Partitioning of the contributions of rib cage and abdomen to ventilation in ankylosing spondylitis
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Grimby, G., Fugl-Meyer, A. R., and Blomstrand, A. (1974). Thorax, 29, 179–184. Partitioning of the contributions of rib cage and abdomen to ventilation in ankylosing spondylitis. The relative contributions of the rib cage and abdomen to ventilation were studied in the sitting position in patients with ankylosing spondylitis, using measurements of changes in the anteroposterior diameters. The functional impairment of the spine and adjacent joints was also evaluated. In most patients vital capacity and total lung capacity were reduced, but functional residual capacity was normal. The relative contribution of the rib cage to ventilation was reduced at rest compared to normal subjects, and decreased further during hyperventilation induced by rebreathing. The end-expiratory level of the abdomen decreased more markedly during hyperventilation than in normal subjects and even the end-inspiratory level of the abdomen increased somewhat. The findings are consistent with a reduced mobility of the ribs and a greater than normal excursion of the diaphragm during breathing.

Ankylosing spondylitis is a chronic inflammatory disease primarily affecting the spine and adjacent joints. It is generally first recognized in the sacroiliac joints and usually progresses in the cranial direction. Ventilatory function may be impaired by the inflammatory process in sternocostal, costovertebral, and thoracic spine joints. The process may result in ankylosis and reduced mobility of the thorax. Jordanoglou (1969), using a special instrument to measure rib movements, found reduced mobility of the ribs in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Thus, at least in advanced cases, the vital capacity and total lung capacity are reduced while residual volume and functional residual capacity are usually higher than the predicted normal values (Rogan, Needham and McDonald, 1955; Travis et al., 1960; Zorab, 1962; Hart, Emerson, and Gregg, 1963; Sharp et al., 1964). Total and chest wall compliance are decreased, whereas lung compliance is normal in most patients (Hart et al., 1963; Sharp et al., 1964). In an earlier study (Travis et al., 1960) reduced elastic recoil of the lungs at resting mid-position was found.

The separate functions of the rib cage and the diaphragm have not been evaluated in detail. Josenhans, Wang, Josenhans, and Woodbury (1971) recently showed an increased diaphragmatic contribution to the tidal volume in patients with ankylosing spondylitis as compared to that of normal subjects. For their investigation they applied a modified ballistographic method (Josenhans and Wang, 1970) by which the linear momentum of breathing is measured. Measurements by this technique can only be obtained in the supine position.

In the present study another principle has been applied to determine the relative contribution of the rib cage and abdomen to ventilation, measurements being made in the sitting position. The technique of Konno and Mead (1967), modified by Mead, Peterson, Grimby, and Mead (1967), was used to record the anteroposterior diameter changes of the rib cage and abdomen. Using this technique, these authors showed that changes in both the abdomen and rib cage were nearly linearly related to their volume changes. The relationship between diameter and volume changes can be obtained by having the subjects perform so-called isovolume manoeuvres. The technique has been used in normal subjects (Grimby, Bunn, and Mead, 1968), in patients with tetraplegia...
(Fugl-Meyer and Grimby, 1971), and in patients with obstructive lung disease (Grimby, Elgefors, and Oxhøj, 1973). In addition to the ventilatory studies, the functional impairment of the spine and adjacent joints was evaluated.

PATIENTS

Eight male patients with clinically established ankylosing spondylitis were studied. The duration of symptoms was 3 to 20 years (average 10 years). Four of the patients complained of dyspnoea on exertion, and five had joint pain on deep inspiration. For classification of dyspnoea on exertion the questionnaire concerning respiratory symptoms approved by The College of General Practitioners (1961) was used. Details are recorded in Table I.

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Degree of Dyspnoea</th>
<th>Smoking Habit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.A.</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.A.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>5-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.E.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.E.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.H.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>15-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.K.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.L.</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.O.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 I No complaints.
II Dyspnoea on walking fast or uphill.
III Dyspnoea on accompanied walking at normal speed on level ground.

METHODS

The functional residual capacity (FRC) was determined with the patient in the sitting position using the helium dilution technique, and the subdivisions of the total lung capacity (TLC) were measured. Dynamic spirometry with measurement of the forced expired volume in one second (FEV₁) was performed with a modified Bernstein spirometer. For prediction of normal values, the formulae of Berglund et al. (1963) and Grimby and Söderholm (1963) were used.

The anteroposterior diameter variations of the rib cage and the abdomen were measured applying two pairs of magnetometers (Mead et al., 1967). An anteriorly placed coil generated an electromagnetic field, and posteriorly a receiver coil was tuned to the generating frequency. Two pairs of coils, tuned to different frequencies, were taped to the body surface in the midline at the nipple and umbilical levels respectively. The output of each pair of magnetometers was fed to a direct-writing Mingograph and to an X-Y recorder (Bryans type 22020, Crawley, England). The relationship between linear motion and volume change of the two parts of the chest wall was defined by a series of isovolume manoeuvres produced at different lung volumes which the patients were able to learn during a relatively short period of instruction and practice (Konno and Mead, 1967).

In these manoeuvres, the patient first contracts his abdominal muscles while simultaneously expanding his rib cage, thus displacing volume from the abdomen into the rib cage. He then relaxes his abdominal muscles and depresses his rib cage, thus displacing volume from the rib cage into the abdomen. X-Y tracings of rib cage versus abdominal anteroposterior diameters for isovolume manoeuvres at different lung volumes produce nearly parallel flatloops (Fig. 1).

![FIG. 1. Relative motion diagram from patient J. L. displaying motion of the rib cage (rc, y-axis) and the abdomen (ab, x-axis) at rest and after about 2 minutes of induced hyperventilation. Increase in the anteroposterior diameters (inspiration) is upwards and to the right. The broken lines show the isovolume relationship at two lung volumes. The expired volume between the two isovolume manoeuvres is 1.25 l.](http://thorax.bmj.com/)

The volume-diameter relationship for the rib cage was obtained graphically by noting the diameter and volume changes at constant abdominal diameter and for the abdomen by making the corresponding comparison at constant rib cage diameter. In half the patients, however, the relationship between linear motion and volume change for the rib cage, calculated from the isovolume manoeuvres, did not give acceptable values for volume partitioning during breathing. However, in all the patients (as in the normal subjects), the abdomen lagged behind the rib cage during both tidal breathing and isovolume manoeuvres. As the rib cage and abdomen make up the two parts of the chest wall, the volume changes of the rib cage could be calculated as the total volume changes measured spirometrically (Electro-Med 780, Houston, Texas) minus the calculated volume changes of the abdomen.

Measurements were performed with the patient sitting erect on a chair at rest. The chest was unclothed and care was taken that clothing did not restrict the abdominal movements. The diameter-volume changes were recorded simultaneously with the spirometer volume changes during spontaneous breathing and during hyperventilation induced by rebreathing without CO₂ absorber or extra oxygen supply to the closed circuit. The hyperventilation continued until the patient noted marked discomfort for at least 2 to 3 minutes.
The physical examination included:
Inspection of the spine. Thoracic kyphosis was graded from 0 to 3.
Inspection of thoracolumbar mobility. Movements were tested in sagittal, frontal, and transverse planes. Grading was from 0 (normal mobility) to 3 (ankylosis).
Palpation in the prone position for spinal-interspinous tenderness, with grading from 0 (none) to 3 (very marked).
The costovertebral and costosternal joints were palpated for tenderness in the sitting position and graded as above.

RESULTS
The observation of joint involvement (Table II) revealed tenderness corresponding to the costovertebral and/or costosternal joints in five patients. All patients except one had restricted thoracolumbar mobility; four patients were virtually ankylositic.

In most patients the vital capacity and total lung capacity (Table III) were reduced, but with normal or only slightly decreased values in the two patients with little or no pain or no obvious impairment of thoracolumbar mobility. Functional residual capacity and residual volume showed no systematic deviation from the predicted normal values. Dynamic spirometry did not reveal evidence of airway obstruction.

The relative contribution of the rib cage (Table IV) varied between individuals. This may depend on anthropometric differences but also on different degrees of impairment of rib cage mobility. There was, however, no obvious correlation between the findings at the physical examination (Table II) and the ventilation partitioning of the rib cage. The relative contribution of the rib cage to tidal volume, which in normals is around 75% in the sitting position and increases somewhat during induced hyperventilation (Grimby, Goldman, and Mead, 1971), was in all but two patients below that value with a mean value of 56%. With hyperventilation to an average of 37 l/min (tidal volume being 46% of the vital capacity) it decreased further to a mean of 46%, although the volume changes of the rib cage increased. The excursions of the anteroposterior diameter of the rib cage averaged 0.39 cm at rest with a tidal volume of 0.61 l. This is a substantially lower value than in a group of young normal subjects where the average anteroposterior diameter variation at the same level was 0.74 cm at a tidal volume of 0.84 l. (Bake, Grimby, and Hoskins, unpublished observations). The average a-p diameter increased at hyperventilation 160% for the rib cage and 215% for the abdomen respectively.

In the relative motion diagram of the rib cage and the abdomen (Fig. 1) there is a marked reduction of the end-expiratory volume of the abdomen during hyperventilation (shift to the left) but only a slight decrease in the end-expiratory volume of the rib cage. The end-inspiratory volumes of both the rib cage and the abdomen were increased (shifts upwards and to the right, respectively).

The costovertebral joints were palpated for tenderness in the sitting position and graded as above.

**TABLE II**

**PHYSICAL EXAMINATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Thoracic Kyphosis</th>
<th>Reduced Thoracolumbar Mobility</th>
<th>Spinal-interspinous Tenderness</th>
<th>Costovertebral Tenderness</th>
<th>Costosternal Tenderness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.A.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.A.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.E.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.E.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.H.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.K.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.L.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.O.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For grading see text.

**TABLE III**

**RESULTS OF STATIC AND DYNAMIC SPIROMETRY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>VC (l.)</th>
<th>% Pred.</th>
<th>TLC (l.)</th>
<th>% Pred.</th>
<th>FRC (l.)</th>
<th>% Pred.</th>
<th>RV (l.)</th>
<th>% Pred.</th>
<th>FEV₁ (l.)</th>
<th>% Pred.</th>
<th>FEV₅%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.A.</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.A.</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.E.</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.E.</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.H.</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.K.</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.L.</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.O.</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean 3.47 69 5.50 79 3.45 95 2.18 120 3.05 78 90

SD 1.96 20 1.11 16 0.66 19 0.58 26 0.86 18 10

FEV% = (FEV₁/VC) × 100.
FIG. 2. Tidal ventilation at rest and during hyperventilation in normal male subjects aged 32–47 (Grimby et al., 1971) and patients with ankylosing spondylitis. The relative contributions of rib cage (top) and abdomen (middle) to the overall ventilation (bottom) are shown. Broken lines indicate the resting end-expiratory and end-inspiratory levels.
The decrease of the end-expiratory volume of the abdomen during hyperventilation, which was seen in all patients, was more marked than in normal subjects (Fig. 2). In all but one patient there was a slight increase of the end-expiratory volume of the rib cage.

**DISCUSSION**

We are in agreement with Josenhans *et al.* (1971) in finding an increased relative contribution of the diaphragm-abdomen to ventilation in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. However, they studied their subjects in the supine position, where the diaphragm-abdomen even in normal subjects contributes proportionately more to the tidal volume than in the upright position (Konno and Mead, 1967; Fugl-Meyer, 1974). In the present study, the principles of Konno and Mead (1967) for measuring the relative volume partitioning of the rib cage and the diaphragm-abdomen were applied and emphasis was placed on an analysis of the shifts in the respiratory positions of these two parts of the chest wall.

Attempts were made to obtain the static pressure-volume characteristics of the rib cage and the abdomen, but reproducible recordings of ‘relaxed’ expiration (Konno and Mead, 1967) could not be obtained. Thus, we do not know to what extent these patients breathe along the relaxed characteristics at rest, as normal persons tend to do in the sitting position (Mead, Goldman, and Grimby, 1971). Already at relatively moderate hyperventilation there is, however, clear evidence of active expiration by the abdomen, the relative contribution of the diaphragm-abdomen to the ventilation thus being increased. This means increased craniocaudal motion of the diaphragmatic dome compared to normal subjects. It is interesting to note that the increased diaphragmatic motion is occurring in both the expiratory and inspiratory directions. In normal subjects (Grimby *et al.*, 1968; Grimby *et al.*, 1971), as well as in patients with obstructive lung disease (Grimby *et al.*, 1973), the increased motion tends to be almost entirely in the expiratory direction, thus allowing the diaphragm to work within its optimal range (Pengelly, Alderson, and Milic-Emili, 1971). In patients with ankylosing spondylitis the increased motion of the diaphragm-abdomen can be assumed to compensate for the reduced mobility of the rib cage. At increased ventilatory rates the muscles of the rib cage cannot to the same extent as in normal subjects (Mead *et al.*, 1971) take over the job of elevating and expanding the ribs. The diaphragm then has to function over a mechanically less favourable range where the volume change for unit muscular effort may be reduced.

There are several possible explanations for the reduction of the respiratory motion of the rib cage. Some of the more important features are probably decreased compliance (Hart *et al.*, 1963; Sharp *et al.*, 1964), impaired intercostal muscle function (Josenhans *et al.*, 1971), which in its turn may result both from muscular atrophy and from sternocostal and costovertebral contractures leading to inadequate information from joint-receptors (Godwin-Austen, 1969) and thus to changes in the central nervous regulation of respiratory muscle function (von Euler, 1966).

From the therapeutic point of view our findings suggest that exercises to maintain the rib cage mobility at an early stage of the disease may be beneficial for ventilatory function. The possibility of increasing the lung volume at a more chronic
stage is probably limited, at least over a short period of treatment (Blomstrand, Fugl-Meyer, and Grimby, 1973).
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