Editorials

Exhaled nitric oxide in COPD: glancing through a smoke screen

P J Sterk, H W F M de Gouw, F L M Ricciardolo, K F Rabe

There is worldwide increasing awareness of the human and socioeconomic impact of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) which is a major cause of morbidity and mortality.¹ Even though its pathogenesis in industrialised countries is linked to a well known cause—namely, tobacco smoking—it is not envisaged that this knowledge will lead to breakthroughs in the prevention of this disease during the years to come. Hence, current efforts are mainly directed towards improving the diagnosis and management of patients with COPD.¹

During the past decade it has been recognised that the pathophysiology of COPD includes (multiple) inflammatory pathways within the airways and lung parenchyma. Apart from the emphysematous lesions, smokers with chronic airflow limitation usually have increased submucosal glands, some degree of epithelial shedding, and an increase in the amount of airway smooth muscle.² However, the most important pathological changes are found within the small airways where the inflammation is characterised by increased numbers of macrophages, mast cells,³ neutrophils, and CD8+ T cells,⁴ together with increased expression of growth factors such as transforming growth factor β (TGF- β).⁵ Most of these abnormalities can be detected in bronchial biopsy specimens from the large airways⁶ and are related to the severity of airflow limitation.⁷

It is not therefore unexpected that attempts have been made to detect and monitor such inflammatory changes in COPD by non-invasive techniques. The first valuable step has been made by using the measurement of airway hyper-responsiveness to methacholine⁸ which, indeed, provides complementary information to symptoms and lung function on the course and prognosis of the disease. More recent efforts concentrate on cellular or soluble markers of inflammation in induced sputum⁹ or molecular markers in exhaled air.^{10–11} Is there a need to monitor inflammatory activity in COPD and, if so, is the easiest method—measuring exhaled nitric oxide (NO)—an appropriate one to do so?

Is exhaled NO increased in COPD?

The current issue of *Thorax* includes two recent studies on the validity of exhaled NO measurements in the detection of COPD among (ex-)smoking subjects. Their partially contradictory findings illustrate the complexity of applying and interpreting such a molecular marker in a heterogeneous disease entity such as COPD. Corradi *et al*¹² compared levels of exhaled NO in smoking and non-smoking healthy subjects with smoking and ex-smoking, non-atopic, steroid naive patients with COPD in a cross sectional design. They observed that the presence of clinically stable COPD was associated with increased levels of exhaled NO compared with healthy controls, whilst current smoking was accompanied by reduced levels of

exhaled NO compared with non-smokers or ex-smokers in both groups. Remarkably, exhaled NO levels decreased with the number of pack years and with the degree of airflow limitation.¹² This suggests that exhaled NO can be a marker of COPD, particularly in ex-smoking patients with a relatively short smoking history. These findings could not be confirmed in the second study in this issue by Rutgers *et al*¹³ who did not observe differences in the excretion rate of NO in exhaled air between non-atopic, steroid naive patients with COPD and healthy controls, whilst the reduction of exhaled NO levels by current smoking was seen only in the healthy subjects. The lack of differences between COPD and healthy controls was confirmed when using nitrite/nitrate measurements in sputum supernatant and the expression of inducible NO synthase (iNOS) in sputum macrophages. However, exhaled NO appeared to be positively correlated with the percentage of eosinophils in induced sputum, which indicates that exhaled NO might specifically reflect a certain phenotype of COPD.¹³

Is this a controversy?

When taking previously published reports^{14–15} into account there appears to be controversy and confusion with regard to exhaled NO in clinically stable COPD. This is even more apparent since the authors of the two largely opposing articles in this issue claim to confirm the previous findings on the same topic by Maziak *et al*.¹⁵ What are the explanations for the present contradictory findings? Firstly, there are obvious differences in methodology between the available studies which are extremely hard to interpret. This refers to the comparison of, for example, single breath¹² versus tidal breathing method,^{13–16} exhaled NO concentrations (ppb)¹² versus the excretion rate (nmol/min),¹³ or differences in expiratory flow rates.^{12–13} This underlines the need for rigorous standardisation, and attempts have recently been made to achieve this.^{11–17} Secondly, the patients in the study by Corradi *et al*¹² had more severe degrees of airflow limitation than those in the study of Rutgers *et al*¹³ and, in addition, at given values of FEV₁ may have had a different balance between the involvement of airways and parenchymal disease. Taken together, the currently available data may not be regarded as being controversial but merely incomparable. This implies that, at this stage, measurements of exhaled NO cannot generally be recommended in the detection of clinically stable COPD.

Can we skip exhaled NO in COPD?

Is this the end of measuring exhaled NO in COPD? Perhaps. One might question the rationale for measuring inflammatory activity by exhaled NO during clinically stable conditions of a chronic disease in which parenchymal destruction and airway remodelling predominate in causing airflow limitation.¹⁸ On the other hand, there is convincing evidence that exacerbations of the disease are

associated with increases in exhaled NO¹⁵ which might be associated with eosinophilic inflammation.¹⁹ Indeed, the latter might be detected by measurements of exhaled NO¹³ and seems to reflect the potential benefits of oral²⁰ or inhaled²¹ steroid treatment in COPD. It is tempting to speculate, but certainly remains to be established, whether exhaled NO can also predict a positive treatment response to steroids in COPD.

Are patients with low levels of exhaled NO better off?

Apart from monitoring purposes, it is certainly of interest to examine any pathophysiological role of NO in COPD. It is potentially produced by epithelial, endothelial, neuronal, and inflammatory cells through activity of constitutive eNOS and nNOS, or inflammatory induction of iNOS.²² However, we do not know the source of the NO that is detected in the expired air. Even though it was thought that iNOS activity within the airways contributes most to exhaled NO in diseases such as asthma or COPD,²³ animal experiments with nNOS knock out mice have shown that constitutive NOS can at least be responsible for 40% of exhaled NO.²⁴ However, even if its cellular source is known, it is far from understood whether local NO within the airways—produced by whatever NOS isoform—mediates pro-inflammatory and/or protective mechanisms.²⁵

What is the relevance of this for COPD? When looking at the data of Corradi *et al* it appears that ex-smoking patients have higher levels of exhaled NO than currently smoking patients.¹³ The first explanation for this could be that ex-smoking patients with high levels of exhaled NO represent a selection bias of the clinically worst patients who spontaneously stopped smoking. However, experimental evidence favours an alternative hypothesis. The above results suggest that smoking impairs a normal and important defence mechanism,²⁶ possibly associated with altered immune responses.²⁷ In a previous study we found circumstantial evidence of a protective role of NO during virus induced exacerbations in patients with asthma.²⁸ Interestingly, allergen exposure affects the bronchoprotective NO synthesis in asthmatic subjects.²⁹ The possibility cannot be excluded that such an endogenous defence mechanism will also be impaired in smoking patients with COPD, thereby enhancing the tendency towards exacerbations.³⁰ Indeed, smoking can acutely reduce NO synthesis,³¹ presumably by direct inhibition of constitutive NOS expression,³² accelerated NO breakdown by superoxide anion yielding the harmful oxidant peroxynitrite³³ associated with induced neutrophil activity,³⁴ and/or by a negative feedback mechanism through the high NO levels (100–600 µg) provided by the mainstream smoke of each cigarette.³⁵ The chronic effects of smoking on NO synthesis are still unknown but it has been suggested that smoking induced impairment of NO production can be irreversible.³² This may explain the negative correlation between exhaled NO levels and the number of pack years.¹³

Clinical implications

There are good reasons to suggest that exhaled NO can be a marker of disease severity and level of control in steroid naive patients with asthma by indirectly reflecting the degree of airways inflammation.²³ However, the same cannot be concluded for patients with COPD. In these patients the magnitude of the NO signal is considerably less than in asthma and, most importantly, the major causative agent, cigarette smoke, dramatically masks any tendency towards a disease related rise in exhaled NO levels.³¹ This may not only have consequences for monitoring patients with COPD, but also for the natural history and prognosis of the disease. The positive relationship between exhaled NO levels and FEV₁^{12 15 36} is in keeping with the hypothesis that

endogenous NO represents an important protective mechanism. This could be particularly relevant in patients with COPD who may require local NO release for antimicrobial host defence^{26 27} or preservation of ventilation/perfusion matching within the lung.³⁷ Interestingly, there is recent evidence that eNOS polymorphism may indeed be involved in the susceptibility for the development of certain phenotypes of COPD such as emphysema associated with α₁-antitrypsin deficiency.³⁸

The above might even question the role of inhaled steroids in the maintenance treatment of COPD. Such intervention reduces iNOS activity, which not only might lead to beneficial effects,³⁹ but could also have potentially deleterious consequences in normal or diseased airways.⁴⁰ Only carefully designed longitudinal studies will enable us to answer these questions arising from the currently available cross sectional data on exhaled NO in COPD.

Correspondence to: Professor P J Sterk.

P J STERK
H W F M DE GOUW
F L M RICCIARDOLO
K F RABE

Department of Pulmonology,
Leiden University Medical Center,
P O Box 9600,
NL-2300 RC Leiden,
The Netherlands

- 1 Siafakas NM, Vermeire P, Pride NB, *et al*. Optimal assessment and management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A consensus statement of the European Respiratory Society. *Eur Respir J* 1995;8:1398–420.
- 2 Saetta M, Finkelstein R, Cosio MG. Morphological and cellular basis for airflow limitation in smokers. *Eur Respir J* 1994;7:1505–15.
- 3 Grashoff WFM, Sont JK, Sterk PJ, *et al*. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Role of bronchiolar mast cells and macrophages. *Am J Pathol* 1997;151:1785–90.
- 4 Saetta M, di Stefano A, Turato G, *et al*. CD8+ T-lymphocytes in peripheral airways of smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;157:822–6.
- 5 De Boer WL, van Schadeewijk WAAM, Sont JK, *et al*. Transforming growth factor β, and recruitment of macrophages and mast cells in airways in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;158:1951–7.
- 6 O'Shaughnessy TC, Ansari TW, Barnes NC, *et al*. Inflammation in bronchial biopsies of subjects with chronic bronchitis: inverse relationship of CD8+ T lymphocytes with FEV₁. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;155:852–7.
- 7 Di Stefano A, Capelli A, Lusuardi M, *et al*. Severity of airflow limitation is associated with severity of airway inflammation in smokers. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;158:1277–85.
- 8 Tashkin DP, Altose MD, Connett JE, *et al*, for the Lung Health Study Research Group. Methacholine reactivity predicts changes in lung function over time in smokers with early chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;153:1802–11.
- 9 Pizzichini E, Pizzichini MMM, Efthimiadis A, *et al*. Indices of airway inflammation in induced sputum: reproducibility and validity of cell and fluid-phase measurements. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;154:308–17.
- 10 Dekhuijzen PNR, Aben KKH, Dekker I, *et al*. Increased exhalation of hydrogen peroxide in patients with stable and unstable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;154:813–6.
- 11 Kharitonov S, Alving K, Barnes PJ. Exhaled and nasal nitric oxide measurements: recommendations. *Eur Respir J* 1997;10:1683–93.
- 12 Corradi M, Majori M, Cacciani GC, *et al*. Increased exhaled nitric oxide in patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax* 1999;54:572–5.
- 13 Rutgers SR, van der Mark ThW, Coers W, *et al*. Markers of nitric oxide metabolism in sputum and exhaled air are not increased in COPD. *Thorax* 1999;54:576–80.
- 14 Clini E, Bianchi L, Pagani M, *et al*. Endogenous nitric oxide in patients with stable COPD: correlates with severity of disease. *Thorax* 1998;53:881–3.
- 15 Maziak W, Loukides S, Culpitt S, *et al*. Exhaled nitric oxide in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;157:998–1002.
- 16 Rutgers SR, Meijer RJ, Kerstjens HAM, *et al*. Nitric oxide measured with single-breath and tidal-breathing methods in asthma and COPD. *Eur Respir J* 1998;12:816–9.
- 17 American Thoracic Society. Recommendations for standardized procedures for the online and offline measurement of exhaled lower respiratory nitric oxide and nasal nitric oxide in adults and children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999(in press).
- 18 Lambert RK, Paré PD. Lung parenchymal shear modulus, airway wall remodelling, and bronchial hyperresponsiveness. *J Appl Physiol* 1997;83:140–7.
- 19 Saetta M, Di Stefano A, Maestrelli P, *et al*. Airway eosinophilia in chronic bronchitis during exacerbations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994;150:1646–52.
- 20 Chanez P, Vignola AM, O'Shaughnessy T, *et al*. Corticosteroid reversibility in COPD is related to features of asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;155:1529–34.

- 21 Pizzichini E, Pizzichini MMM, Gibson P, *et al*. Sputum eosinophilia predicts benefit from prednisone in smokers with chronic obstructive bronchitis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;158:1511–7.
- 22 Moncada S, Palmer RMJ, Higgs EA. Nitric oxide: physiology, pathophysiology, and pharmacology. *Pharmacol Rev* 1991;43:109–42.
- 23 Barnes PJ, Liew FY. Nitric oxide and asthmatic inflammation. *Immunol Today* 1995;16:128–30.
- 24 De Sanctis GR, Mehta S, Kobzik L, *et al*. Contribution of type 1 NOS to expired gas NO and bronchial responsiveness in mice. *Am J Physiol* 1997;273:L883–8.
- 25 Nijkamp FP, Folkerts G. Nitric oxide: initiator and modulator. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1997;27:347–50.
- 26 Reiss CS, Komatsu T. Does nitric oxide play a critical role in viral infections? *J Virol* 1998;72:4547–51.
- 27 Wei X-Q, Charles IG, Smith A, *et al*. Altered immune responses in mice lacking inducible nitric oxide synthase. *Nature* 1995;375:408–11.
- 28 De Gouw HWM, Grünberg K, Schot R, *et al*. Relationship between exhaled nitric oxide and airway hyperresponsiveness following experimental rhinovirus infection in asthmatic subjects. *Eur Respir J* 1998;11:126–32.
- 29 Ricciardolo FLM, Timmers MC, Geppetti P, *et al*. Effect of nitric oxide synthesis inhibition on allergen-induced airway hyperresponsiveness to bradykinin in allergic asthma (abstract). *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;160(in press).
- 30 Aronson MD, Weiss ST, Ben RL, *et al*. Association between cigarette smoking and acute respiratory tract illness in young adults. *JAMA* 1982;248:181–3.
- 31 Kharitonov SA, Robbins RA, Yates D, *et al*. Acute and chronic effects of cigarette smoking on exhaled nitric oxide. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;152:609–12.
- 32 Su Y, Han W, Giraldo C, *et al*. Effect of cigarette smoke extract on nitric oxide synthase in pulmonary artery endothelial cells. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 1998;19:819–25.
- 33 Sheehy MA, Burson MA, Black SM. Nitric oxide exposure inhibits endothelial NOS activity but not gene expression: a role for superoxide. *Am J Physiol* 1998;274:L833–41.
- 34 Venge P, Högmán M, Ludviksdóttir D, *et al*. Exhaled NO. The impact of the activation of eosinophils and neutrophils in the airways. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;157:A598.
- 35 Rengasamy A, Johns RA. Regulation of nitric oxide synthase by nitric oxide. *Mol Pharmacol* 1993;44:124–8.
- 36 Kanazawa H, Shoji S, Hirata K, *et al*. Role of endogenous nitric oxide in air-flow obstruction in smokers. *Chest* 1996;110:927–9.
- 37 Gaston B, Drazen JM, Loscalzo J, *et al*. The biology of nitrogen oxides in the airways. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994;149:538–51.
- 38 Novoradovsky A, Brantly ML, Wacławski MA, *et al*. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase as a potential susceptibility gene in the pathogenesis of emphysema in α_1 -antitrypsin deficiency. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 1999;20:441–7.
- 39 Saleh D, Ernst P, Lim S, *et al*. Increased formation of the potent oxidant peroxynitrite in the airways of asthmatic patients is associated with induction of nitric oxide synthase: effect of inhaled glucocorticoid. *FASEB J* 1998;12:929–37.
- 40 Guo FH, De Raevé H, Rice TW, *et al*. Continuous nitric oxide synthesis by inducible nitric oxide synthase in normal human airway epithelium in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995;92:7809–13.

Tuberculosis in AIDS: past or new problems?

Charles Mayaud, Jacques Cadranet

Since the emergence of AIDS, tuberculosis and HIV infection have been intimately connected. The immunodepression resulting from HIV infection increases the risk of tuberculosis progression in co-infected individuals and tuberculosis accelerates the course of HIV infection.¹ In spite of new and effective anti-retroviral therapy, tuberculosis remains a major problem in HIV infected patients. In developing countries protease inhibitors containing anti-retroviral therapy are seldom used and tuberculosis remains the most common life threatening HIV related infection. A major goal in such a setting is to prevent and cure tuberculosis in HIV infected patients.² In developed countries protease inhibitors containing anti-retroviral therapy decrease the incidence of tuberculosis which, however, reveals HIV infection in some cases.³ In these countries the best strategy for treating tuberculosis and HIV infection together remains to be defined.

This editorial reviews the past, present, and future interactions between HIV infection and tuberculosis, focusing on their respective natural histories and treatments.

What are the changes in the natural history of tuberculosis induced by HIV infection?

IS TUBERCULOSIS MOST FREQUENT IN HIV INFECTED PATIENTS?

Several studies have clearly shown that the incidence of active tuberculosis is increased in HIV infected patients—for example, in two cohort studies of intravenous drug users^{4,5} the incidence of tuberculosis disease in patients with tuberculous infection defined by a positive PPD test was much higher in HIV seropositive than in HIV seronegative patients.

There is evidence that this increased incidence of tuberculosis disease in HIV infected patients results from three mechanisms: (1) reactivation of latent tuberculous infection which is suggested by the higher incidence of tuberculosis in HIV infected patients with a positive PPD test⁶; (2) rapid progression of recently acquired tuberculous infection which has been demonstrated by molecular epidemiology during several nosocomial outbreaks⁶; and (3) exo-

genous tuberculous reinfection which has also been shown by molecular analysis in patients with repeatedly positive cultures.⁷

The incidence of tuberculosis is not increased in all HIV infected patients. In a prospective study of pulmonary complications in a cohort of HIV infected patients followed up for 53 months Markowitz *et al*⁸ identified two major risk factors for tuberculosis progression: (1) a positive PPD test at baseline or during the study, suggesting a major role for the degree of previous or current exposure to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, and (2) a low CD4 count, supporting a role for immunodepression in the development of active tuberculosis. Similarly, in a nested case control study Sudre *et al*⁹ found that, in countries with a low prevalence of *M tuberculosis* infection, individuals coming from a country with a high prevalence of tuberculosis infection such as Eastern Europe, Brazil or Africa and with advanced immunodeficiency were significantly at risk for tuberculosis.

ARE SYMPTOMS OR SIGNS OF TUBERCULOSIS DIFFERENT IN HIV INFECTED PATIENTS?

Several studies have shown some differences in radiographic findings of pulmonary tuberculosis between HIV positive and HIV negative patients including a non-apical distribution of infiltrates, infrequent cavitation, and increased frequency of hilar and mediastinal adenopathy and pleural effusion.¹⁰ However, these findings are not observed with the same frequency with different degrees of immunodepression. A recent analysis by Perlman *et al* which pooled the results of American and African series showed that the percentage of cavitation is particularly low and adenopathy is mainly observed in patients with profound immunodepression as indicated by a low CD4 count.¹¹ A first explanation for such atypical radiological data is that intrathoracic adenopathy or pleural effusion, which classically reflect a primary tuberculosis, result from a greater likelihood of severely immunocompromised patients to develop such primary tuberculosis. In fact, the validity of the relationship between, on the one hand,

intrathoracic adenopathy or pleural effusion and primary tuberculosis and, on the other, upper lobe infiltrates or cavitation and reactivated tuberculosis, has not been systematically evaluated in adults. In a recent study Jones *et al*, using molecular analysis of *M tuberculosis* isolated from patients with tuberculosis, identified a group of patients with a high probability of recent infection and another group with a high probability of reactivated infection, as suspected by identical or different molecular patterns, respectively.¹² Surprisingly, there were no significant differences in the occurrence of adenopathy, pleural effusion, or cavitation between the two groups of patients. In this study mediastinal and/or pleural effusion were significantly more common in HIV infected patients but this difference was also found in HIV infected patients with presumed recent infection and in those with presumed reactivated infection.¹² Finally, mediastinal adenopathy and pleural effusion could reflect not only a high frequency of recent infection, but also tuberculosis dissemination due to ineffective immunity. This last hypothesis is in agreement with the development of massive mediastinal adenopathy, diffuse pulmonary infiltrates, and absence of cavitation in rabbits highly susceptible to tuberculosis.¹³

Likewise, Jones *et al* showed that the frequent dissemination of tuberculosis observed in HIV infected patients and confirmed by extrapulmonary localisation and/or mycobacteraemia is clearly related to the degree of immunodepression.¹⁴ In a recent study by Markowitz *et al* the prevalence of a positive PPD test was similar in HIV positive patients with a CD4 count of $\geq 600/\text{mm}^3$ and HIV negative controls, but decreased dramatically in HIV positive patients as the CD4 cell count decreased.⁸

WHAT ARE THE PRACTICAL CONSEQUENCES OF THESE CHANGES FOR DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF TUBERCULOSIS IN HIV INFECTED PATIENTS?

Since the incidence of tuberculosis is increased in HIV infected patients, guidelines recommend that all patients with tuberculosis should be tested for HIV infection. In practice the compliance of physicians with this recommendation is incomplete, with some proposing that only patients with easily detectable risk factors for HIV infection should be tested. However, in a recent study by Barnes *et al* of 183 patients admitted to hospital with tuberculosis in Los Angeles, only 37% of the 150 HIV negative patients had no risk factor suggesting a low positive predictive value of specific interview.¹⁵ Moreover, in the same study Barnes *et al* compared the results of specific interviews with those of medical records in the 33 HIV positive patients. With specific interviews only three patients had no risk factor for HIV infection whereas systematic questioning found no risk factor in 17 patients. Clearly, the risk factors related to heterosexual transmission of HIV were inadequately documented, suggesting a low negative predictive value of systematic questioning.¹⁵ HIV testing should therefore be proposed for any patient with tuberculosis.

Since the expression of tuberculosis is frequently atypical in HIV infected patients, a practical problem is to diagnose the infection early in spite of these difficulties. In practice there are two situations. In HIV infected patients with a CD4 count of $>200/\text{mm}^3$ two kinds of pathogens are usually responsible for lung or pleural diseases: *M tuberculosis* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* or *Haemophilus influenzae*. Clinical and radiological data of tuberculosis are typical, the PPD test is frequently positive, and positive acid-fast smears in the sputum and typical granulomas are found as frequently as in non-immunocompromised patients.¹⁴ In this situation the diagnosis of tuberculosis is rapidly suspected and confirmed, even in patients with a normal chest radiograph. In contrast, in HIV infected patients with

a CD count of $<200/\text{mm}^3$ numerous infectious or non-infectious diseases may be the cause of lung disease, pleural disease, intrathoracic adenopathy, and even respiratory symptoms in patients with a normal chest radiograph. Clinical and radiological data of tuberculosis are atypical, the PPD test is usually negative but, if looked for, *M tuberculosis* is frequently found in respiratory specimens and in other specimens such as blood cultures.¹⁴ In this setting tuberculosis may be diagnosed only if systematically suspected.

Since HIV infection depresses the natural immune defences against tuberculosis, another practical problem is to determine whether the usual rifampicin-containing regimens are sufficient to cure tuberculosis and to prevent relapses in such patients. A retrospective study by Small *et al*¹⁶ and a prospective study by Jones *et al*¹⁷ evaluated six month and nine month standard self-administered continuous regimens in developed countries. With these standard regimens the percentages of failures and relapses were very low. Moreover, the failures or relapses were clearly related to non-adherence to the treatment. More recently two prospective studies by Chaisson *et al*¹⁸ and Perriens *et al*¹⁹ evaluated six or 12 month supervised intermittent regimens in developing countries. Chaisson *et al* showed that a six month intermittent regimen was equally effective at curing the disease and preventing relapses in HIV positive and HIV negative patients.¹⁸ Perriens *et al* also found no difference in rates of cure between HIV positive and HIV negative patients with a six month intermittent regimen. However, the recurrence rate was higher in HIV positive patients on six month intermittent treatment than in those on 12 month intermittent treatment, which suggests a minimum limit for duration of treatment.¹⁹ An illustration of this limit is given by the results of a recent trial presented at a recent American Thoracic Society meeting.²⁰ After an initial phase of eight weeks HIV positive and negative patients with tuberculosis were randomised to receive supervised treatment with either isoniazid and rifapentine once weekly or isoniazid and rifampicin twice weekly. Enrolment of patients in the study was closed due to the high rate of relapses in patients receiving isoniazid and rifapentine once weekly. Moreover, four of the five relapses observed with this regimen were due to rifamycin resistant *M tuberculosis*.

What are the changes in the natural history of HIV infection induced by tuberculosis?

DOES TUBERCULOSIS ACCELERATE THE COURSE OF HIV INFECTION?

In a retrospective cohort study Whalen *et al* compared the incidence of new opportunistic infections and survival in HIV infected patients with tuberculosis and HIV infected patients without tuberculosis but with a similar degree of immunodepression as determined by similar CD4 cell counts.²¹ In HIV infected patients with tuberculosis the incidence of new AIDS defining opportunistic infections was four per 100 person-months compared with 2.8 per 100 person-months in controls. Indeed, patients with tuberculosis tended to develop opportunistic infections at a slightly greater rate than control subjects over the same period. Moreover, a Kaplan-Meier analysis indicated that cases had a shorter survival time than control subjects. Furthermore, in a proportional hazards regression analysis active tuberculosis was associated with an increased risk of death, even taking into account age, intravenous drug use, baseline CD4 count, previous opportunistic infection, and anti-retroviral therapy.²¹ A European study by Perneger *et al*²² gave similar results. If tuberculosis accelerates the course of HIV infection, what are the mechanisms involved? We cannot exclude the possibility that tuberculo-

sis was not the cause but the result of more severe immunodepression, imperfectly reflected by the CD4 count only. One interesting hypothesis is that tuberculosis may increase HIV replication.

DOES TUBERCULOSIS INCREASE HIV REPLICATION?

In HIV infected patients with or without pulmonary tuberculosis, none of whom were receiving anti-retroviral treatment, Nakata *et al* investigated the in vivo effect on HIV replication of co-infection with *M. tuberculosis* using bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL).²³ In patients with pulmonary tuberculosis BAL was performed in segments radiographically involved as well as in segments not involved. The most striking result was the differences in lung viral burden of the patients. Those with tuberculosis had a tenfold increase in the viral burden in diseased segments compared with the viral burden in segments not affected by the disease or with patients without lung disease. These results suggest that local inflammation increases HIV replication. A significant correlation between tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- α concentrations and viral load in the BAL fluid was also reported, with a weaker correlation between IL-6 concentrations and viral load. These observations strongly suggest that *M. tuberculosis* stimulates lung cells to produce and release mediators such as cytokines which, in turn, stimulate local HIV replication.²³

Garrait *et al*²⁴ investigated the effects of the microenvironment generated in vivo by tuberculosis on in vitro HIV replication and found that: (1) pleural fluid from HIV negative patients with pleural tuberculosis stimulated HIV replication; (2) the capacity of pleural fluid from subjects with congestive heart failure to stimulate such replication was significantly lower; (3) anti-TNF- α and anti-IL-6 antibodies decreased this induced HIV replication; and (4) unstimulated lymphocytes of HIV negative patients with pleural tuberculosis could be infected with HIV without prior in vitro activation. Such results and those of Tanaka *et al* suggest that the microenvironment generated by tuberculosis increases the HIV burden in infected subjects, at least by local production of TNF- α and IL-6. Several other studies have been performed with BAL products of HIV infected patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. Rogers *et al* showed local increased expression of co-receptor CCR5 which is known to be a key for intracellular penetration of HIV.²⁵ Twigg *et al* demonstrated that BAL lymphocytes from severely immunocompromised co-infected patients produced a mediator facilitating HIV infection of normal macrophages.²⁶ Finally, Tanaka *et al* found mutations of HIV from lung segments infected with tuberculosis, which probably reflects enhanced rounds of local replication.²³

WHAT ARE THE PRACTICAL CONSEQUENCES OF THESE CHANGES FOR PREVENTION OF TUBERCULOSIS AND MODULATION OF ANTI-RETROVIRAL TREATMENT?

If we consider that tuberculosis increases HIV replication and accelerates the course of HIV infection, treatment should have two goals: to prevent tuberculosis in HIV infected patients and to control HIV replication in patients with tuberculosis.

Several randomised trials of chemoprophylaxis of tuberculosis have been carried out. We know that (a) continuous self-administered prophylaxis with isoniazid (300 mg daily for 6–12 months) is effective in preventing tuberculosis in HIV infected patients with a positive PPD test^{5, 27, 28}; (b) other intermittent partially supervised multidrug prophylaxis administered during a shorter period is as effective as isoniazid in co-infected patients;^{29, 30} and (c) in developing countries no significant effectiveness is observed with such

prophylaxis compared with placebo in HIV infected patients with a negative PPD test or anergy.^{29, 31} Moreover, in patients with a positive PPD test, prophylaxis with isoniazid delayed a fatal outcome.²⁷ Such a beneficial effect indirectly confirms the deleterious role played by tuberculosis in the course of HIV infection. However, it should be noted that this protective effect in patients with a positive PPD test is mainly observed during the period of drug administration. This is not surprising if we consider that any of the prophylactic drugs used can eradicate the whole population of *M. tuberculosis* in patients with previous infection or prevent a subsequent exogenous tuberculous reinfection. Consequently, in the study by Hasley *et al*,²⁹ as in others,^{28, 30} new cases of tuberculosis were observed very soon after prophylaxis was discontinued.

Finally, if we consider that tuberculosis increases the HIV burden and that protease inhibitors are the most effective drugs against HIV replication, protease inhibitors should be administered in HIV infected patients with active tuberculosis.

What are the changes induced by highly effective anti-retroviral therapy in the history of tuberculosis in HIV infected patients?

DO HIV PROTEASE INHIBITORS DECREASE THE INCIDENCE OF TUBERCULOSIS IN HIV INFECTED PATIENTS?

In the French clinical epidemiology database of 54 614 HIV positive patients followed up in hospital, Costagliola *et al* compared the incidences of the main opportunistic infections between the first half of 1996 and the second half of 1997.³² During this period, which corresponds to the early and extensive use of protease inhibitors in France, a dramatic reduction in the incidence of *Pneumocystis*, *Toxoplasma* and cytomegalovirus infections was observed. The incidence of tuberculosis was also reduced, but to a lesser degree (from 11 (1) per 1000 patient years in the first half of 1996 to 5.5 (0.6) in the second half of 1997). Protease inhibitors act at the level of immunodepression but not on the degree of exposure to *M. tuberculosis*. It should be noted that in this French cohort, as well as in a German cohort of homosexual men,³³ no major change in the incidence of tuberculosis was observed before 1996 in spite of the use of reverse transcriptase inhibitors, underlying the major role played by protease inhibitors.³²

DOES INITIATION OF HIV PROTEASE INHIBITOR INCREASE SYMPTOMS AND SIGNS OF TUBERCULOSIS?

Race *et al* recently reported five cases of HIV infected patients with a high viral load admitted to hospital with fever, abdominal pain, and lymph node enlargement 6–20 days after starting treatment with indinavir. In all cases biopsy samples of lymph nodes showed an unsuspected infection with *M. avium* complex with an intense granulomatous reaction. Four of the five patients had an increased absolute lymphocyte count at admission compared with the baseline count before starting indinavir. In all patients in whom they were measured the absolute number of CD4 T lymphocytes was also increased with indinavir treatment. Immunophenotyping performed in two patients showed that most of these CD4 lymphocytes were memory T cells.³⁴

Similar paradoxical worsening was recently reported in patients with AIDS and tuberculosis. Narita reviewed the incidence of paradoxical responses in such patients treated with combination anti-retroviral therapy compared with patients with tuberculosis but without HIV infection and with patients with tuberculosis and HIV infection but not receiving anti-retroviral therapy.³⁵ Paradoxical responses occurred much more frequently in the first group (36%) than in the other two groups (2% and 7%, respectively).

These paradoxical responses consisted of fevers, cervical and/or intrathoracic lymphadenopathy, a worsening chest radiographic appearance (pulmonary infiltrates, miliary infiltrates, pleural effusions) and/or worsening of extrapulmonary tuberculous lesions (cutaneous and peritoneal). Clearly, these paradoxical responses were temporally more related to the initiation of anti-retroviral therapy (mean (SD) 15 (11) days) than to the initiation of anti-tuberculosis therapy (mean (SD) 109 (72) days), and were associated with an increase in the absolute number of CD4 T lymphocytes and a restoration of PPD reactivity in previously anergic HIV infected patients.³⁵ Such paradoxical worsening of tuberculosis has been reported by others^{36, 37} and we have observed it to be associated with an intense granulomatous reaction (data not shown). These clinical and biological findings could result from a rapid improvement in *M. tuberculosis*-specific immunity after combination anti-retroviral therapy. They probably reflect an early increase in memory CD4 T cells which is a composite of initial redistribution of T cells³⁸ during the first of the three phases of T cell reconstitution demonstrated after highly active anti-retroviral therapy.³⁹ These findings should be distinguished from the pulmonary granulomatous reaction observed a long time after introduction of protease inhibitors during the last phase of T cell reconstitution.⁴⁰

WHAT ARE THE PRACTICAL CONSEQUENCES OF THESE CHANGES FOR SIMULTANEOUS TREATMENT OF AIDS AND TUBERCULOSIS?

Theoretically, if we consider that tuberculosis increases the HIV burden and accelerates the course of HIV infection, protease inhibitors should be administered simultaneously with antituberculous drugs. Conversely, if we consider that rapid immune reconstitution may cause an acute presentation of tuberculosis, protease inhibitors should not be administered at the beginning of specific treatment. Further studies are required to determine if, in patients who have not previously received protease inhibitors, a period of time should elapse between starting antituberculosis treatment and initiation of protease inhibitors.

If protease inhibitors should be given, can they be easily administered? As discussed above, only rifamycin-containing regimens are clearly effective in curing tuberculosis in HIV infected patients. Unfortunately, rifampicin accelerates the metabolism of protease inhibitors and dramatically decreases their plasma levels, resulting in an increased risk of HIV resistance with these drugs. For this reason, rifampicin cannot be given with protease inhibitors. Rifabutin, which is as effective as rifampicin in treating tuberculosis in HIV positive and HIV negative patients,⁴¹⁻⁴³ produces a less significant decrease in plasma levels of protease inhibitors. In addition, protease inhibitors slow down the metabolism of rifamycin derivatives resulting in increased plasma levels with potentially increased toxicity. Consequently, when administered with a protease inhibitor the dose of rifabutin should be decreased by 50%.

Because of all these uncertainties, official guidelines propose several options for treating tuberculosis in HIV infected patients⁴⁴: (1) treatment with a protease inhibitor to start at the end of a six month period of treatment with a regimen including rifampicin; (2) administration of a protease inhibitor for 18 months with a non-rifamycin containing regimen or administration of a rifampicin containing regimen for six months with a non-protease inhibitor containing anti-retroviral treatment; (3) co-administration of a protease inhibitor containing tri-therapy during the whole period of standard antituberculosis therapy in which rifabutin is substituted for rifampicin. In order to avoid or bypass drug interactions when using the

third treatment option, administration of rifabutin at a dose of 150 mg/day, the protease inhibitor indinavir (or nelfinavir) at a dose of 1000 mg three times daily, and the choice of reverse transcriptase inhibitors must take into account the numerous drug interactions⁴⁵ such as those observed with zidovudine and pyrazinamide, zalcitabine and isoniazid, or nevirapine and rifampicin.⁴⁶ This last option seems the best with regard to both tuberculosis and HIV infection but remains to be validated by pharmacokinetic studies⁴⁷ and clinical trials.

Conclusions

HIV infection increases the incidence and modifies the clinical expression of tuberculosis, mainly in patients with severe immunodepression. Tuberculosis increases HIV replication and accelerates the course of HIV infection. There are effective preventive and curative treatments of tuberculosis in HIV infected patients. However, in any one country, their use must take into account the prevalence of tuberculosis, the foreseeable compliance of patients, and the local resources. The best strategy for administration of antituberculous drugs and protease inhibitors in co-infected patients remains to be determined.

C MAYAUD
J CADRANEL

Service de Pneumologie et de Réanimation Respiratoire,
Hôpital Tenon,
4 rue de la Chine,
75020 Paris,
France

Correspondence to: Professor C. Mayaud.

- 1 Shafer R, Edlin B. Tuberculosis in patients infected with HIV: perspective on the past decade. *Clin Infect Dis* 1996;22:683-704.
- 2 Nunn PP, Elliott AM, McAdam KP. Impact of human immunodeficiency virus on tuberculosis in developing countries. *Thorax* 1994;49:511-8.
- 3 European Centre for the Epidemiological Monitoring of AIDS. HIV/AIDS surveillance in Europe. Quarterly report no. 58, June 1998.
- 4 Selwyn PA, Hartel D, Lewis VA, et al. A prospective study of the risk of tuberculosis among drug users with HIV infection. *N Engl J Med* 1989;320:545-60.
- 5 Selwyn PA, Sckell BM, Alcapes P, et al. High risk of active tuberculosis in HIV infected drug users with cutaneous anergy. *JAMA* 1992;268:504-9.
- 6 Daley C, Small PM, Schecter G, et al. An outbreak of tuberculosis with accelerated progression among persons infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. *N Engl J Med* 1992;326:231-5.
- 7 Small PM, Shafer RW, Hopewell PC, et al. Exogenous reinfection with multi-drug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in patients with advanced HIV infection. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328:1137-44.
- 8 Markowitz N, Hansen NI, Hopewell PC, et al. Incidence of tuberculosis in the United States among HIV infected persons. *Ann Intern Med* 1997;126:123-32.
- 9 Sudre P, Hirschel B, Toscani L, and the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Risk factors for tuberculosis among HIV infected patients in Switzerland. *Eur Respir J* 1996;9:279-83.
- 10 Pozniak AL, MacLeod GA, Ndlovu D, et al. Clinical and chest radiographic features of tuberculosis associated with HIV in Zimbabwe. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;152:1558-61.
- 11 Perlman DC, El Sadr WM, Nelson ET, et al. Variation of chest radiographic patterns in pulmonary tuberculosis by degree of HIV-related immunodepression. *Clin Infect Dis* 1997;25:242-6.
- 12 Jones BE, Ryu R, Yang Z, et al. Chest radiographic findings in patients with tuberculosis with recent or remote infection. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;156:1270-3.
- 13 Lurie MB. Experimental epidemiology of tuberculosis. Hereditary resistance to attack by tuberculosis and to the ensuing disease and the effect of the concentration of tubercle bacilli on these two phases. *J Exp Med* 1944;79:573-89.
- 14 Jones BE, Young SM, Antoniskis D, et al. Relationship of the manifestations of tuberculosis to CD4 cell counts in patients with human immunodeficiency virus. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993;148:1292-7.
- 15 Barnes PF, Silva C, Otaia M. Testing for human immunodeficiency virus infection in patients with tuberculosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;153:1448-50.
- 16 Small PM, Schecter GP, Goodman PC, et al. Treatment of tuberculosis in patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 1991;324:289-94.
- 17 Jones BE, Otaia M, Antoniskis D, et al. A prospective evaluation of anti-tuberculosis therapy in patients with HIV infection. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994;150:1499-502.
- 18 Chaisson RE, Clermont HC, Holt EA, et al. Six-month supervised intermittent tuberculosis therapy in Haitian patients with or without HIV infection. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;154:1034-8.
- 19 Perriens JH, St Louis ME, Mukadi YB, et al. Pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV infected patients in Zaire: a controlled trial of treatment for either six or twelve months. *N Engl J Med* 1995;332:779-84.
- 20 Vernon A, Khan A, Bozeman L, and the USPHS Rifampentine Trial Group. Update on US Public Health Service Study 22: a trial of once weekly isoniazid and rifampentine in the continuation phase of tuberculosis treatment. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;157(Suppl):A467.

- 21 Whalen C, Horsburgh R, Hom D, *et al.* Accelerated course of human immunodeficiency virus infection after tuberculosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;151:129–35.
- 22 Perneger TV, Sudre P, Lundgren JD, and the AIDS in Europe Study Group. Does the onset of tuberculosis in AIDS predict shorter survival? Results of a cohort study in 17 European countries over 13 years. *BMJ* 1995;311:1468–71.
- 23 Nakata K, Rom WN, Honda Y, *et al.* *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* enhances HIV-1 replication in the lung. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;155:996–1003.
- 24 Garrait V, Cadranet J, Even P, *et al.* Tuberculosis induces the in vivo production of cytokines which support HIV replication. *J Immunol* 1997;156:1450–5.
- 25 Rogers L, Rom WN, Honda Y, *et al.* Mycobacterial infection upregulates CCR5 expression on monocytes and alveolar macrophages. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;157(Suppl):A574.
- 26 Twigg HL, Soliman DM, Day B, *et al.* Lung lymphocytes from HIV infected subjects with late stage disease fail to protect alveolar macrophages and monocyte-derived macrophages from infection by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;157(Suppl):A572.
- 27 Pape J, Jean S, Ho J, *et al.* Effect of isoniazid prophylaxis on incidence of active tuberculosis and progression of HIV infection. *Lancet* 1993;342:268–72.
- 28 Guelar A, Gatell JM, Verdejo J, *et al.* A prospective study of the risk of tuberculosis among HIV infected patients. *AIDS* 1993;7:1345–9.
- 29 Hasley NA, Coberly JS, Desormeaux J, *et al.* Randomised trial of isoniazid versus rifampicin and pyrazinamide for prevention of tuberculosis in HIV-1 infection. *Lancet* 1998;351:786–92.
- 30 Whalen CC, Johnson JZ, Okwera A, *et al.* A trial of three regimens to prevent tuberculosis in Ugandan adults infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:801–8.
- 31 Gordin FM, Matts JP, Miller C, *et al.* A controlled trial of isoniazid in persons with anergy and HIV infection who are at high risk for tuberculosis. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:315–20.
- 32 Centre Coopérateur de Données Épidémiologiques sur l'Immunodéficience Humaine (B3E-INSERM SC4). Retour d'informations clinico-épidémiologiques no. 5, août 1998.
- 33 Brodt HR, Kamps BS, Gute P, *et al.* Changing incidence of AIDS-defining illnesses in the era of antiretroviral combination therapy. *AIDS* 1997;11:1731–8.
- 34 Race EM, Adelson-Mitty J, Kriegel GR, *et al.* Focal mycobacterial lymphadenitis following initiation of protease inhibitor therapy in patients with advanced HIV-1 disease. *Lancet* 1998;351:252–5.
- 35 Narita M, Ashkin D, Hollender ES, *et al.* Paradoxical worsening of tuberculosis following antiretroviral therapy in patients with AIDS. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;158:157–61.
- 36 Crump JA, Tyrer MJ, Lloyd-Owen SJ, *et al.* Miliary tuberculosis with paradoxical expansion of intracranial tuberculomas complicating human immunodeficiency virus infection in a patient receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis* 1998;26:1008–9.
- 37 Philips P, Zala C, Rouleau D, *et al.* Mycobacterial lymphadenitis: can highly active antiretroviral therapy unmask subclinical infection? In: *4th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections: Program and Abstracts* 1997; A351.
- 38 Pakker NG, Notermans DW, De Boer RJ, *et al.* Biphasic kinetics of peripheral blood T cells after triple combination therapy in HIV infection: a composite of redistribution and proliferation. *Nature Med* 1998;4:208–14.
- 39 Autran B, Carcelain G, Li TS, *et al.* Positive effects of combined antiretroviral therapy on CD4+ T cell homeostasis and function in advanced HIV disease. *Science* 1997;277:112–6.
- 40 Naccache JM, Antoine M, Wisley M, *et al.* Sarcoid-like pulmonary disorder in HIV infected patients receiving antiretroviral therapy. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999 (in press).
- 41 Schwander S, Rüsch-Gerdes S, Mateega A, *et al.* A pilot study of antituberculosis combinations comparing rifabutin and rifampicin in the treatment of HIV-1 associated tuberculosis. *Tubercle Lung Dis* 1995;76:210–8.
- 42 Gonzalez-Montaner LJ, Natal S, Yongchaiyud P, and the Rifabutin Study Group. Rifabutin for the treatment of newly-diagnosed pulmonary tuberculosis: a multinational, randomized, comparative study versus rifampicin. *Tubercle Lung Dis* 1994;75:341–7.
- 43 McGregor MM, Olliaro P, Wolmarans L, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of rifabutin in the treatment of patients with newly diagnosed pulmonary tuberculosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;154:1462–7.
- 44 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Clinical update: impact of HIV protease inhibitors on the treatment of HIV infected tuberculosis patients with rifampin. *MMWR* 1996;45:921–5.
- 45 Daley CL. Current issues in the pathogenesis and management of HIV-related tuberculosis. In: Volberding P, Jacobson M, eds. *AIDS clinical review* 1997/1998. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1998; 289–321.
- 46 Robinson P, Lamson M, Gigliotti M, *et al.* Pharmacokinetic interaction between nevirapine and rifampin. In: *12th World AIDS Conference: Program and Abstracts*. 1988; A60.
- 47 Sahai J, Gallicano K, Swick L, *et al.* Reduced plasma concentrations of antituberculosis drugs in patients with HIV infection. *Ann Intern Med* 1997;127:289–93.