Moment analysis of the flow-time curve after breathing gases of different densities
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ABSTRACT In an attempt to improve methods of determining the site of airway narrowing we have tried using a heavier than air gas mixture (SF₆/O₂) in addition to the more widely used lighter than air gas mixture (He/O₂). Response to varying inspired gas density has been assessed by means of change in mean transit time (MTT) as well as by means of change in flow rate at 50% of vital capacity (Vmax₅₀). The possibility that derivatives of second moment analysis of the flow time curve reflect the presence of small airway disease is studied. The reproducibility of response to SF₆/O₂ breathing was better than that to He/O₂ breathing. However there was no correlation between response to these two gas mixtures in either normal subjects or in patients with asthma. The response of MTT to He/O₂ breathing correlated with the response of Vmax₅₀ and although no more reproducible, there are theoretical advantages in using MTT as the method of assessment. The derivative of the second moment of the flow-time curve, COV, did not correlate with flow rate response to He/O₂ breathing.

Much of the clinical practice of respiratory medicine is concerned with patients with airway narrowing, and knowledge of the site of this narrowing within the airways may be helpful in understanding the pathogenesis of disease and in directing therapeutic efforts. However, methods of determining the major site of narrowing within the airways are imperfect. Measurement of airways resistance may reflect large airway calibre in normal subjects but in a patient with abnormal airways resistance it is impossible to say whether this is the result of a relatively small change in calibre of the large airways or a relatively large change in calibre of the small airways. Frequency dependence of compliance may be a good detector of inequivalent time constants in patients with minor degrees of peripheral airways disease but the technique is difficult to perform and poorly reproducible. Measurement of closing volume is useful in detecting isolated peripheral airway disease but once conventional tests of airway function become abnormal the gradient of phase 3 becomes much steeper and the closing volume is often not discernible. Bronchography has shown the site of antigen-induced airway narrowing in dogs but is an impractical method for widespread use in patients. While newly described radioaerosol and isotope imaging techniques show promise as methods of distinguishing between central and peripheral airway narrowing, the most common accepted method is that of air and helium/oxygen (He/O₂) flow volume curves, and their theoretical background is supported by validation in dogs. Unfortunately, reproducibility of response of flow rates at 50% of vital capacity (Vmax₅₀) to He/O₂ breathing compares poorly with other standard physiological measurements. Furthermore the test is defining small airways as airways in which a laminar flow regime predominates and these may not correspond with the anatomical small airways (those with an internal diameter of less than 2 mm), nor with the small airways detected by closing volume measurement (which are those which close on expiration), nor with the “small airways” in the sense of airways peripheral to the equal pressure point. Mink and colleagues have recently suggested that in dogs laminar flow regimes may exist in airways up to 6 mm in diameter but nevertheless in situations of change measurement of air and He/O₂ flow volume curves may determine whether the change is occurring predominantly in the large central or in the smaller peripheral airways. The work of Brooks and colleagues suggests that the use of a denser than air gas may decrease variability. The first moment of the flow time curve, or mean transit time (MTT), (which may be obtained from the spirogram) may be useful in assessing the response to breathing gases of differing densities since it has the advantage of describing events throughout expiration by one
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number. Derivatives of the second moment of the flow-time curve have also been suggested as a method of determining the site of airway narrowing.13 14

In this study, therefore, we have attempted to assess the advantages of additional use of a denser than air gas mixture, sulphur hexafluoride/oxygen (SF6/O2), and the value of assessing response to helium/oxygen (He/O2) breathing by measurement of MTT rather than Vmax50. We have also compared second moment derivatives with He/O2 responsiveness of flow rates at 50% of vital capacity.

Methods

Ten normal subjects (non-smokers, seven men and three women, mean age 32-9 years) and 16 patients with symptomatic asthma took part in the study. Flow-volume curves were obtained using an Ohio 840 electronic spirometer from which flow and volume signals were taken to an Electronics for Medicine DR8 photographic recorder. From the spirometer, flow and volume signals were also taken to a multi-channel recorder allowing simultaneous recording of flow-time and volume-time curves. The participants performed a minimum of three forced expirations breathing air and results were accepted only if the vital capacity was reproducible to within 100 ml. Three further forced expirations were then performed after breathing He/O2 (80%/20%) until end-tidal nitrogen concentration was less than 5% when measured by a mass spectrometer (Centronics MGA 200). After washing out the helium by breathing room air the subject then took three relaxed vital capacity (VC) breaths of a 70%/30%SF6/02 mixture and performed three final maximum expiratory flow-volume manoeuvres. Flow rates at 50% of VC (Vmax50) were measured at BTPS and the mean flow rate from at least three curves obtained breathing air was compared with those on He/O2 and SF6/O2 and expressed as a percentage increase or decrease by the formula:

\[
\frac{(\text{Vmax50 on He/O2 or SF6/O2})-(\text{Vmax50 on air})}{(\text{Vmax50 on air})} \times 100\%
\]

and also as described by Brooks and colleagues11

\[
\frac{(\text{Vmax50 He/O2})-(\text{Vmax50 SF6/O2})}{(\text{Vmax50 SF6/O2})} \times 100\%
\]

For convenience the latter will subsequently be referred to as “Brooks factor”. Flow rates every tenth of a second were measured manually from the flow-time curve, punched onto computer cards, and analysed as shown in the appendix to provide (1) mean transit time (MTT), which is derived from the first moment about the origin of the flow-time curve, and which indicates the average time taken by gas molecules in the lung to reach the mouth; and (2) the coefficient of variance (COV) which is derived from the second moment about the mean transit time and equals the standard deviation of the second moment of the gas transit time taken as

\[
\text{MTT} = \frac{\text{COV}}{2}
\]

The COV indicates the spread or variation of transit times between the initial part of the vital capacity, which is expired quickly, and the slower terminal portion of the vital capacity. The effect of He/O2 breathing upon MTT was assessed in the same manner as for Vmax50.

Six of the patients had repeated measurements at different times with different degrees of airways obstruction and five of the normal subjects had measurements repeated at monthly intervals for three months to assess reproducibility. This was assessed within session and between session by means of the coefficient of variability

\[
\frac{\text{SD}}{\text{Mean}} \times 100\%
\]

Results

The table shows the results for normal subjects when breathing air, He/O2, and SF6/O2 mixtures as assessed by flow rates measured from the flow-volume curve and by MTT and COV. As a group the patients with asthma had longer mean transit times breathing air (mean for 16 patients = 1.325) and increased values for COV (Mean = 1.191) when compared with the normal subjects. Figure 1 shows a comparison of the response of flow rates at 50% VC to breathing He/O2 (compared with air) with that to breathing SF6/O2 (compared with air). Results are included from 10 normal subjects studied on 20 occasions and the 16 patients with asthma studied on 26 occasions.

Figure 2 shows the percentage increase in Vmax50 breathing He/O2 compared with air, plotted against the percentage shortening of MTT achieved by breathing the same mixture, again for normal subjects and patients with asthma.

The correlation coefficient (r) between these two variables for normal subjects was 0.82 (p<0.001, slope = -0.36, intercept = -1.35), for the asthmatic patients and normal subjects together r = 0.61 (p<0.001, slope = -0.36 intercept = -5.45) and asthmatic patients alone r = 0.56 (p<0.01, slope = -0.34, intercept = -6.07). The weaker correlation among the patients with asthma was largely accounted for by the five “aberrant” results discussed below.

Form 2 it can be seen that all the normal subjects and most all the patients were “responders” to He/O2 breathing and a smaller number non-responders by both methods. One patient who was studied soon after admission to hospital with acute asthma, showed a response to He/O2 breathing as
judged by a shortening of mean transit time and an increase in \( V_{\text{max}} \), but had no corresponding increase in \( V_{\text{max}50} \) or \( V_{\text{max}55} \). The reason for this is not clear. Four patients showed "response" to He/O\(_2\) breathing as judged by an increase in \( V_{\text{max}50} \) but had only negligible shortening of their mean transit times. These four patients differed only in that they had extremely low values for \( V_{\text{max}50} \) breathing (mean 25.8% predicted, compared with a mean value for the other patients of 35.2% predicted). It is possible that the response of \( V_{\text{max}50} \) to He/O\(_2\) breathing is spurious in that an improvement of \( V_{\text{max}50} \) from for example 0.2 to 0.3 l/s would appear as a 50% change whereas a shortening of mean transit time from, for example, 2.0 to 1.8 would obviously not do likewise.

Figure 3 shows the percentage change in \( V_{\text{max}50} \) breathing He/O\(_2\) (compared with air) plotted against COV derived from the second moment of the flow-time curve. There is no correlation between these two parameters and those who did not increase flow rates in response to He/O\(_2\) breathing did not have the highest values for COV.

**Discussion**

The response of \( V_{\text{max}50} \) to He/O\(_2\) and SF\(_6\)/O\(_2\) breathing in normal subjects is similar to that reported by others,\(^5\)\(^\text{11}\) and the values for Brooks factor similar to those reported for other normal non-smokers.\(^\text{11}\) Reproducibility of flow rate response to

---

**Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal subjects. Mean values for a variety of indices, and reproducibility within session and at monthly intervals for three months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean results (with SD) (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{max}} ) breathing air (l/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{max}50} ) breathing air (l/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{max}50} ) breathing He/O(_2) (l/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{max}50} ) breathing SF(_6)/O(_2) (l/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((V_{\text{max}50} \text{ He/O}<em>2) - (V</em>{\text{max}50} \text{ air}))/( V_{\text{max}50} ) air †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((V_{\text{max}50} \text{ SF}<em>6/O_2) - (V</em>{\text{max}50} \text{ air}))/( V_{\text{max}50} ) air †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((V_{\text{max}50} \text{ (He/O}<em>2) - (V</em>{\text{max}50} \text{ SF}<em>6/O_2))/( V</em>{\text{max}50} ) (Brooks factor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTT breathing air (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTT breathing He/O(_2) † (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COV breathing air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COV breathing He/O(_2) †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((\text{MTT He/O}_2) - (\text{MTT air}))/( \text{MTT air} )%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results calculated by a comparison of mean of three recordings on each gas mixture, within-session reproducibility therefore not available. †Change compared with value breathing air statistically significant: \( p < 0.001 \) paired \( t \) test.
He/O2 breathing in normal subjects studied at monthly intervals compared unfavourably with other standard physiological tests (table). However, a measurement that does not produce the same result on each occasion is not necessarily a bad test. In this context such a situation could arise because overall airways resistance did not change (and hence Vmax, Vmax\textsubscript{50}, and Vmax\textsubscript{25} were approximately the same on each occasion) but the distribution of that resistance within the airways could be different on each occasion (and hence response to He/O2 breathing different). We believe this to be a possible explanation for the apparent poor reproducibility of the test but in the absence of proof of this hypothesis the possible advantage of additionaly assessing the effect of SF\textsubscript{6}/O2 on flow rates was explored.

70% SF\textsubscript{6}/30% O2 was administered by means of three VC breaths because initial experiments showed 80% SF\textsubscript{6}/20% O2 administered for longer periods to be narcotic and possibly hazardous to patients with limited reserves.\textsuperscript{15} The reproducibility of flow rates on SF\textsubscript{6}/O2 within session for normal subjects and patients was good and similar to that for He/O2 even at monthly intervals. However the coefficient of variation of percentage change in flow rates on SF\textsubscript{6}/O2 compared to air was better than that of He/O2 (5.7% vs 22.5%) and hence when results were expressed as Brooks factor\textsuperscript{11} the coefficient of variability was similarly better (14.0%) than that for the helium-air density dependence (22.5%).

Thus far the use of SF\textsubscript{6}/O2 appeared promising. However, when the effect of SF\textsubscript{6}/O2 on Vmax\textsubscript{50} in normal subjects and in patients with asthma was compared with the effect of He/O2 there was no correlation (fig 1). With He/O2 there was marked interindividual variability as one would expect if the
major site of airflow limitation was differing between subjects. However, the response to SF6/O2 breathing was more uniform and those who had not altered their flow rates on He/O2 usually did so on SF6/O2.

The reason for this lack of correlation is not clear but it is likely that the greater difference in density between air and SF6 than that between air and helium16 disguises real differences between individuals. In those who were responders to He/O2 breathing because of the greater difference in density between air and SF6, flows are reduced more by SF6 than they are increased by helium. The fact that the reduction in flow rates on SF6/O2 was consistent despite variations in response to He/O2 is in part because a 50% increase in flow is not one of the same absolute magnitude as a 50% reduction, and in part because there is a more obvious endpoint to a reduction in flow than there is hypothetically to an increase in flow. If this explanation is correct it must also explain why some non-responders to He/O2 breathing reduced their flow rates on SF6/O2. In these patients most of upstream resistance9 originates in airways in which a laminar flow regime independent of density predominates.4 However, even in such situations upstream resistance may include a component from airways with turbulent flow and even in the predominantly laminar flow airways some eddying occurs at bifurcations. Thus changes in density can presumably have some effect, which is not great when a moderate change in density is made (ie He/O2 given), but becomes apparent when a large change in density of inspired gas is made.

It is possible that a lesser increase in density than that of 70% SF6/30% O2 may produce a change more comparable to that of He/O2 (eg 40% SF6, 40% N2, 20% O2). This requires study but it is possible that the single advantage of SF6 shown by these studies (that of better reproducibility) may then be lost, for this reduction in inter and intra-individual variability may be caused entirely by the overpowering effect of the very dense SF6. Either way in these studies the SF6/O2 response did not correlate with that to He/O2 and did not distinguish normal subjects from any patients with asthma.

When comparing flow rates breathing air with those breathing He/O2 no consistent differences in response have been shown between results obtained at the same time from exhaled flow-volume curves and those obtained in a plethysmograph.17 However when comparing flow rate response to He/O2 breathing at different times or after drugs when there may be significant changes in vital capacity, Vmax50 may be difficult to interpret if absolute lung volumes are not measured. Under such circumstances although flow rates at 50% of exhaled VC are being compared each time, because of changes in residual volume and total lung capacity the absolute lung volume at which measurements are being made may well be different. Theoretically this source of error can only be overcome by the use of a Mead type plethysmograph,15 but serial studies in a plethysmograph—for example, after exercise,—are often impractical. While mean transit time is also derived from an exhaled vital capacity and like the exhaled flow volume curve takes no account of thoracic gas compression or changes in residual volume, it does have the advantage that it is reflecting emptying characteristics of a much greater lung volume rather than just the flow rate at one lung volume (eg Vmax50).

Hypothetically, assessment of response to He/O2 breathing by means of MTT analysis, therefore, has advantages over assessment by means of change in flow rates at 50% of exhaled VC.

While standard equations were used, the method of calculation of moments used in this study differs from that used by others,13 14 19 20 being based on the flow-time curve rather than the volume-time curve. This was because we had no online computer and preliminary studies showed that it was easier manually to digitise the flow-time curve than the volume-time curve. Our method produced results for derivatives of moment analysis which were almost identical to those found in normal subjects by others.19 20

The results show that there was a statistically significant correlation between the effect of He/O2 breathing upon MTT and its effect upon Vmax50 in both normal subjects and in patients with asthma (fig 2). A few aberrant results were shown but as explained it is possible that these were related to the difficulties of measurement of very low flow rates and the expression of change in terms of percentage increase. The assessment of response to He/O2 breathing by change in MTT, therefore, not only has the advantage of encompassing events over a much larger lung volume but additionally, because it often involves numerically larger figures, obviates problems of interpretation of changes in very low flow rates. However, intra-subject variability of response of MTT to He/O2 breathing over a three-month period (table) was no better than that for Vmax50 suggesting that the variability truly reflects physiological change rather than being caused purely by difficulties of single volume measurement.

Several workers14 19 have suggested that increased COV reflect the presence of small airways disease and theoretically a greater component of slowly emptying peripheral units would cause a greater scatter of transit times. However, these workers' reasons for suggesting that an increase in COV represents small airways disease are open to question. In 18 normal subjects given He/O2 Macfie-
and colleagues\textsuperscript{19} noted a shortening of MTT (compared with air) of a similar magnitude to that shown in our normal subjects (table). In their studies, however, although COV increased a mean 5.4\% on \( \text{He/O}_2 \) this change was not statistically significant. They inferred from this that as \( \text{He/O}_2 \) was reducing the resistance in large airways, and as this change did not significantly affect COV then any change in COV must reflect a change in the small airways. In our normal subjects on the other hand the 9.1\% increase in COV on \( \text{He/O}_2 \) (table) was significant, suggesting that COV is also affected by changes in the large airways.

By correlating MTT and COV with various indices which they regarded as reflecting large (airways resistance and \( \dot{V}_{\text{max}} \)) or small (\( \dot{V}_{\text{max}_{25}} \)) airway disease and placing weight on strength of correlation coefficients, Neuberger and colleagues\textsuperscript{14} suggested that MTT reflects change in both large and small airways whereas COV reflects peripheral changes. However, the assumption that in patients with abnormalities of lung function airways resistance depends on large airways and \( \dot{V}_{\text{max}_{25}} \) depends on small airways is questionable. Furthermore, if an increase in value of COV reflects an increased component of small airway disease and if poor response of \( \dot{V}_{\text{max}_{25}} \) to \( \text{He/O}_2 \) breathing reflects the same there should be a correlation between these two parameters. Figure 3 shows that this is not the case. We believe that the position with COV is possibly analogous with that of frequency dependence of compliance or closing volume measurement. It may be sensitive to minor peripheral abnormalities of ventilatory function when these occur alone, but when more overt large and small airway disease is present it may not act as an index of regional narrowing.

These results do not suggest that the derivatives of moment analysis as used here, or the additional use of \( \text{SF}_6/\text{O}_2 \) offer an improvement on the current methods of determining the site of narrowing within the airways. However, assessment of response to \( \text{He/O}_2 \) by means of MTT analysis has theoretical advantages over assessment by means of changes in \( \dot{V}_{\text{max}_{25}} \) with which it correlates.
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Appendix

If flow $V$ during a forced expiratory manoeuvre is recorded against time, the flow value at any given moment is proportional to the number of gas molecules passing the flow meter at that moment. The start of expiration is taken as time zero, or the origin of the flow-time and volume-time (spirogram) curves.

Following Permutt and Menkes the $n$th moment of time about an arbitrary point $a$ during a forced expiration is defined as $\mu_n = \frac{\int_0^a (t-a)^n \ V \ dt}{\int_0^a V \ dt} = \frac{1}{V_C} \int_0^a (t-a)^n \ V \ dt$ where $V_C$ is the vital capacity.

If we use $\bar{a}$ as the $n$th moment about the origin ($a=0$), then $\bar{a}$ is the mean transit time ($t$). If $\mu_2$ is the $n$th moment about the mean transit time, $\sqrt{\mu_2}$ is the standard deviation and $\sqrt{\mu_2}/\bar{a}$ is the coefficient of variation (COV).

We have calculated $\bar{a}$ and $\sqrt{\mu_2}/\bar{a}$ direct from the flow-time curve, estimating the integrals by measuring flow every tenth of a second, where $\bar{a}$, or $t$, the mean transit time

$$\bar{a} = \frac{\int_0^a t \ V \ dt}{V_C}$$

and $\mu_2 = \frac{\int_0^a (t-\bar{a})^2 \ V \ dt}{V_C}$