Drill biopsy in the diagnosis of lung lesions
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ABSTRACT A high speed pneumatic drill was used to perform 190 percutaneous transthoracic biopsies in 161 patients. The resultant cores of tissue provided a definite diagnosis in 146 patients, giving a success rate of 90.7%. Complications occurred in 58 patients, subcutaneous emphysema being the most common, though only seven patients required active treatment, giving a rate of 3.7% for important complications. One patient died within 24 hours of the biopsy procedure owing to asphyxia resulting from aspiration of the contents of an acutely dilated stomach. Our experience clearly establishes that the drill biopsy as used by us is simple and safe and can be carried out in an outpatient department, yielding better overall results than any other procedure for closed biopsy of the lung currently practised.

Chest radiography, bronchoscopy, and pulmonary function studies are helpful for detecting pulmonary and mediastinal abnormalities, but specific diagnosis often requires microbiological and histopathological examination of the affected tissue. There has thus been an increasing demand for lung biopsy procedures. With the development of a range of new biopsy instruments and advanced cytological techniques, procedures for closed biopsy of the lung have increasingly usurped the place of the open approach. In 1969 Steele and Winstanley claimed that closed lung biopsy using a high speed pneumatic drill yields, with a low incidence of complications, a larger sample for the pathologist and thereby enhances the diagnostic accuracy. Impressed by their results, we adopted pneumatic drill biopsy of the lung as a routine practice; this report presents an analysis of our nine years' experience of the method.

Methods

We used a closed biopsy procedure using a high speed pneumatic drill for all patients with lung lesions referred to our department from January 1978 to December 1986 in whom sputum examination, chest radiography, biochemical or serological studies, and bronchoscopic (both rigid and fibreoptic) investigations failed to provide a definite diagnosis. The absolute contraindications to choosing this technique of lung biopsy were: suspected vascular lesions, suspected hydatid cyst or bulla, lesions situated close to the mediastinal structures, and the presence of a bleeding diathesis. Patients with a solitary pulmonary nodule were not subjected to drill biopsy unless they refused to give consent for exploratory thoracotomy, and those with extensive bilateral lesions with very poor lung reserve were generally investigated by open lung biopsy.

THE INSTRUMENT

The high speed biopsy drill (Down Surgical Ltd, Mitcham, England) consists of a trephine and a drill. The hollow steel trephine is 7.5 cm long with an external diameter of 3 mm and an internal diameter of 2.1 mm. It has a right angle smooth cutting edge. The bore is rifled internally for 5 mm behind the cutting edge to guide the tissue into the lumen. This is fitted with a sharp pointed keyed stylet projecting 2.5 mm beyond the end. The hub of the trephine is connected by a Luer fitting to the spindle of a small Desoutter pneumatic drill, which is driven by compressed air from a cylinder fitted with a reducing valve and rotates at a trigger controlled speed up to 15000 rev/min at a pressure of 7 kg cm².

THE TECHNIQUE

The biopsy was performed under local anaesthesia with the patient in a supine, lateral, or occasionally
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prone position, depending on the site of the lesion. The exact location of the lesion in the lung and its distance from the skin surface was assessed by posteroanterior and corresponding lateral radiographs of the chest. A small cruciate incision (5 × 5 mm) was made on the skin after preparation and anaesthetic infiltration. The trephine, with the pointed stylet in place, was introduced through the skin incision until the parietal pleural layer was felt to be pierced. A skin guard was slid and secured on to the trephine before specimens of superficially located lesions were obtained. The position of the guard was adjusted on the trephine so that at least 2.5 cm of the trephine would pass into the area of the lung harbouring the lesion without boring through the lesion and endangering adjacent structures. Thereafter the patient was asked to hold his breath in inspiration while the stylet was removed and the trephine was connected to the pneumatic drill. The drill was then activated and the trephine was allowed to drill in quickly until the desired depth was reached or the guard came in contact with the chest wall. Usually we used a driving pressure of 3.5–4 kg/cm² in cases with localised radiodensities and of 5–5.5 kg/cm² in diffuse lesions. To retain the core of tissue in the trephine and retrieve it, the pneumatic drill was removed and a glass syringe, containing 5 ml of normal saline, was connected to the trephine in the breath holding position. The trephine was then withdrawn gently and completely while suction was maintained on the syringe. A few more millilitres of saline were sucked into the syringe so that the core of the tissue reached the barrel of the syringe. The tissue sample was retrieved by disconnecting the piston from the barrel of the syringe (tumour tissue sinks in saline while normal lung tissue floats). The wound was dressed and the patient kept under observation for at least four hours to detect any possible complication. Chest radiographs were taken if there was a suspicion of serious complications such as pneumothorax or haemothorax or before the biopsy procedure was repeated. Most of the time the procedure was performed by residents under the supervision of a staff member.

Results

One hundred and sixty one patients had drill biopsy of their lung lesions during the nine years from January 1978 to December 1986. The ages of the 27 female patients ranged from 7 to 72 years (mean 48.0, SD 15.8) and of the 134 male patients from 12 to 80 years (mean 54.2, SD 13.6). A definite histological diagnosis could be established in 146 out of the 161 cases, providing an overall success rate of 90.7%. In 125 out of the 161 subjects (77.6%) sufficient representative tissue was obtained during the first procedure. A repeat biopsy was performed in 29 of the 36 failed cases, seven patients having refused a second attempt. A positive histological diagnosis was made in 21 out of these 29 patients, giving a diagnosis specific success rate of 72.4% for the repeat biopsy procedure.

One hundred and twenty patients had unilateral lesions, and 88 of these had mass lesions (≥5 cm diameter) on routine chest radiographs. The diagnostic yield in the latter category was 100% (86.4% on the first attempt). In the remaining 32 patients with unilateral disease the lesions measured less than 5 cm in diameter, the smallest being about 27 mm. Twenty three out of these 32 (71.9%) had a positive biopsy result.

Out of 41 subjects with bilateral lesion, 29 had diffuse opacities on the chest radiograph. A positive biopsy result was obtained in 25 of these 29 subjects (86.2%). The success rate for the first biopsy procedure in this subset was 69%. The diagnostic yield in 12 subjects with bilateral lesions but with at least one area of radiodensity of 5 cm or more was 10/12 (83.3%). A repeat biopsy gave a negative result in one subject and the other refused a second procedure.

The size of the biopsy specimen varied from 35 × 2 mm cylinders of tissue to small fragments of 2–3 mm. Generally, the largest cylinders were obtained from solid peripheral lesions and from patients with dense parenchymal disease. The various diagnoses established by histopathological studies, and sometimes corroborated either by microbiological examination of the material obtained by pneumatic drill biopsy or by the response to treatment, are shown in table 1.

Complications (table 2) followed the drill biopsy procedures in 58 instances (30.5%) but were important enough to warrant treatment in only seven (3.7%). In the present series there was only one case of haemopto-thorax requiring tube drainage. This patient, with bilateral diffuse lung opacities, died within 24 hours as a result of asphyxia from aspiration of vomitus after developing acute dilatation of the stomach. Though this one death (0.6%) cannot be directly ascribed to the biopsy procedure, it nevertheless resulted from development that occurred during the management of the biopsy related complication.

After the biopsy 13 patients (6.8%) developed pneumothorax, which was detected clinically and confirmed by chest radiograph, giving an incidence of 6.8% of the biopsy procedures. Seven of these 13 were from the category of unilateral mass lesions, two had coin lesions, three belonged to the bilateral diffuse group, and one came from the other bilateral subset, giving incidences of 7%, 5.4%, 8.3%, and 7.6% of the procedures in the respective groups.
Discussion

Trephine biopsy was first described by Kirschner in 1935, though Deeley in 1960 first reported use of the pneumatic drill for biopsy of the lung parenchyma. In 1970 Shatapathy and Padamsingh (unpublished data) from Vellore in India reported their early experience with the pneumatic drill biopsy to the Association of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeons of India; they established positive and accurate histopathological diagnosis in 10 of 11 patients without any major complications. That report encouraged us to adopt closed lung biopsy as our biopsy procedure of choice. The diagnostic yield of 90-7% in the present series is far superior to the 50% yield reported by Boylen et al., slightly better than the yield obtained by Steel and Winstanley and others, and similar to that of King and Coworkers, who conducted the procedure under fluoroscopic control. In the present series the procedure was performed virtually blindly after the

Table 1 Histopathological diagnoses in 146 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neoplasms</th>
<th>Non-neoplastic parenchymal disorders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary carcinoma</td>
<td>Diffuse (bilateral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous cell</td>
<td>36 Interstitial fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic</td>
<td>27 Tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large cell</td>
<td>13 Necrotising alveolitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>14 with vasculitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchoalveolar cell</td>
<td>11 Localised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic</td>
<td>2 Infections:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediastinal</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>(caseating granuloma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymoma</td>
<td>3 Non-specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermoid</td>
<td>1 pneumonitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Actinomycosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sclerosing granuloma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One patient with a secondary malignant neoplasm and all the subjects with bronchoalveolar cell carcinoma had bilateral diffuse lesions.
†One patient had both bronchoalveolar cell carcinoma and pulmonary tuberculosis.

Table 3 Comparison of histological yields and incidence of complications (percentage rates) in various trephine biopsy series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Histological yield</th>
<th>Total complications</th>
<th>Important complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steel and Winstanley</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zavala et al</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dahhan</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boylen et al</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King et al</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present series</td>
<td>90-7</td>
<td>30-5</td>
<td>3-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

lesion had been localised on posteroanterior and lateral radiographs. Besides the higher diagnostic yield, we had a very low incidence of important complications (3-7%—table 3).

No biopsy procedure is absolutely safe. Open lung biopsy procedure may be associated with a fatality rate of over 30%. Deaths have also been associated with other procedures: 0-1% for fine needle aspiration biopsy, 0-3% for bronchoscopy biopsy, and 0-1% for brush biopsy. Already 13 deaths have been reported with the use of various types of cutting needles for the biopsy procedure. The single death in our series is only indirectly attributable to the biopsy procedure.

Pneumothorax is the important non-fatal complication of closed lung biopsy. Its incidence for this biopsy has been quoted as 26-65%, while for needle biopsy it has ranged from zero to 57%. In the present series significant pneumothorax requiring intercostal drainage followed 3-2% of procedures. Our overall incidence of pneumothorax, however, could be much higher than the recorded figure of 6-8% because as an economy measure chest radiographs were not routinely taken after the biopsy procedure and minor pneumothorax could well have escaped clinical detection.

The 6-8% incidence of haemoptysis in the present series is within the reported range of this complication associated with needle biopsy procedures—from 1-25% to 10%.

Other complications described in published reports are needle track metastasis; tumour cell dissemination, converting an operable tumour into an inoperable one; air embolism; anaaphylactoid reaction; empyema and intraloesional haemorrhage. This last complication is difficult to diagnose without exploration or serial chest radiographs. Air embolism or empyema has not occurred in the present series. Tumour cell dissemination has not been a concern to us, as patients suspected of having an operable malignancy have usually had exploratory thoracotomy so that the diagnosis could be established and they could be offered definitive treatment. Moreover, the danger of tumour spread along the
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Table 4  Range of diagnostic yield: various biopsy procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of biopsy</th>
<th>Minimum yield</th>
<th>Maximum yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open lung biopsy</td>
<td>50% , Theodos 24</td>
<td>100% , Early et al 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cutting needle biopsy</td>
<td>53% , Burt et al 26</td>
<td>83-9% , McEvoy et al 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transbronchial biopsy</td>
<td>52% , Wightman and Douglas 23</td>
<td>83% , Khan et al 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine needle aspiration biopsy</td>
<td>29% , Burt et al 26</td>
<td>96% , Sagel et al 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumatic drill</td>
<td>50% , Boylen et al 4</td>
<td>90-7% , present series</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

needle tract has perhaps been overemphasised; Laub et al 23 encountered no such case of tumour implantation after 621 procedures performed over 21 years.

As shown in table 4, diagnosis in 100% of patients may be achievable only by an open lung biopsy procedure, but this is associated with a longer hospital stay and a high mortality rate, and is unsuitable for smaller hospitals. The 96% diagnostic yield of fine needle aspiration biopsy in Sagel's series 29 has to be viewed in the perspective that, out of his 1211 patients, 896 had intrathoracic malignant lesions and the pathological report in most of those cases simply read "malignant cells present." Besides, the degree of discordance, ranging from 23% 30 to 43% 31 between the tumour classification based on the aspiration technique and that based on follow up histopathological study detracts from the merit of the needle aspiration biopsy. Pneumatic drill biopsy provides sufficient tissue for an accurate histopathological evaluation and virtually eliminates this problem. Further, Sooby et al 22 pointed out that, while conducting a bronchial biopsy procedure under fluoroscopic control, the performer had an average exposure of 12 mr to the eyes and 27 mr to the dominant hand. Our reliance only on posteroanterior and lateral chest radiographs for deciding on the site of biopsy therefore not only avoids this risk of exposure to radiation but also yields a diagnosis specific success rate similar to (table 5) if not better than those obtained under biplane image intensifier fluoroscopy 12 and under computed tomographic guidance. 29

We conclude that the high speed pneumatic drill biopsy procedure, as used by us, is simple, can be carried out in an outpatient department, provides a very high specific diagnostic rate with a very low incidence of important complications, and has scope for further increase in its diagnostic yield if additional emphasis is placed on cytological study of the biopsy specimens.

We thank Mr M Ganapathi Nayak for typing this manuscript.
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Table 5  Comparison of best results (percentage rates): various biopsy procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biopsy procedure</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Important complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open lung biopsy</td>
<td>Early et al 15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transbronchial biopsy</td>
<td>Khan et al 28</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>12-5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trucut needle biopsy</td>
<td>McEvoy et al 12</td>
<td>83-9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine needle aspiration biopsy</td>
<td>Sagel et al 29</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>34-6</td>
<td>14-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumatic drill</td>
<td>Present series</td>
<td>90-7</td>
<td>30-5</td>
<td>3-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Book notices


Now that relatively safe and non-invasive methods of biopsy are available, a firm diagnosis can be made in many cases of diffuse lung disease. At the same time histopathologists are increasingly faced with a bewildering range of changes, often in tiny samples of tissue. This book deals specifically with the pathology of disorders characterised by diffuse infiltration of the lung parenchyma—disorders which are frequently investigated by transbronchial, needle, or open biopsy. In the opening chapter the general principles of processing and interpretation are covered, and there is a short but highly relevant section on the "abnormal, non-diagnostic" specimen. The second chapter is devoted to infective processes, with emphasis on viral and fungal disease, tuberculosis, and pneumocystis pneumonia. Subsequent chapters deal with pulmonary eosinophilia, hypersensitivity pneumonitis and drug induced disease, diffuse alveolar damage, interstitial pneumonitis, vascular disease, and sarcoidosis. In the section on malignant neoplasms the emphasis is on diffuse infiltrative neoplasms, including lymphomas, metastatic disease, alveolar carcinoma, and Kaposi's sarcoma. The final chapter describes a miscellany of disorders such as histiocytosis X, alveolar proteinosis, and amyloidosis. The text is well written and copiously illustrated by high quality photomicrographs. References are comprehensive and up to date. This book is not meant to be exhaustive. The authors have wisely omitted the exotic, concentrating instead on problems most commonly met with in contemporary practice. Inevitably, some topics could have been covered in more detail. Amiodarone toxicity, for instance, is dismissed in two sentences, and one incomplete reference, and paraquat poisoning seems to have been forgotten. Nevertheless, this book ranks among the best of the currently available monographs on pulmonary pathology. Although primarily a bench guide for pathologists, it will also be a useful reference work for clinicians concerned with the management of chest disease—CWE.


This pocket manual has been written by a group of experienced Cambridge respiratory physicians with a radiological colleague, Dr CDR Flower. It is designed for the young doctor on a short term attachment to a respiratory unit and lays particular emphasis on dealing with practical problems. The first chapter covers a range of common respiratory symptoms and signs and is followed by a useful chapter on the radiology of the lung, including helpful comments about the place of specialist techniques such as computed tomography. A further chapter is devoted to respiratory function tests. Although simple tests are well described, the doctor working in a specialised unit might be helped by a fuller description of such "less widely available" tests as flow-volume loops and exercise testing. Subsequent chapters provide useful and often not readily obtained details of practical procedures. They deal concisely with the management of clinical problems and give useful practical hints, such as the need to alert the pathology laboratory of the arrival of samples from procedures such as transbronchial biopsy. Nearly all the clinical advice is very sound, as expected, though some would disagree with the statement that in Asian patients with suspected cervical tuberculosis aspiration or biopsy may be unnecessary. The chapter on pulmonary eosinophilia puts this disease spectrum into context with comments that the association with systemic vasculitis is very confusing, both in classification and in terminology—an observation which may take some time to dawn on the inexperienced doctor. This book will be particularly helpful to the junior doctor at senior house officer and registrar level. The senior registrar specialising in respiratory medicine may require additional detail.

Notices

SEPCR meeting 1988

The 23rd annual meeting of SEPCR (Societas Europaea Physiologiae Clinicae Respiratoriae) will take place in Athens, Greece, on 20-24 June 1988. The topic will be respiratory failure. There will be invited lectures, free communications, seminars, and satellite symposia. Information from Dr NM Siafakas, 23rd Annual Congress of SEPCR, Organising Secretariat, 23 Asklipiou Street, PO Box 30365, 10680 Athens, Greece.

Clinical respiratory physiology course

A course on clinical respiratory physiology will be held at Hammersmith Hospital, London W12 0HS, on 15-18 March, 1988, for doctors and pulmonary function technicians, emphasising practical aspects and clinical applications. Details from the organisers (Drs JMB Hughes and Dr NB Pride, Department of Medicine).

Correction

Drill biopsy in the diagnosis of lung lesions

In the paper by Professor P Shatapathy and others (November 1987;42:858) line 3 of the second paragraph of column 2, p 858, should read "The hollow Steel's trephine."