Accepted 2 August 2010
Published Online First 13 September 2010

Thorax 2011;**66**:632–633.
doi:10.1136/thx.2010.147959

REFERENCES

1. **Gelb AF**, George SC, Silkoff PE, *et al.* Central and peripheral airway/alveolar sites of exhaled nitric oxide in acute asthma. *Thorax* 2010;**65**:619–25.
2. **Mahut B**, Trinquart L, Le Bourgeois M, *et al.* Multicentre trial evaluating alveolar NO fraction as a marker of asthma control and severity. *Allergy* 2010;**65**:636–44.
3. **Mahut B**, Delclaux C, Tillie-Leblond I, *et al.* Both inflammation and remodeling influence nitric oxide output in children with refractory asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004;**113**:252–6.
4. **Puckett JL**, Taylor RW, Leu SY, *et al.* Clinical patterns in asthma based on proximal and distal airway nitric oxide categories. *Respir Res* 2010;**11**:47.
5. **Delclaux C**, Sembach N, Claessens YE, *et al.* Offline exhaled nitric oxide in emergency department and subsequent acute asthma control. *J Asthma* 2008;**45**:867–73.

Author's response

I want to thank Drs Mahut and Delclaux for their interesting letter concerning our recent paper¹ and would offer the following response. During acute asthma exacerbation only two of 15 patients with asthma (13%) had a combined abnormally elevated central airways nitric oxide (NO) flux and elevated peripheral airway/alveolar NO concentration after correction for NO axial back-diffusion. Central airways NO flux remained the major site of 'NO-mediated inflammation' in 13 of 15 patients with asthma since two had normal NO gas exchange despite acute exacerbation.¹ This latter observation needs to be further investigated since the clinical response was similar to that in patients with asthma with abnormal NO gas exchange. Many years ago we investigated the simplified detection of peripheral airway disease and showed that analyses of the distal part of the maximum expiratory flow–volume curve were helpful.² However, in a subsequent study³ we reported that, if the ratio of forced expiratory volume in 1 s to forced vital capacity (FEV₁/FVC) was $\geq 75\%$, the occurrence of an isolated abnormal mid forced expiratory flow (FEF_{25–75}) was rare. However, if the FEV₁/FVC was $< 75\%$, it would not be unusual to find an abnormal FEF_{25–75}, but it would not discriminate peripheral from large central airways obstruction.³ I hope these comments are helpful and appreciate their interest.

Arthur Franklin Gelb

Correspondence to Arthur Franklin Gelb, Lakewood Regional Medical Center and Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, 3650 E South St, Ste 308, Lakewood 90712, USA; afgelb@msn.com

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Accepted 6 August 2010
Published Online First 13 September 2010

Thorax 2011;**66**:633.
doi:10.1136/thx.2010.148577

REFERENCES

1. **Gelb AF**, George SC, Silkoff PE, *et al.* Central and peripheral airway/alveolar site of exhaled nitric oxide in acute asthma. *Thorax* 2010;**65**:619–25.
2. **Gelb AF**, Zamel N. Simplified diagnosis of small-airways obstruction. *N Engl J Med* 1973;**288**:395–8.
3. **Gelb AF**, Williams AJ, Zamel N. Spirometry. FEV₁ vs FEF_{25–75} percent. *Chest* 1983;**84**:473–4.

Definitions are important and not all wheeze is asthma

We read with great interest the paper by Moncayo *et al*¹ showing a predominance of non-atopic compared with atopic wheeze in children in rural Ecuador. Undoubtedly their study adds to the literature regarding the influence of environmental factors, particularly chronic helminth infections, on wheezing phenotypes. However, we feel that the interpretation and presentation of findings in this paper is open to question. This concern stems from the authors' lack of distinction between wheeze and asthma. While the analysis focused predominantly on current wheeze, subsequent discussion (and, indeed, the title) presents this as asthma.

There is good evidence for the validity of a questionnaire-based definition of asthma.² Our understanding of the definition of asthma used in this paper was of a positive response to 'wheeze in the last 12 months'. Yet wheeze may not necessarily reflect asthma, particularly in childhood where both acute infection and chronic illness might be associated with wheeze. Using only one symptom therefore runs the risk of poor discriminatory value between asthma and other causes of wheeze, making this definition of asthma potentially problematic.³

Relying on 'current wheeze' to represent asthma may also exclude a substantial proportion of 'ever wheezed' subjects, given the heterogeneous nature of childhood wheeze⁴ and the relapsing and remitting course it may run.⁵ There is therefore an additional risk of misclassification where subjects with asthma who were currently asymptomatic are regarded as non-asthmatic. Given that the stated principal aim of this study was to investigate risk factors for asthma/wheeze, exclusion of those without current symptoms potentially provides an incomplete picture of risk, especially when one considers that 'ever wheezing' has been shown to be a superior predictor of lifetime asthma diagnosis.⁵

Ultimately, asthma is a clinical diagnosis and no questionnaire-based definition can be all-encompassing. Since none of the children in this study were on regular asthma medication, perhaps combining current wheeze with the number of wheezing episodes and including a variable of 'ever wheeze' in the

diagnostic criteria might have better selected participants with a greater likelihood of asthma.

In summary, we feel that the distinction between asthma and wheeze is important to recognise and this paper fails to clearly acknowledge this. Finally, we thank the authors for describing some of our previous findings from the Isle of Wight Birth Cohort,⁴ but wish to clarify that those findings related to atopic/non-atopic wheeze and not asthma as they suggest in the introduction to their paper.

Martha Scott,^{1,2} Ramesh J Kurukulaaratchy,^{1,2} S Hasan Arshad^{1,2}

¹The David Hide Asthma and Allergy Research Centre, St Mary's Hospital, Newport, Isle of Wight, UK; ²School of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Accepted 6 August 2010
Published Online First 10 September 2010

Thorax 2011;**66**:633.
doi:10.1136/thx.2010.143941

REFERENCES

1. **Moncayo AL**, Vaca M, Oviedo G, *et al.* Risk factors for atopic and non-atopic asthma in a rural area of Ecuador. *Thorax* 2010;**65**:409–16.
2. **Jenkins MA**, Clark J, Carlin J, *et al.* Validation of questionnaire and bronchial hyperresponsiveness against respiratory physician assessment in the diagnosis of asthma. *Int J Epidemiol* 1996;**25**:609–16.
3. **Lukrafka JL**, Fuchs SC, Moreira LB, *et al.* Performance of the ISAAC questionnaire to establish the prevalence of asthma in adolescents: a population-based study. *J Asthma* 2010;**47**:166–9.
4. **Kurukulaaratchy RJ**, Fenn MH, Waterhouse LM, *et al.* Characterization of wheezing phenotypes in the first 10 years of life. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2003;**33**:573–8.
5. **Pippo-Savolainen E**, Korppi M. Wheezy babies–wheezy adults? Review on long-term outcome until adulthood after early childhood wheezing. *Acta Paediatr* 2008;**97**:5–11.

Author's response

Drs Marsh and colleagues are essentially correct in saying that the word 'wheeze' rather than 'asthma' may have been more appropriate in the title of our paper. Not all wheeze is asthma but, given the lack of a widely agreed definition for asthma, we chose to use a simple but widely used definition (wheeze in the past 12 months) in this Ecuadorian study to estimate prevalence. As the authors will have seen from the abstract, the aim of the study was to investigate risk factors for atopic and non-atopic wheeze illness to understand better those that may cause or protect against asthma in the study population.¹

All subjects with wheeze in the past 12 months had a history of wheeze ever. A high proportion of children in the study population had a history of wheeze ever (32.5%), most of which could be attributed