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One of the disadvantages of the method of Filley, MacIntosh, and Wright (1954) for determining the steady-state diffusing capacity is that it requires the collection and analysis of arterial blood. This adds materially to the time needed to make the determination. In normal individuals it is possible to use end-tidal Pco₂ in place of arterial Pco₂, or end-tidal concentration of CO as recommended by Bates, Boucot, and Dormer (1955). But in patients with abnormal distribution of pulmonary gases or blood-flow this method may be subject to error. For example, the end-tidal Pco₂ was 11 mm. Hg lower than the arterial level in the 24 cases of respiratory disability studied by Hackney, Sears, and Collier (1958) and showed considerable scatter (S.D. ± 8.8 mm. Hg).

Hackney et al. (1958) described a quick and bloodless method for measuring the arterial Pco₂ and this has been adapted for routine clinical use by Campbell and Howell (1960). A small volume of gas is rebreathed by the patient and eventually comes into equilibrium with the mixed venous blood returning to the lungs. It has been demonstrated that the tension of CO₂ in this gas is 6 mm. Hg more than in the arterial blood.

This paper describes 30 experiments in which the original method of Filley et al. (1954) is compared with a method in which the arterial Pco₂ is measured by a rebreathing technique. The repeatability of the new method is then assessed.

The diffusing capacity of five patients was estimated in a similar way by Marshall (1958) but was not compared with the value obtained by a standard method.

METHOD

The subjects were 29 patients referred for assessment of respiratory function, the following diagnoses being represented: emphysema 11 cases (arterial Pco₂ below 48 mm. Hg in all), sarcoidosis 4, asbestosis 4, interstitial fibrosis 2, anthracosis with progressive massive fibrosis 4, and polycythaemia 2.

All patients were examined while seated after a period of about 10 minutes’ rest. The Filley method requires that the expired air and arterial blood should be collected simultaneously. But a rebreathed sample cannot be collected during the period of inhalation of CO; instead it was collected immediately before the start of the inhalation of CO (sample A) and again 20 to 60 seconds after the end of CO inhalation (sample B). In some patients only one of these samples was taken.

Since inhalation of oxygen may alter the diffusing capacity for CO, the rebreathing technique was not identical with that described by Campbell and Howell (1960). Expired air was collected in a small plastic bag of 2 litres capacity for 20 or three normal breaths and the patient then rebreathed this expired air for 15 seconds on three occasions separated by one minute. A fourth period of rebreathing took place either immediately before or immediately after the inhalation of CO. It was shown that in a normal subject the concentration of CO₂ in the bag became constant after three such rebreathings (occasionally after only two) and did not alter if the final period of rebreathing lasted up to 20 seconds. In patients 1 to 5 the method described by Campbell and Howell was used, but this was found to be less well tolerated by the breathless patient and to take slightly more time. A direct comparison of the two methods gave identical values for the mixed venous CO₂ tension. Arterial and alveolar Pco₂ were assumed to be 6 mm. Hg less than the Pco₂ of the rebreathed sample.

All patients breathed a mixture of CO in air through a mouthpiece and valve-box for six minutes. The strength of the mixture varied from 0.110% to 0.145% CO. Expired air was collected during the last three minutes and arterial blood was collected anaerobically during two of the last three minutes. Carbon monoxide was estimated by infra-red absorption; duplicate analyses never differed by more than 0.001%. No allowance was made for CO₂ because it was found that the deflection produced by 5% CO₂ was equivalent to only 0.002% CO. Oxygen and carbon dioxide in expired air were estimated with the Haldane apparatus; the value accepted was the mean of duplicate analyses which were required to differ by no more than 0.1%. The CO₂ content of plasma was estimated with the Haldane blood-gas apparatus; the pH of whole blood was measured anaerobically with the electrode system recently
described (Leathart, 1961) and PCO₂ was computed from the nomograms of Peters and Van Slyke (1932).

When the repeatability of the method was being investigated, arterial PCO₂ was estimated from a rebreathed sample collected immediately after the period of inhalation of CO (sample B). The subjects remained seated at rest for about 15 or 20 minutes between the two estimations. Duplicate estimations were made in 11 patients and five sets of duplicate determinations were completed in two normal subjects. These two subjects breathed the CO mixture for only 4 minutes and expired air was collected from them for only 2 minutes.

**RESULTS**

The diffusing capacity of the lung (DL), estimated by the method of Filley et al. (1954) using arterial PCO₂, is compared with the DL calculated from a rebreathed sample (Fig. 1). This figure records 30 determinations in 29 subjects. The mean difference between DL (direct PCO₂) and DL (indirect PCO₂) calculated from sample A (22 cases) was −0.097 ml./min./mm. Hg (S.D. ± 1.103), while calculated from sample B (26 cases) it was +0.026 ml./min./mm. Hg (S.D. ± 1.062). Occasional cases showed rather poor agreement between the two methods, especially if the differences were expressed as percentages of the DL (direct PCO₂). Deviations then varied from as much as +29% to −25.6%. In most cases, however, the difference was less than 15%, as shown in Fig. 1, and this can be considered satisfactory in view of the poor repeatability of the arterial method (Filley et al., 1954).

**REPEATABILITY.** Amongst the 11 patients the standard deviation of a single observation (calculated from the differences between duplicates) was 1.44 ml./min./mm. Hg. There was similar agreement between duplicates in one of the two normal subjects (S.D. ± 1.54) but poor agreement in the other (S.D. ± 2.65). The results are depicted in Figure 2.

![Figure 1](http://thorax.bmj.com/). *DL (direct PCO₂) plotted against DL (indirect PCO₂). The units are ml./min./mm. Hg standard temperature and pressure dry.*

![Figure 2](http://thorax.bmj.com/). **FIG. 2.** Repeatability of DL (indirect PCO₂). First determination plotted against second determination made 15 to 20 minutes later (ml./min./mm. Hg).

**DISCUSSION**

There is only one reason why DL (direct PCO₂) and DL (indirect PCO₂) are not invariably identical. It is because the two methods give different values for the mean alveolar PCO₂. The values differ for one or both of two reasons: either PCO₂ alters during the interval between the collection of the two samples, or the methods of analysis are inaccurate. These two possibilities will be considered in more detail.

Alteration of the mean alveolar PCO₂ during the interval between the two samples implies that the patient is not in a steady state, and the fault one sees most often is hyperventilation. This may, however, occur while the patient is getting accustomed to the apparatus and be followed by reactive hypoventilation during the collection of...
samples. Or the change may occur (in either direction) between the start and finish of the experimental period. These changes are difficult to detect, but if hyperventilation is present for the major part of the period of collection of expired air the respiratory quotient is found to be high. Such hyperventilation would cause a gradual fall of arterial and mean alveolar Pco₂ during the experimental period, which lasts for six minutes, and one would therefore expect indirect determination A to give a higher value and indirect B a lower value to the arterial Pco₂ than that obtained by collection of arterial blood during the fifth minute. By the same reasoning one would expect arterial blood analysis to agree more closely with "indirect B" than with "indirect A" because of the longer time interval between arterial sampling and the last of these two determinations. In practice, these expectations were seldom fulfilled; only one of the 13 cases with a respiratory quotient over 0.90 showed the expected differences between arterial and rebreathed values for D_l.

The failure of theoretical deduction to conform with the experimental findings cannot be ascribed to the fact that the rebreathing method measures mixed venous Pco₂ rather than arterial. For, though the changes in mixed venous level are likely to be small, they should occur in the same direction as those assumed to occur in the alveoli. It therefore appears that the differences between D_l (direct Pco₂) and D_l (indirect Pco₂) are due to analytical errors, of which the most important may be the assumption that arterial Pco₂ is always 6 mm. Hg less than the mixed venous level.

This point was investigated in 60 patients by Hackney et al. (1958). They found that the rebreathing method overestimated the arterial Pco₂ by 0.2 mm. Hg with a standard deviation of 2.9 mm. Hg, while Campbell and Howell (1960) found that it underestimated by 0.3 mm. Hg with a standard deviation of 2.9 mm. Hg (in 15 subjects). In the subjects described in this paper I have found that the rebreathing method (using sample B whenever possible) underestimated by 0.49 mm. Hg with a standard deviation of 3.78 (maximum errors observed being +5.0 and -6.0), from which it appears that the presence of a pause between the collection of the arterial sample and of the rebreathed sample slightly increases the errors of this method of estimating arterial Pco₂. The possibility that the rebreathing method may give an estimate of arterial Pco₂ which differs from the arterial blood analysis by as much as ±7.56 mm. Hg is probably adequate to explain the differences between arterial and rebreathed values for diffusing capacity. It is, however, not clear which of these two methods of analysis gives the more accurate result.

The accuracy of direct estimation of arterial Pco₂ is difficult to assess. The direct estimation of arterial Pco₂ by the bubble equilibration technique used by Campbell and Howell (1960) is subject to an error of ±2 to 3 mm. Hg, while calculation shows that the indirect estimation of Pco₂ from CO₂ content and pH, used by Hackney et al. (1958) and in the present paper, may err by ±2 to 4 mm. Hg if the estimation of CO₂ content is inaccurate by ±1.0 ml./100 ml. and pH errs by ±0.02 unit. Thus the probable error in the estimation of arterial Pco₂ is approximately the same as the standard deviation of the difference between arterial Pco₂ and rebreathed Pco₂. It is therefore likely that about half of the difference between D_l (direct Pco₂) and D_l (indirect Pco₂) is attributable to inaccuracies in the determination of arterial Pco₂ by the rebreathing method, while the other half is due to inaccuracies in the analysis of arterial blood.

Fulley et al. (1954) realized that the steady-state method of estimating the diffusing capacity is subject to errors imposed by the limits of analytical accuracy and suggested that an error of 25% was possible. The size of the error depends on the accuracy with which dead-space effect can be calculated. It can be shown that variations in the dead-space effect have an increasingly great influence on the D_l as the fractional uptake of CO increases. This is illustrated in Fig. 3 which demonstrates that the D_l would be much more profoundly influenced by analytical errors in normal subjects than in patients with a depressed uptake of CO. Consequently the lower the D_l is found to be the more reliable is the finding. The reliability falls off as the fractional uptake increases, and this may account for the wideness of the limits of normality which previous workers have reported. If all the normal results recorded by Fulley et al. (1954), by Ross, Frayser, and Hickam (1959), by Turino, Brandfonbrener, and Fishman (1959), and by Marks, Cugell, Cadigan, and Gaensler (1957), all of whom used Fulley's method, are added together, the mean normal diffusing capacity of these 53 male subjects (aged 15 to 62 years) is 19.3 ml./min./mm. Hg, with a standard deviation of 5.43. When considered against this background the errors introduced by estimating the arterial Pco₂ from a rebreathed sample collected after the inhalation of CO are small. Individual patients may be in error by as
FIG. 3. Theoretical curves relating $D_L$ and dead space effect $\left(\frac{V_D}{V_I} \times 100\right)$ at different values of fractional uptake of CO (COF).

It appears, therefore, that the method described in this paper gives results which are no less repeatable than those obtained by other methods. Nevertheless there is often a large difference between duplicate results, especially in normal subjects, and especially when the differences are expressed as a fraction of the average of the two determinations (range +41% to −29%). Possible reasons for these discrepancies are discussed below.

Differences between repeated determinations of a human characteristic may be due to biological variation or to analytical errors, and it is not easy to assess the relative importance of these two. This is especially so in the estimation of the diffusing capacity of normal subjects, in whom small errors of analysis lead to large differences in the determination (as illustrated in Fig. 3), and in whom the diffusing capacity does not have the constancy which is characteristic of disease (Bates, Knott, and Christie, 1956). It is known that diffusing capacity increases with exertion and it has been shown to be proportional to oxygen uptake in children. While it is certain that it does not increase as much as oxygen uptake during violent exercise, it is possible to make an allowance for differences in metabolic rate at rest by calculating the $D_L$ per unit of oxygen absorbed. This was found to be almost identical in the two determinations in six instances, and it is probable that true biological variation accounts for the difference between the duplicate results in these cases.

Although it has been shown that $D_L$ alters when the resting volume of the lung changes and with violent hyperventilation (Turino et al., 1959; Ross et al., 1959), it is not considered likely that this can explain why the repeatability is so poor in the other cases. It is more likely that the explanation lies in failure to maintain a steady state. In this context a “steady state” is one in which the arterial Pco$_2$ does not alter during the collection of the expired air sample.

Analysis of arterial blood before and after collection of expired air would establish whether or not a steady state had been attained, but this analysis has not been done. However, the mixed venous Pco$_2$ has been determined in 75 patients with various pulmonary diseases before and after the inhalation of CO (i.e., on two occasions separated by about seven minutes). The mean difference between the two estimations was found to be 0.196 mm. Hg, with a standard deviation of 1.780 mm. Hg, and in the subjects described in this paper the mixed venous Pco$_2$...
was almost the same on two occasions separated by 15 to 25 minutes (mean difference 0.329 mm. Hg, S.D. ± 1.697 mm. Hg). However, the relative constancy of the mixed venous PCO₂ does not mean that the arterial PCO₂ also was constant. For arterial PCO₂ is known to be labile, especially in normal subjects, while mixed venous PCO₂ is fairly stable. The stability of mixed venous PCO₂ is shown in Fig. 4 which also demonstrates that total ventilation varied more between the two determinations than any of the other three factors which enter into the calculation of DL. Though an altered minute volume does not necessarily imply that the subjects were not in a steady state on each occasion or that arterial PCO₂ was different on the two occasions, it certainly suggests these two conditions. It is the slow response of mixed venous PCO₂ to changes in alveolar ventilation which reduces the repeatability and lowers the accuracy of the determination of steady-state DL by the simple method described in this paper.

It will be noticed that the duplicate analyses for subject B (Fig. 4, dark circles) showed less variation than those for other subjects (except perhaps in mixed expired PCO₂) although this subject showed the greatest differences between duplicate determinations of DL. This demonstrates how small alterations in the four factors involved have a great effect on DL in the normal subject and also suggests, since mixed expired PCO₂ was more variable than total ventilation, that spontaneous alterations in tidal volume may be an important cause of failure to maintain a steady state or of discrepancy between arterial PCO₂ and mixed venous PCO₂ less 6 mm. Hg.

It is concluded that the use of an indirect arterial PCO₂ does not give good agreement between repeated observations of diffusing capacity in normal individuals, but when the diffusing capacity is low the agreement improves. The modification of the method of Filley et al. (1954), which is proposed in this paper, makes it easy to repeat observations and gives results which, in patients with diseased lungs, do not differ from those obtained by the slower method originally described. The results are, however, likely to be less accurate in normal individuals.

**Summary**

The steady-state diffusing capacity of the lungs was measured by the method of Filley et al. (1954) in 29 patients with pulmonary disease. The effect of using a rebreathing technique for the determination of arterial PCO₂ was investigated.
SIMPLE DETERMINATION OF STEADY-STATE DIFFUSING CAPACITY

It was shown that the use of an indirect arterial PCO₂ gave results similar to those obtained by arterial blood analysis, especially in the more abnormal patients. Most of the results obtained by the rebreathing method fell within 15% of those obtained by arterial analysis.

The repeatability of the results obtained when using indirect arterial PCO₂ was studied in 11 patients and was found to be similar to that reported for the methods which use arterial blood analysis or end-tidal sampling.

There was less agreement between duplicate results in two normal subjects because the calculation is very sensitive to alterations in the ratio VD/VT when the fractional uptake of CO is high.

The delay in the response of mixed venous PCO₂ to spontaneous alterations in alveolar ventilation (mainly caused by alterations in tidal volume) is the probable cause of the failure to obtain more accurate agreement between duplicate determinations.

Despite these reservations it is suggested that indirect arterial PCO₂ can be used in the calculation of diffusing capacity and gives results which are acceptable for most clinical purposes. The ease of performance of this modification outweighs its greater degree of inaccuracy.
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