Pulmonary function in status asthmaticus: effect of therapy
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In 11 patients with bronchial asthma, lung volumes, FEV_{1.0}, PaO_2, and lung diffusing capacity (single breath CO method) were measured in status asthmaticus and after recovery.

Ventilatory capacity improved following therapy. The improvement was associated with a rise in vital capacity and a fall in residual volume, but the total lung capacity might either decrease, increase or remain unchanged.

A decreased value of PaO_2 was found in all patients in status asthmaticus. After treatment PaO_2 increased in all patients. In two of them PaO_2 increased despite the unchanged values of the other parameters of pulmonary function. The improvement of PaO_2 after treatment is considered to be the result of adjustment of perfusion to ventilation.

The lung diffusing capacity was below normal values in three patients before treatment. After treatment the diffusing capacity increased in six patients. The diffusion constant, although within the normal range in status asthmaticus, increased after treatment in one and decreased in three other patients. The decreased diffusing capacity in status asthmaticus is the consequence of a reduced effective alveolar volume due to uneven distribution of ventilation. The changes observed in the diffusion constant following corticotherapy are ascribed to a dependence of this constant on the different level of the alveolar volume at which the single breath test is performed.

In recent years much information has been published about the impairment of lung function in bronchial asthma. However, most of it was obtained at one stage in the evolution of the disease only, i.e., at a symptom-free period or during exacerbation of bronchial asthma. We consider that a better insight into pulmonary function impairment in bronchial asthma can be obtained by following the same patient from status asthmaticus to a symptom-free interval.

Although serial changes of lung volume in bronchial asthma have been reported already, less attention has been paid to serial changes of oxygen arterial tension (PaO_2) and lung diffusing capacity.

The purpose of this study was to assess the changes of lung volumes, ventilatory capacity, PaO_2, and lung diffusing capacity in patients with bronchial asthma in status asthmaticus and after recovery.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Eleven patients (six females) with bronchial asthma in status asthmaticus were studied after admission to the Department of Allergy of the Institute of Internal Medicine in Bucharest. All had been previously followed in this department for several years. The diagnosis of bronchial asthma was based on clinical criteria (Ciba Guest Symposium, 1959). They had a history of paroxysmal dyspnoea and wheezing interspaced with symptom-free intervals. As some of them were cortico-dependent, free intervals occurred during the last years only following treatment. Blood or sputum eosinophilia was found in all but one patient. In order to exclude coexisting chronic bronchitis and/or pulmonary emphysema patients with chronic purulent sputum and attenuation of peripheral vessels on the chest radiograph (Laws and Heard, 1962) were not included.

Vital capacity (VC), forced expiratory volume at 1 second (FEV_{1.0}), and minute ventilation were measured on a ventilated spirograph (Pulmotest, Godart). Residual volume (RV) was measured using the closed circuit helium dilution method. In order to maintain a constant volume of the spirograph, oxygen was continuously added during the test through a semi-automatic device. Rebreathing was continued until equilibration of the helium in the system was achieved, less than 0.002-0.003% decrease per minute (initial helium concentration 2%) for at least 20 minutes in all patients. Residual volume determina-
tions were carried out in each patient in duplicate, with a pause of about 40 minutes between the tests. Measurements were discarded whenever a difference greater than 10% in functional residual capacity (FRC) between two successive tests was found. Normal values for static lung volumes and FEV1.0 in males were those of Jouasset (1960). For VC and FEV1.0 in females we used the prediction equations of Berglund, Birath, Bjure, Grimby, Kjellmer, Sandquist, and Söderholm (1963). Normal values for residual volume and total lung capacity (TLC) in females were computed from the RV/TLC ratio of Jouasset (1960) and normal values for VC in females.

The oxygen tension in the arterialized blood (PaO2) was measured with the Radiometer electrode (E 5046) calibrated with an oxygen free solution and ambient air. Arterialized capillary blood was obtained from the pulp of the finger after at least 10 minutes' warming in a water-bath at 45° C. Three to four blood samples were obtained and measured within five minutes. The results retained represented the means of measurements differing by less than 2 mm Hg.

Pulmonary diffusing capacity (Dl) and 'diffusion constant' (Dl/Va) were measured by the single breath method, using the 'effective' alveolar volume (Va) obtained from helium dilution in the test breath (McGrath and Thomson, 1959) and breathing according to Jones and Meade (1961). Pulmonary diffusing capacity (Dl) was also computed as recommended by Ogilvie, Forster, Blakemore, and Morton (1957) using the sum of RV (helium closed circuit) and inspired vital capacity. Whenever the alveolar sample after anatomical and instrumental dead space washout (minimum 700 ml) was too reduced (less than 600 ml) to permit analysis, the alveolar sample was diluted with air in a tonometer. Details of the method have been given elsewhere (Teculescu and Stănescu, in press). Normal values for DL' and DL'/Va ratio for males were obtained in the laboratory (Teculescu and Stănescu, in press). For females we used the prediction values of Billiet (1966) verified in our laboratory.

PROCEDURE Patients were investigated, while in status asthmaticus (American Thoracic Society, 1968), as soon as they were able to reach the laboratory and perform the tests. At this time they had corticotherapy for one to three days but were still severely short of breath. Bronchodilator drugs were withdrawn eight hours before testing. The sequence of pulmonary investigation was as follows: duplicate measurements of residual volume, determination of oxygen tension in arterialized blood and then duplicate measurements of diffusing capacity. Patients were retested in their best clinical condition 7 to 26 days after the initial investigation. Treatment consisted of corticotherapy, bronchodilators, adrenaline and theophylline derivatives.

The significance of differences in various functional parameters before and after corticotherapy was ascertained with Fisher's t test for paired variates.

RESULTS

After treatment all patients claimed that corticotherapy had improved their clinical condition. Some of them, however, were not symptom-free but a better result had not previously been achieved.

Physical data, lung volumes, and ventilatory capacities in all patients before and after treatment are shown in Table I. Vital capacity was reduced in all patients and rose significantly after treatment (P<0.001), reaching normal values in all but three patients. FEV1.0 and the FEV1.0/VC ratio fell in all patients before treatment, with values as low as 415 ml and 26.4% respectively. Following corticotherapy the mean values of FEV1.0 rose significantly (P<0.001) although in two patients it did not change. However, the FEV1.0/VC ratio became normal in only two patients. Mean minute ventilation before treatment (12.7 l/min.) did not differ significantly from the value after treatment (9.23 l/min.). The respiratory rate was similar before (21.7/min.) and after (20.5/min.) treatment. Mean values of TLC after treatment did not differ significantly from those before treatment. However, TLC decreased after corticotherapy in one and increased in three other patients. The RV/TLC ratio was raised in all patients (up to 65%) and fell after treatment in all but two patients. As a group, the RV/TLC ratio decreased significantly (P<0.001) after treatment; but was still higher than normal in five patients. The Va'/TLC ratio was lower than unity in all patients when symptomatic and increased afterwards in all but three patients, reaching unity or near unity.

The PaO2, diffusing capacity, and diffusion constant values before and after treatment are shown in Table II.

The arterialized oxygen tension was below normal values in all patients before treatment. Afterwards it increased in all patients (P<0.001), reaching normal or near normal values. It must be stressed that PaO2 attained normal values despite the persistence of an obstructive ventilatory impairment in some patients.

Dl' was below normal values in three patients before treatment. A normal value for the Dl'/Va' ratio was found in all patients. The mean values of Dl' increased significantly after treatment (P<0.05). A net increase in Dl' was found, however, in only six patients after treatment, including two of those with a low initial value. The Dl'/Va' ratio increased in one and decreased in three patients after corticotherapy. Only a change of
**Table I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex / Age</th>
<th>Height (cm.)</th>
<th>VC (ml. BTPS)</th>
<th>TLC (ml. BTPS)</th>
<th>FEV₁₋₀ (ml. BTPS)</th>
<th>FEV₁₋₀/VC %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M 43</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>6,130</td>
<td>4,250</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M 45</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>3,020</td>
<td>6,900</td>
<td>3,820</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F 31</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1,380</td>
<td>5,290</td>
<td>2,990</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M 53</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>2,880</td>
<td>4,430</td>
<td>4,100</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F 29</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>3,160</td>
<td>5,320</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F 54</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>2,070</td>
<td>5,380</td>
<td>4,750</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F 40</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>6,100</td>
<td>5,180</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F 34</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>3,980</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M 52</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>6,350</td>
<td>3,820</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M 47</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>3,150</td>
<td>6,240</td>
<td>5,450</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F 30</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>2,240</td>
<td>6,490</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>DL₄₋₀ (ml. CO min⁻¹ mm Hg⁻¹)</th>
<th>DL₄₋₀⁻¹ (ml. CO min⁻¹ mm Hg⁻¹)</th>
<th>DL₆₋₀ (ml. CO min⁻¹ mm Hg⁻¹)</th>
<th>DL₆₋₀⁻¹ (ml. CO min⁻¹ mm Hg⁻¹)</th>
<th>DL₄₋₀/VC %</th>
<th>DL₆₋₀/VC %</th>
<th>PaO₂ (mm Hg)</th>
<th>FEV₁₋₀ (ml. BTPS)</th>
<th>FEV₁₋₀/VC %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

More than 15% in the DL₄₋₀ was taken into account. Previous investigations had shown a coefficient of variation of DL₄₋₀ measurements on separate days of between 40 and 7.8% (Smith and Hamilton, 1962; Englert, 1967; Billiet, 1966). After treatment DL₄₋₀ remained below normal in one patient; in another patient, DL₄₋₀/VC.l became normal.

**Lung Volumes**

A few studies have dealt with the serial changes of lung volumes in asthmatic patients (Engström, 1964; Woolcock and Read, 1966; Gold, Kaufman, and Nadel, 1967; Meisner and Hugh-Jones, 1968; Weng and Levison, 1969).

Lung volume in the above-mentioned studies was measured either as thoracic gas volume (TGV) by body plethysmograph or using dilution methods (helium closed circuit, TLCNe; or N₂ washout procedure, TLCN₂). As is known, when there is no communication between ambient air and some pulmonary regions, dilution methods underestimate the measurement of FRC compared with the plethysmographic method. No comparative determinations of TGV and TLCNe or TLCN₂ from status asthmaticus to the symptom-free interval have been done, except by Meisner and
Hugh-Jones (1968). However, these authors made a further sub-estimation of the TLC\(_{he}\), limiting the helium equilibration time to 7 minutes.

Our results are similar to those of other studies using the helium dilution method. After treatment TLC\(_{he}\) decreased, increased or remained unchanged. In all these circumstances FRC and RV either decreased or remained unchanged and VC increased or remained unchanged. The increased FRC and RV after treatment in some of the patients reported by Woolcock and Read (1966) is a surprising result, not confirmed by the present data or by other studies.

Any attempt to explain the mechanisms governing lung volume changes in bronchial asthma must take into account that only FRC is determined 'objectively', while RV and inspiratory capacity can be influenced by the fatigue and/or lack of co-operation of the subjects. RV is related in some way to airway obstruction and FRC is determined by the equilibrium between the opposite forces of the lung and thorax, but we know very little about the factors limiting maximal inspiratory volume in health and disease.

The results reported by Gold et al. (1967) with the plethysmographic method showed that TGV in status asthmaticus is increased or has the same value comparatively as after treatment. No decrease of TGV in status asthmaticus was reported. One can conclude that the decrease of TLC, as measured with the dilution methods, observed in exacerbations of asthma is probably due, as has been previously pointed out, to an underestimation of FRC due to closure and/or plugging with mucus of some airways resulting in regions in which helium does not dilute. The increase of TLC (observed with both dilution and plethysmographic methods) during symptomatic asthma has not as yet received a satisfactory explanation. Recently, Finucane and Colebatch (1969) suggested a reduction of tissue forces in overinflation of the lung in asthma. Their explanation is, however, not compatible with the rapid deflation of the lung following the use of bronchodilator drugs.

It is puzzling that some patients 'respond' to airways obstruction with closure of airways, leading to a decrease of TLC\(_{he}\), while in others there is apparently no airways closure but hyperinflation (an increase of both TLC\(_{he}\) and TGV). There is still another category in which both TLC\(_{he}\) and TGV remain unchanged and there is only a relative hyperinflation, i.e., the increase in RV is counterbalanced by an equal decrease of VC. The different behaviour of the TLC bears no relation to the degree of airway obstruction as measured by FEV\(_{1.0}\). It is of interest to point out that in both studies of lung volumes in asthmatic children no increase of TLC\(_{he}\) during asthmatic attacks was reported (Engström, 1964; Weng and Levison, 1969). The lack of increase in TLC in asthmatic exacerbations in children may be due to plugging of airways with mucus (smaller airways diameter) and/or an underestimation of inspiratory capacity due to exhaustion of respiratory muscles.

Comparative determinations of the multibreath (TLC\(_{he}\)) and single breath helium technique (V\(_{\alpha}'\)) showed a lower than unity (V\(_{\alpha}'\)/TLC\(_{he}\)) ratio in all our patients during status asthmaticus, confirming previous experience that the helium single breath method underestimates TLC compared with the closed circuit method in patients with obstructive airways (Morton and Ostensoe, 1965; Teculescu and Stanescu, 1969). Improvement in the clinical condition resulted in a marked increase of V\(_{\alpha}'\) irrespective of the divergent changes of TLC\(_{he}\), leading to a rise in the V\(_{\alpha}'\)/TLC\(_{he}\) ratio, which reached unity in most of the subjects. We agree that this phenomenon occurred although the FEV\(_{1.0}\) and RV/TLC ratio did not reach normal values. We might think that below a certain level of obstruction an apnoea of 10 seconds allows helium to reach by diffusion the terminal air spaces, i.e., the series component of the distribution impairment is cancelled. If this is the correct explanation, we can assume that no major parallel distribution component is present at this time.

**ARTERIAL OXYGEN TENSION** A decreased value of PaO\(_2\) was found in all patients in status asthmaticus. PaO\(_2\) increased significantly (P<0.001) after treatment. Similar results have been reported by several authors (McFadden and Lyons, 1968; Meisner and Hugh-Jones, 1968; Palmer and Diamant, 1969; Simpson, Forfar, and Grubb, 1968; Tai and Read, 1967; Valabhji, 1968). A ventilation-perfusion imbalance seems to be the cause of hypoxaemia in acute attacks of asthma, and we think the increase of PaO\(_2\) values after clinical improvement is associated with a more even distribution of ventilation and perfusion. In several patients PaO\(_2\) reached normal or near normal values; though FEV\(_{1.0}\) increased and the RV/TLC ratio decreased, a spiographic defect and hyperinflation were still present. A similar observation was made recently by Valabhji (1968) in 6 out of 12 patients with bronchial asthma. In these 6 patients clinical recovery was associated with a normal level for the alveolo-arterial gradient despite persisting airways obstruction. These results...
suggest the intervention of a protective homeostatic mechanism matching the perfusion to ventilation, as an uneven distribution of ventilation was previously found in asymptomatic patients (Stănescu, Teculescu, Păcuraru, and Popa, 1968).

In patients 9 and 10, PaO2 values increased significantly after corticotherapy although the airway obstruction remained unchanged. Recently, Fuleihan, Feisal, and Malouf (1967) also reported a significant increase of PaO2 after corticotherapy in patients with chronic bronchitis, without any change in the clinical condition or spirographic performance. They thought that a more uniform distribution of ventilation in relation to perfusion was responsible for this improvement in PaO2 values, and probably the same mechanism operated in our two patients.

**LUNG DIFFUSING CAPACITY** Previous studies have shown that the diffusing capacity of the lung is normal in bronchial asthma (Burrows, Kasik, Niden, and Barclay, 1961; Kanagami, Katsura, Shiroishi, Baba, and Ebina, 1961; Bedell and Ostiguy, 1967; Pecora, Bernstein, and Feldman, 1966), and so this test has been considered useful to differentiate bronchial asthma from pulmonary emphysema. However, the clinical condition of the patients investigated in these studies has not been mentioned. A significant fall of DL was reported by Palmer and Diament (1969) in a group of asthmatic patients with severe obstructive ventilatory impairment compared with a group with mild obstruction. No influence of the ventilatory obstruction on DL was found by McFadden and Lyons (1968) when comparing asthmatics with severe and mild to moderate obstruction. The above-mentioned studies presented the results of DL as mean values, so that individual trends could be overlooked: these investigations were carried out only at one moment in time. In our present survey only the studies dealing with the single breath method were mentioned. The other methods for measuring DL are too sensitive to the distribution of ventilation and perfusion to be useful in patients with bronchial asthma.

Our results show that in status asthmaticus the DL'/VA' ratio was normal in all patients and DL was below normal in 3 out of 11 patients. After treatment the DL'/VA' ratio became abnormal in one patient while DL reached normal levels in all. DL increased in five patients and the DL'/VA' ratio increased in one and decreased in three others. This variable pattern of response of the DL' and DL'/VA' ratio was present despite a definite increase of FEV1 in 10 and PaO2 in all patients. An increase of DL and a decrease of the DL'/VA' ratio was reported in three asthmatic patients when the ventilatory obstruction improved (Meisner and Hugh-Jones, 1968).

The decrease of DL' observed in our patients in status asthmaticus is due to the reduced value of the effective alveolar volume. Although the DL single breath method is reputed to be less sensitive to functional unevenness than other methods, in extreme cases an impaired distribution of inspired air, regional variations of V/Q and the DL'/Q ratio can decrease DL' (Piiper and Sikand, 1966). A decrease of DL' was previously found by us in asthmatic patients following an induced attack of asthma (Stănescu and Teculescu, 1969). Whenever a ventilation unevenness is present Piiper and Sikand (1966) proposed to calculate the true DL by multiplying DL' by the VA'/VA' ratio, i.e., using DL instead of DL'. It is evident that as TLC is greater than VA', DL would be greater than DL' (see Table II). At present there is no agreement concerning the use of VA' or TLC to compute DL'. In recent years, however, several investigations have used VA' as this is the actual volume involved in the uptake of CO during the single breath test. To use DL instead of DL' is merely to ascribe to the total lung capacity the diffusion characteristics measured in a smaller lung volume.

In normal subjects it has been shown that DL increases and the DL'/VA ratio decreases with the increase of alveolar volume (McGrath and Thomson, 1959; Cadigan, Marks, Ellicott, Jones, and Gaensler, 1961). The rate of change of DL and DL'/VA with the alveolar volume is variable from one subject to another. This relationship is not linear over the whole alveolar volume. One can observe an increase in DL with a concomitant decrease or little change in DL'/VA, or a decrease in DL'/VA with little change in DL (Billiet, 1965). It may be questioned whether this relationship is valid in the nonhomogeneous lung. Apart from the six patients with chronic bronchitis and emphysema of McGrath and Thomson (1961) studied over a limited range of alveolar volume, there are no other studies in patients with obstructed airways. We believe that in our patients one can ascribe the changes of DL' and DL'/VA' ratio to the increase in effective alveolar volume. This explanation is compatible with the results of all but one patient, in whom an increase of VA' was accompanied by an increase in both DL' and DL'/VA' ratio. We think that in status asthmaticus the low VA' is well ventilated and perfused. As the clinical condition improved new lung territories were included with a smaller blood to gas ratio,
leading to a reduced uptake of CO.

We believe that the decrease of $D_{L}'$ in status asthmaticus is "apparent", i.e., does not represent a true impairment of diffusing capacity of the lung. The decrease of PaO₂ in status asthmaticus is not considered to be the result of diffusion limitation, as an uneven ventilation-perfusion ratio and an increased venous admixture, both documented findings, fully explain hypoxaemia. Moreover, if $D_{L}'$ bears any relationship to PaO₂, it is difficult to explain the increase of PaO₂ observed in several of our patients without an increase in $D_{L}'$ and in the $D_{L}'/V_A'$ ratio.

Irrespective of the hypothesis advanced for the alterations of $D_{L_{EO}}$, the abnormal values of this parameter in some of our patients in status asthmaticus may limit the value of the $D_{L_{EO}}$ method to differentiate asthmatics from emphysematous patients, though the return to normal values of $D_{L_{EO}}$ after treatment may help in this separation.

The authors are indebted to Dr. I. Gr. Popescu, Institute of Internal Medicine, Bucharest, for referring the patients in this study.
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