ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Effect of sputum processing with dithiothreitol on the detection of inflammatory mediators in chronic bronchitis and bronchiectasis

I S Woolhouse, D L Bayley, R A Stockley

Thorax 2002;57:667–671

Background: Sputum analysis is used increasingly to assess airway inflammation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, including those with chronic bronchitis and bronchiectasis. However, it is not known whether dithiothreitol (DTT), a reducing mucolytic agent regularly used to homogenise sputum, affects the detection of inflammatory mediators in the sputum soluble phase from such patients.

Methods: Thirty two spontaneous sputum samples were collected from 13 patients with chronic bronchitis and 17 with bronchiectasis. An aliquot from each sample was treated with either freshly prepared 0.1% DTT plus normal saline (NaCl) or NaCl alone, then ultracentrifuged to obtain the sputum soluble phase. Interleukin (IL)-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, leukotriene B₄ (LTB₄), secretory leukoprotease inhibitor (SLPI), alpha-1-antitrypsin (α_1 -AT), and tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF α) were measured by ELISA, and neutrophil elastase (NE) and myeloperoxidase (MPO) by chromogenic substrate assay. The effect of DTT on the detection of assay standards was also determined.

Results: Median levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, SLPI, and NE were similar in the DTT and NaCl treated samples. There was a significant reduction in median (IQR) levels of detectable TNF α (0.07 (0.00–0.47) pM *v* 0.90 (0.06–6.98) pM, *p*<0.001), LTB₄ (1.67 (1.31–2.64) nM *v* 2.29 (0.95–4.22) nM, *p*<0.05) and MPO (0.00 (0.00–0.00) mg/l *v* 4.48 (0.00–33.66) mg/l, *p*<0.001) and a small increase in the median α_1 -AT concentration (0.05 (0.03–0.08) nM *v* 0.03 (0.02–0.08) nM, *p*<0.01) in the DTT treated samples. DTT had no effect on the assay standards for IL-1 β , IL-8 or TNF α , but at higher concentrations it did affect IL-6, SLPI, NE, and LTB₄ standards (43%, 70%, 76% and 643% of control value for top standard, respectively) and at all concentrations DTT completely abolished MPO activity.

Conclusions: Sputum processing with DTT significantly reduces the detectable concentration of TNF α , LTB₄ and MPO, and produces a small but significant increase in median α_1 -AT levels. To avoid this problem we recommend that an untreated aliquot of sputum be retained for cytokine analysis, unless the assay has been specifically validated.

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to: Professor RA Stockley, Department of Medicine, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham B15 2TH, UK; r.a.stockley@bham.ac.uk

Revised version received 30 January 2002
Accepted for publication 13 February 2002

Sputum analysis is used increasingly as a non-invasive method of assessing airway inflammation, both in terms of cellular indices and soluble mediators of inflammation.^{1–3} However, different methods of sputum processing—for example, the use of mucolytic agents such as dithiothreitol (DTT)—may influence the detection of these soluble mediators. Studies have confirmed that sputum treatment with DTT, and its optical isomer dithioerythritol (DTE), significantly improves total cell counts and cytospin quality.^{4,5} However, DTT also has the potential to interfere with the detection of inflammatory mediators in the sputum soluble phase, either by affecting the three dimensional structure of proteins causing the release of mucus bound molecules, or by interfering directly with the immunoassay.

Previous studies of induced sputum from asthmatic patients have shown that processing with DTT has no effect on interleukin (IL)-5 or IL-8 levels but can increase detectable concentrations of eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) and significantly lower concentrations of myeloperoxidase (MPO) and eosinophilic peroxidase.^{4–6} However, these results are not transferable to the detection of other mediators in sputum from patients with different diseases and all assays should be validated before use.⁷

To our knowledge there have been no previous studies of the effects of sputum processing with DTT on the detection of the relevant inflammatory mediators in sputum from patients with chronic bronchitis and bronchiectasis. The comparison is

important given that sputum processing protocols often include treatment of the sputum sample with DTT before cytokine analysis.⁸ We have therefore investigated the effect of sputum processing with DTT on the soluble phase concentrations of IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, leukotriene B₄ (LTB₄), secretory leukoprotease inhibitor (SLPI), alpha-1-antitrypsin (α_1 -AT), tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF α), neutrophil elastase (NE), and MPO. We have also examined the direct effect of DTT on the immunoassay for each mediator.

METHODS

Patients and sputum collection

Thirty two spontaneous sputum samples were collected over 4 hours (from rising) from 17 patients with clinical and radiological evidence of bronchiectasis (mean (SD) age 64 (14) years, forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) 66.7 (24.7)% predicted, forced vital capacity (FVC) 87.2 (21.4)% predicted, FEV₁/FVC 60.6 (14.0)%) and 13 patients with chronic bronchitis as defined by daily sputum production for at least 3 months of 2 consecutive years⁹ (mean (SD) age 60

Abbreviations: α_1 -AT, α_1 -antitrypsin; DTT, dithiothreitol; IL, interleukin; LTB₄, leukotriene B₄; MPO, myeloperoxidase; NE, neutrophil elastase; SLPI, secretory leukoprotease inhibitor; TNF α , tumour necrosis factor alpha.

Table 1 Lower limit of mediator detection in sputum, intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV), and recovery of the mediator from non-DTT treated samples spiked with a known amount for each assay

	Lower limit of detection	Intra-assay CV (%)	Spike recovery (%)
IL-1 β (pM)	0.294	3.2	95.5
IL-6 (pM)	0.206	5.1	107.9
IL-8 (nM)	0.0125	6.1	96.4
LTB ₄ (nM)	0.1377	6.7	105.7
SLPI (μ M)	0.008	6.4	98.9
α_1 -AT (μ M)	0.002	6.2	95.7
TNF α (pM)	0.052	4.6	111.1
NE (μ M)	0.01	3.3	98.9
MPO (mg/l)	2.94	3.6	103.3

IL=interleukin; LTB₄=leukotriene B₄; SLPI=secretory leukoprotease inhibitor; α_1 -AT= α_1 -antitrypsin; TNF α =tumour necrosis factor alpha; NE=neutrophil elastase; MPO=myeloperoxidase.

(12) years, FEV₁ 40.2 (24.0)% predicted, FVC 71.4 (29.7)% predicted, FEV₁/FVC 45.6 (16.2)%.

Sputum processing

The protocol for sputum processing was modified from that recommended by Pavord *et al.*¹ Two aliquots of whole sputum weighing approximately 1 g were taken from each sample. One was treated with freshly prepared 0.1% DTT (Sputolysin; Calbiochem Corp, San Diego, CA, USA) at a ratio of 4:1 (v/w) and the other with four volumes of normal saline (NaCl) as a control. After treatment each aliquot was briefly vortexed then rocked for 15 minutes at room temperature. An additional 4 volumes of NaCl was added to each aliquot which was then rocked for a further 5 minutes. The sputum sol phase was then obtained by ultracentrifugation (50 000*g* for 90 minutes at 4°C) and the supernatant stored at -70°C until required.

Measurement of mediators in sputum

Commercially available ELISA kits were used to measure IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, SLPI, TNF α (all R&D Systems Europe Ltd, Abingdon, UK) and LTB₄ (Amersham International plc, Buckinghamshire, UK). Alpha₁-AT was measured by ELISA relative to a commercially available serum standard (The Binding Site Ltd, Birmingham, UK) as described previously.¹⁰ Neutrophil elastase and MPO activity were measured by chromogenic substrate assay as described previously.^{11,12}

We have previously reported the validation of the IL-8, LTB₄, SLPI, NE, and MPO assays for use with untreated sputum samples from patients with chronic bronchitis and bronchiectasis.¹² The remaining assays (α_1 -AT, IL-1 β , IL-6 and TNF α) were also validated using the same methods to ensure good recovery before the study reported here. The lower limit of mediator detection in sputum (which is greater than the lower limit of the assay due to the sample dilutions required to achieve mediator levels within the working range of the assay and to remove interference from elastase), intra-assay coefficient of variation, and the recovery of mediator from untreated sol samples spiked with a known amount are shown for each assay in table 1. Sol phase measurements were performed blind on coded supernatants and mediator concentrations below the lower limit of detection of the assay were taken as zero for statistical purposes.

Effect of DTT on mediator assays

To determine the possible effect of DTT on each assay, one volume of assay standard (at nine times the usual concentration of the top standard) was mixed with four volumes of DTT and four volumes of NaCl. The final concentration of the assay standard in the mixture was therefore equivalent to the concentration normally used for the top standard. For comparison, a set of standards was prepared without DTT—that is, one

Table 2 Median (interquartile range) levels of each inflammatory mediator in NaCl and DTT treated sputum samples

	NaCl treated samples	DTT treated samples	p value
IL-1 β (pM)	7.84 (1.18–140.00)	12.41 (1.63–122.32)	0.797
IL-6 (pM)	4.03 (0.52–8.42)	3.07 (0.55–10.38)	0.295
IL-8 (nM)	0.81 (0.49–1.83)	1.03 (0.58–2.11)	0.299
LTB ₄ (nM)	2.29 (0.95–4.22)	1.67 (1.31–2.64)	0.043
SLPI (μ M)	0.08 (0.01–0.23)	0.04 (0.01–0.29)	0.581
α_1 -AT (μ M)	0.03 (0.02–0.08)	0.05 (0.03–0.08)	0.006
TNF α (pM)	0.90 (0.06–6.98)	0.07 (0.00–0.47)	<0.001
NE (μ M)	0.00 (0.00–0.08)	0.01 (0.00–0.10)	0.209
MPO (mg/l)	4.48 (0.00–33.66)	0.00 (0.00–0.00)	<0.001

For definition of abbreviations see footnote to table 1.

volume of assay standard (at nine times the usual concentration of the top standard) diluted with eight volumes of NaCl. Doubling dilutions were performed on each mixture to produce the recommended range of standards for each assay.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical package (version 10.0, Chicago, USA). Mediator/protein levels are expressed as median (interquartile range) and comparisons between sputum treatments (NaCl versus DTT) were made using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. Agreement between the two methods of sputum processing was assessed using the methods of Bland and Altman.¹³

RESULTS

Comparison of DTT and NaCl processing on the detection of mediators in sputum samples

The median levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, SLPI, and NE were similar in samples processed with DTT and NaCl (table 2). However, the median levels of detectable TNF α , LTB₄, and MPO were significantly lower in the DTT treated samples and there was a small but significant increase in the median α_1 -AT concentration in the DTT treated samples (table 2).

Agreement between the two methods of sputum processing for the detection of each mediator is shown in fig 1, according to Bland and Altman. As recommended by these authors, the data have been log transformed before analysis to produce differences that are unrelated to the mean. The mean difference, with 95% limits of agreement, for the log data is shown on each figure. The antilogarithms of these values—that is, the ratios of measurements by the two methods—are shown in table 3. Thus, the levels of IL-1 β measured in NaCl treated sputum differed from that measured in DTT treated samples by 0.204–3.408 times that detected in DTT treated samples for most measurements. These limits of agreement were marginally narrower for α_1 -AT but were wider for the other mediators, particularly SLPI, TNF α , and MPO (table 3).

Effect of DTT on mediator assays

The addition of DTT did not influence the detection of the assay standards for IL-1 β , IL-8, or TNF α . However, DTT did have an effect at higher concentrations on IL-6, SLPI, and NE standards (43%, 70%, and 76% of control value for top standard, respectively). This effect diminished with increasing dilution so that the measurement of the DTT treated standards was greater than 85% of the control value at a 1:32 dilution for IL-6 and SLPI, and at a 1:2 dilution for NE (fig 2A–C). Leukotriene B₄ measurements were markedly increased in the DTT treated standards. The top standard was 643% of the control value and, although this effect again lessened at lower dilutions, the DTT standard remained 120% higher than the control at a 1:64 dilution (fig 2D). There were some differences

Figure 1 Mean differences $\pm 2SD$ in (log transformed) levels of inflammatory mediators between sputum treated with dithiothreitol (DTT) and NaCl plotted against the mean of the two values for IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, LTB $_4$, SLPI, α_1 -AT, TNF α , NE, and MPO.

Table 3 Antilogarithms of the mean differences and the limits of agreement for inflammatory mediator detection in sputum treated with DTT compared with NaCl

	Mean difference	Lower limit of agreement	Upper limit of agreement
IL-1 β	0.833	0.204	3.408
IL-6	0.970	0.161	5.849
IL-8	0.884	0.261	2.987
LTB $_4$	1.193	0.333	4.266
SLPI	1.419	0.109	18.544
α_1 -AT	0.735	0.222	2.433
TNF α	7.702	0.091	549.839
NE	0.770	0.186	3.191
MPO	3.202	0.245	41.483

For definition of abbreviations see footnote to table 1.

in the two sets of standards for the α_1 -AT assay at higher concentrations of DTT, although the pattern was not consistent and beyond a 1:32 dilution the DTT standard was within 15% of the control value (fig 2E). Finally, DTT completely abolished the detection of MPO standards at all dilutions (fig 2F).

DISCUSSION

In this study we found that the median levels of the inflammatory mediators IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, SLPI, and NE were similar in saline and DTT treated sputum. However, DTT treatment resulted in significantly lower median levels of TNF α , LTB $_4$, and MPO, and a small but significant increase in median levels of α_1 -AT. Furthermore, agreement between the two methods of sputum processing was poor with wide 95% limits seen for all mediators measured.

There are a number of possible mechanisms whereby sputum processing with DTT could have affected the detection of inflammatory mediators in the soluble phase. The effectiveness of DTT as a mucolytic agent arises from the fact that, as a potent reducing agent, it is able to split the disulphide bonds present in mucus glycoproteins and thus release sputum cells for analysis.¹⁴ However, by the same mechanism as for mucus glycoproteins, DTT could also affect the three dimensional structure of the mediators or the antibodies to which they are bound and measured in enzyme linked immunoassays. We examined this possibility in the second part of the study by comparing the detection of assay standards that had been "treated" with DTT or saline at similar concentrations to that used for sputum processing. We found that DTT completely abolished MPO activity at all dilutions and at higher concentrations it significantly reduced the detection of the assay

Figure 2 Results for assay standards treated with dithiothreitol (DTT) plotted against standards treated with NaCl for IL-6, SLPI, NE, LTB₄, α₁-AT and MPO. Dashed line indicates line of equality.

standards for IL-6, SLPI and NE, but produced spuriously high levels of LTB₄ and, to some extent, α₁-AT. The marked increase in LTB₄ measured in DTT treated standards is probably the result of the competitive nature of this assay. The LTB₄ present in the sample competes with a fixed quantity of peroxidase labelled LTB₄ for a limited number of binding sites on a specific antibody. The amount of peroxidase labelled ligand bound by the antibody, determined by the colour reaction following the addition of tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), is inversely proportional to the concentration of unlabelled LTB₄ in the sample. Therefore, if DTT interferes with antibody-ligand binding, neither the labelled nor unlabelled LTB₄ will bind to the plate so there will be no colour change following the addition of TMB which would be interpreted as being due to high levels of unlabelled LTB₄ in the sample. Alternatively, the peroxidase label of the antibody could be inhibited by DTT, but this would seem unlikely as peroxidase labelled antibodies are also used in the IL-1β and IL-8 assays and neither of these two assays were affected by DTT, presumably because the washing

process removes any remaining DTT before the addition of the substrate. For the measurement of MPO we used a chromogenic substrate activity assay, as we have previously shown that the immune assay for this mediator gives poor recovery and is dependent on the degree of sample dilution and elastase content.¹² However, the MPO activity assay was particularly susceptible to interference from DTT, which probably relates to reduction of either the reactive oxygen species generated by MPO in this assay or the hydrogen peroxidase substrate itself.

The effect of DTT on the measurement of assay standards did not always correspond to its effect on the detection of the mediators in sputum samples. This may relate to the sample dilution required to achieve mediator measurements within the working range of the assay (as for SLPI and NE), which may be sufficient to remove any interference from DTT on the assay performance. However, the dilutions at which the samples were assayed for IL-6 and LTB₄ were within the range where DTT did affect these assays, yet the median levels of IL-6 were similar in the DTT and saline treated samples and the

median level of LTB₄ was reduced—not increased—in DTT treated samples. This suggests that, during the process of mucolysis, the activity of DTT is likely to be neutralised to some extent, thus reducing its consequent effect on the immunoassay. However, mucolysis with DTT may also cause the release of mucus bound molecules from the sputum. These may include binding molecules such as soluble receptors, carrier proteins, and autoantibodies, all of which have the potential to interfere with the detection of inflammatory mediators in immunoassays.¹⁵ This may explain the significantly lower median LTB₄ and TNF α levels in DTT treated sputum samples despite the predicted effects of DTT on the assays (increased detection in the former and no effect in the latter). Finally, mucolysis with DTT could also release mucus bound mediator which may explain the small but significant increase in α_1 -AT seen in DTT treated sputum samples which were diluted sufficiently to remove any direct interference from DTT on the assay performance.

The patients in the current study were able to provide spontaneously expectorated sputum; however, where this is not the case, sputum induction with hypertonic saline is now commonly performed in order to obtain a sample for analysis.¹ It is likely that processing with DTT will have a similar effect on the detection of inflammatory mediators measured in induced sputum from these patients, given that the cellular and biochemical content of spontaneous and induced sputum has previously been shown to be similar.¹⁶

It could be argued that the reduced concentrations of TNF α , LTB₄, and MPO in DTT treated sputum are not relevant when measuring these mediators in paired samples that have both been processed with DTT—for example, when comparing airway inflammation at the start and end of a treatment period. However, the effect of sputum processing with DTT on TNF α and MPO was to reduce measurable concentrations of these mediators to levels that were near to, or below, the lower limit of detection for the assay, thus reducing the likelihood of detecting any significant difference between two samples that have been processed in this way. Furthermore, the fact that DTT affects the detection of some mediators, and not others, would also make it difficult to relate the concentration of a mediator to its function when the whole sample has been processed with DTT.

This study has shown that the treatment with DTT of whole spontaneous sputum from patients with chronic bronchitis and bronchiectasis does not significantly affect median levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, SLPI, and NE, but does significantly reduce the median levels of detectable TNF α , LTB₄, and MPO and produces a small but significant increase in the median α_1 -AT

level. Furthermore, agreement between the two methods of sputum processing is poor, which suggests that they should not be used interchangeably for the measurement of inflammatory mediators. To avoid these problems we recommend that an untreated aliquot of sputum be retained for the measurement of inflammatory mediators unless the assay has been specifically validated.

Authors' affiliations

I S Woolhouse, D L Bayley, R A Stockley, Department of Medicine, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham, UK

Funding: I Woolhouse is funded by a Boehringer Clinical Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- 1 Pavord ID, Pizzichini MM, Pizzichini E, *et al*. The use of induced sputum to investigate airway inflammation. *Thorax* 1997;**52**:498–501.
- 2 Magnussen H, Hargreave FE. Noninvasive monitoring of airway inflammation. *Eur Respir J* 2000;**16**:1–2.
- 3 Maestrelli P, Richeldi L, Moretti M, *et al*. Analysis of sputum in COPD. *Thorax* 2001;**56**:420–2.
- 4 Efthimiadis A, Pizzichini MM, Pizzichini E, *et al*. Induced sputum cell and fluid-phase indices of inflammation: comparison of treatment with dithiothreitol vs phosphate-buffered saline. *Eur Respir J* 1997;**10**:1336–40.
- 5 Louis R, Shute J, Goldring K, *et al*. The effect of processing on inflammatory markers in induced sputum. *Eur Respir J* 1999;**13**:660–7.
- 6 Grebski E, Peterson C, Medici TC. Effect of physical and chemical methods of homogenization on inflammatory mediators in sputum of asthma patients. *Chest* 2001;**119**:1521–5.
- 7 Stockley RA, Bayley DL. Validation of assays for inflammatory mediators in sputum. *Eur Respir J* 2000;**15**:778–81.
- 8 Bhowmik A, Seemungal TA, Sapsford RJ, *et al*. Relation of sputum inflammatory markers to symptoms and lung function changes in COPD exacerbations. *Thorax* 2000;**55**:114–20.
- 9 Anon. Definition and classification of chronic bronchitis for clinical and epidemiological purposes. A report to the Medical Research Council by their Committee on the Aetiology of Chronic Bronchitis. *Lancet* 1965;**ii**:775–9.
- 10 Morrison HM, Kramps JA, Burnett D, *et al*. Lung lavage fluid from patients with alpha 1-proteinase inhibitor deficiency or chronic obstructive bronchitis: anti-elastase function and cell profile. *Clin Sci (Colch)* 1987;**72**:373–81.
- 11 Hill AT, Bayley D, Stockley RA. The interrelationship of sputum inflammatory markers in patients with chronic bronchitis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;**160**:893–8.
- 12 Stockley RA, Bayley DL. Validation of assays for inflammatory mediators in sputum. *Eur Respir J* 2000;**15**:778–81.
- 13 Bland JM, Altman DG. Measuring agreement in method comparison studies. *Stat Methods Med Res* 1999;**8**:135–60.
- 14 Wooten OJ, Dulfano MJ. Improved homogenization techniques for sputum cytology counts. *Ann Allergy* 1978;**41**:150–4.
- 15 Mire-Sluis AR, Gaines-Das R, Thorpe R. Immunoassays for detecting cytokines: what are they really measuring? *J Immunol Methods* 1995;**186**:157–60.
- 16 Pizzichini MM, Popov TA, Efthimiadis A, *et al*. Spontaneous and induced sputum to measure indices of airway inflammation in asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;**154**:866–9.