LUNG CANCER

Relationship between reduced forced expiratory volume in one second and the risk of lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis

S Wasswa-Kintu, W Q Gan, S F P Man, P D Pare, D D Sin

Thorax 2005;60:570-575. doi: 10.1136/thx.2004.037135

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr D D Sin, James Hogg
iCAPTURE Center for
Cardiovascular and
Pulmonary Research, St
Paul's Hospital, Room
#368A, 1081 Burrard
Street, Vancouver, BC,
Canada V6Z 1Y6; dsin@
mrl.ubc.ca

Received
3 November 2004
Accepted 8 April 2005

Background: Individuals with severely impaired lung function have an increased risk of lung cancer. Whether milder reductions in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) also increase the risk of lung cancer is controversial. Moreover, there is little consensus on whether men and women have similar risks for lung cancer for similar decreases in FEV₁.

Methods: A search was conducted of PubMed and EMBASE from January 1966 to January 2005 and studies that examined the relationship between FEV₁ and lung cancer were identified. The search was limited to studies that were population based, employed a prospective design, were large in size (≥ 5000 participants), and adjusted for cigarette smoking status.

Results: Twenty eight abstracts were identified, six of which did not report FEV₁ and eight did not adjust for smoking. Included in this report are four studies that reported FEV₁ in quintiles. The risk of lung cancer increased with decreasing FEV₁. Compared with the highest quintile of FEV₁ ($>100\%$ of predicted), the lowest quintile of FEV₁ ($<\sim 70\%$ of predicted) was associated with a 2.23 fold (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.73 to 2.86) increase in the risk for lung cancer in men and a 3.97 fold increase in women (95% CI 1.93 to 8.25). Even relatively small decrements in FEV₁ ($\sim 90\%$ of predicted) increased the risk for lung cancer by 30% in men (95% CI 1.05 to 1.62) and 2.64 fold in women (95% CI 1.30 to 5.31).

Conclusion: Reduced FEV₁ is strongly associated with lung cancer. Even a relatively modest reduction in FEV₁ is a significant predictor of lung cancer, especially among women.

Lung cancer is a major public health problem worldwide. In 2000, 328 million people died from lung cancer globally.¹ In Europe, 266 000 men and 64 000 women died from lung cancer in 1995.² In the US there were over 170 000 new cases of lung cancer and more than 160 000 deaths related to lung cancer in 2004.³ This makes lung cancer the leading cause of cancer deaths in both men and women. Indeed, in the US, lung cancer causes more deaths than the next three most common cancers combined (colon cancer, $n = 48\ 100$; breast cancer, $n = 40\ 000$; and prostate cancer, $n = 30\ 200$).³

The leading cause of lung cancer is cigarette smoking. Other risk factors include exposures to certain occupational hazards, combustion generated carcinogens, and ambient radiation.⁴⁻⁵ Some have argued that reduced lung function is another important risk factor for lung cancer.⁶⁻⁸ However, several epidemiological questions regarding this relationship remain unanswered. Firstly, since individuals with reduced lung function frequently have a significant smoking history, it is not certain whether the relationship between lung function and lung cancer is real or is simply confounded by the effects of smoking. Secondly, it is not known whether the relationship between impaired lung function and lung cancer is dose dependent or threshold dependent. Thirdly, even if there is a significant relationship between these two parameters, it is not known whether sex modifies this relationship. To address these questions we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of population based studies of the relationship between lung function and lung cancer risk.

METHODS

Search for relevant studies

Using PubMed (1966-2004) and EMBASE databases, we conducted a comprehensive literature search to identify

relevant studies published before January 2005 that examined the relationship between forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) and lung cancer. We used a disease specific search term (lung neoplasm*) combined with lung function specific search terms (FEV, FEV₁, forced expiratory volume, lung function) in all our searches. The electronic searches were supplemented by scanning the reference lists from retrieved articles to identify additional studies that may have been missed during the initial search. We also contacted the primary authors of the study for clarification of data where necessary.

Study selection and data abstraction

The primary outcome of this systematic review was to compare the relative risk of lung cancer among subjects who had impaired lung function, as measured by FEV₁, against those who had "normal" lung function at baseline assessment. To mitigate publication bias, we limited our search to studies that (1) were population based and did not select participants on the basis of disease; (2) employed a prospective design; (3) were large in size (at least 5000 participants at baseline); (4) used standardised methods for measuring FEV₁; (5) adjusted for important confounders including age, sex, race, height, and smoking status; and (6) divided the cohort into quintiles. The latter criterion allowed us to determine the shape of the relationship between FEV₁ and lung cancer.

From each relevant article two investigators (SW, WQG) abstracted the following information: first author, publication year, population sampled, sample size, lung cancer incidence or mortality, follow up time, age, sex, smoking history, FEV₁, and other factors (table 1). Any questions or discrepancies regarding these data were resolved through iteration and consensus.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of included studies

Author	Year	Population	Sample size	No of deaths	Follow up time (years)	Mean (SD) age (years)	Male (%)	Current smokers (%)	FEV ₁ (% predicted or litres (l))	End point	Covariate
Hole ¹⁰	1996	Renfrew and Paisley, West Scotland	15411	4439	15	NR	45.8	Male: 45* Female: 46*	Male: 73% Female: 70%	Mortality	Age, cigarette smoking, diastolic blood pressure, serum cholesterol concentration, BMI, social class
Kuller ¹¹	1990	High risk men (92% white) for coronary heart disease in USA	12866	119	10.5	46 (5.5)	100	64	3.21 l or 88%	Mortality	Age, height, number of cigarettes per day, thiocyanate, age at which smoking began, use of filter cigarettes, tar and nicotine content, alcoholic drinks/week, diastolic blood pressure, cholesterol
Mannino ¹²	2003	General civilian population in USA	5402	113	18	47 (14)	45.2	42	89	Mortality	Age, sex, race, smoking status, pack-years
Van Den Eden ¹³	1992	North California, USA	171311	1514	9	42 (NR)	46.3	Male: 51 Female: 40	Male: 3.09 l Female: 2.19 l	Incidence	Age, former and current smokers, smoking duration, quantity, and inhalation

SD, standard deviation; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; NR, not reported; BMI, body mass index.
*These values represent the percentage of participants who smoked ≥ 15 cigarettes daily.

Statistical methods

Quintile 5 was defined as the group with the best FEV₁ and quintile 1 as the group with the worst FEV₁. For the primary end point we included all incident cases of lung cancer or deaths from lung cancer, whichever were reported in the original study. There were no studies in which both of these variables were reported. A weighted mean difference technique was used to pool the original data together. The weighted mean difference was derived using an inverse variance weighted method.⁹ For each outcome the heterogeneity of the results across the studies was tested using a Cochran Q test. If significant heterogeneity was observed ($p < 0.10$), a random effects model—which assigns a weight to each study based on individual study variance as well as between study variance—was used to pool the results together. In the absence of significant heterogeneity a fixed effects model was used.⁹ Data analysis was conducted for men and women separately and combined. All analyses were conducted using Review Manager Version 4.2 (Revman; Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK).

RESULTS

The study selection process is summarised in fig 1. The electronic literature search yielded 333 citations from PubMed and 16 from EMBASE. The abstracts of these articles were reviewed for suitability. The reasons for exclusion are summarised in fig 1. In all, we identified four studies which met the inclusion and exclusion criteria and were used in the analyses.^{10–13} In three of these studies^{10 11 13} we abstracted the salient data from published reports and in the fourth¹² we used the public use data files from the National Center for Health Statistics.¹⁴ The relevant baseline data from each of the selected studies are summarised in table 1 and the FEV₁ data for each quintile group are summarised in table 2. In total, the analysis included 204 990 participants of whom 6185 had or died from lung cancer. The average age of the participants ranged from 42 to 47 years at baseline across the original studies. The follow up time was 9–18 years (table 1).

After adjustments for important covariates such as age, cigarette smoking, and body mass index, participants in quintile 5 (the group with the best FEV₁) had the lowest risk

of lung cancer while those in quintile 1 (the group with the worst FEV₁) had the highest risk of lung cancer (table 3). Surprisingly, even those in quintiles 3 and 4, who had relatively well preserved lung function (mean FEV₁ ~80–100% of predicted), also had an increased risk of lung cancer. The relationship was particularly notable in women where those in quintiles 3 and 4 had risks of lung cancer that were 3.5 and 2.6 fold higher, respectively, than those in quintile 5.

The relationship between FEV₁ quintiles and the incidence of lung cancer in both men and women is summarised in table 3 and illustrated in fig 2. The slope of the relationship was significantly steeper in women than in men ($p < 0.001$). Moreover, for every quintile, the relative risk of lung cancer

Figure 1 Study selection process. FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second.

Table 2 Lung function levels (% predicted) in quintile groups for each study

	Quintile 1	Quintile 2	Quintile 3	Quintile 4	Quintile 5
Men					
Hole ¹⁰	≤73	74–87	88–97	98–108	>108
Kuller ^{11*}	≤73	74–85	86–93	94–101	≥102
Mannino ¹²	≤75	76–85	86–92	93–101	≥102
Van Den Eeden ^{13*}	≤66	67–79	80–93	94–104	≥104
Women					
Hole ¹⁰	≤74	75–89	90–100	101–112	≥113
Mannino ¹²	≤77	78–87	88–94	95–103	≥103
Van Den Eeden ^{13*}	≤60	61–75	76–85	86–99	≥100

*Percentage predicted values were calculated using Hankinson's equation⁴⁹ with the assumption that the men in the study had a mean height of 170 cm and women had a mean height of 160 cm, and the mean age was 46 years.

was higher in women than in men. These data suggest that the effects of reduced FEV₁ are amplified in women.

In table 4 we have summarised the remaining large epidemiological studies that evaluated the relationship between FEV₁ and the risk of lung cancer. Similar to the results of the present meta-analysis, all of these studies showed that reduced FEV₁ was a significant risk factor for lung cancer.^{7, 8, 15–20} These results could not be used in the meta-analysis, however, because of the marked heterogeneity in the way in which the data were collected and reported across the studies.

DISCUSSION

This systematic review of population based studies which have examined the relationship between lung function and lung cancer has produced several interesting observations. Firstly, independent of cigarette smoking history, reduced FEV₁ increases the risk for lung cancer in the general population. Secondly, the relationship is severity dependent such that individuals with the worst lung function have the highest risk whereas those with preserved lung function have the lowest risk. Thirdly, the relationship is a linear; relatively small differences in FEV₁ which are commonly considered within the normal range (for example, from 90% of predicted to 100% of predicted) increase the risk of lung cancer by 30–60%. Fourthly, the risk appears to be amplified in women.

The finding that reduced FEV₁ at baseline is significantly associated with an increased risk of lung cancer is consistent with several previous reports.^{7, 8, 15–21} Although baseline health status, degree of abnormality in lung function, and length of follow up varied considerably between the various cohorts, the associations were remarkably similar.

There are several possible explanations for a relationship between lung function and lung cancer. Firstly, the outcomes

Figure 2 Risk of lung cancer in men and women on a natural logarithmic scale. Open circles are data for women and solid circles are data for men; $p < 0.001$ for the comparison of slopes between men and women.

may share a causal pathway. One possible shared pathway is lung and airway inflammation which are known to correlate with the decline in lung function among smokers.^{22, 23} Inflammation is thought to be an important mechanism responsible for the proteolytic lung destruction and small airway remodelling and narrowing which reduce lung function in smokers and in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD),²² and is also implicated in the decline in lung function in asthma²⁴ and pulmonary fibrosis.²⁵ Hence, reduced FEV₁ may be part of the process related to lung and airway inflammation. Airway inflammation may also have a major role in the pathogenesis of lung cancer.²⁶ Cigarette smoke and other noxious irritants incite a vigorous inflammatory reaction in the airways leading to the recruitment and activation of pro-inflammatory cells such as

Table 3 Relative risk (with 95% confidence interval) of lung cancer for men and women in different quintiles of lung function

	Quintile 1	Quintile 2	Quintile 3	Quintile 4	Quintile 5
Men					
Hole ¹⁰	2.53 (1.68 to 3.82)	1.93 (1.27 to 2.94)	1.80 (1.17 to 2.77)	1.36 (0.86 to 2.16)	1.00
Kuller ¹¹	3.56 (1.02 to 12.43)	2.44 (1.17 to 5.05)	2.80 (1.32 to 5.93)	0.50 (0.11 to 2.34)	1.00
Mannino ¹²	3.16 (1.20 to 8.33)	1.03 (0.35 to 3.06)	1.11 (0.35 to 3.46)	0.94 (0.29 to 3.10)	1.00
Van Den Eeden ¹³	1.86 (1.32 to 2.64)	1.60 (1.35 to 1.90)	1.45 (1.20 to 1.75)	1.34 (1.04 to 1.72)	1.00
Pooled summary	2.23 (1.73 to 2.86)	1.67 (1.42 to 1.93)	1.54 (1.30 to 1.82)	1.30 (1.05 to 1.62)	1.00
Women					
Hole ¹⁰	4.39 (1.86 to 10.38)	4.14 (1.73 to 9.87)	4.01 (1.68 to 9.58)	3.63 (1.51 to 8.76)	1.00
Mannino ¹²	5.99 (0.75 to 47.94)	8.58 (1.09 to 67.36)	8.76 (1.09 to 70.11)	1.08 (0.07 to 17.29)	1.00
Van Den Eeden ¹³	1.95 (0.32 to 11.70)	1.45 (0.27 to 7.69)	1.80 (0.52 to 6.30)	1.55 (0.41 to 5.81)	1.00
Pooled summary	3.97 (1.93 to 8.25)	3.71 (1.80 to 7.69)	3.46 (1.75 to 6.75)	2.64 (1.30 to 5.31)	1.00
Pooled summary for men and women	2.36 (1.88 to 3.00)	1.72 (1.48 to 1.99)	1.62 (1.38 to 1.90)	1.38 (1.13 to 1.70)	1.00

All data were merged using a fixed effects model because there was no significant heterogeneity in data across the studies ($p > 0.10$).

Table 4 Published studies on the association between impaired lung function (FEV₁) and the risk of lung cancer morbidity or mortality

Study	Population	Sample size	Women (%)	Age (years)	Current smokers (%)	Outcome, n	Years of follow up	Comparison	Adjusted factors
Islam ¹⁵	Tecumseh Community population in Detroit	3956	53.1	≥25	43.9	Incidence, 77	25	Predictor: FEV ₁ % pred Every 1 unit decrease of FEV ₁ % pred, regression coefficient 0.016 p=0.017	Age, sex, smoking history
Nomura ¹⁶	Japanese-American men on Hawaiian island of Oahu	6317	0	≥45	47.0	Incidence, 172	22	Predictor: FEV ₁ % pred quartile Quartile 3 v 4: RR=1.0 (0.6 to 1.9) [†] Quartile 2 v 4: RR=2.5 (1.5 to 4.1) [†] Quartile 1 v 4: RR=2.1 (1.3 to 3.5) [†]	Age, detailed smoking information
Speizer ¹⁷	Random sample of community white adults from six US cities	8427	54.8	25–74	39.8	Mortality, 61	9–12	Predictor: FEV ₁ quartile Quartile 3 v 4: RR=3.99 Quartile 2 v 4: RR=2.00 Quartile 1 v 4: RR=8.27	
Vestbo ¹⁸	Random sample of all men in Aalborg, Denmark	876	0	≥46	NR	Incidence*, 35	11	Predictor: FEV ₁ %/H ³ † Per litre below expected FEV ₁ given height: RR=2.1 (1.3 to 3.4) [†]	Age, smoking status
Lange ⁸	Random sample of general population in Copenhagen	13946	54.3	≥20	63.0	Mortality, 225	10	Predictor: FEV ₁ % pred 40–79% v ≥80%: RR=2.1 (1.3 to 3.4) [†] <40 v ≥80%: RR=3.9 (2.2 to 7.2) [†]	Age, sex, smoking history, chronic phlegm.
Tockman ⁷	White American men including a sample of patients with moderate to severe obstruction from the IPPB Trial and cigarette smoking volunteers from JHLP	IPPB: 667 JHLP: 3728	0	≥45	NR	Mortality, IPPB: 22 JHLP: 41	IPPB: 3.0 JHLP: 1.2	Predictor: FEV ₁ % pred IPPB: <60% v ≥60%: RR=4.85, p=0.002 JHLP: 60–85% v >85%: RR=2.57, p=0.043 <60% v >85%: RR=2.72, p=0.062	Age, pack-years, bronchitis, shortness of breath
Peto ¹⁹	Random sample of men from the Vale of Glamorgan and employees in two UK institutions	2718	0	30–64	NR	Mortality, 103	20–25	Predictor: FEV ₁ %/H ³ † 0–1 SD below average v >average: RR=2.17† 1–2 SD below average v >average: RR=2.02† >2 SD below average v >average: RR=1.89†	Stratified by age group and area
Wiles ²⁰	Random sample of male gold miners in South Africa	2065	0	45–54	NR	Mortality, 74	16–18	Predictor: FEV ₁ /H ³ † 0–1 SD below average v >average: RR=1.69† 1–2 SD below average v >average: RR=2.65† >2 SD below average v >average: RR=2.87†	Stratified by age group

SD, standard deviation; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; RR, relative risk; NR, not reported; IPPB, Intermittent Positive Pressure Breathing Trial; JHLP, Johns Hopkins Lung Project.

*Relative risk and 95% confidence interval.

†Ratio of FEV₁ to standing height³.

‡RR was derived by comparison of the ratios (observed/expected) in different groups. Expected deaths were determined using log rank method.

*Respiratory cancer.

leucocytes which, in turn, propagate the inflammatory cascade through the release of various cytokines and reactive oxidative species.²⁷ These latter molecules can cause oxidative damage and promote DNA mutagenesis in the surrounding lung cells.²⁸ If the rate of cell division exceeds the rate at which reactive oxidative species related DNA damage can be repaired, DNA mutagenesis may occur and the risk for cancer increases.²⁸ Reactive oxidative species may also directly activate various oncogenes in the surrounding cells and tissues (for example, *jun* and *fos*) which may further increase the risk of lung cancer.²⁹ Consistent with this inflammatory

hypothesis for lung cancer, the incidence of lung cancer is increased in inflammatory lung conditions such as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis,³⁰ asbestosis, and sarcoidosis.³¹ A corollary of the “shared pathogenesis” hypothesis is that the genes which impart risk for COPD and lung cancer may be common. For instance, individuals who have polymorphisms in genes which influence the oxidant/antioxidant balance in favour of reactive oxidative species may be susceptible to both.

A second possible explanation for the relationship is that the lung dysfunction secondarily enhances the risk of cancer. Individuals who have reduced FEV₁ may have an impaired

ability to clear inhaled carcinogens from their airways. This could lead to increased contact time between carcinogens and airway epithelial cells. However, this seems unlikely because individuals in quintiles 3 and 4 had "normal" FEV₁ levels and yet had an increased risk of lung cancer.

In the present study the relationship between FEV₁ and lung cancer was modified by sex. Whether women are more susceptible to lung cancer than men is controversial. Several epidemiological studies have reported data indicating increased susceptibility for lung cancer in women compared with men.^{32–33} However, other studies have shown the reverse, with men being more susceptible to lung cancer than women,³⁴ while other studies have demonstrated equal susceptibility.^{35–36} Notwithstanding these data, there is little doubt that there are important biological and histological differences in lung cancer between women and men. For instance, in women, adenocarcinoma is by far the leading histological subtype of lung cancer whereas, in men, squamous and adenocarcinomas are equally prevalent.³⁷ Interestingly, the contribution of cigarette smoking to the risk is less apparent for adenocarcinomas than for all other histological subtypes.³⁸ Although lung cancer is rare in lifetime non-smokers, if it develops in these individuals it is usually an adenocarcinoma.³⁹ Moreover, smoking cessation rapidly reduces the risk for squamous cell carcinoma while the risk for adenocarcinomas decreases much more slowly.⁴⁰ In general, women have a higher frequency of GC→TA mutations⁴¹ and transversions⁴² in the p53 gene in resected lung tumour specimens than men, even though the level of exposure to carcinogens from cigarette smoking may be lower in women.⁴¹ Furthermore, higher levels of smoking related hydrophobic DNA adducts have been reported in the lung cancers and adjacent tissues in women.^{43–44} Female smokers also exhibit significantly higher expression levels of lung CYP1A1 than men.⁴⁵ Increased CYP1A1 expression is important in determining individual susceptibility to lung cancer and may be a critical factor for influencing differences between sexes in levels of aromatic/hydrophobic DNA adducts in the lung.^{45–46} A lower DNA repair capacity in women than in men may also contribute to the variation in susceptibility between women and men.⁴⁷

There are several limitations to this study. Cigarette smoking is a risk factor for lung function^{11–12} and lung cancer³⁷ and could confound the relationships observed between FEV₁ and lung cancer. However, all of the original studies included in the meta-analysis carefully controlled for the effects of cigarette smoking, making it unlikely that our results could be explained away by smoking. Nevertheless, we cannot fully discount the possibility of residual confounding by smoking. Secondly, we did not have data on the specific histological subtypes of cancer so the relationship between FEV₁ and specific histological subtypes of lung cancer remains largely unknown, although the results of a previous study suggest that adenocarcinomas are more likely to develop in those who have small decreases in FEV₁ and squamous cell carcinomas are more likely in those with severe impairment of lung function.⁴⁸ Thirdly, publication bias is a concern. To mitigate this bias we chose only large population based studies. Since small positive studies are more likely to get published than small negative studies, by not including results from small studies the relative risk estimates of reduced FEV₁ of the current meta-analysis may be lower than those previously published.^{7–17} Fourthly, most of the original studies were conducted in relatively young individuals, so the findings of the present meta-analysis may not be generalisable to the older population who develop lung cancer.

Lung cancer is the most lethal cancer in the world. The only reasonable chance for cure is to uncover the disease at a

localised stage. However, patients are rarely symptomatic at early stages of disease when curative resection would be possible. Most patients present at advanced stages of the disease, so screening and early diagnosis of lung cancer are therefore imperative in reducing case fatality rates. The present study demonstrates a strong inverse relationship between FEV₁ and lung cancer which applies to all levels of FEV₁. The risk increases even with a relatively modest reduction in FEV₁, especially among women. We found that women were approximately twice as likely to develop lung cancer as men for the same marginal decrements in FEV₁. The potential clinical implication is that, in smokers and former smokers, FEV₁ may provide criteria beyond age and smoking intensity to identify smokers at high risk for lung cancer; this discriminatory power of lung function testing may be important in selecting smokers for enrollment in chemoprevention and early detection trials. Furthermore, since lung cancer can occur in individuals with only small decreases in FEV₁ (especially in women), the traditional boundaries of "normal" FEV₁ may need to be modified for screening purposes.

Authors' affiliations

S Wasswa-Kintu, W Q Gan, S F P Man, P D Pare, D D Sin, Department of Medicine (Respiratory Division), University of British Columbia and The James Hogg iCAPTURE Center for Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Research, St Paul's Hospital, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

DDS is supported by a Canada Research Chair (Respiration) and a Michael Smith/St Paul's Hospital Foundation Professorship in COPD.

REFERENCES

- Ezzati M, Lopez AD. Estimates of global mortality attributable to smoking in 2000. *Lancet* 2003;**13**, 362:847–52.
- Bray F, Sankila R, Ferlay J, et al. Estimates of cancer incidence and mortality in Europe in 1995. *Eur J Cancer* 2002;**38**:99–166.
- Jemal A, Tiwari RC, Murray T, et al. Cancer statistics 2004. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2004;**54**:8–29.
- Boffetta P. Epidemiology of environmental and occupational cancer. *Oncogene* 2004;**23**:6392–403.
- Gottschall EB. Occupational and environmental thoracic malignancies. *J Thorax Imaging* 2002;**17**:189–97.
- Skilled DM, Offord KP, Miller RD. Higher risk of lung cancer in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective, matched, controlled study. *Ann Intern Med* 1986;**105**:503–7.
- Tockman MS, Anthonisen NR, Wright EC, et al. Airways obstruction and the risk for lung cancer. *Ann Intern Med* 1987;**106**:512–8.
- Lange P, Nyboe J, Appleyard M, et al. Ventilatory function and chronic mucus hypersecretion as predictors of death from lung cancer. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1990;**141**:613–7.
- Sutton AJ, Abrams KR. *Methods for meta-analysis in medical research*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley, 2000:57–6.
- Hole DJ, Watt GCM, Davey Smith G, et al. Impaired lung function and mortality risk in men and women: findings from the Renfrew and Paisley prospective study population. *BMJ* 1996;**313**:711–5.
- Kuller LH, Ockene J, Meilahn E, et al. Relation of forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) to lung cancer mortality in the multiple risk factor intervention trial (MRFIT). *Am J Epidemiol* 1990;**132**:265–74.
- Mannino DM, Aguayo SM, Petty TL, et al. Low lung function and incident lung cancer in the United States: data from the First National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey follow-up. *Arch Intern Med* 2003;**163**:1475–80.
- Van Den Eeden SK, Friedman GD. Forced expiratory volume (1 second) and lung cancer incidence and mortality. *Epidemiology* 1992;**3**:253–7.
- National Center for Health Statistics. *National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey*. Available at <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm> (accessed 9 February 2005).
- Islam SS, Schottenfeld D. Declining FEV₁ and chronic productive cough in cigarette smokers: a 25-year prospective study of lung cancer incidence in Tecumseh, Michigan. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1994;**3**:289–98.
- Nomura A, Stemmermann GN, Chyou PH, et al. Prospective study of pulmonary function and lung cancer. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991;**144**:307–11.
- Speizer FE, Fay ME, Dockery DW, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease mortality in six US cities. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1989;**140**:49–55.
- Vestbo J, Knudsen KM, Rasmussen FV. Are respiratory symptoms and chronic airflow limitation really associated with an increased risk of respiratory cancer? *Int J Epidemiol* 1991;**20**:375–8.
- Peto R, Speizer FE, Cochrane AL, et al. The relevance in adults of air-flow obstruction, but not of mucus hypersecretion, to mortality from chronic lung disease. Results from 20 years of prospective observation. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1983;**128**:491–500.

- 20 Wiles FJ, Hnizdo E. Relevance of airflow obstruction and mucus hypersecretion to mortality. *Respir Med* 1991;**85**:27–35.
- 21 Eberly LE, Ockene J, Sherwin R, *et al.* Pulmonary function as a predictor of lung cancer mortality in continuing cigarette smokers and in quitters. *Int J Epidemiol* 2003;**32**:592–9.
- 22 Hogg JC, Chu F, Utokaparch S, *et al.* The nature of small-airway obstruction in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2004;**350**:2645–53.
- 23 Cosio M, Ghezzi H, Hogg JC, *et al.* The relations between structural changes in small airways and pulmonary-function tests. *N Engl J Med* 1978;**298**:1277–81.
- 24 Vignola AM, Mirabella F, Costanzo G, *et al.* Airway remodeling in asthma. *Chest* 2003;**123**:417–22.
- 25 Gross TJ, Hunninghake GW. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *N Engl J Med* 2001;**345**:517–25.
- 26 Ballaz S, Mulshine JL. The potential contributions of chronic inflammation to lung carcinogenesis. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2003;**5**:46–62.
- 27 Barnes PJ, Shapiro SD, Pauwels RA. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: molecular and cellular mechanisms. *Eur Respir J* 2003;**22**:672–88.
- 28 Ames BN, Shigenaga MK, Gold LS. DNA lesions, inducible DNA repair, and cell division: three key factors in mutagenesis and carcinogenesis. *Environ Health Perspect* 1993;**101**:35–44.
- 29 Wodrich W, Volm M. Overexpression of oncoproteins in non-small cell lung carcinomas of smokers. *Carcinogenesis* 1993;**14**:1121–4.
- 30 Lee HJ, Im JG, Ahn JM, *et al.* Lung cancer in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: CT findings. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1996;**20**:979–82.
- 31 Bouros D, Hatzakis K, Labrakis H, *et al.* Association of malignancy with diseases causing interstitial pulmonary changes. *Chest* 2002;**121**:1278–89.
- 32 Risch HA, Howe GR, Jain M, *et al.* Are female smokers at higher risk for lung cancer than male smokers? A case-control analysis by histologic type. *Am J Epidemiol* 1993;**138**:281–93.
- 33 Zang EA, Wynder EL. Differences in lung cancer risk between men and women: examination of the evidence. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1996;**88**:183–92.
- 34 Halpern MT, Gillespie BW, Warner KE. Patterns of absolute risk of lung cancer mortality in former smokers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993;**85**:457–64.
- 35 Bach PB, Kattan MW, Thornquist MD, *et al.* Variations in lung cancer risk among smokers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;**95**:470–8.
- 36 Bain C, Feskanich D, Speizer FE, *et al.* Lung cancer rates in men and women with comparable histories of smoking. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;**96**:826–34.
- 37 Patel JD, Bach PB, Kris MG. Lung cancer in US women: a contemporary epidemic. *JAMA* 2004;**291**:1763–8.
- 38 Khuder SA. Effect of cigarette smoking on major histological types of lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *Lung Cancer* 2001;**31**:139–48.
- 39 Nordquist LT, Simon GR, Cantor A, *et al.* Improved survival in never-smokers vs current smokers with primary adenocarcinoma of the lung. *Chest* 2004;**126**:347–51.
- 40 Jedrychowski W, Becher H, Wahrendorf J, *et al.* Effect of tobacco smoking on various histological types of lung cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 1992;**118**:276–82.
- 41 Kure EH, Ryberg D, Hewer A, *et al.* p53 Mutations in lung tumours: relationship to gender and lung DNA adduct levels. *Carcinogenesis* 1996;**17**:2201–5.
- 42 Toyooka S, Tsuda T, Gazdar AF. The TP53 gene, tobacco exposure, and lung cancer. *Hum Mutat* 2003;**21**:229–39.
- 43 Ryberg D, Hewer A, Phillips DH, *et al.* Different susceptibility to smoking-induced DNA damage among male and female lung cancer patients. *Cancer Res* 1994;**54**:5801–3.
- 44 Mollerup S, Ryberg D, Hewer A, *et al.* Sex differences in lung CYP1A1 expression and DNA adduct levels among lung cancer patients. *Cancer Res* 1999;**59**:3317–33.
- 45 Mclemore TL, Adelberg S, Liu MC, *et al.* Expression of CYP1A1 gene in patients with lung cancer: evidence for cigarette smoke-induced gene expression in normal lung tissue and for altered gene regulation in primary pulmonary carcinomas. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1990;**82**:1333–9.
- 46 Guengerich FP, Shimada T. Oxidation of toxic and carcinogenic chemicals by human cytochrome P-450 enzymes. *Chem Res Toxicol* 1991;**4**:391–407.
- 47 Wei Q, Cheng L, Amos CI, *et al.* Repair of tobacco carcinogen-induced DNA adducts and lung cancer risk: a molecular epidemiologic study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2000;**92**:1764–72.
- 48 Papi A, Casoni G, Caramori G, *et al.* COPD increases the risk of squamous histological subtype in smokers who develop non-small cell lung carcinoma. *Thorax* 2004;**59**:679–81.
- 49 Hankinson JL, Odencrantz JR, Fedan KB. Spirometric reference values from a sample of the general US population. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;**159**:179–87.

PostScript

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

If you have a burning desire to respond to a paper published in *Thorax*, why not make use of our "rapid response" option?

Log on to our website (www.thoraxjnl.com), find the paper that interests you, and send your response via email by clicking on the "eLetters" option in the box at the top right hand corner.

Providing it isn't libellous or obscene, it will be posted within seven days. You can retrieve it by clicking on "read eLetters" on our homepage.

The editors will decide as before whether to also publish it in a future paper issue.

TIMP-3 promoter gene polymorphisms in BFL

Bird fanciers' lung (BFL) is a form of hypersensitivity pneumonitis induced by inhalation of antigens from birds.¹ Only a small percentage of bird fanciers will develop BFL, so it is likely that these patients have a certain genetic predisposition to the disease.¹

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) are zinc enzymes responsible for the degradation of the extracellular matrix. The proteolytic activities of MMP are counter-regulated by tissue inhibitors of MMP (TIMP). Hill found a decreased carriership of the rare TIMP-3 -1296C and -915G promoter alleles in Mexican patients with pigeon induced BFL, suggesting a protective effect of these alleles against the development of this disease.²

Only two previously published genetic association studies to date have focused on the susceptibility to BFL and both were performed in Mexican pigeon breeders.^{2,3} We have undertaken a study to validate the association between BFL susceptibility in Mexicans and TIMP-3 promoter polymorphisms in a group of Dutch white patients with BFL.

Forty one patients with BFL (35 keeping pigeons, 10 keeping budgerigars, 3 keeping parrots and 1 keeping canaries; 19 women and 22 men) and 335 controls were genotyped using sequence specific primers and polymerase chain reaction. The diagnosis of BFL was established in concordance with the criteria used in the Mexican study.² The control group comprised healthy employees from our hospital. We did not include a group of bird fanciers without BFL since Hill did not

find differences in TIMP-3 allele distributions between Mexican controls with or without exposure to birds.²

The Dutch population was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. In contrast to the previous TIMP-3 study in Mexicans, we found 100% linkage between the -1296T and -915A alleles and between the -1296C and -915G alleles in subjects homozygous for the respective alleles. We were therefore able to deduce two haplotypes (T'A and C'G). The TIMP-3 C'G haplotype frequency in BFL patients was significantly lower than in controls ($p = 0.0434$; OR 0.513 (95% CI 0.277 to 0.950; $p = 0.0312$); table 1).

Hill described a similar association in Mexican patients with BFL. We found a reduction of the rarer TIMP-3 alleles in Dutch patients with BFL (-1296C and -915G, -11%), comparable to the reduction found in Mexican BFL patients (-1296C, -12.6%; -915G, -10.8%; table 1). However, there were differences between the findings of the two studies. The TIMP-3 -1296C and -915G allele frequencies in Dutch controls were significantly lower than in the Mexican controls (-1296C, $p = 0.0008$; -915G, $p = 0.0183$; table 1).² A search on the National Center for Biotechnology Information website showed similar TIMP-3 -1296C frequencies in Dutch and American controls (30 mother-father-child trios from Utah with northern and/or western European ancestry; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/snp_viewTable.cgi?pop=1409; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/snp_ref.cgi?rs=9619311). BFL in Mexicans has a similarly poor prognosis to idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, which contrasts with the more benign clinical course in Europeans.^{4,5} Although most patients in our study had severe symptoms at presentation with profound pulmonary function abnormalities, symptoms and pulmonary function improved in the majority of cases during follow up. Furthermore, we included an approximately equal number of male and female patients and bird fanciers who kept birds other than pigeons, while all the Mexican patients were female and kept pigeons only.² Despite these genotypical and phenotypical differences, the rarer TIMP-3 promoter alleles were protective in both ethnic populations which makes an underlying functional cause of the C'G haplotype likely.²

In conclusion, we found a decreased carriership of the TIMP-3 C'G haplotype in Dutch patients with BFL, indicating a protective effect against the development of this disease. Studying the influence of polymorphisms on disease susceptibility in multiple ethnically and

geographically distinct disease and control populations is important. Our study is the first to confirm an association between polymorphisms and susceptibility to BFL, which adds importance to the relationship between TIMP-3 promoter polymorphisms and BFL. However, the mechanism by which the TIMP-3 variants may cause such a protective effect has yet to be determined.

The authors thank Hatice Alpar, Jan Broess and Helga Dissel for their technical support.

R Janssen, A Kruit, J C Grutters

Heart Lung Center Utrecht, Department of Pulmonology, St Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

H J T Ruven

Department of Clinical Chemistry, St Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

C M H van Moorsel, J M M van den Bosch

Heart Lung Center Utrecht, Department of Pulmonology, St Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Dr J C Grutters, Department of Pulmonology, St Antonius Hospital, Koekoekslaan 1, 3435 CM Nieuwegein, The Netherlands; j.grutters@antonius.net

doi: 10.1136/thx.2005.046581

References

- 1 Bourke SJ, Dalphin JC, Boyd G, *et al*. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: current concepts. *Eur Respir J* 2001;**32**:81-92.
- 2 Hill MR, Briggs L, Montano MM, *et al*. Promoter variants in tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-3 (TIMP-3) protect against susceptibility in pigeon breeders' disease. *Thorax* 2004;**59**:586-90.
- 3 Camarena A, Juarez A, Mejia M, *et al*. Major histocompatibility complex and tumor necrosis factor- α polymorphisms in pigeon breeder's disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;**163**:1528-33.
- 4 Sansores R, Salas J, Chapela R, *et al*. Clubbing in hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Arch Intern Med* 1990;**150**:1849-51.
- 5 Bourke SJ, Banham SW, Carter R, *et al*. Longitudinal course of extrinsic allergic alveolitis in pigeon breeders. *Thorax* 1989;**44**:415-8.

Late CF caused by homozygous IVS8-5T CFTR polymorphism

The distribution of cystic fibrosis (CF) transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) genotypes is not well characterised in patients with CF diagnosed after childhood, the majority of whom are compound heterozygotes for $\Delta F508$.¹ We describe such a patient with a rare genotype more commonly associated with inherited infertility in males.

A 54 year old man who had never smoked was referred with bilateral bronchiectasis and chronic sinusitis. He had no known allergy, no history of pancreatitis, and no family history of CF or consanguinity. Obstructive infertility with azoospermia had been established by spermography. The patient reported recurrent lower respiratory tract infections since childhood and pneumonia at the age of 45. He had undergone sinus surgery for nasal polyposis.

CF was suspected. A first sweat test was positive with a chloride concentration of 65 mmol/l (normal <40 mmol/l). The patient had chronic cough productive of purulent sputum, mild dyspnoea, chronic nasal

Table 1 TIMP3 -1296T>C and -915A>G allele frequencies in Mexican and Dutch controls and BFL patients

TIMP3 -1296T>C			TIMP3 -915A>G		
	Mexican ²	Dutch		Mexican ²	Dutch
Controls	(n = 323)	(n = 335)	Controls	(n = 323)	(n = 335)
T	416 (64.4)	490 (73.1)	A	433 (67.0)	490 (73.1)
C	230 (35.6)	180 (26.9)	G	213 (33.0)	180 (26.9)
BFL	(n = 115)	(n = 41)	BFL	(n = 115)	(n = 41)
T	177 (77.0)	69 (84.1)	A	179 (77.8)	69 (84.1)
C	53 (23.0)	13 (15.9)	G	51 (22.2)	13 (15.9)

Data are given as absolute numbers with percentages in parentheses.

CORRECTION

www.thoraxjnl.com

Figure 1 (A) Chest CT scan showing bronchiectasis and bronchial wall thickening predominating in the right upper lobes. (B) Sequencing of the IVS8 locus. 1 indicates the first T of the 11 TG repeats and 2 shows the first T of the 5T motif of the IVS8 locus. The reference DNA sequence is indicated as "reference" and the DNA sequence of the patient is indicated as "sequence".