Estimation of arterial oxygen tension in adult subjects using a transcutaneous electrode
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ABSTRACT Transcutaneous oxygen tension (tcPO₂) measured with a heated electrode was compared with arterial oxygen tension (Pao₂) in three groups of adult patients—group A: 20 patients with chronic respiratory disease; group B: eight hypothermic patients studied immediately after cardiopulmonary bypass surgery; and group C: 14 patients in an intensive care unit who had in all 35 comparisons of tcPO₂ and Pao₂. For group A, the relationship of the two methods is given by the regression equation (in mmHg): tcPO₂=0.88 Pao₂+5.0 (standard error=5.2 : r=0.93 : p<0.001) and for group C tcPO₂=0.69 Pao₂+14.9 (standard error=12.0, r=0.90: p<0.001). A method of in vivo calibration using a single arterial blood sample improved the reliability of the method in group C. In the group B patients there was no correlation between tcPO₂ and Pao₂ even when supplementary heating was applied to the skin. Continuous monitoring can reveal large fluctuations in arterial PO₂ which would be missed by the use of intermittent arterial samples. The transcutaneous electrode can be employed usefully in intensive care monitoring of adult patients and in physiological studies where repeated arterial samples would otherwise be required.

The transcutaneous oxygen electrode is a Clark polarographic electrode adapted for application to the skin surface. The electrode used in this study incorporates at the anode a small thermostatically controlled heater, designed to produce hyperaemia of the underlying skin and thus arterialisation of the local capillary circulation. It was shown in adult subjects that the oxygen tension measured by the transcutaneous method (tcPO₂) correlated with the directly measured arterial oxygen tension (Pao₂) and similar comparative studies have been carried out in healthy and sick newborn infants. Rapid alterations in tcPO₂ have been observed during aspiration and other manoeuvres associated with mechanical ventilation and there is no doubt that continuous monitoring by this method can reveal fluctuations which could not be observed by intermittent arterial sampling.

The validation of the transcutaneous electrode as a reliable non-invasive method of monitoring arterial PO₂ is a matter of general interest and to date the bulk of this work has been carried out in newborn infants. In the present study we have set out to determine the accuracy of the method in adult patients and to examine its possible role in physiological investigation or in the management of patients undergoing intensive care.

Methods

The transcutaneous oxygen electrode (Drägerwerk, Lübeck, West Germany) has three platinum microcathodes (diameter 15 μm) surrounded by a heated anode, and covered by a 25 μm Teflon membrane enclosing a thin layer of electrolyte solution. The design is similar to that described by Huch et al. The anode was heated to 45°C in these studies. The electrode was calibrated in vitro at two points before each study. The lower calibration point (zero oxygen tension) was obtained by placing a drop of sodium sulphite solution on the Teflon membrane. For the upper calibration point (atmospheric air) the electrode was placed in a plastic container of approximately 50 ml and surrounded by gauze soaked in warm water. The pressure of aqueous vapour at the anode temperature of 45°C is 72 mmHg and this figure was subtracted from...
from respiratory disorders of whom three were receiving assisted ventilation. None was hypothermic and cardiovascular status was satisfactory. All had an arterial cannula in situ and were in the intensive care unit.

In 10 of the group C cases it was possible to vary the inspired oxygen concentration so that a number of comparisons of tc PO2 and PaO2 could be made in the same individual. A method of in vivo calibration of the transcutaneous electrode could thus be carried out using the relationship between the initial pair of tc PO2 and PaO2 results to correct the subsequent tc PO2 observations as follows:

corrected tc PO2 = observed tc PO2 × initial (PaO2/tc PO2).

Results

ELECTRODE PERFORMANCE
A stable output was normally obtained 15–20 minutes after application to the subject but in the group B patients stability often took much longer to achieve. Calibration drift at the upper point was 2 to 4 mmHg (0.3–0.5 kPa) over two hours. There was no drift in the zero reading.

After stabilisation, a step-change in the inspired O2 concentration led to the establishment of a new plateau within six minutes in normal subjects and within 10 minutes in the patients of groups A and C. There was a lag of about 30 seconds after the step-change before a response was seen. The electrode was not left in situ for more than one hour. A mild erythema was seen at the electrode site in most subjects.

GROUP B PATIENTS
In the eight patients studied immediately after cardiopulmonary bypass surgery, there was no correlation between tc PO2 and PaO2. PaO2 ranged from 82 to 218 mmHg (10.9–29.1 kPa) but the highest tc PO2 recorded was only 105 mmHg (14.0 kPa). In general, the electrode recordings took longer to equilibrate than in groups A and C, in two cases as long as 40 minutes.

The skin temperature adjacent to the electrode ranged from 28.4°C to 32°C. The heating coil was applied in four cases, raising the local skin temperature by 6°C to 12°C over a period of 40 minutes. No significant increase in the observed tc PO2 was achieved by this method.

GROUP A PATIENTS
In the 20 patients with chronic respiratory disease, PaO2 ranged from 50 to 104 mmHg (6.7–13.9 kPa); the last figure was obtained in a patient breathing...
28% O₂. The regression of tcPo₂ on PaO₂ in mmHg is given by the following equation:

\[ \text{tcPo}_2 = 0.69 \text{PaO}_2 + 14.9 \]

Standard error of estimate = 12.0
\[ (r = 0.90 : p < 0.001) \]

When groups A and C were pooled (fig 1), the combined regression equation of tcPo₂ on PaO₂ showed no significant departure from a straight line relationship. The equation (in mmHg) is:

\[ \text{tcPo}_2 = 0.69 \text{PaO}_2 + 16.1 \]

Standard error of estimate = 10.1
\[ (r = 0.92 : p < 0.001) \]

**GROUP C PATIENTS**

Fourteen patients were studied and by alteration of the inspired oxygen concentration, 35 comparisons of tcPo₂ and PaO₂ were available. PaO₂ ranged from 44 to 184 mmHg (5.9–24.5 kPa). For the standard in vitro method of calibration, the regression of tcPo₂ on PaO₂ in mmHg is given by:

\[ \text{tcPo}_2 = 0.69 \text{PaO}_2 + 14.9 \]

Standard error of estimate = 12.0
\[ (r = 0.90 : p < 0.001) \]

**IN VIVO CALIBRATION (fig 2)**

Ten of the group C patients had more than one comparison-in vivo calibration. The equation (in mmHg) is:

\[ \text{tcPo}_2 = 0.69 \text{PaO}_2 + 16.1 \]

Standard error of estimate = 10.1
\[ (r = 0.92 : p < 0.001) \]
(two to five) estimations in the same session. Twenty comparisons of corrected tCPO2 and Pao2 were available by the in vivo calibration method. The regression equation in mmHg is as follows:

Corrected tCPO2 = 0.69 Pao2 + 30.1  
(r = 0.87; p < 0.001)

The mean Pao2 for the 20 estimations was 98.2 mmHg (13.1 kPa). The mean tCPO2 (in vitro calibration) was 82.3 mmHg (11.0 kPa) and mean tCPO2 (in vivo calibration) 97.5 mmHg (13.0 kPa).

**Discussion**

In the patients with chronic respiratory disease (group A), we have shown that measurement of tCPO2 can give a reasonable estimate of Pao2 in the range 50 to 100 mmHg (6.7–13.3 kPa), with standard error 5.2 mmHg (0.7 kPa). For the group C patients who were undergoing treatment in an intensive care unit (but not in the immediate post-operative period) the agreement between Pao2 and tCPO2 was less good, with a standard error of 12.0. The slope of the regression equation was less steep in the group C patients, suggesting a tendency to under-read the Pao2 at higher values with the in vitro calibration method in use. On statistical testing, however, there was no departure from a linear relationship.

The discrepancies between tCPO2 and Pao2 arise for a number of reasons. The Pao2 recorded at the transcutaneous electrode will tend to be lower than the true arterial Pao2 because of oxygen consumption by the skin itself. On the other hand, the temperature of the skin immediately underlying the heated electrode is several degrees above that of normal arterial blood. It has been shown that such elevation of temperature displaces the oxy-haemoglobin dissociation curve to the right producing an increase in Pao2 at a given haemoglobin saturation. The final tCPO2 thus reflects a balance between these two factors.

The discrepancies between tCPO2 and Pao2 are also likely to be related to variations in the methods used to calibrate the transcutaneous and arterial blood electrodes. The in vitro method of calibrating the transcutaneous electrode using nitrogen and saturated atmospheric air as in this study, is appropriate to the conventional blood-gas analyser, but bears little similarity to the operating mode of the transcutaneous electrode. Use of the subject’s own arterial blood would thus seem to be the only certain way of obtaining the upper calibration point: zero oxygen tension can however be chosen as the lower calibration point and here nitrogen or a reducing solution is satisfactory.

This in vivo method of calibration could only be used by us in the group C patients; a closer estimate of Pao2 was achieved, though the correlation coefficient was not improved.

It could be argued that the necessity of obtaining an arterial blood sample to perform the initial in vivo calibration negates the non-invasive principle exemplified by the transcutaneous electrode. However, a single arterial puncture carefully performed is a virtually painless procedure with a very low complication rate; once this has been done, the virtues of the transcutaneous electrode as a non-traumatic continuous monitoring device can be used. After the initial estimation of Pao2, the transcutaneous electrode system could be set to this value and subsequent estimates viewed with greater confidence.

In the patients who were studied immediately after cardiopulmonary bypass surgery (group B), no correlation between tCPO2 and Pao2 was found. The intense vasoconstriction associated with a major operation under hypothermia evidently prevents diffusion of oxygen from the arterial blood to the skin surface and this could not be overcome even when a heating coil was applied, raising the local skin temperature to a value between 37°C and 40°C. The transcutaneous electrode would therefore be of little value in monitoring Pao2 in such patients. In practice, however, an arterial cannula would have been inserted, so the necessity for transcutaneous oxygen measurements would seldom arise; a poor correlation between tCPO2 and Pao2 in very hypotensive infants has already been observed.

Further experience is undoubtedly required to establish the validity and general usefulness of transcutaneous oxygen measurements in the adult patient. Some improvement in the absolute accuracy of the method could no doubt be achieved, but it is clear that any changes in tCPO2 could be regarded with much greater confidence. It seems likely that the method would be of value in the intensive care unit where the continuous record would have a considerable advantage over the more usual method of intermittent arterial sampling. Neonatal experience has shown unexpectedly wide fluctuations in tCPO2 and early warning of such changes allows intervention at an earlier stage than would otherwise be possible. Similar findings are obtained when the method is applied to the adult subject. In addition, a reasonable estimate of Pao2 can be obtained in patients from whom arterial samples cannot be obtained such as a thrombocytopenic patient studied by us. Again, by virtue of its rapid response and non-invasive
character, the method has a useful role in more purely physiological investigations such as muscular exercise where it may be impractical or unethical to obtain arterial blood samples.
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