Lung tissue volume estimated by simultaneous radiographic and helium dilution methods
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ABSTRACT The pulmonary total tissue volume (blood, extravascular water, and dry tissue volume) was measured by finding the difference between the radiographic displacement volume of the thorax (RDVT) and the lung gas volume. Simultaneous determinations of RDVT and gas volume were made in 10 healthy subjects sitting upright. RDVT was determined from posteroanterior and lateral chest radiographs, a computerised modification of the Barnhard method being used; and gas volume was measured by helium dilution with each radiographic exposure. At functional residual capacity pulmonary total tissue volume was 843 ± 110 ml (1 SD). The density of the lung (ml tissue per ml tissue and gas) was 0.19 ± 0.03 (1 SD). This method, different in principle from indicator-dilution and acetylene rebreathing studies, provides measurements of total tissue volume.

The measurement of lung tissue volume adds quantitative information to the diagnosis and assessment of the response to treatment in patients with increased extravascular lung water. So far complex techniques such as double indicator dilution,12 thermodilution,3 or acetylene detection with a respiratory mass spectrometer4–7 have been used. These methods have not been widely applied. Alternatively, pulmonary total tissue volume could be estimated on the basis of the difference between the radiographic displacement volume of the thorax (RDVT) and alveolar gas volume (VA) by helium rebreathing or by plethysmography.8 Radiographic displacement volume determined by the modified Barnhard technique9 has been validated against helium dilution and body plethysmography.10 This technique can be done by hand or with a simple computer, and so requires minimal software. It could also be applied at the bedside by the use of portable radiographs after correction for magnification. Simultaneously lung volume could be measured with the patient rebreathing from an anaesthetic bag. In this study simultaneous measurements of alveolar gas volume and radiographic displacement volume (RDVT) were made to establish normal values in the erect posture for total tissue volume and gas volume.

Methods

The subjects were selected from university staff. All were healthy and without evidence of cardiopulmonary disease, and only one subject had a history of smoking. Informed consent was obtained. Each subject was comfortably seated on a stool, mounted on a moveable platform in front of a standard chest radiographic unit. An anaesthesia bag was filled from a calibrated syringe with two litres of a dry 10% helium-in-oxygen mixture, and a three-way valve (dead space 14 ml) was attached. The subject breathed quietly with the valve open to room air. On reaching end expiration he held his breath and signalled. The valve was then opened to the anaesthesia bag and a standard posteroanterior chest radiograph was taken. The subject then rebreathed the helium gas mixture six times, emptying the anaesthesia bag with each breath. A previous study using a mass spectrometer had shown that equilibrium occurred with the test gas mixture in healthy individuals within six breaths. The rebreathed gas was passed through soda lime to remove carbon dioxide and was subsequently analysed for helium. The final helium concentration was corrected for the carbon dioxide absorbed (a value of 5% was taken on the basis of earlier measurements). Thoracic gas...
volume was calculated and converted from ATPD to BTPS. An estimated dead space from the mouth to the carina (100 ml) was subtracted from the final helium dilution lung volume because this part of the upper airway was not included in the radiographic volume. Thus the final volume estimate summed the alveolar volume and the volume of the anatomical dead space beyond the carina (about 50 ml). The same procedure was followed with the subject in position for the lateral chest radiograph.

Chest radiographs were obtained with a General Electric MS1-850 generator (1.5-mm focal spot and 3-metre tube-film distance), 140-kV phototimed exposures and a 12-to-1 grid being used. To minimise radiation exposure green light-sensitive radiographic film and intensifying screens were used. The magnification on the radiographs was determined by placing a coin of known diameter in the subject’s mid-axillary line. This diameter was measured on the radiograph and the ratio of the two (radiographic: absolute) was used to calculate magnification. All measurements necessary for the calculation of RDV₁ were reduced by this factor. RDV₁ was measured by the technique of Barnhard, the same computer and technique as modified by Barrett being used. Barnhard’s method, as described, was designed to be used at total lung capacity; nevertheless, computed tomography has shown (in supine subjects) that there is little change in the shape of the chest over the full range of vital capacity. RDV₁ was measured in triplicate by one observer and mean values were used. The results of the helium dilution lung volume (V₁He) obtained during the posteroanterior and lateral views were averaged. The difference between the RDV₁ and helium dilution lung volume was recorded as total tissue volume (V₁TT). The ratio of V₁TT to RDV₁ was expressed as the specific tissue volume (STV).

Results

Anthropomorphic data and radiographic and helium lung volumes are set out in the table. The average V₁TT for 10 normal subjects was 0.843 ± 0.110 (1 SD) litres; V₁TT per unit thoracic volume (RDV₁) — that is, specific tissue volume (STV) or lung density (ml of tissue per ml of lung tissue and gas) — averaged 0.19 ± 0.03 (table).

Discussion

Methods for measuring the radiographic volume of the lungs are well established. Barnhard, for example, equates the lungs with a stack of cylindrical ellipsoids. The dimensions of these ellipsoids are obtained by tracing around the lung in posteroanterior and lateral views and dividing the vertical height into 500 slices. The gas volume is derived by subtracting from the total (a) the volume of the heart and subphrenic spaces and (b) an estimate of tissue, blood, and extravascular water volumes. The correlations (r) in normal subjects between radiographic and plethysmographic volume measurements are obtained by slightly different measuring and mathematical techniques, found that the difference between the radiographic lung volume (without the correction (b) for tissue volume) and the plethysmographic volume in 35 normal subjects averaged 0.72 l. Both measurements were made at total lung capacity. They pointed out that this difference represented lung tissue volume — that is, blood in capillary, arterial, and venous vessels, and extravascular tissues (cells, lymph, free water, and gel). Their technique was different in some respects. The radiographic and plethysmographic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>RDV₁ (l)†</th>
<th>V₁He (l)‡</th>
<th>V₁TT (l)</th>
<th>V₁TT/RDV₁</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4.49 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4.44 ± 0.037</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.26 ± 0.034</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3.88 ± 0.031</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.40 ± 0.081</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.037</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.092</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4.63 ± 0.051</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4.48 ± 0.012</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>74-6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*V₁TT = RDV₁ minus V₁He.
†Mean ± 1 SD of three or four measurements.
‡Mean of measurements during posteroanterior and lateral radiographic exposures.
measurements were made sequentially, not simultaneously, and in different postures—standing for the former and sitting for the latter. In addition, there were three correction factors, averaging 145 ml each, to take account of the posture difference, abdominal gas compression, and a radiographic error.

The technique reported here is similar in principle to that of Pierce and associates⁸ but the radiographic and gas volume measurements were made simultaneously, each radiograph being accompanied by a gas dilution estimate of lung volume; the difference between the gas volume measurements for the posteroaicterior and lateral radiographs averaged 0.211 (range 0–0.51). The use of a lower lung volume (functional residual capacity) meant that the derived volume (V₁₁) formed a larger fraction (0.19) of the radiographic volume and increased the signal-to-noise ratio. But the disadvantage of this method is that helium equilibration is required. While this presents no problem in normal subjects, in patients with airflow obstruction and redistribution of ventilation the helium volume would be too low and the total tissue volume overestimated. In these cases a steady-state helium equilibration technique could be adopted. Nevertheless, patients with uncomplicated heart failure, diffuse interstitial processes, and the adult respiratory distress syndrome could be studied with the simpler method described here.

Clearly, the technique makes great demands on the accuracy with which the radiographic and gas volumes can be defined. The reproducibility in locating the landmarks on the radiographs necessary for the calculation of lung volumes is set out in the table. The measurements on the radiograph were made three times and RDVT calculated as the mean of three estimations. The overall coefficient of variation was 1.3%. The difference between the helium dilution estimates on the posteroaicterior and lateral radiographs was also small, being 5.5% of the mean value. The standard deviation of the estimates of total tissue volume was 110 ml, a coefficient of variation of 13%. Mean lung density in this series (specific tissue volume) was 0.19 with a coefficient of variation of 16%; if we exclude subject 7 (clearly his volumes per unit height are much lower than those for all the others, presumably because the measurements were made below his true functional residual capacity) the variation of density (as a fraction of that of water) was only 7% (0.14–0.21).

Further work will be required to assess the reproducibility and sensitivity of this technique in detecting an abnormal tissue volume. A second set of radiographs was not considered justified in normal subjects, but serial measurements are planned in patients for whom chest radiographs are clinically indicated. For example, a patient with miliary tuberculosis had a total tissue volume of 1600 ml, which fell after treatment to 930 ml as the disease resolved clinically and radiographically.

It is interesting to compare lung tissue volumes measured by different methods. Lung dry weight from postmortem studies³ is 95 ml; extrascular water is 385 ml,² and lung blood volume (a 25% fall because of the erecto posture being allowed for)¹⁴ is about 350 ± 50 ml. Total tissue volume is around 830 ± 50 ml. This agrees well with the radiographic estimates in this study (843 ml). The tissue volume measured with acetylene inhalation⁴–⁷,¹⁵ averages 600 ml, which is 250 ml less than the total tissue volume, presumably owing to exclusion of blood in arterial and venous vessels. As expected, the radiographic estimates are greater than those measured with acetylene. In the supine posture predicted total tissue volume will increase by 100–845 ml because of an increase in pulmonary blood volume.¹⁴
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