Interpretation of bronchograms and chest radiographs in patients with chronic sputum production
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ABSTRACT Bronchograms and plain chest radiographs of 27 patients with chronic sputum production were reported separately in random order and independently by two pulmonary radiologists to establish the diagnostic rate of each investigation and to assess interobserver variation.

Both radiologists agreed on the presence of bronchiectasis on bronchography in 19 of 27 (70%) patients and in 94 of 448 (21%) bronchopulmonary segments. One radiologist only interpreted the films as showing bronchiectasis in a further two (7%) patients and 26 (6%) segments. There was more disagreement about the presence or absence of individual bronchographic abnormalities.

Two main groups of patients with bronchiectasis were identified by bronchography: 11 with bronchiectasis alone and eight with bronchiectasis and bronchographic features suggestive of "chronic bronchitis". There was no clinical difference between these two groups.

Plain chest radiographs were insensitive, being diagnostic (both radiologists agreeing) of bronchiectasis in only nine of 19 (47%) patients with definite bronchiectasis on bronchography.

Bronchiectasis is usually defined as irreversible dilatation of the bronchial tree. Reid described three different bronchographic appearances of bronchiectasis—namely, cylindrical, varicose, and saccular (cystic)—and related these to pathological changes in the bronchi. In cylindrical bronchiectasis the bronchi have regular outlines, show no great increase in diameter, and usually end squarely and abruptly. Varicose bronchi show irregular dilatation and bulbous termination, while saccular bronchiectasis is characterised by bronchial dilatation that increases progressively towards the periphery of the lung, with a ballooned outline and few bronchial subdivisions.

By contrast, in established chronic bronchitis (cough, sputum, and breathlessness for more than one year with some degree of disability without evidence of another cause) the principal bronchographic abnormalities are localised beaded bronchial dilatation, diverticulosis (mucus pits), poor peripheral filling of bronchi and bronchioles, peripheral pooling of contrast medium, and excessive variation of bronchial calibre on respiration. In early chronic bronchitis (mucus hypersecretion without breathlessness) the reported abnormalities are minor irregularities of the calibre of medium sized bronchi, mucus ducts (pits), and incomplete delineation of the distal parts of the bronchial tree.

Dilatation of the bronchial tree is an important feature of both bronchiectasis and chronic bronchitis, so we decided to study the bronchograms of patients with chronic sputum production to investigate difficulties in diagnosis and assess the importance of these abnormalities.

Patients and methods

Patients
Twenty seven consecutive patients with chronic sputum production, referred for bronchography to try to establish the cause of their sputum production, were studied. Their mean age was 42 years (range 18–65 years). There were 14 women and 13 men. Twenty six had expectorated sputum every day for a median duration of eight years (range 0·8–49 years), with purulent sputum in 24; one expectorated sputum intermittently. Haemoptysis had occurred in eight patients. The patients had a mean forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) of 84% predicted
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The diagnostic interpretation was subjective, based on the experience of each radiologist, and was not directly calculated from the presence or absence of specific abnormalities. On the radiographs each lung was divided into upper, middle, and lower zones. The boundary between the upper and middle zone was defined as a horizontal line drawn at the level of the anterior end of the second rib and that between middle and lower zone as a horizontal line drawn at the level of the anterior end of the fourth rib. In 20 patients with lateral films as well as posteroanterior chest radiographs diagnostic interpretation on plain radiography was also assessed at lobar level. Accurate and complete form filling was ensured by another author, who attended all the reporting sessions. The bronchographic features assessed were proximal dilatation, distal dilatation, non-tapering of bronchi, lack of bronchial side branches, and luminal filling defects. The presence or absence of mucus pits was also recorded for each bronchogram. The radiograph was assessed for the presence of linear markings, "bronchial wall thickening", patchy or confluent pulmonary shadowing, pleural thickening, evidence of lung collapse or volume loss, and circular markings; these features were derived from the observations of Gubler.5

"Bronchographic chronic bronchitis" was defined as a combination of bronchographic features regarded by the radiologists as highly suggestive of the diagnosis of clinical chronic bronchitis. The features regarded as important were localised beaded bronchial dilatation, minor irregularities of calibre of medium sized bronchi, mucus pits, incomplete delineation of the distal parts of the bronchial tree, and peripheral pooling of contrast medium.

A third pulmonary radiologist provided a diagnostic interpretation (though not specific features) on all radiographs. These were consulted when there was disagreement between the first two radiologists.

Results

Bronchograms
Table 1 shows the frequency of reporting of the five bronchographic features in the 448 segments. Agreement and disagreement between the two radiologists is indicated in separate columns. Table 2 shows the frequency of the diagnosis of bronchiectasis in segments, lobes, and lungs and in patients. There was considerably less disagreement between the two radiologists about the diagnosis than about the presence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bronchographic feature</th>
<th>Both radiologists</th>
<th>One radiologist alone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proximal dilatation</td>
<td>61 (14)</td>
<td>68 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal dilatation</td>
<td>64 (14)</td>
<td>50 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-tapering</td>
<td>109 (24)</td>
<td>60 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of side branches</td>
<td>129 (29)</td>
<td>65 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminal filling defects (mucus)</td>
<td>268 (60)</td>
<td>69 (15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Diagnosis of bronchiectasis from bronchograms. Values are No (% of total segments examined)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of interpretation</th>
<th>n =</th>
<th>Diagnosis made by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Both radiologists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>94 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobe</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>43 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>29 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19 (70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
or absence of individual abnormalities. Table 3 shows the relative value of the different bronchographic features for predicting bronchiectasis.

The first two radiologists concluded that 19 of the 50 lungs studied had definite bronchiectasis alone, 10

Currie, Cooke, Morgan, Kerr, Delany, Strickland, Cole lungs had definite bronchiectasis with bronchographic chronic bronchitis, 11 had bronchographic chronic bronchitis alone, and five had features of excess mucus only. Five lungs were regarded as bronchographically normal.

The two radiologists agreed that of the 27 patients, 11 had bronchiectasis alone, eight had bronchiectasis with bronchographic chronic bronchitis, four had bronchographic chronic bronchitis alone, and four had no abnormality, apart from mucus in one. Only one patient had saccular bronchiectasis. Mucus pits were seen in patients from all diagnostic categories.

Of the 26 segments reported as bronchiectatic by only one radiologist, the other radiologist reported bronchographic chronic bronchitis in eight segments, non-diagnostic abnormal features in six, mucus alone in seven, underfilling of the bronchial tree in two, and a normal appearance in three. When the opinion of the third radiologist was included the number of segments diagnosed as definite bronchiectasis (reported as such by two or three of the radiologists) only increased by a further four segments to 98 (21%) of

Fig 1(a) Bilateral bronchogram, showing cylindrical bronchiectasis of the left lower lobe.
the 448 segments.

Figures 1(a) and (b) show definite lobar bronchiectasis, figure 1(c) illustrates a technical problem, and figure 2 shows a patient with both bronchiectasis and radiographic chronic bronchitis.

There was no association between the presence or absence of bronchographic chronic bronchitis or bronchiectasis and the clinical characteristics of the patients (age, history, FEV₁, smoking history, or associated syndromes).

CHEST RADIOGRAPHS
Table 4 shows the frequency of reporting of the six chest radiographic features studied in each of 147 zones. One radiograph was not available for analysis. There was considerable disagreement over the presence or absence of individual features. The radiograph showed definite bronchiectasis (with both radiologists agreeing) in nine (47%) of the 19 patients who had definite bronchiectasis on bronchography (no false positives). Only two further patients were
Table 4  Analysis of features on plain posteroanterior chest radiographs in 147 zones. Values are No (% of 147 zones)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Zones reported by Both radiologists</th>
<th>One radiologist alone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear markings</td>
<td>16(11)</td>
<td>27(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchial wall thickening</td>
<td>20(14)</td>
<td>21(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confluent/patchy shadowing</td>
<td>7(5)</td>
<td>7(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse/loss of volume</td>
<td>4(3)</td>
<td>8(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural thickening</td>
<td>6(4)</td>
<td>5(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular markings</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One chest radiograph could not be traced for analysis.

considered to have bronchiectasis on radiography by one radiologist. If analysed at lung level radiography had a sensitivity of 37% compared with bronchography (11 of 30 lungs with definite bronchiectasis on bronchography) for the diagnosis of bronchiectasis, with a false positive rate of 5% (one of 19 lungs). A further three lungs were considered to have bronchiectasis on radiography by one radiologist.

The sensitivity for the diagnosis of bronchiectasis on plain chest radiography compared with bronchography was no better when both lateral and posteroanterior views were assessed. The sensitivity at lobar level in these 20 patients (113 lobes) was 13% (five of 38 lobes with definite bronchiectasis on bronchography), with a false positive rate of 5% (four of 75 lobes).

Discussion

Bronchiectasis was common in this group of patients with chronic sputum production. Although the patients were consecutively enrolled, the fact that...
they were referred for bronchography to investigate their symptoms tended to select those with a history suggestive of bronchiectasis and those with an abnormal plain chest radiograph. Plain chest radiography was diagnostic of bronchiectasis in only 47% of the patients with bronchographically diagnosed bronchiectasis, and bronchiectasis was mistakenly diagnosed in one lung reported as "chronic bronchitis" on bronchography. The poor sensitivity of plain posteroanterior chest radiography makes it unacceptable for assessment of the extent of bronchiectasis before surgery. Assessment of the lateral as well as the posteroanterior view did not improve the sensitivity of plain chest radiography.

The two radiologists disagreed about the diagnosis of bronchiectasis in 22% (26 of 120) of all segments regarded as bronchiectatic by one or both radiologists. This level of disagreement is similar to that seen in studies that have assessed the reliability of reading of chest radiographs for the presence of tuberculosis. Disagreement over the presence of specific bronchographic features was greater. This was most pronounced for proximal dilatation, with disagreement in 53% (68 of 129) of segments regarded as positive by one or both radiologists. The level of disagreement for the presence of distal dilatation was 44% (50 of 114), for non-tapering was 36% (60 of 119), and for lack of side branches was 34% (65 of 194). The disagreement between two experienced pulmonary radiologists over the diagnosis and presence or absence of individual bronchographic features highlights the difficulty of interpreting bronchograms. Our radiologists were asked to make a diagnostic interpretation based on experience rather than on a scoring system determined by the presence or absence of particular features. Reassuringly, the presence of any combination of proximal dilatation, distal dilatation, and non-tapering agreed by both radiologists would have diagnosed 98% of the bronchopulmonary segments diagnosed as bronchiectatic by both radiologists, with a false positive rate of 8%.

The patients could be separated into four groups on the basis of the results of their bronchograms: those with bronchiectasis alone, those with bronchiectasis with bronchographic chronic bronchitis, those with bronchographic chronic bronchitis alone, and those with no important bronchographic abnormality. There were no clinical differences between these groups, particularly with regard to the presence of smokers. The importance of bronchographic chronic bronchitis in terms of the cause, symptoms, and natural history of the condition is unknown and bronchographic features suggestive of clinical chronic bronchitis have been reported recently in 13 apparently healthy subjects. Chronic bronchitis may be defined clinically, pathologically, and bronchographically, and patients diagnosed on the basis of one definition may not meet the criteria of another definition. An additional complication is that bronchial dilatation on bronchography of similar degree to that seen with bronchiectasis, and occurring within one year of an episode of pneumonia, may disappear spontaneously. The importance of differences in the degree of bronchial dilatation and the bronchial contour is debated. Two studies before the era of antibiotics reported that patients with sac- cular disease had a worse prognosis than patients

---

**Fig 2. Bronchogram of the right lung of another patient, showing a range of bronchial abnormalities from definite bronchiectasis in segments of the lower lobe to bronchographic chronic bronchitis characterised by localised beaded bronchial dilatation, minor irregularities of the calibre of medium sized bronchi, incomplete delineation of distal parts of the bronchial tree, and peripheral pooling of contrast medium in the upper lobe.**
with cylindrical disease. A major study since the advent of antibiotics noted, however, that patients with saccular and cylindrical disease of similar distribution had similar lung function.

In view of our results we urge caution in the interpretation of bronchograms in patients with chronic sputum production. Abnormalities interpreted as bronchiectasis by one radiologist may not be interpreted as such by another. Clinically, we have seen patients with daily purulent sputum production without bronchographic evidence of bronchiectasis and, conversely, patients with mucoid sputum and definite bronchiectasis. We advocate that all such patients are medically managed as if they have bronchiectasis unless evidence is forthcoming that bronchographic differences are important.

Bronchography remains the best established method of imaging the macroscopic anatomy of the bronchial tree and is still the investigation of choice to ascertain the extent of disease in patients with chronic sputum production when surgery is being considered for apparently localized disease. Ideally, however, identification of actively inflamed parts of the bronchial tree, which may be the source of abnormal mucus production, is required. These inflamed areas need not be confined to bronchographically abnormal bronchi, and, conversely, some bronchietatic bronchi may be quiescent. A different method of imaging—for example, 111Indium or 99mtechnetium labelled leucocyte scanning—is required to delineate actively inflamed bronchi.
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