Waveform and spectral analysis of crackles
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ABSTRACT Crackles were recorded from six patients, four with tuberculosis and two with chronic bronchitis. It was observed by waveform and spectral analysis that most of the frequency components of a crackle were limited within a range of 0.1 to 1 kHz. Characteristically, waveforms of crackles were separable into two segments, initial “starting segments” and subsequent “decay segments.” It is suggested that the former represents a shock wave caused by an abrupt opening of the airway and that the latter is a damped sinusoid caused by this shock wave exciting a resonator in the lung. It is speculated that the starting segment is determined by the pressure ratio at the site of the airway opening, and the decay segment by the resonant frequency and the quality factor of the resonator. Because transmission of a crackle is highly directional the waveforms recorded on the chest wall are modified by the positional relationship between the sound source and the microphone.

It has been widely accepted that crackles are short explosive sounds generated in the lung when the airway abruptly opens. The timing of this opening is known to be closely associated with a particular transpulmonary pressure. The purpose of this study is to investigate further the origin and characteristics of crackles by waveform and spectral analysis.

Methods

The lung sounds were recorded by placing an air-coupled dynamic-type microphone firmly on the chest wall where cracks were heard by auscultation. The output of the microphone was amplified, filtered (low frequency cut-off at 100 Hz) and recorded on a FM tape recorder (TEAC R210). The frequency response of the microphone and the amplifier system was flat to within ±3 dB in the range from 0.1 kHz to 8 kHz. Six patients, four with tuberculosis and two with chronic bronchitis, were studied. Slowing down the playback speed to one-eighth of the originally recorded tape speed, we sampled the lung sounds by means of a memory (TDK 8810) at a sampling frequency of 2 kHz (equivalent to 16 kHz real time) and for the time interval of 0.5 or 1 s (equivalent to 62.5 or 125 ms real time respectively). This slowing down was necessary to isolate and sample a single crackle. The sampled waveforms were monitored and displayed by a jet-type recorder (Mingograf, Siemens). For the waveform analysis the time scale was expanded 1600 times, eight times by slowing down the play-back speed and 200 times by reducing the read-out frequency from the memory from 2 kHz to 10 Hz. For the spectral analysis (Fourier transform) the same signals stored in the memory were transferred back to the FM tape recorder, sampled by an analog-digital converter (TOSBAC T-40) and analysed by a computer (ACOS 600). This time the sampling frequency and the time window were 64 kHz and 31.25 ms real time respectively. The sampling was again monitored by a plotter. Figure 1 shows the outline of our method of analysis.

**Fig 1** Block diagram of our method of analysis. For the waveform and spectral analysis of crackles monitoring at the time of sampling is necessary.
Results

From six patients we sampled 245 crackles, of which 168 waveforms were selected for the measurement of half-periods. The rest were excluded because the tracings were either poor or overlapped by other crackles. By monitoring (fig 2) we could identify and sample crackles with almost identical waveforms in successive inspiratory or expiratory cycles (figs 3–7). The waveform of a crackle had between four and 10 positive and negative deflections. The interval of each deflection (half-period) was shortest (0·5–2·0 ms) initially and became progressively longer until a constant value (2·8–5·2 ms) was reached (fig 8 and table 1). In most waveforms we could identify an initial starting segment and subsequent decay segment (figs 3–7). This transition was more obvious in large amplitude waveforms than in low amplitude waveforms and in the starting segments of the former we observed steepenings of wavefronts and abrupt interruptions of waveforms by sudden deflections (figs 4–7). In 108 crackles (44%) the decay segments lasted more than a cycle and appeared damped sinusoids with almost exponential attenuations (figs 3, 5, 7, 9). The frequency of the decay segments ranged between 100 Hz to 300 Hz and within this low frequency range we observed no resonant response in our recording system. 

Twelve representative crackles (two crackles for each patient) were selected for the spectral analysis. The spectrum of a crackle had a maximum peak at about 130 Hz to 220 Hz (fig 10 and table 2) and the inverse of this frequency.

Fig 3 Left: amplitude versus time plots of lung sounds recorded from patient 1, pulmonary tuberculosis and thoracoplasty left. The site of recording was left base, posterior. Right: time expanded waveform analysis of crackles sampled from the same patient during three consecutive respiratory cycles. Each waveform on the right side corresponds to the crackle on the left side indicated by an arrow. Segments of waveform indicated by letters (a, b, c, d) represent starting segments and those indicated by figures (1, 2, 3, 4) represent decay segments. Note repetitive appearance of crackles with almost identical waveforms.

Fig 4 Left: amplitude versus time plots of lung sounds recorded from patient 3, chronic bronchitis. The site of recording was right base, posterior. Right: time expanded waveform analysis of crackles sampled during two consecutive inspiratory cycles. Labelling as fig 3. Note an abrupt interruption of waveforms by a sudden deflection (e).
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Fig 5  Left: amplitude versus time plots of lung sounds recorded from patient 4, pulmonary tuberculosis, right. The site of recording was right mid-lung field, posterior. Right: time expanded waveform analysis of crackles sampled during two consecutive expiratory cycles. Labelling as fig 3.

Fig 6  Left: amplitude versus time plots of lung sounds recorded from patient 5, pulmonary tuberculosis, right. The site of recording was right upper lung field. Right: time expanded waveform analysis of early inspiratory crackles sampled during three consecutive respiratory cycles. Labelling as fig 3. Note steepening of wavefront at the junction of starting segment (a, b, c) and decay segment (1, 2, 3, 4).

Table 1  Clinical diagnosis and intervals of each deflection (half-periods) obtained from the waveform analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d(I)</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>1.36±0.54</td>
<td>1.91±0.58</td>
<td>2.35±0.65</td>
<td>3.18±0.36</td>
<td>2.98±0.65</td>
<td>3.16±0.23</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chronic bronchitis</td>
<td>1.02±0.29</td>
<td>1.47±0.40</td>
<td>1.94±0.46</td>
<td>2.39±0.60</td>
<td>2.94±0.75</td>
<td>3.37±0.79</td>
<td>3.73±0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Chronic bronchitis</td>
<td>0.93±0.37</td>
<td>1.53±0.27</td>
<td>2.00±0.51</td>
<td>2.51±0.32</td>
<td>2.97±0.42</td>
<td>3.22±0.39</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>0.72±0.14</td>
<td>1.41±0.31</td>
<td>1.86±0.33</td>
<td>2.72±0.54</td>
<td>3.09±0.51</td>
<td>3.81±0.77</td>
<td>4.48±0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>1.04±0.47</td>
<td>1.37±0.59</td>
<td>1.69±0.57</td>
<td>2.08±0.63</td>
<td>2.58±0.64</td>
<td>2.84±0.71</td>
<td>2.77±0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>1.46±0.49</td>
<td>1.76±0.62</td>
<td>2.32±0.54</td>
<td>2.62±0.39</td>
<td>3.09±0.36</td>
<td>3.02±0.46</td>
<td>3.46±0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Frequency “F” obtained from the spectral analysis and intervals of decay segments obtained from the waveform analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Crackle number</th>
<th>F (Hz)</th>
<th>T1 or T2 (ms)</th>
<th>T1,2 or T2,4 (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>1.70±0.7</td>
<td>5.86±0.58</td>
<td>5.58±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.70±0.7</td>
<td>5.86±0.58</td>
<td>5.56±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2-34</td>
<td>1.28±0.7</td>
<td>7.81±0.58</td>
<td>7.13±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-43</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.60±0.7</td>
<td>6.25±0.58</td>
<td>6.35±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3-10</td>
<td>2.24±0.7</td>
<td>4.46±0.58</td>
<td>4.93±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-15</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.92±0.7</td>
<td>5.21±0.58</td>
<td>5.24±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4-16</td>
<td>1.60±0.7</td>
<td>6.25±0.58</td>
<td>6.00±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-27</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.60±0.7</td>
<td>6.25±0.58</td>
<td>6.27±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5-29</td>
<td>2.24±0.7</td>
<td>4.46±0.58</td>
<td>4.28±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-51</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.24±0.7</td>
<td>4.46±0.58</td>
<td>4.47±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6-2</td>
<td>1.76±0.7</td>
<td>5.68±0.58</td>
<td>5.31±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.92±0.7</td>
<td>5.21±0.58</td>
<td>5.43±0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in parentheses represent numbers of crackles counted for each subject.
crackles by a sound spectrograph,\(^5\) in which sampled waveforms were not monitored, has limitations.

By observing the time interval between consecutive crackles and their relative loudness, Forgacs\(^1\) has pointed out a recurrent pattern in consecutive respiratory cycles as one of the most striking features of crackles. His observation was further supported by Nath and Capel\(^2\) who reported that the timing of an individual crackle was closely associated with a particular transpulmonary pressure. Our observation of a repetitive appearance of crackles with almost identical waveforms is further evidence in support of his observation.

Crackles are characterised by transient waveforms of short duration with amplitudes greater than those of background breath sounds.\(^3\) In our

(F) was about equal to the period of decay segment (T\(_{1-2}\) or T\(_{2-3}\)), obtained from the waveform analysis (figs 10, 11). Spectral components beyond 1 kHz were less than 2% of the maximum level (fig 10).

Discussion

Our method of time expansion for the waveform analysis is basically the same as previously employed by Murphy \textit{et al}\(^3\) with the difference that we monitored each sampling to identify every crackle in a particular inspiratory or expiratory cycle.

In our waveform and spectral analysis the half-periods of a crackle ranged from 0-5 ms to 5 ms and in most crackles the spectral components beyond 1 kHz were small (less than 2% of the maximum level). In our model experiment, in which crackles were simulated by exciting a resonator with shock waves (appendix), the half-periods ranged from 1 ms to 3 ms and the spectral components beyond 1 kHz were also less than 2% of the maximum level. Therefore we may assume that major frequency components of a crackle exist within a frequency range of 0-1 kHz to 1 kHz and that because of this limited frequency range the effect of chest wall interaction to modify transducer response is small.

For the spectral analysis, sampled waveforms should be correctly monitored because the result is different if, instead of a single crackle, more than two crackles are sampled in the same time window.\(^4\) For this reason spectral analysis of

![Fig 8](image)

**Fig 8** Half-periods, measured by zero-crossing method, of crackles obtained from patient 2. Note progressive elongation of half-periods with the development of waveforms. Vertical bars indicate mean ± SD.

![Fig 9](image)

**Fig 9** Upper panel: wave-heads, as indicated in fig 10, of a crackle (II-43) on the abscissa and corresponding half-periods (ms) on the ordinate. The best fit line was calculated by least square method (r=0.99). Note an increase of half-periods with the development of the waveform. Lower panel: wave-heads of the decay segment on the abscissa and the relative amplitudes on the ordinate. The decay of the amplitude is almost exponential. The best fit line was calculated by least square method (r = -0.97). The quality factor (Q) of the resonator determined from the slope (gradient) of the line was 4.32 (see Appendix).
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Fig 10 A representative waveform (upper panel) and power spectrum (lower panel) of a crackle (II-43) sampled from patient 2. The time window and sampling frequency were 31.25 ms and 64 kHz real time respectively. \(T_{1-3}\) is the time interval (ms) between “peak 1” and “peak 3” as indicated by arrows (upper panel). Half-periods were measured by zero-crossing method. \(F\) is the frequency at which the power spectrum is maximum. In this crackle \(F\) was 160 Hz and \(1/F\), 6.25 ms, which was about equal to \(T_{1-3}\) (6.35 ms).

Waveform analysis we noticed several other characteristics. First, the intervals of each deflection (half-periods) were short initially and became progressively longer with the development of the waveforms. Second, most waveforms were composed of two segments, initial starting segments and subsequent decay segments. Third, in starting segments characteristics of shock waves such as steepenings of wave-fronts or discontinuities of waveforms were observed. Fourth, in fully developed waveforms the decay segments appeared damped sinusoids and the attenuations were exponential. Based on these observations we suggest that the initial starting segments represent shock waves caused by an abrupt opening of the airways, and that the subsequent decay segments are the result of these shock waves exciting a resonator in the lung. Progressive elongation of half-periods is also explainable on this basis because the wave travels momentarily at a speed greater than the undisturbed sound speed when a shock wave is produced.

Murphy et al observed that the tracings of fine crackles heard in patients with pulmonary fibrosis showed shorter periods than those of coarse crackles heard in patients with pneumonia. The causes of such difference have not been discussed. We speculate that the starting segment is determined primarily by the pressure ratio at the site of the airway opening, and this pressure ratio may increase with the increase of either the stiffness of the lung or the viscosity of mucus obliterating the airway. Therefore, one possible explanation is that in pulmonary fibrosis periods of initial segments are short because the lung is stiff and, as a result, the pressure ratio is high. The decay segment, on the other hand, is determined by the resonant frequency and the quality factor of the resonator. The waveforms recorded on the chest wall are modified further by attenuation and selective filtration during transmission and also by positional relationship between the sound source and the microphone, because, as pointed out by Forgacs, the pattern of crackles changes when the microphone or the stethoscope

![Graph](https://example.com/graph.png)

Fig 11 Correlation between \(T_{1-3}\) (or \(T_{2-4}\)) and \(1/F\) in 12 crackles (table 2). The former was obtained by waveform analysis and the latter by spectral analysis as indicated in fig 10. The best fit line was calculated by least square method.
is moved over a short distance (fig 12). This highly directional transmission is another important characteristic of crackles. We observed fully developed waveforms in 108 out of 245 crackles, which indicates that crackles originated directly under the microphone were about 40% in our present study.

To test our hypothesis we devised a model experiment (described in the appendix) and were successful in obtaining waveforms similar to those of crackles.8

![Waveform Diagram]

Fig 12 A simultaneous recording of inspiratory crackles at the right base from a patient with rheumatoid arthritis. For the recording two identical microphones (Sony ECM 150) were placed 4 cm apart horizontally. With the microphone fitted in the air chamber (volume: 2 cm³) the overall response of the recording system was flat within ±2 dB in the range from 0.1 to 10 kHz. To avoid the effect of cavity resonance the internal surface of the air chamber and the contact surface were covered by sheets of polyurethane foam of 0.5 cm thickness. Note two waveforms in the upper panel (no 1 and no 3) and their poor counterparts in the lower panel. Though representing the same crackle, no 2 in the upper panel and no 4 in the lower panel have different waveforms. The decay segment is more distinct in the latter than in the former.
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Appendix

By waveform analysis we observed starting and decay segments in the waveforms of crackles. We speculated that the former was a shock wave caused by an abrupt opening of the airway and that the latter was an oscillation caused by the shock wave exciting a resonator in the lung. To test our hypothesis we experimentally excited a resonator (a bottle) with a shock wave (fig 13). The quality factor of the resonator (Q) was reduced to about 5 by covering the internal surface of the bottle with a sheet of polyurethane foams of 0.9 cm thickness. The sound was recorded and analysed in the same way as previously described for the crackles. Figure 14 shows a representative waveform and the result of spectral analysis. As in the waveform of crackles initial starting segment and subsequent decay segment were observed. Elongation of half-periods and exponential decay of amplitudes with the development of the waveform were also observed (fig 15). The frequency F₁ at which the spectrum became maximum, and the frequency of the decay segment were about equal and agreed with the resonant frequency of the bottle (with a sheet of polyurethane foams inside) used as a resonator (fig 14).

Assuming that our model is equivalent to a series RLC electrical circuit, the decay segment can be approximated to a damped sinusoid with its envelope given by

\[ V = V_0 e^{-\alpha t} \]

where V is the relative amplitude for each wavehead, Vo is the initial amplitude, \( \alpha \) is the rate of attenuation, and t is time. The quality factor (Q) of this circuit is,

\[ Q = 2\pi f \cdot L/R, \]

where f is the resonant frequency, L and R are the inductance and the resistance respectively. Since \( \alpha = R/2L \),

\[ L/R = 1/2\alpha \]

By substituting equation 2 into equation 1, Q becomes,

\[ Q = \pi f/\alpha, \]
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where \( f \) can be measured and \( \alpha \) can be calculated from the rate of decay (gradient) of the relative amplitudes (fig 15, lower panel). \( Q \) determined from equation 3 in this model experiment was 5.9, which agreed well with the quality factor \( (Q) \) of 4.9 determined separately from the equation.

\[
Q = \frac{f}{\Delta f}
\]

(4)

where \( \Delta f \) is the bandwidth off resonance at which the response is 0.707 of the maximum (resonant) response.

We calculated \( Q \) in our patients from equation 3 and found the equivalent \( Q \) of the lung around the frequency range of 150 to 250 Hz was about 3 to 6. An example was given in fig 10. Equation 3 can be simplified further as follows, 

\[
\alpha = 2k \cdot \frac{1}{T} \cdot \ln{10}
= 2k \cdot f \cdot \ln{10}
\]

(5)

where \( T \) and \( f \) are the period and the frequency of the decay segment respectively \( (T=6.35 \text{ ms}, \ f=1/T=157 \text{ Hz}) \), and \( k \) is the gradient (per half-period, fig 10, lower panel). Multiplication by \( \ln{10} \) is necessary for the conversion of the base of a logarithm (from a common logarithm to a natural logarithm). By substituting equation 5 into equation 3, equation 3 becomes,

\[
Q = \frac{\pi}{2k} \cdot \ln{10}
= 0.682/k
\]

(6)

In fig 10 (lower panel) \( k = -0.158, \ Q = 0.682/0.158 = 4.32 \).
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Fig 15 Upper panel: wave-heads (peaks), as indicated in fig 14 on the abscissa and corresponding half-periods (ms) on the ordinate. As in crackles the half-period was shortest initially, became longer with the development of the waveform, and reached about a constant value of 2.5 ms at peak 5°. The best fit line was calculated by least square method ($r=0.68$). Lower panel: wave-heads of the decay segment on the abscissa and the relative amplitudes on the ordinate. The decay of the amplitude was almost exponential. The best fit line was calculated by least square method ($r=-0.95$). The quality factor ($Q$) of the resonator determined from the slope of this line was 5.9.