MISCELLANEOUS

Clinical significance of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis

F-C Lin, G-D Chang, M-S Chern, Y-C Chen, S-C Chang

Thorax 2006;61:528–534. doi: 10.1136/thx.2005.054171

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr S-C Chang, Chest
Department, Taipei
Veterans General Hospital,
#201 Section 2, Shih-Pai
Road, Shih-Pai, Taipei
112, Taiwan; scchang@
vghtpe.gov.tw

Received 8 October 2005
Accepted
21 February 2006
Published Online First
3 March 2006

Background: The role of anti-granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) antibodies as a diagnostic marker in idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (iPAP) remains unclear.

Methods: Anti-GM-CSF antibodies were detected in blood and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BAL) fluid in 13 patients with iPAP. Three patients with secondary PAP, 35 with other pulmonary disorders, and 10 subjects without lung lesions acted as controls. Blood samples only were obtained from 30 healthy medical personnel. Anti-GM-CSF antibodies were detected using immunoblotting and measured semi-quantitatively by serial dilution or concentration methods. The relationship between antibodies and reported severity indicators for iPAP was analysed.

Results: Anti-GM-CSF antibodies could be detected in both blood and BAL fluid samples in 12 of 13 iPAP patients and were undetectable in blood and/or BAL fluid from the other subjects studied. BAL fluid levels of anti-GM-CSF antibodies were highly correlated with the severity indicators for iPAP, including serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels, arterial oxygen tension, alveolar-arterial oxygen tension difference, (AaPO₂), lung carbon monoxide transfer factor, and some lesion scores on chest radiographs and computed tomographic scans. In contrast, blood anti-GM-CSF antibodies were not significantly correlated with the severity indicators evaluated. In addition, patients with iPAP who required subsequent therapeutic lung lavage had significantly higher values of serum LDH, AaPO₂, and BAL fluid anti-GM-CSF antibodies, and significantly lower values of PaO₂.

Conclusions: In addition to serum LDH levels, PaO₂ and AaPO₂, BAL fluid levels of anti-GM-CSF antibodies might reflect disease severity in patients with iPAP and predict the need for subsequent therapeutic lung lavage. These findings may expand the role of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in iPAP.

Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP) is a rare disease characterised by accumulation of surfactant within the alveoli and terminal airways. Two types of PAP can be recognised—congenital and acquired—while acquired PAP can be divided further into idiopathic and secondary forms. Clinically, in more than 90% of patients with PAP the disease is of unknown aetiology—that is, idiopathic PAP (iPAP).^{1–2}

Macrophage dysfunction has been thought to be responsible for the surfactant accumulation in iPAP, but the exact aetiology and pathogenesis remain obscure.^{1–3} In 1994 investigations on experimental haematology unexpectedly established a link between granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and surfactant homeostasis.^{4–5} Without changes in basal haematopoiesis, mice deficient in either GM-CSF or its receptor developed pulmonary lesions histologically similar to PAP.^{4–6} Further studies showed that local availability of GM-CSF was sufficient to correct surfactant accumulation in GM-CSF knockout mice, either by aerosolised administration⁷ or selective expression of GM-CSF in respiratory epithelial cells.⁸ Exogenous GM-CSF treatment was subsequently applied to patients with iPAP with a response rate of 40–50%.^{1–2 9–11}

Unlike the animal model, the defects in gene expression of GM-CSF or its receptor have not yet been found in human iPAP.^{1–12 13} There were no intrinsic cellular defects in synthesising and secreting GM-CSF,¹⁴ but the bioavailability of GM-CSF appeared to be blocked by neutralising antibodies.^{14 15} High levels of neutralising antibodies against GM-CSF could be detected in the blood and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid from patients with iPAP, but not in those of patients with congenital or secondary PAP, patients with other pulmonary diseases, or in healthy subjects, suggesting that iPAP may be an autoimmune disease.^{1–3 15} By blocking

the binding of GM-CSF to its receptor and thereby inhibiting the differentiation and function of macrophages, anti-GM-CSF antibodies are considered to be a causal factor of iPAP.¹⁶ Nevertheless, the clinical significance of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in iPAP remains obscure, as evidenced by mixed results of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in predicting the treatment response to GM-CSF and lack of correlation between blood anti-GM-CSF antibodies and reported severity markers for iPAP.^{11 17} An increase in arterial oxygen tension (PaO₂) accompanied by a decrease in anti-GM-CSF antibodies in blood and/or BAL fluid was reported recently in three patients with iPAP after treatment with inhaled GM-CSF.¹⁸

Based on these findings, the clinical relevance of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in iPAP deserves further study. We measured anti-GM-CSF antibodies in paired specimens of blood and BAL fluid from patients with iPAP and investigated their relation to severity markers for iPAP including serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), pulmonary function parameters, arterial blood gases, and radiological findings.^{1–3 11 19}

METHODS

Patients with iPAP

Thirteen consecutive iPAP patients diagnosed by cytological examination of BAL fluid²⁰ and pathological examination of the lung tissues at Taipei Veterans General Hospital were enrolled in the study. The aetiologies reported to be associated with secondary PAP were not found in these

Abbreviations: AaPO₂, alveolar-arterial oxygen tension difference; BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor; iPAP, idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; PaO₂, arterial oxygen tension; TLCO, carbon monoxide transfer factor

patients. All patients studied are alive at the time of manuscript preparation. One of the patients had diabetes mellitus and hypertension treated with hypoglycaemic and antihypertensive agents. The others denied any major medical illnesses. The Institutional Review Board of Taipei Veterans General Hospital approved the study and informed consent was obtained from the patients for the study of blood and BAL fluid samples.

All iPAP patients were followed up for more than 1 year except for the one diagnosed in March 2005. The disease improved spontaneously in three and remained stable in five. Therapeutic lung lavage was required in the remaining five patients. The criteria for therapeutic lung lavage in this study were dyspnoea with restriction of daily activity and/or occupation or $\text{PaO}_2 < 8$ kPa on room air. Nearly complete resolution was observed in one patient after three cycles of therapeutic whole lung lavage. Repeated therapeutic lung lavage was necessary for one other patient. Because of poor response and intolerance to therapeutic whole lung lavage, therapeutic bronchoscopic lobar/segmental lavage was adopted subsequently in this patient.²¹ The remaining three received one cycle of bronchoscopic lobar/segmental lavage with remarkable improvement as evidenced by follow up imaging studies and pulmonary function testing. None of the patients received GM-CSF therapy.

Serum LDH, pulmonary function testing, chest radiography, and high resolution computed tomography (HRCT) of the chest were performed before diagnostic BAL at an interval of less than 3 days. Only BAL fluid samples obtained from diagnostic BAL at the time of initial diagnosis were included for analysis.

Pulmonary function testing

Pulmonary function testing including spirometry, plethysmography, carbon monoxide lung transfer factor (TlCO), and analyses of arterial blood gases were performed in all patients. Blood samples for pH, PaO_2 and PaCO_2 (arterial CO_2 tension) values were analysed at room air using ABL III (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark). PAO_2 (alveolar oxygen tension) is calculated by the following equation. $\text{PAO}_2 = (\text{barometric pressure} - 47) \times \text{Fio}_2 - \text{PaCO}_2/\text{R}$. R, an exchange ratio, is assumed as 0.8 in this study. The alveolar-arterial PO_2 difference (AaPO_2) is calculated by subtracting PaO_2 from PAO_2 .

Image scoring

Frontal chest radiographs and HRCT scans of the chest were graded according to the visual scoring system proposed by Lee *et al.*¹⁹ Scores were determined for degree, extent, and severity of lung opacity. The opacity score was evaluated by means of a three-point scale as follows: mild ground glass opacity = 1; moderate ground glass opacity = 2; consolidation opacity = 3. The "ground glass opacity" refers to the presence of increased lung opacity associated with partial obscuring of normal vascular structures. The extent of lung opacity was estimated by means of four-point scale: opacity involving $< 25\%$ of hemithorax = 1; ≥ 25 to $< 50\%$ = 2; ≥ 50 to $< 75\%$ = 3; $\geq 75\%$ = 4. The severity score was calculated by multiplying the opacity and extent scores. The final values for these scores were the sum of each hemithorax. The chest radiographs were read and interpreted independently by two chest physicians (S-CC and F-CL) who were blind to the clinical data and results of pulmonary function testing. The mean values obtained from the two readers were used for analysis.

The HRCT images were photographed at window levels appropriately for demonstrating the pulmonary parenchyma, and were read and interpreted by two chest physicians (S-CC and F-CL) and one chest radiologist (M-SC) in a blind

fashion. Every individual scan was evaluated for the degree, extent and severity of lung opacity, and the extent of reticulation. The degree and extent of opacity were scored using the same scales as described above. The severity score was calculated by multiplying the opacity and extent scores. The extent of reticulation was measured as the extent of lung opacity. The score for each lung was the average of all HRCT slices, and the sum of each hemithorax became the final. The mean values obtained from the three readers were used for analysis.

BAL fluid and blood samples

The fiberoptic bronchoscope (Model BF 20 or P20; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was wedged into the orifice of lobar or segmental bronchus of the right middle or lingular division, or other appropriate location. Diagnostic BAL was performed before transbronchial lung biopsy using three aliquots of a 50 ml sterile isotonic sodium chloride solution. The aspirates were pooled into a siliconised container and kept on ice during transport. A 10 ml sample of venous blood was collected immediately after BAL. The supernatants of blood and BAL fluid were frozen and stored at -70°C until analysed.

Measurement of GM-CSF

The levels of GM-CSF in blood and BAL fluid were measured by a commercially available enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (R&D System, Minneapolis, MN, USA) with a lower limit of 3 pg/ml.

Measurement of anti-GM-CSF antibodies

The levels of anti-GM-CSF antibodies were detected and measured by immunoblotting. In brief, human recombinant GM-CSF (*E coli* derived; molecular mass 14 kD; Calbiochem, Affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) was subjected to 8% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis under reducing condition with 0.1 μg rhGM-CSF loaded in each lane. The proteins were then transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane by diffusion blotting.²² With appropriate blocking, incubation and washes, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG antibodies (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratory, PA, USA) was added and the immunoreactive bands were developed by 3,3'-diaminobenzidine, H_2O_2 and NiCl_2 enhancement.

For the blood and BAL fluid samples with positive results, the concentrations of anti-GM-CSF antibodies were determined semi-quantitatively by serial dilution. In case of negative results, the BAL fluid samples were concentrated for further measurement.

Control groups

To serve as disease controls, paired specimens of blood and BAL fluid were obtained from three patients with secondary PAP and 35 patients with other pulmonary diseases including collagen vascular diseases in 12, cytomegalovirus pneumonitis in 12, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis in six, and sarcoidosis in five patients. The underlying diseases of secondary PAP were acute myeloid leukaemia in two patients and renal transplantation in one. Blood and BAL fluid were obtained from 10 patients without pulmonary lesions to serve as lung controls. Peripheral blood only was obtained from 30 healthy hospital personnel to serve as normal controls.

Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as median (range). Paired data comparisons were performed using a Wilcoxon signed rank test. Specific comparisons of data between two groups were made using the Mann-Whitney U test. The correlations between variables were determined by Spearman rank

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of patients with idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis

Case	Age at diagnosis (years)	Sex (M/F)	Serum LDH (IU/l)*	Pao ₂ (kPa)†	AaPo ₂ (kPa)†	Length of follow up (years)	Clinical course	Status at last follow up
1	53	M	239	10.8	3.4	4	Stable	Symptom free
2	40	F	389	6.4	7.8	8	TLL at diagnosis	O ₂ treatment, repeated TLL
3	40	M	269	11.3	1.6	5	Stable	Symptom free
4	31	M	292	9.7	3.3	4	TLL at diagnosis	Symptom free
5	16	M	280	8.8	5.2	3	SI	Symptom free
6	18	F	289	10.8	3.4	6	SI	Symptom free
7	54	F	242	10.7	2.8	6	Stable	Mild DOE
8	36	F	175	10.9	3.1	3	Stable	Symptom free
9	59	F	265	8.1	6.0	4	TLL 2 years after diagnosis	Symptom free
10	33	M	191	10.1	3.5	6	SI	Symptom free
11	34	M	243	9.4	4.1	2	Stable	Mild DOE
12	45	M	389	6.5	8.0	1	TLL at diagnosis	Symptom free
13	42	M	392	5.2	9.5	0.5	TLL at diagnosis	Symptom free

*LDH, lactate dehydrogenase (reference value 95–213 IU/l).

†Pao₂ and AaPo₂ were measured in the sitting position at room air.

AaPo₂ = PAO₂ – PaO₂; PAO₂ = (barometric pressure – 47) × FiO₂ – PaCO₂/0.8.

TLL, therapeutic lung lavage; SI, spontaneous improvement; DOE, dyspnoea on exertion.

correlation coefficients. Significance was defined as $p < 0.05$. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Version 10 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

From January 1995 to March 2005, 13 consecutive patients with iPAP of varying degrees of severity were enrolled in the study. Their demographic characteristics and clinical data are summarised in table 1.

Correlations between severity markers for iPAP

The relationships between the severity indicators for iPAP—including serum LDH, Pao₂ and AaPo₂, results of pulmonary function testing, and image scores—are given in table 2. Patients with iPAP had varying degrees of restrictive ventilatory defect. Gas exchange was impaired, as evidenced by a reduction in TlCO or Pao₂, or a widening of AaPo₂. The levels of serum LDH were abnormally high in 11 of the 13 cases. The values of Pao₂ and AaPo₂ correlated significantly with those of serum LDH ($r = -0.69$, $p = 0.010$, and $r = 0.60$, $p = 0.029$, respectively). The ventilatory function data did not correlate significantly with those of serum LDH, Pao₂ and AaPo₂, but there was a negative correlation between TlCO and AaPo₂.

The common findings of iPAP on the chest radiographs were bilateral airspace ground glass opacity and/or consolidation with relatively symmetrical distribution. The severity score on the chest radiographs was highly correlated with serum LDH, Pao₂, and AaPo₂ (table 2), and the extent score on the chest radiographs was highly correlated with serum LDH and AaPo₂. A negative correlation was found between total lung capacity (TLC) and all lesion scores (opacity score, $r = -0.65$, $p = 0.017$; extent score, $r = -0.64$, $p = 0.020$; severity score, $r = -0.69$, $p = 0.009$) on the chest radiographs. The severity score on the chest radiographs correlated negatively ($r = -0.55$, $p = 0.049$) with forced vital capacity (FVC). TlCO was not highly correlated with lesion scores on the chest radiographs.

Characteristic findings of iPAP on thoracic HRCT scans revealed patchy ground glass opacity with varying degrees of intralobular and interlobular septal thickening. The extent, severity and reticulation scores of the HRCT scans were highly correlated with those of serum LDH, Pao₂ and AaPo₂ (table 2). All lesion scores on the HRCT scans were highly correlated with TLC (opacity score: $r = -0.82$, $p = 0.001$; extent score: $r = -0.69$, $p = 0.009$; severity score: $r = -0.77$, $p = 0.002$; reticulation score: $r = -0.84$, $p < 0.001$). The

Table 2 Relation between clinical, radiological, and physiological parameters in patients with idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (iPAP)

Variables	LDH		Pao ₂ *		AaPo ₂ *	
	Correlation coefficient	p value	Correlation coefficient	p value	Correlation coefficient	p value
FEV ₁ (% pred)	-0.10	0.775	0.24	0.426	-0.38	0.197
FVC (% pred)	-0.17	0.571	0.35	0.247	-0.47	0.108
TLC (% pred)	-0.39	0.190	0.51	0.078	-0.51	0.076
TlCO (% pred)	-0.51	0.076	0.36	0.231	-0.61	0.027
Radiographs						
Opacity score	0.41	0.169	-0.59	0.033	0.53	0.062
Extent score	0.56	0.045	-0.48	0.096	0.59	0.035
Severity score	0.56	0.045	-0.57	0.040	0.60	0.033
Chest HRCT						
Opacity score	0.54	0.056	-0.51	0.072	0.36	0.232
Extent score	0.77	0.002	-0.57	0.042	0.64	0.019
Severity score	0.56	0.045	-0.60	0.032	0.60	0.029
Reticulation score	0.75	0.003	-0.57	0.042	0.56	0.047

LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; TLC, total lung capacity; TlCO, carbon monoxide transfer factor; HRCT, high resolution computed tomography.

*Pao₂ and AaPo₂ were measured in the sitting position at room air.

AaPo₂ = PAO₂ – PaO₂; PAO₂ = (barometric pressure – 47) × FiO₂ – PaCO₂/0.8.

Figure 1 Box and whisker plots for the levels of GM-CSF in (A) blood and (B) BAL fluid. The box represents the interquartile range that contains 50% of the values and the whiskers extending from the box represent the highest and lowest values. iPAP, idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; CVD, collagen vascular disease; CMV, cytomegalovirus pneumonitis; NC, normal controls.

severity and reticulation scores on the HRCT scans were negatively correlated with FVC ($r = -0.62$, $p = 0.025$ and $r = -0.57$, $p = 0.042$, respectively). Similarly, the lesion scores on the HRCT scans were not highly correlated with Tlco.

GM-CSF in iPAP patients and control groups

In patients with iPAP there was no significant difference in blood and BAL fluid levels of GM-CSF. Compared with those with secondary PAP, patients with iPAP had significantly lower blood and BAL fluid levels of GM-CSF ($p = 0.025$, respectively, fig 1). The blood levels of GM-CSF were significantly higher in iPAP patients than in normal controls, lung controls, and in patients with cytomegalovirus pneumonitis. In contrast, there was no significant difference in BAL fluid levels of GM-CSF in patients with iPAP, those with other pulmonary disease, and lung controls.

Anti-GM-CSF antibodies in iPAP patients and control groups

Anti-GM-CSF antibodies could be detected in both blood and BAL fluid samples in 12 of the 13 patients with iPAP. By contrast, anti-GM-CSF antibodies could not be detected in blood or BAL fluid samples obtained from disease controls,

Figure 2 Anti-GM-CSF antibodies measured by immunoblotting in (A) blood and (B) BAL fluid. Median values and ranges are given for patients with iPAP. Anti-GM-CSF antibodies, anti-granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor antibodies; BALF, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; iPAP, idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis; LC, lung controls; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; CVD, collagen vascular disease; CMV, cytomegalovirus pneumonitis; NC, normal controls.

lung controls, and normal controls. Accordingly, the sensitivity and specificity of anti-GM-CSF antibodies determined by immunoblotting in aiding a diagnosis of iPAP were 92% and 100%, respectively.

For samples with positive results, the levels of anti-GM-CSF antibodies were determined semi-quantitatively by serial dilution. For samples with negative results, BAL fluid was concentrated for further evaluation. In subjects with undetectable antibodies the levels of blood and BAL fluid antibodies were defined arbitrarily as 1:1 (1) and 50:1 (0.02), respectively. The distribution of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in patients with iPAP and the various control groups are shown in fig 2.

Correlations between anti-GM-CSF antibodies and severity markers for iPAP

The relationship between anti-GM-CSF antibodies and severity markers for iPAP was analysed and the results are shown in table 3. The levels of blood anti-GM-CSF antibodies did not correlate with those of the clinical parameters evaluated. In contrast, the levels of BAL fluid anti-GM-CSF antibodies were significantly correlated with those of serum LDH, Pao_2 , $AaPo_2$, Tlco, opacity score on the chest

Table 3 Relationship between anti-GM-CSF antibodies and severity indicators for iPAP

Variables	Anti-GM-CSF antibodies in blood		Anti-GM-CSF antibodies in BAL fluid	
	Correlation coefficient	p value	Correlation coefficient	p value
Serum LDH (IU/l)	0.04	0.906	0.58	0.039
PaO ₂ (kPa)*	-0.27	0.376	-0.69	0.009
AaPO ₂ (kPa)*	0.38	0.201	0.77	0.002
FEV ₁ (% pred)	-0.15	0.629	-0.11	0.738
FVC (% pred)	-0.21	0.498	-0.22	0.471
TLC (% pred)	-0.02	0.949	-0.44	0.133
TlCO (% pred)	-0.06	0.856	-0.62	0.024
Chest radiographs				
Opacity score	0.32	0.283	0.65	0.016
Extent score	0.17	0.578	0.42	0.158
Severity score	0.12	0.678	0.50	0.080
Chest HRCT				
Opacity score	0.08	0.805	0.35	0.242
Extent score	0.01	0.978	0.74	0.004
Severity score	0.07	0.812	0.48	0.100
Reticulation score	-0.04	0.898	0.58	0.038

Anti-GM-CSF antibodies, anti-granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor antibodies; BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; TLC, total lung capacity; TlCO, carbon monoxide transfer factor; HRCT, high resolution computed tomography.

*PaO₂ and AaPO₂ were measured in the sitting position at room air.

AaPO₂ = PAO₂ - PaO₂; PAO₂ = (barometric pressure - 47) × FiO₂ - PaCO₂/0.8.

radiographs, and the extent and reticulation scores on the HRCT scans. In terms of evaluating the disease severity of iPAP, local or BAL fluid anti-GM-CSF antibodies were superior to those in the circulation.

Anti-GM-CSF antibody levels and the need for therapeutic lung lavage

To explore further the clinical significance of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in iPAP, 13 patients were divided into subgroups based on the need for subsequent therapeutic lung lavage. The patients who required therapeutic lung lavage tended to have higher values of serum LDH, AaPO₂, and HRCT scores,

and lower values of PaO₂, FEV₁, FVC, TLC, and TlCO (table 4). The differences in serum LDH, PaO₂, AaPO₂, and extent and reticulation scores on the HRCT scans were statistically significant. Furthermore, these patients had significantly higher titres of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in the BAL fluid at the time of diagnosis of iPAP.

Serial changes in anti-GM-CSF antibodies in two iPAP patients with different clinical course

Serial changes in anti-GM-CSF antibodies and severity markers for iPAP in two patients are shown in fig 3. In the patient with spontaneous improvement, the improvement in

Table 4 Comparisons between iPAP patients divided into groups based on the need for therapeutic lung lavage

Variables	Therapeutic lung lavage		p value
	Yes (N = 5)	No (N = 8)	
LDH (IU/l)	389 (265-392)	243 (175-289)	0.011
PaO ₂ (kPa)*	6.5 (5.2-9.7)	10.8 (8.8-11.3)	0.006
AaPO ₂ (kPa)*	7.8 (3.3-9.5)	3.4 (1.5-5.2)	0.030
FEV ₁ (% pred)	67 (61-77)	83 (32-89)	0.222
FVC (% pred)	67 (55-75)	82 (47-96)	0.171
TLC (% pred)	74 (60-75)	89 (68-95)	0.093
TlCO (% pred)	29 (23-62)	51 (33-88)	0.093
Anti-GM-CSF antibody in blood			
Immunoblotting (dilution)	1000 (200-2000)	1600 (1-4000)	0.622
Anti-GM-CSF antibody in BAL fluid			
Immunoblotting (dilution)	32 (2-64)	4 (0.02-8)	0.045
Chest radiographs			
Opacity score	4 (2-6)	4 (2-4)	0.171
Extent score	6 (2-8)	4 (2-6)	0.284
Severity score	11 (5-18)	8 (4-10)	0.127
Chest HRCT scans			
Opacity score	4 (2-5)	3 (2-6)	0.284
Extent score	7 (5-8)	5 (2-6)	0.030
Severity score	15 (6-22)	8 (2-12)	0.093
Reticulation score	6 (4-7)	4 (3-6)	0.045

Values are given as median (range).

iPAP, idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; TLC, total lung capacity; TlCO, carbon monoxide transfer factor; GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; anti-GM-CSF antibody, anti-granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor antibodies; HRCT, high resolution computed tomography.

*PaO₂ and AaPO₂ were measured in the sitting position at room air.

AaPO₂ = PAO₂ - PaO₂; PAO₂ = (barometric pressure - 47) × FiO₂ - PaCO₂/0.8.

Figure 3 Serial data of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in blood and BAL fluid and severity indicators in two patients with iPAP with a different clinical course: (A) a patient who improved spontaneously and (B) a patient who required repeated therapeutic lung lavage. In (B), all the data were obtained before each cycle of therapeutic lung lavage. *Treated with therapeutic whole lung lavage. **Treated with therapeutic bronchoscopic lobar/segmental lavage. Anti-GM-CSF antibodies, anti-granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor antibodies; BALF, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; iPAP, idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; PaO₂, arterial oxygen tension; AaPO₂, alveolar-arterial oxygen tension difference.

serum LDH, AaPO₂ and PaO₂ were found in association with a decrease in anti-GM-CSF antibodies in blood and BAL fluid. In the patient who required repeated therapeutic lung lavage, the changes in BAL fluid and blood anti-GM-CSF antibodies measured before each cycle of therapeutic lung lavage were relatively parallel to those of the severity markers.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to demonstrate a relation between BAL fluid levels of anti-GM-CSF antibodies and severity indicators for iPAP, including serum LDH, Tlco, PaO₂, AaPO₂, and some lesion scores on chest radiographs and HRCT scans. In terms

of evaluating the severity of iPAP, BAL fluid anti-GM-CSF antibodies were superior to those in the circulation. BAL fluid levels of anti-GM-CSF antibodies might predict the need for therapeutic lung lavage in iPAP patients. In addition, the present study supports the view that the presence of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in blood and/or BAL fluid could serve as a useful diagnostic marker for iPAP. These findings may expand the role of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in iPAP.

Several studies have indicated that circulating anti-GM-CSF antibodies could serve as a serological marker for diagnosing iPAP, and iPAP is regarded as an autoimmune disease accordingly.^{1-3 15 23 24} The present study indicated that, using immunoblotting, anti-GM-CSF antibodies could be detected in both blood and BAL fluid in 12 of 13 patients with iPAP. Furthermore, anti-GM-CSF antibodies could not be detected in blood and/or BAL fluid from patients with secondary PAP and other pulmonary diseases and from subjects without lung diseases (lung controls) and normal controls. These findings are consistent with previous reports^{23 24} that anti-GM-CSF antibodies are highly specific for iPAP. However, one of our patients who had undetectable anti-GM-CSF antibodies detected by immunoblotting in both blood and BALF did not fit into this pathophysiological group. Similarly, no significant increase in anti-GM-CSF antibodies was reported in two of seven patients with iPAP in a recent study.²⁵ The reasons why anti-GM-CSF antibodies are not detected or are not significantly increased in blood and/or BAL fluid of some patients with iPAP remain unknown. There are several possibilities. Firstly, the methods used to detect anti-GM-CSF antibodies are not sufficiently sensitive; secondly, iPAP may be a syndrome rather than a disease; and finally, as in collagen vascular diseases, autoantibody specific for a given collagen vascular disease is not exclusively present. Further studies are required to clarify these issues.

To further verify the accuracy of immunoblotting, an in-house ELISA assay was performed as described by Schoch *et al*²⁶ with some modification. Good correlation was generally observed between the levels of anti-GM-CSF antibodies measured by immunoblotting and ELISA methods in both blood ($r = 0.77$, $p = 0.002$) and BAL fluid ($r = 0.70$, $p = 0.008$). Compared with those of the control groups, significantly higher levels of anti-GM-CSF antibodies were detected in blood and BAL fluid samples in 12 of 13 patients with iPAP. The lowest values were found in the one patient who had undetectable anti-GM-CSF antibodies in both blood and BAL fluid using immunoblotting. Further details are provided in the online supplement available at <http://www.thoraxjnl.com/supplemental>.

Except for serving as a diagnostic marker for iPAP, the role of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in the aetiology and pathogenesis, monitoring disease activity, reflecting disease severity, predicting and/or monitoring treatment response, and in predicting outcome of the patients remains unknown. A study by Seymour *et al*¹¹ reported that the circulating anti-GM-CSF antibodies did not significantly correlate with the severity indicators for iPAP. In agreement with this report,¹¹ we found that blood levels of anti-GM-CSF antibodies did not correlate closely with AaPO₂, PaO₂, serum LDH levels, and lesion scores on chest radiographs and HRCT scans (table 3). By contrast, the BAL fluid values of anti-GM-CSF antibodies were significantly correlated with those of serum LDH, PaO₂, AaPO₂, Tlco, and some lesion scores on chest radiographs and HRCT scans. Thus, BAL fluid levels of anti-GM-CSF antibodies were better than blood levels in reflecting disease severity of iPAP.

To further explore the clinical values of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in iPAP, the patients were divided into subgroups based on the need for therapeutic lung lavage (table 4).

Significantly higher levels of serum LDH, AaPo₂ and BAL fluid anti-GM-CSF antibodies, a lower Pao₂, and more severe lung lesions on the chest CT scan were found in iPAP patients who required therapeutic lung lavage. The BAL fluid levels of anti-GM-CSF antibodies measured at the time of diagnosis may therefore be of value in predicting the need for subsequent therapeutic lung lavage in iPAP patients.

There are some limitations in this study. The cases studied were limited because iPAP is a rare disease. The power of the test would be increased up to ≥ 0.8 to detect a significant difference if the number of cases was doubled. However, the results can be clinically relevant since all studied patients came from the same institute and all samples were collected and processed with the same protocol. This may decrease or avoid the inherent bias caused by different methods used in collecting and/or processing samples as occurs in multicentre studies. Further studies with a larger population are needed to verify these issues.

In summary, the current study supports the view that the presence of anti-GM-CSF antibodies in blood or BAL fluid can serve as a diagnostic marker for iPAP. Furthermore, anti-GM-CSF antibodies in the BAL fluid might reflect disease severity and predict the need for subsequent therapeutic lung lavage. These findings may expand the role for anti-GM-CSF antibodies in patients with iPAP.

Further details on the in-house ELISA method are available online at <http://www.thoraxjnl.com/> supplemental.

Authors' affiliations

F-C Lin, Department of Internal Medicine, Yuan Shan Veteran Hospital and Institute of Clinical Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taiwan

G-D Chang, Graduate Institute of Biochemical Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Y-C Chen, S-C Chang, Chest Department, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

M-S Chern, Department of Radiology, Taipei Veterans General Hospital

S-C Chang, Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taiwan

This work was supported by grants from the Taipei Veterans General Hospital (TVGH91-323 and TVGH93-288)

Competing interests: none.

REFERENCES

- Seymour JF, Presneill JJ. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. Progress in the first 44 years. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;**166**:215–35.
- Trapnell BC, Whitsett JA, Nakata K. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. *N Engl J Med* 2003;**349**:2527–39.
- Shah PL, Hansell D, Lawson PR, et al. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis: clinical aspects and current concepts on pathogenesis. *Thorax* 2000;**55**:67–77.
- Dranoff G, Crawford AD, Sadelain M, et al. Involvement of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor in pulmonary homeostasis. *Science* 1994;**264**:713–6.
- Stanley E, Lieschke GJ, Grail D, et al. Granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor-deficient mice show no major perturbation of hematopoiesis but develop a characteristic pulmonary pathology. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994;**91**:5592–6.
- Robb L, Drinkwater CC, Metcalf D, et al. Hematopoietic and lung abnormalities in mice with a null mutation of the common β subunit of the receptors for granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and interleukins 3 and 5. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995;**92**:9565–9.
- Reed JA, Ikegami M, Cianciolo ER, et al. Aerosolized GM-CSF ameliorates pulmonary alveolar proteinosis in GM-CSF-deficient mice. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 1999;**276**:L556–63.
- Huffman JA, Hull WM, Dranoff G, et al. Pulmonary epithelial cell expression of GM-CSF corrects the alveolar proteinosis in GM-CSF-deficient mice. *J Clin Invest* 1996;**97**:649–55.
- Kavuru MS, Sullivan EJ, Piccin R, et al. Exogenous granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor administration for pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;**161**:1143–8.
- Seymour JF, Presneill JJ, Schoch OD, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor in patients with idiopathic acquired alveolar proteinosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;**163**:524–31.
- Seymour JF, Doyle IR, Nakata K, et al. Relationship of anti-GM-CSF antibody concentration, surfactant protein A and B levels, and serum LDH to pulmonary parameters and response to GM-CSF therapy in patients with idiopathic alveolar proteinosis. *Thorax* 2003;**58**:252–7.
- Carraway MS, Ghio AJ, Carter JD, et al. Detection of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor in patients with pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;**161**:1294–9.
- Bewig B, Wang XD, Kirsten D, et al. GM-CSF and GM-CSF β c receptor in adult patients with pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. *Eur Respir J* 2000;**15**:350–7.
- Thomassen MJ, Yi T, Raychaudhuri B, et al. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis is a disease of decreased availability of GM-CSF rather than an intrinsic cellular defect. *Clin Immunol* 2000;**95**:85–92.
- Kitamura T, Tanaka N, Watanabe J, et al. Idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis as an autoimmune disease with neutralizing antibody against granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *J Exp Med* 1999;**190**:875–80.
- Uchida K, Nakata K, Trapnell BC, et al. High-affinity autoantibodies specifically eliminate granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor activity in the lungs of patients with idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. *Blood* 2004;**103**:1089–98.
- Bonfield TL, Kavuru MS, Thomassen MJ. Anti-GM-CSF titer predicts response to GM-CSF therapy in pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. *Clin Immunol* 2002;**105**:342–50.
- Tazawa R, Hamano E, Arai T, et al. Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and lung immunity in pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;**171**:1142–9.
- Lee KN, Levin DL, Webb WR, et al. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. High-resolution CT, chest radiographic, and functional correlations. *Chest* 1997;**111**:989–95.
- Chou CW, Lin FC, Tung SM, et al. Diagnosis of pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. Usefulness of Papanicolaou-stained smears of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. *Arch Intern Med* 2001;**161**:562–6.
- Cheng SL, Chang HT, Lau HP, et al. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. Treatment by bronchofiberscopic lobar lavage. *Chest* 2002;**122**:1480–5.
- Chen H, Chang GD. Simultaneous immunoblotting analysis with activity gel electrophoresis in a single polyacrylamide gel. *Electrophoresis* 2001;**22**:1894–9.
- Kitamura T, Uchida K, Tanaka N, et al. Serological diagnosis of idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;**162**:658–62.
- Bonfield TL, Russell D, Burgess S, et al. Autoantibodies against granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor are diagnostic for pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2002;**27**:481–6.
- Latzin P, Tredano M, Wüst Y, et al. Anti-GM-CSF antibodies in paediatric pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. *Thorax* 2005;**60**:39–44.
- Schoch OD, Schanz U, Koller M, et al. BAL findings in a patient with pulmonary alveolar proteinosis successfully treated with GM-CSF. *Thorax* 2002;**57**:277–80.