml/kg, the volume administered being based on body weight at the time of administration. Seven injection sites were used in numerical order in each rat, so that a new site was used...
every day of the week. Fifteen animals were treated with budesonide and 15 with control solution.

After three weeks of treatment the rats were weighed and then killed by a blow on the head. This was done about 14 hours after the last injection. The thymus was dissected out and weighed. The trachea was carefully trimmed of excess tissue and cut into pieces containing two adjoining cartilage rings, which were weighed. Open tracheal rings were prepared by cutting through the cartilage opposite the muscle. The open ring was mounted in a jacketed 25 ml organ bath, controlled at a temperature of 37°C, containing Krebs' solution (composition (mM): NaCl 118-0, KCl 4-6, CaCl2 2-5, MgSO4 1-15, NaHCO3 24-9, KH2PO4 1-15, glucose 5-5, with a pH of 7-4, aerated with 5% carbon dioxide in oxygen). Two tracheal rings were cut from each trachea, one to be used for isometric and the other for isotonic measurements. Changes in muscle tension were recorded isometrically by means of strain gauge transducers. Changes in muscle length were recorded isotonically by means of Harvard isotonic transducers, which convert rotary motion into an electrical signal. All measurements were recorded on a Grass polygraph. The initial mounting tension and load were adjusted to about 0.5 g for isometric and isotonic recordings respectively. Preparations were allowed to stabilise for 30 minutes with repeated washings.

Drugs were added by injection into the organ baths. Carbachol 4-4 × 10^{-7} M was always added first to each trachea for standardisation of contraction. The cumulative concentration-response relationship for Carbachol was then determined by increasing stepwise the concentration in the bath (range 10^{-8} – 10^{-3} M) until no further effect was achieved by two consecutive concentrations. The trachea was then washed and after it had returned to baseline it was contracted with

Table 1: Mean (SEM) responses of rat tracheal rings measured isotonically (IT) and isometrically (IM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>(-\log \text{EC}_{50}) (mol/l)</th>
<th>Maximum induced changes†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbachol IT</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.28 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbachol IM</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.49 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enprofylline IT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.92 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enprofylline IM</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.98 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terbutaline IT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.62 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terbutaline IM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.04 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Budesonide treated |    |                                 |                             |
| Carbachol IT       | 14 | 6.28 (0.09)                     | 0.7 ± 0.1                   |
| Carbachol IM       | 14 | 6.51 (0.08)                     | 0.6 ± 0.1                   |
| Enprofylline IT    | 6  | 3.72 (0.06)*                    | 0.3 ± 0.1                   |
| Enprofylline IM    | 6  | 3.82 (0.08)                     | 0.3 ± 0.1                   |
| Terbutaline IT     | 4  | 3.45 (0.21)                     | 0.3 ± 0.1                   |
| Terbutaline IM     | 5  | 3.53 (0.09)*                    | 0.5 ± 0.1                   |

*Significantly different from controls: p < 0.05
†In millimetres for isometric and grams for isometric recordings.
\(\text{EC}_{50}\)—concentration producing 50% of the maximum effect.

Concentration-response curves, indicating means with standard errors, for Carbachol (A), enprofylline (B) and terbutaline (C) in the absence and presence of propranolol (PROPR) 10^{-7} M in budesonide treated (△, BUD) and vehicle treated rats (○, CONTROL). Responses are expressed as percentages of the maximum contraction obtained with Carbachol (A) and as percentages of the maximum relaxation obtained with enprofylline (B, C).
carbachol $4.4 \times 10^{-7}$ M, corresponding to 70% of the maximum effect of carbachol. Terbutaline or enprofylline was then added in increasing doses $(10^{-7} - 3 \times 10^{-3}$ M) to achieve its own maximal effect. Enprofylline $3 \times 10^{-3}$ M was always added on top of the terbutaline evaluations to give a standard maximal relaxation. Tracheas from three budesonide pre-treated animals and three control animals were tested in this way each day. In separate experiments propranolol $10^{-7}$ M was added 20 minutes before evaluation of the terbutaline concentration-response relationship.

The drugs used were carbamylcholine chloride (Carbachol; Sigma), enprofylline (Draco), terbutaline sulphate (Draco), budesonide (Draco), propranolol chloride (Hässle). All drugs other than budesonide were diluted with a 0.9% sodium chloride solution.

**Analyses**

Concentration-response curves were expressed as percentages of the maximal response seen. Data are presented as means and standard errors. Linear regression analysis was performed at three or four points of measurement on the linear part of the dose-response curves. From the equation of each regression line we obtained an EC$_{50}$ value (the concentration producing 50% of the maximum effect). EC$_{50}$ values were log transformed for analyses and geometric mean values obtained. The difference between mean values was examined with Student’s $t$ test for unpaired observations.

**Results**

Tracheas from both control and budesonide treated rats were stable and once the initial tone (length) had been set there was little spontaneous change in baseline recordings. There was no difference between control and budesonide treated rats in the response to carbachol (EC$_{50}$ and maximum effect, isometric and isotonic recordings: table 1, fig A), or in the potency of terbutaline and enprofylline in causing relaxation (table 1, figs B, C). The geometric mean EC$_{50}$ values for terbutaline and enprofylline tended to be larger in preparations from budesonide treated rats than control rats and the difference was significant for the isotonic recording with enprofylline and the isometric recording with terbutaline (table 1). The terbutaline concentration-response curves had a biphasic shape, seen most clearly in the isometric recordings of budesonide treated animals (fig C). Both the initial and the steeper part of the terbutaline dose-response curve were shifted to the right by $10^{-7}$ M propranolol (fig C).

There was no significant difference in the maximum responses of enprofylline and terbutaline on tracheas obtained from control and budesonide treated animals (table 1; $p > 0.05$).

The increase in body weight was less in budesonide treated than control animals (39% vs 68%; $p < 0.001$). The weight of the thymus (expressed as percentage of body weight) was lower in the budesonide treated animals than in control animals ($p < 0.001$). The weights of the tracheal rings showed no difference between controls and treated rats (table 2).

**Discussion**

Since glucocorticoids were first used in the treatment of asthma an effect of these drugs on airway smooth muscle has been postulated. According to Ellul-Micallef, it was Lefcoe who first reported that hydrocortisone relaxed guinea pig isolated trachea. Although this effect was repeated by others it was probably not a true glucocorticoid action but due to constituents of the vehicle. Recent studies have focused on a glucocorticoid induced enhancement of $\beta$ receptor mediated airway smooth muscle relaxation.

This is induced rapidly in vitro. This action on $\beta$ receptor responsiveness may not, however, be related to glucocorticoid anti-inflammatory effects as it is not produced by all glucocorticoids, and is produced with the readily catabolised catecholamines, such as isoprenaline, but not with the non-catecholamines, such as terbutaline, salbutamol and fenoterol. Rat airway smooth muscle is less sensitive to $\beta$ receptor stimulation. In the present study the poor sensitivity was reduced rather than improved by prolonged glucocorticoid treatment. This finding contradicts the suggestion that glucocorticoids increase airway relaxation by xanthines has not been confirmed and is contradicted by the present data with enprofylline which is a more potent airway anti-inflammatory and relaxant xanthine than theophylline. In general, there was agreement between the isotonic and isometric recordings for the measurement of the potencies of the contractile and relaxant drugs and their concentration-response curves. This finding agrees with observations in human small airway preparations in vitro.

The present study showed that treatment of rats for three weeks with budesonide, a potent glucocorticoid,
in animal systems that is effective in asthma, did not in any respect reduce the ability of a muscarinic agonist to contract isolated airway smooth muscle. The dose of budesonide was effective, to judge by the reduced gain in agonist in vivo after treatment with glucocorticoid is unlikely to be due to a direct effect of glucocorticoids on airway smooth muscle.
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