

Editorials

Penetrating cardiac injuries in the United Kingdom

Before 1897 cardiac injuries defied surgical treatment. In that year Rehn successfully repaired a wound of the right ventricle and heralded a new age for surgery.¹ Since then many factors have brought about an enormous increase in the number of patients with cardiac injuries arriving alive in centres able to deal with them. An increase in civil violence, especially in inner cities; racial disharmony; and specific trouble spots, such as Belfast, increase the incidence of cardiac injuries. Moreover, sophisticated communication networks, rapid evacuation, and immediate resuscitative measures allow many more patients with cardiac wounds to arrive in hospital alive.

In many countries, especially the United States, the free use of hand guns over many years and the willingness of the populace to carry arms have given surgeons there a vast experience in dealing with cardiac wounds of all kinds. The Ben Taub General Hospital Trauma Center in Houston, Texas, for example, deals with 80-100 penetrating cardiac injuries a year, equally distributed between stab and gunshot wounds (KL Mattox, personal communication, 1982). On the other hand, the United Kingdom thoracic surgical register for 1980² reports only 18 operations for cardiac injuries of all kinds.

Sugg *et al*³ in 1968 reported on a series of 459 penetrating cardiac wounds in Dallas over a nine-year period. Three hundred and seventy-three patients (81%) were dead on arrival at hospital. Of these, 80% had gunshot wounds; whereas over half (56.6%) of the 86 patients admitted alive had stab wounds. Even with the advanced emergency medical service systems of the 1980s, only 40-50% of patients with penetrating cardiac wounds can be expected to reach hospital alive.

Fortunately, on the United Kingdom mainland stab wounds greatly outnumber gunshot wounds and a greater proportion of all patients with cardiac wounds should reach hospital alive than in the United States. The infrequency of multiple wounds in the UK also gives patients a greater chance of reaching hospital alive. In Northern Ireland, however, in the last seven years nine patients reached hospital alive with cardiac gunshot wounds and 11 with stab wounds (JRP Gibbons, personal communication, 1982). There was one death in each

group. This distribution of gunshot and stab wounds is exactly that seen in Houston and the similarity to the latter's death rate attests to the sophisticated evacuation and resuscitation techniques applied in Belfast at the Royal Victoria Hospital.

The principles of management of these patients have been discussed extensively in published reports.^{3,4-8} Mortality is related to delay in surgery, cerebral damage before relief of tamponade, and the presence of associated injuries, especially of the pulmonary vessels, oesophagus, abdomen, and head and neck. In cases of gunshot wounds the mortality rate also depends on the muzzle velocity of the weapon. High-velocity wounds produce extensive soft-tissue damage and cavitation, whereas low-velocity wounds may simply cause a puncture and track lesion. Rarely, a bullet embolus may occur if a low-velocity projectile comes to rest in a cardiac chamber or great vessel.

Emphasis has rightly been placed on the efficacy of immediate emergency room thoracotomy in patients apparently dead on arrival if any body warmth or spontaneous respiratory effort is noted.^{9,10} Dilated pupils, absent pulses, or unrecordable blood pressure are not contraindications to exploration and over 10% of such patients may survive to leave hospital. Under these extreme circumstances, left anterolateral thoracotomy with relief of tamponade is required initially. Extended across the sternum, this incision gives access to most wounds and requires a minimum of special instruments and skills. In patients not in extremis, emergency room thoracotomy has reduced the mortality of cardiac injuries (in patients admitted alive) from around 60% to under 20%.¹⁰

If the external wound overlies the cardiac silhouette, there is a greater than 60% chance that the heart has been penetrated, though an entry wound remote from the silhouette—for example, in the abdominal wall or neck—may still be associated with cardiac damage, especially from low-velocity gunshot wounds, where the projectile is easily deflected from its expected path.¹⁰ Haemodynamic stability should not be assumed to indicate that the heart has not been wounded, and such patients should be managed with extreme caution as sudden catastrophic deterioration, with cardiac tamponade,

may occur. Clinical assessment, insertion of arterial and central venous monitoring lines, and venous access for rapid transfusion are essential and are best undertaken close to or in an area equipped for immediate thoracotomy. At least 10 units of blood should be cross-matched. A chest radiograph may be obtained, but is not usually of value except to exclude concomitant pneumothorax, haemothorax, or both. The clinical finding of pulsus paradoxus, confirmed on the arterial pressure trace, is highly significant and is a strong indication for early operation.

There is little to support the use of pericardiocentesis in these circumstances.¹⁰ If the patients are observed in an area prepared for immediate operation its use is unnecessary. Perhaps pericardiocentesis should be performed only to allow transfer of the patient to such an area, or while awaiting instruments or personnel. Pericardiocentesis is by no means easy, however. Failure to aspirate blood may be due to malposition of the needle or to the presence of clot, whereas an apparently successful aspiration may be of ventricular blood. The procedure may also damage the heart further and may delay the more appropriate expedient of emergency thoracotomy with operative relief of tamponade followed by cardiorrhaphy. The latter has been shown to be associated with substantially fewer deaths than pericardiocentesis alone.¹⁰

Should immediate thoracotomy for relief of tamponade not be required, alternative incisions may be considered. If the right ventricle is likely to be the injured chamber median sternotomy gives excellent access and is probably superior to a left anterolateral incision extended across to the right side. Sternotomy also gives good access to the right atrium, aorta, and pulmonary artery. For wounds lateral to the nipple, left or right thoracotomy is more appropriate and allows concomitant injuries of other intrathoracic organs to be dealt with.

After cardiac tamponade has been relieved and clot evacuated, internal cardiac massage with digital occlusion of the wound will re-establish cerebral perfusion. This is further enhanced if the descending aorta is intermittently occluded while the blood volume is restored by rapid transfusion. These manoeuvres usually bring about rapid improvement in the patient's condition with restoration of cardiac activity and blood pressure, allowing a margin of safety while the cardiac injury is dealt with. Almost all cardiac stab wounds can be controlled digitally, though a partial occlusion clamp may be of use in injuries such as those of the atrium or great vessels. Traction on a balloon catheter inserted into the wound may be of use if there is tissue loss, or if more than one chamber has been injured. Closure of the

cardiac wound should use buttressed sutures, particularly in the muscular chambers.* Manoeuvres to avoid damage to coronary arteries during suture of cardiac wounds have been reported.⁴

The emergency treatment of patients with wounds of coronary arteries remains difficult. Although small anterior branches and distal segments of left anterior descending and diagonal vessels may be tied off in the young with little chance of significant muscle loss, repair of damaged proximal arteries is essential. Direct end-to-end suture is almost impossible. Vein-patch angioplasty may be used anteriorly but coronary bypass using autologous vein may be necessary. Cardiopulmonary bypass is usually required, and this kind of procedure is therefore limited to those centres having such facilities.

To judge by recent experience, patients admitted alive to the Ben Taub Trauma Center in Houston with a cardiac stab wound and a discernible blood pressure have a 92% chance of survival; 80% survival is reported for those with gunshot wounds (K. Mattox, personal communication, 1982). Although the presentation, principles of management, and surgical techniques will be the same in the United Kingdom as in the United States, the experience of individual UK centres in dealing with cardiac wounds is much smaller. Patients with these injuries are not necessarily evacuated to the centres best able to deal with them, and the absence of paramedical teams is undoubtedly disadvantageous in these cases. As most UK accident and emergency departments are staffed by relatively junior doctors with limited surgical experience, unlikely to have the skill or confidence to undertake immediate or emergency thoracotomy in patients with tamponade, it is unlikely that we shall be able to emulate the Houston results. The provision of accident and emergency consultants and a recognised programme of training is a major step forward, but the basic position is unlikely to change in the foreseeable future, and penetrating cardiac injuries will be managed by whatever staff are available. Urgent transfer to a cardiac unit is inappropriate in view of the catastrophic deterioration which may occur in apparently stable patients. Similarly, because cardiopulmonary bypass is hardly ever required in dealing with cardiac wounds (JRP Gibbons, personal communication, 1982), the lack of such equipment and skills in the receiving unit should be no real barrier to exploration.

In the absence therefore of a cardiothoracic surgical unit, those dealing with acute trauma should have no hesitation in instituting life-saving treatment in the receiving hospital. Gratifying results can be achieved with bold intervention and all receiving surgeons should be aware of the principles outlined

in dealing with cardiac wounds and be prepared to undertake emergency treatment, however unlikely survival may seem.

IJ REECE
KG DAVIDSON
Cardiothoracic Unit
Royal Infirmary
Glasgow

References

- ¹ Rehn L. Über penetrierende Herzwunden und Herznaht. *Arch F Klin Chir* 1897;**50**:315–7.
- ² United Kingdom Thoracic Surgical Register 1980.
- ³ Sugg WL, Rea WJ, Ecker RR, Webb WR, Rose EF, Shaw RR. Penetrating wounds of the heart: an analysis of 459 cases. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1968;**56**:531–45.
- ⁴ Sherman MM, Saini VK, Yarnoz MD, Ramp J, Williams LF, Bereer RL. Management of penetrating heart wounds. *Am J Surg* 1978;**135**:553–8.
- ⁵ Beall AC, Diethrich EB, Crawford H, Cooley DA, De Bakey ME. Surgical management of penetrating cardiac injuries. *Am J Surg* 1966;**112**:686–95.
- ⁶ Maynard ADL, Cordice JW, Naclerio EA. Penetrating wounds of the heart. A report of 81 cases. *Surg Gynec Obstet* 1952;**94**:605–14.
- ⁷ Naclerio EA. Penetrating wounds of the heart. Experience with 249 patients. *Dis Chest* 1964;**46**:1–11.
- ⁸ Evans J, Gray LA, Rayner A, Fulton RL. Principles for the management of penetrating cardiac wounds. *Ann Surg* 1979;**189**:777–84.
- ⁹ Rohman M. Emergency room thoracotomy for the resuscitation of patients with “fatal” penetrating injuries of the heart. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1981;**32**:377–85.
- ¹⁰ DeGennaro VA, Bonfils-Roberts EA, Ching N, Nealon TF. Aggressive management of potential penetrating cardiac injuries. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1980;**79**:833–7.