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ABSTRACT  We describe three cases of eosinophilic pneumonia of unknown aetiology investigated both clinically and by lung biopsy. The illnesses lasted between six and 20 weeks and consisted of cough, dyspnoea, malaise, and in two cases prolonged pyrexia. All had blood eosinophilia and chest radiographs showing widespread bilateral shadowing; in two cases this had a characteristic peripheral distribution. One patient recovered spontaneously and the other two responded to steroids with disappearance of pyrexia within 12 hours and radiological clearing within 14 days. Lung function tests during the acute illness showed volume restriction or gas transfer defects or both in all three cases. After remission all three showed abnormalities of small airways function. Lung biopsies performed during the acute illness were examined histologically and by transmission electron microscopy, and in two cases by immunofluorescence. There was both intra-alveolar and interstitial eosinophilic pneumonia with bronchiolitis obliterans, microgranulomata, and a vasculitis. Electron microscopy showed numerous eosinophils, many degranulated, and macrophages with phagocytosed eosinophilic granules and intracytoplasmic inclusions. In one case IgM, IgG, and IgA were demonstrated in the bronchial walls and interstitium. No IgE or complement was present. We believe that eosinophil granules are responsible for the tissue damage and fever, and suggest mechanisms for this and for the response to steroid therapy.

Chest radiographic shadowing in combination with blood eosinophilia occurs in a number of clinical contexts, and these have been divided by Crofton et al., on purely clinical grounds, into five categories: (1) simple pulmonary eosinophilia (Löffler's syndrome); (2) prolonged pulmonary eosinophilic pneumonia without asthma; (3) pulmonary eosinophilia with asthma; (4) tropical pulmonary eosinophilia; (5) polyarteritis nodosa.

However, cases occur which run a chronic course and are difficult to fit into this classification, since they may or may not have asthma. Carrington and his colleagues have used the term chronic eosinophilic pneumonia to describe these, and have demonstrated that lung biopsies from such cases show a uniform histological appearance. Lung function, ultrastructure, and immunofluorescent studies of biopsy material have rarely been reported. We describe three cases of chronic eosinophilic pneumonia in which these aspects were investigated.

Case reports

CASE 1

A 72-year-old priest presented with a three-month history of worsening effort dyspnoea, dry cough, malaise, fever with night sweats, and occasional rigors. His appetite was poor and he had lost 10 kg in weight. He had a history of mild asthma for 12 years (treated with salbutamol and intermittent beclomethasone by inhalation), and myocardial ischaemia (treated with digoxin and frusemide).

On admission he was febrile (38-1°C) and unwell. The only abnormal signs were in his chest, where there were widespread sparse wheezes, and crackles confined to the left upper zone.

Chest radiography (fig 1) showed shadowing in the left upper and mid-zones, most marked peripherally, and patchy changes in the right upper zone. Tests produced the following results: Hb—13.3 g/dl; WBC—12.3×10⁹/l, with 52% neutrophils, 11% lymphocytes, 7% monocytes, and 30% eosinophils (absolute eosinophil count 3-69×10⁹/l); ESR—over 100 mm/hr; sputum—light growth of Candida albicans, but no significant pathogens isolated from many samples; serum immunoglobulins—IgG 21 g/l, IgA 3.1 g/l, IgM 1.7 g/l, IgE 240 (later 94) IU/ml.

The results of lung function tests are shown in table 1. Arterial blood gases with the patient breathing air were pO₂ 55 mmHg, pCO₂ 33 mmHg, pH 7-44. Skin test reactions to common
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![Chest radiograph of case 1, showing shadowing in both upper zones](image)

**Table 1** Lung function tests during acute illness. Cases 1 and 3 were studied before biopsy, case 2 one week after biopsy. Figures in brackets are the value expressed as a percentage of the predicted normal value. Values more than 2SD from the predicted normal value are starred. Predicted normal values are derived from Cotes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lung function tests</th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airways measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (IBTPS)</td>
<td>2-10 (91)</td>
<td>*1-35 (57)</td>
<td>3-40 (133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (IBTPS)</td>
<td>2-90 (86)</td>
<td>*1-45 (50)</td>
<td>4-15 (127)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC (%)</td>
<td>73 (113)</td>
<td>92 (115)</td>
<td>81 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEFR (IBTPS S⁻¹)</td>
<td>5-3 (67)</td>
<td>*2-9 (47)</td>
<td>8-5 (129)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volumes (He dilution) IBTPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC</td>
<td>*2-20 (61)</td>
<td>2-0 (75)</td>
<td>3-23 (113)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>4-55 (78)</td>
<td>*2-85 (64)</td>
<td>5-75 (115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>3-06 (91)</td>
<td>*1-47 (51)</td>
<td>4-44 (136)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (steady state)</td>
<td>1-49 (66)</td>
<td>1-40 (92)</td>
<td>1-32 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (single breath)</td>
<td>1-35 (60)</td>
<td>1-50 (99)</td>
<td>1-30 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas transfer (single breath)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dco (mmol CO min⁻¹ kPa⁻¹)</td>
<td>4-98 (69)</td>
<td>*3-14 (41)</td>
<td>9-13 (112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kco (mmol CO min⁻¹ kPa⁻¹ l⁻¹)</td>
<td>1-34 (107)</td>
<td>*1-25 (72)</td>
<td>1-72 (98)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Allergens, including *Aspergillus sp.*, were negative. Results of other investigations, listed below, were negative.

During the next four weeks the patient remained unwell and pyrexial, with a mild normochromic, normocytic anaemia (Hb 10-7 g/dl) and variable eosinophilia (0-1 to 4-2 x 10⁹/litre). Serial chest radiographs showed some extension of the shadowing in the left lung, but the upper zone changes in both lungs remained static. Bronchoscopy revealed small, pale nodules in the left upper lobe and left apical lower lobe bronchi, but was otherwise normal. Biopsies of the nodules revealed non-specific chronic inflammation with plasma cell, neutrophil, and eosinophil infiltration.

Four weeks after presentation, open biopsy of the left upper lobe was performed. At operation the lobe was consolidated, with fine adhesions to the chest wall and pericardium and patchy consolidation in the lower lobe. There was no pleural fluid. Postoperatively, fever and intermittent eosinophilia continued, and oral prednisolone, 30 mg/day, was started. The temperature resolved within 12 hours (fig 2) and the chest radiograph after two weeks was clear apart from a small area of scarring at the left apex which antedated the present illness. Prednisolone was gradually withdrawn over six months and the patient remained clinically and radiologically well for several months. Lung function studies during this remission are listed in table 2. Five months after withdrawal of steroids he relapsed, with fresh shadowing in the posterior part of the right lower lobe (an area previously spared) and eosinophilia of 3-4 x 10⁹/l. The principal symptom on this occasion was dyspnoea, with clinical and functional evidence of gross airways obstruction (FEV₁ 0-85 l, FVC 1-6 l). He made

![Temperature charts from cases 1 (lower) and 2 (upper) showing the effect of starting oral steroid therapy (P). - - - - indicates an outpatient period with no measurements.](image)
Table 2 Lung function tests during remission and off treatment. Notation and units (except where given) as Table 1. Predicted normal values for $V_{\text{max}}$, 50% VC; closing volume; phase 3 slope; mixing index—our laboratory unpublished.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lung function tests</th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airways measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{E}V_{T}$</td>
<td>2.10 (91)</td>
<td>2.10 (90)</td>
<td>3.15 (123)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>2.95 (89)</td>
<td>2.65 (93)</td>
<td>4.00 (122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>71 (111)</td>
<td>80 (100)</td>
<td>79 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEFR</td>
<td>7.04 (90)</td>
<td>5.43 (89)</td>
<td>7.36 (111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{max}}$, 50% VC (BTPS$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>*1.08 (33)</td>
<td>*2.68 (70)</td>
<td>*2.75 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing volume (%VC)</td>
<td>28.8 (106)</td>
<td>21.6 (132)</td>
<td>16.1 (99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 3 slope (%N_{2} IBTPS$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>*2.1 (140)</td>
<td>*1.2 (80)</td>
<td>*1.4 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volumes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC</td>
<td>4.25 (131)</td>
<td>3.0 (128)</td>
<td>3.25 (113)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>6.70 (117)</td>
<td>4.8 (110)</td>
<td>6.15 (123)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>3.14 (95)</td>
<td>2.70 (95)</td>
<td>4.54 (139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (steady state)</td>
<td>*3.56 (159)</td>
<td>2.12 (143)</td>
<td>1.58 (92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (single breath)</td>
<td>1.75 (78)</td>
<td>0.95 (64)</td>
<td>1.15 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixing index (RV/sn)</td>
<td>*49</td>
<td>*45</td>
<td>*73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVss $\times 100$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas transfer</td>
<td>5.52 (79)</td>
<td>5.54 (73)</td>
<td>10.85 (133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kco</td>
<td>1.29 (104)</td>
<td>1.66 (95)</td>
<td>1.94 (111)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A rapid response to oral prednisolone 60 mg/day, plus an initial continuous infusion of salbutamol, and remains well on a tapering dose of prednisolone. The effect of steroids on the blood eosinophilia is shown in fig 3.

![Fig 3](image1.png)  
**Fig 3** Case 1: effect of oral steroid therapy on blood eosinophil count.

**Table 2**

A 47-year-old female filing clerk presented with a six-week history of progressive dyspnoea, weakness, and 7 kg weight loss. Her previous history was healthy. On admission she was thin and ill, with pyrexia to 39°C. Abnormal signs were confined to the chest, with dullness to percussion and sparse crackles at the right base anteriorly.

Chest radiography (fig 4) showed extensive patchy shadowing throughout the right lung, and more localized linear shadowing in the left mid and lower zones. Tests produced the following results: Hb—11.1 g/dl; WBC—14.3 × 10^9/l, with 91% neutrophils, 4% lymphocytes, and 5% monocytes; ESR—92 mm/hr; sputum—light growth of penicillin-sensitive coagulase-positive Staphylococcus aureus; serum immunoglobulins—IgG 11.0 g/l, IgA 3.8 g/l, IgM 1.5 g/l, IgE <30 IU/ml. Arterial blood gases with the patient breathing 40% oxygen were $p_{O_2}$ 128 mmHg, $p_{CO_2}$ 38 mmHg, pH 7.38.

The patient was treated with ampicillin and flucloxacillin, 500 mg six hourly, for eight days without clinical or radiological improvement. Repeated sputum cultures were sterile apart from occasional isolations of Candida albicans. Blood counts showed a progressive fall in haemoglobin to 7.9 g/dl, and leucocytosis (12.0–14.0 × 10^9/l) with 84–86% of neutrophils and 4–5% eosinophils (absolute eosinophil counts 0.56–0.59 × 10^9/l).

She remained severely ill and after two weeks was started on antituberculous chemotherapy. However, she continued to deteriorate, and further chest films showed extension of shadowing throughout the left lung and in the right upper zone. ESR and white blood count remained...
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high and a modest eosinophilia (up to 1-10 × 10⁹/l) persisted. After four weeks in hospital she was transfused with three units of blood and open biopsy of the left upper lobe was performed. At operation, the whole left lung appeared inflamed, with a few pleural adhesions but no effusion. Post operatively she remained pyrexial until prednisolone 30 mg a day was started, when her temperature rapidly settled (fig 2) and she improved clinically. The eosinophil count was normal five days later, and chest radiograph after two weeks showed only a small area of shadowing in the right mid-zone and left-sided pleural changes secondary to the thoracotomy. Tomography of the lesion in the right lung showed a 1 cm area of shadowing consistent with localised fibrosis. Prednisolone was gradually withdrawn and then stopped after six months, and the patient remains well. Lung function tests performed six days after the start of steroid treatment, and one week after withdrawal of steroids, are tabulated in tables 1 and 2.

CASE 3
A 46-year-old beautician presented with a two-month history of dry cough, followed by anorexia, weight loss (3 kg), and night sweats. For one week before admission she had noticed mild effort dyspnoea. Her previous health had been good. On admission she appeared well and was afebrile; abnormal physical signs were confined to the chest, where there was evidence of consolidation in the right upper zone.

Chest radiography (fig 5) showed extensive peripheral shadowing in the right upper and mid zones and similar but more localised changes in the left mid-zone. Tests produced the following results: Hb—11·4 g/dl; WBC—11·6 ×10⁹/l, with 40% neutrophils, 10% lymphocytes, 3% monocytes, 47% eosinophils (absolute eosinophil count 5·36 ×10⁹/l); ESR—79 mm/hr. The sputum was extremely sparse, mucoid, and contained no pathogens. Lung function tests produced normal results (table 1). Arterial blood gases with the patient breathing air were pO₂ 89 mmHg, pCO₂ 32 mmHg, pH 7·41. Serum immunoglobulins were IgG 20·8 g/l, IgA 1·5 g/l, IgM 1·8 g/l, IgE 91 IU/ml. Skin testing was positive to a number of common allergens, though not to Aspergillus. She remained afebrile, with only minor symptoms, but blood eosinophilia persisted with absolute counts between 3·42 and 7·0 ×10⁹/l. Chest radiography showed extension of the shadowing in the left lung to the upper zone, and slight clearing on the right. Two weeks after admission transbronchial biopsy of the right upper lobe was performed via a fibreoptic bronchoscope, and shortly after this she was allowed home. Three weeks later, her chest film showed complete clearing, and has remained normal during six months follow-up though a mild blood eosinophilia persists (0·6–0·9 ×10⁹/litre).

Other investigations
The following investigations were carried out on all three patients and were normal or negative: serum electrolytes, urea, creatinine, calcium, phosphate; liver function tests; serological tests for syphilis; Widal test; immunofluorescent tests for antibodies to thyroid colloid, thyroid epithelial cell cytoplasm, gastric parietal cell cytoplasm, nuclei (ANF), mitochondria, and smooth muscle; serial complement fixation tests against the following respiratory pathogens—adenovirus, cytomegalovirus, influenza A, B, and C, parainfluenza 1 and 3, psittacosis, respiratory syncytial virus, Mycoplasma, Coxiella burnetii; serum precipitins to Aspergillus; filarial fluorescent antibody test; microscopy and culture of faeces; culture of throat swabs, MSU, and blood; urine and sputum culture for mycobacteria.

Apart from the drugs mentioned in case 1, none of the patients had been on any treatment in the months preceding their illness. Case 1 had travelled to Australia in the early stage of his illness, without leaving the aircraft en route; neither of the others had been abroad within the previous 12 months.

EXAMINATION OF LUNG TISSUE
The open biopsies (cases 1 and 2) were divided
into four. One piece was used for transmission electron microscopy and fixed in Karnovsky fixative for four hours at 4°C. It was then washed overnight in cacodylate-sucrose buffer at 4°C and then post-fixed in 1% aqueous osmium tetroxide for one hour at 4°C. After rinsing in distilled water it was dehydrated to absolute ethanol, then placed in epoxypropane and fresh warm Araldite, and finally embedded in fresh Araldite. Sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead nitrate, and examined in a Phillips 201 electron microscope.

Another sample was cultured for bacteria, fungi, and viruses and nothing was grown. A further piece was frozen for immunofluorescent studies, and the remainder of the tissue was fixed in 4% neutral formaldehyde for histology.

HISTOLOGY

The findings in cases 1 and 2 were similar. The most striking change, which was diffusely distributed throughout the lung, was consolidation with alveoli filled by macrophages and eosinophils, and widespread thickening of the alveolar walls, lobular septa, and visceral pleura by oedematous loose vascular connective tissue infiltrated by eosinophils, plasma cells, and macrophages (fig 6). There were many "eosinophilic abscesses" composed of masses of eosinophilic granules, eosinophils, macrophages—some con

---

Fig 6  Case 1: lung biopsy showing intra-alveolar consolidation and cellular infiltration of alveolar and lobular septa. H and E, original magnification ×100.

Fig 7  Case 1: bronchiolitis obliterans with ulceration of mucosa and inflammatory granulation tissue in lumen. H and E, original magnification ×250.
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There was a mild arteritis with eosinophils, plasma cells, and macrophages involving the adventitia and media but there was no evidence of necrosis nor were the veins involved. Small pulmonary arteries showed narrowing of the lumen by vascular connective tissue and there was also moderate hyperplasia of the media.

In case 3, there was marked peribronchial consolidation with macrophages (some containing eosinophilic granules), plasma cells and occasional clusters of eosinophils. There was also intra-alveolar organisation and obstructive lipid pneumonia similar to that seen in cases 1 and 2. However, there was no evidence of bronchiolitis obliterans, eosinophilic abscesses, or vasculitis in the small amount of tissue available.

No organisms or fungi were seen in any of the cases.

ELECTRON MICROSCOPE

The findings were similar in all three cases. There were many eosinophils and macrophages...
in the alveolar spaces and interstitial tissue. There were three main types of eosinophil. One contained normal granules composed of a dense central core surrounded by a less dense matrix; in the second the central core of the granules was translucent and the surrounding matrix was more dense. Finally there were some eosinophils which contained both types of granules, and in case 3 these were also present in an alveolar capillary (fig 8). Many of the macrophages contained eosinophilic granules and some fragments of eosinophils. There were cytolyssomes containing irregularly shaped translucent bodies (fig 9), and one macrophage in case 1 contained a rectangular shaped body surrounded by a multilayered membrane. Some of the macrophages contained odd shaped cytoplasmic inclusions with a pentalaminar wall (fig 10).

Within the interstitial tissue there were normal plasma cells, lymphocytes, and mast cells; some of the latter were degranulated. There was focal oedema of the alveolar capillary wall and in places the alveoli were lined by type 2 cells. Deposits of immune complexes were not seen.

**IMMUNOFUORESCENCE**
In cases 1 and 2 the lungs were examined for IgA, IgG, IgM, IgE, fibrinogen, and Clq fraction of complement using commercially prepared FITC conjugated sera. Case 1 was negative; in case 2 IgG, IgA, and IgM were present in plasma cells and macrophages in the interstitial tissue and in the bronchial wall, and as irregular flecks in the connective tissue of the bronchial wall. Fibrinogen was present within alveoli in both cases. In neither case was there evidence of immune complexes.

**Discussion**
Our three cases demonstrate well the clinical and pathological spectra of chronic eosinophilic pneumonia. We have found 60 published cases with biopsy or necropsy histology which match.
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that in our cases. Apart from two patients (one with bronchopulmonary aspergillosis and one treated with nitrofurantoin) no aetiology was established. Clinical details are only complete for about half these cases, but a remarkably coherent picture emerges which is well illustrated by our cases and summarised in table 3. The age distribution has a peak in the fifth decade, and decays symmetrically about this; but no age group is exempt. Females preponderate by two to one. Asthma was present in 53%, usually with a short history (mean duration six years, and less than five years in 70%); onset in childhood has been described only once. Eosinophilia was occasionally gross (up to 25 \times 10^9/litre) but much more commonly was modest or intermittent (cases 1 and 2), and in a small proportion of cases it is never seen.

Not surprisingly, severe cases (which comprise about two-thirds of the total, and present with all the features listed in table 3) were often treated initially as bacterial pneumonia and subjected to lung biopsy because of a downhill course, as in cases 1 and 2. However, in 60–70% of all cases, the chest radiograph showed a peripheral pattern of shadowing (the “photographic negative of pulmonary oedema”) which has recently been demonstrated to be virtually diagnostic. Gaensler and Carrington recommended that, in view of the rapid response to steroids which is typical of this disease (see below), a trial of steroid therapy is appropriate.

Table 3 Presenting clinical features in 63 histologically confirmed cases of chronic eosinophilic pneumonia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Incidence%</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic shadowing</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Typical pattern in 60% (see text)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood eosinophilia</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>May be intermittent; absent throughout in 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>May reach 40°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Productive in 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Up to 24 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaise</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in such patients without biopsy. We accept this with reservation, since it will hinder exploration of a pathogenetic process which is still largely obscure; and we would recommend fibreoptic biopsy whenever possible, since we have shown it to be an effective approach (case 3).

The natural history of the disease is reasonably well-established. Many of the reported cases had a protracted course before diagnosis and treatment, and permanent spontaneous remission (as in case 3) was seen in less than 10%. The vast majority were treated with steroids, and our experience in cases 1 and 2 is typical. There is dramatic resolution of pyrexia and blood eosinophilia followed by a rapid clinical and radiological remission. The radiograph usually clears completely within two weeks. However, when steroids are withdrawn, one-third of cases sooner or later relapse (as did case 1), often with infiltrates in the same areas of the lungs.23 Such relapses, which have been recorded up to eight times in a single patient, continue to respond to steroids, and appear to be prevented by low dose maintenance therapy (<10 mg prednisolone daily).

Our patients all had lung function studies during the acute illness (table 1). The most severe case (2) had both restrictive and gas-transfer defects, the latter more severe than could be accounted for solely by the reduction of alveolar volume. Case 1, of moderate severity, had a borderline restrictive defect only during the first attack; the recurrence 15 months later was dominated by gross airways obstruction. Case 3, which was very mild, retained normal lung function.

There are few detailed reports of lung function during the acute untreated illness.2 12 15 10 These are in keeping with our findings, and we can summarise the acute situation thus: mild cases may have normal lung function; with increasing severity volume restriction and a true defect of gas transfer appear. In patients with a previous history of asthma, airways obstruction may occasionally be the dominant abnormality. Hypoxaemia caused by disturbed ventilation-perfusion relationships may be severe (pO₂ <50 mmHg). Three deaths have been reported.3 14 23

Our cases also illustrate the reversibility of these lung function abnormalities with steroid treatment; in particular, gas transfer defects are eliminated2 and fixed volume restriction is unusual except in those patients with a severe, protracted course before treatment or with repeated recurrences after steroid withdrawal. The response to steroids suggests that resolution, rather than organisation, of the pneumonic process occurs. This would be in keeping with the dominance of cellular and exudative changes rather than necrosis, in biopsy specimens, and is supported by the observation of Parrault et al.,1 who repeated a needle biopsy of their patient during remission. The only abnormality seen in what had previously been a florid and typical picture, was minimal peribronchial fibrosis and a single healed granuloma.

However, we disagree with the impression given in many reports that the process is entirely reversible. Both our open biopsies showed bronchialitis obliterans. In view of this, we included tests of small airways function in the remission studies (table 2). In all three cases at least two of these tests were abnormal. We, therefore, believe that an irreversable residuum of small airways disease exists in our patients, probably commensurate with the severity of the original episode, and that this may be a common feature of the disease. Tests of small airways function have not been reported previously, but bronchiolitis obliterans was a feature of a third of the biopsies reported by Gaensler and Carrington.

The histological changes in cases 1 and 2 were characteristic of those described by Liebow and Carrington.3 In case 3 only a small amount of tissue was available but it was possible to confirm the clinical diagnosis of eosinophilic pneumonia. Although only a few eosinophils were found in the histological sections many more were seen by electronmicroscopy. The explanation for this disparity is that on electronmicroscopy it is easy to identify both normal and degranulated eosinophils whereas only eosinophils with normal granules will be readily identified in histological sections.24

We have no direct evidence as to the cause of eosinophilia in chronic eosinophilic pneumonia. Eosinophilia can accompany all four types of immune reaction.24 In type 1 reactions mast cell degranulation appears to be the trigger. However, it is unlikely that this could play a significant role in a disease with such a prolonged and stable pulmonary reaction, and all our patients had normal serum IgE levels. Activation of complement appears to be the stimulus for eosinophilia in types 2 and 3 reactions but we found no evidence of complement activation in our cases. In type 4 (cell-mediated) reactions blood eosinophilia may be caused by the release from lymphocytes of eosinophilic stimulating and chemotactic factors.24 We interpret the presence of microgranulomata as evidence of cell-mediated immune reaction and we favour
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this as the cause in our cases.

The eosinophil appears to play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of this disease; it is the pre-dominant cell in the tissue reaction, blood eosinophilia is usually present in the active stage, and when remission is produced by steroids eosinophilia rapidly disappears. It has been suggested that the damage to the heart in Löeffler’s cardiomyopathy is caused by the eosinophilic granules:25 cardiomyopathy is not a feature of chronic eosinophilic pneumonia but this may be because the eosinophilia is milder and much less prolonged. However it is possible that the release of eosinophilic granules locally and in high concentration in the lung, which we have demonstrated in our cases, is responsible for the tissue damage. The substances present in the eosinophilic granules which could be implicated are hydrolases, myeloperoxidases, or the basic protein of the crystallloid internum,26 prostaglandins,27 and thromboplastin.28 The cause of degranulation is not clear; however it seems likely that again an immunological mechanism may be implicated. Eosinophils can be stimulated to degranulate in vitro by immune complexes,26 aggregated IgG,29 and foetal calf serum,30 and it is possible that a similar type of stimulus may have been acting in these cases. In one case we found IgG in the lung but we did not identify immune complexes. Eosinophils also produce a pyrogen31 and its release may be the explanation of the pyrexia seen in these cases. The dramatic response to steroids could be caused by their eosinopenic effect and by the stabilising effect on cell membranes which would prevent degranulation occurring.

The crystalline cytoplasmic inclusions within macrophages are probably the early intracellular stages of the formation of Charcot-Leyden crystals,32 which are often present in chronic eosinophilic pneumonia3 although they were not seen in histological sections in our cases. The pentalaminar inclusions, which have not previously been found in chronic eosinophilic pneumonia,19 resemble the intracytoplasmic inclusions seen in histcysis X,33 Langerhans cells of the epidermis,34 and macrophages in the lungs in the usual type of interstitial pneumonia and bronchoalveolar carcinoma.35 These inclusions are probably formed from the surface membrane as the result of endocytosis.36

The histological features of the pneumonic process are not unique to this form of pulmonary eosinophilia. An eosinophilic pneumonia may be present in Löeffler’s syndrome,37 allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, polyarteritis nodosa, and tropical eosinophilia.38 The diagnosis, therefore, depends upon clinical as well as histological features, and a search for aetiological factors is crucially important. This needs particular emphasis in asthmatic patients, since all the presenting features in table 3 (with the exception of the typical radiographic pattern, which is not always present) may occur in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis or tropical eosinophilia. In this context, our finding of normal serum IgE levels in all our patients is interesting, since very high levels are typical of the latter two diseases. However, IgE levels have not been reported previously in chronic eosinophilic pneumonia, and their value as a discriminant requires confirmation.

Crofton et al3 emphasised that cases of pulmonary eosinophilia form a continuum, and that their classification was arbitrary. Our cases illustrate this. Criteria based on the duration of the illness or the presence of asthma may be transgressed both by cryptogenic cases like ours, and by cases where an agent such as a drug or parasite is incriminated. At present chronic eosinophilic pneumonia appears to be a genuine entity on clinical and histological grounds. This is useful therapeutically but exploration of its pathogenesis is overdue.
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