Exertional dyspnoea in patients with airway obstruction, with and without CO₂ retention

S G M Cloosterman, I D Hofland, C P van Schayck, H Th M Folgering

Abstract

Background—Dyspnoea is a common and disabling symptom in patients with cardiopulmonary disease. Unfortunately the mechanisms that produce dyspnoea are still poorly understood. The relationship between dyspnoea and the load on the ventilatory muscles, chemical drive, and ventilatory indices was therefore assessed in patients with obstructive pulmonary disease during an incremental exercise test.

Methods—Fifty patients with a wide range of obstructive pulmonary disease (mean forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) 66.1 (28.8)% predicted) performed an incremental cycle ergometer test. A subdivision was made between subjects with CO₂ retention ($\Delta\text{Paco}_2 \geq 0$, n = 22) and subjects without CO₂ retention ($\Delta\text{Paco}_2 < 0$, n = 28) during exercise. During the test dyspnoea (Borg score), oesophageal pressures (mechanical load on the ventilatory muscles (time tension index (TTI)), blood gas tensions, and minute ventilation were measured. Correlations for changes in mechanical and chemical factors with changes in dyspnoea score were calculated to assess relevant factors. An analysis of covariance was used to examine whether there was a relationship between dyspnoea score and each of these factors and whether this relationship was different between the subgroups with and without CO₂ retention. Multiple regression analysis was used to assess the independent effect of each parameter on dyspnoea sensation. Furthermore, the amplitude of pleural pressure swing ((Pi + Pe)act) generated at maximal work load (Ptot, an indication of the load on all respiratory muscles) was calculated. Analysis of covariance was used to assess whether there was a relationship between tidal volume (Vt) and Ptot and whether this relationship was different between the groups (slopes are an expression of the length-tension inappropriateness, LTI).

Results—In the total group and the group without CO₂ retention a significant correlation between dyspnoea and the increase in the inspiratory time tension index (TTI) was present. In the group with CO₂ retention a significant correlation was seen between dyspnoea and ΔPaco_2 . The factors ΔPao_2 , $\Delta\text{VE\%MVV}$ and $\Delta(\text{Vt/Ti})$ showed a correlation with a p value of ≤ 0.10 both in the total group and in those

without CO₂ retention. In an analysis of covariance the relationship between dyspnoea score and ΔPaco_2 appeared to be significantly different between the two subgroups, being more pronounced in the group with CO₂ retention. No other relationships with change in dyspnoea score were found. There was no significant relationship between Vt and Ptot in the total group nor in the two subgroups, indicating some length-tension inappropriateness in both groups.

Conclusion—In patients with distinctive pulmonary disease who are normocapnic or hypocapnic the mechanical load (ATTI) and length-tension inappropriateness (LTI) on ventilatory muscles seem to be the main determinant of exertional dyspnoea. As soon as hypercapnia occurs, this seems to override all other inputs for dyspnoea.

(Thorax 1998;53:768-774)

Keywords: dyspnoea; exercise; hypercapnia; respiratory muscles

Dyspnoea is a common and disabling symptom in patients with cardiopulmonary diseases. Unfortunately the mechanisms that produce dyspnoea are poorly understood.¹ When we know more about these mechanisms, treatment to diminish dyspnoea might then be improved. Previous assumptions that dyspnoea represents perception from a single receptor have gradually been replaced by the concept that dyspnoea subsumes many sensations from several receptor mechanisms.²⁻⁵ Consequently, it is important to analyse further what factors and mechanisms, and their possible interactions, play a role in the sensation of dyspnoea. Many indices may contribute to the sensation of dyspnoea during physical exercise including central ventilatory drive, hypercapnia, hypoxia, metabolic acidosis and mechanical load on the ventilatory muscles.⁶⁻⁹ Most previous studies have focused on the relationship between either dyspnoea and mechanical variables or dyspnoea and chemical drive, but not on both relationships nor on their interaction. Furthermore, the findings of these studies are, to some extent, controversial.¹⁰⁻¹² In this study patients with obstructive pulmonary diseases, with and without CO₂ retention during exercise, were investigated. A subdivision was made to study the possible different stimuli or processes that lead to the sensation of exertional dyspnoea in different pulmonary groups. Factors that differ in these subgroups of patients might be of mechanical and/or chemical origin.

Department of
General Practice and
Social Medicine
S G M Cloosterman
I D Hofland
C P van Schayck

Department of
Pulmonology
H Th M Folgering

University of
Nijmegen, P O Box
9101, 6500 HB
Nijmegen, The
Netherlands

Correspondence to:
Dr S G M Cloosterman.

Received 27 May 1997
Returned to authors
18 September 1997
Revised version received
8 December 1997
Accepted for publication
30 March 1998

Figure 1 Schematic view of the stimuli which are thought to lead to the sensation of dyspnoea.

In normal subjects the arterial PaCO_2 decreases in the course of a maximal incremental exercise test. This is not so in patients with abnormal respiratory mechanics. In these patients the ventilatory pump does not keep up with the metabolic needs of the body, causing PaCO_2 to rise and PaO_2 to fall.¹³⁻¹⁵ As a consequence the changes in blood gas tensions may add to the sensation of dyspnoea. Furthermore, in normal subjects an increase in ventilation is mainly achieved by increasing the activity of inspiratory muscles.⁷ An increase in the activity of inspiratory muscles also occurs in patients but, in contrast to normal subjects, the functional residual capacity (FRC) also increases during exercise in patients with obstructive lung disease.¹⁶ Consequently, this will impose an even greater tonic load on these inspiratory muscles so a higher neural drive will be needed and, in addition, higher pressures will need to be generated for lower tidal volumes. At the same time, in subjects with airflow limitation the load on the expiratory muscles increases disproportionately when ventilation is increasing. When a disproportional increase in inspiratory and expiratory muscle loads occurs, the relationship between the length of the muscle and the tension ("load") generated within the muscles deviates from normal and becomes "inappropriate".^{7, 17} This length-tension inappropriateness and the load on the inspiratory and expiratory muscles may

also be relevant factors in the sensation of dyspnoea in these patients. A parameter for the mechanical load on the ventilatory muscles is called the tension time index (TTI)¹⁸ and is measured with an oesophageal balloon. Traditionally, this parameter is calculated for the inspiratory muscle load (TTIi).¹⁸⁻²⁰ To our knowledge the role of the load of expiratory muscles in the sensation of dyspnoea has not previously been evaluated.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the extent to which exertional dyspnoea (measured with the modified Borg scale²¹) is correlated with the chemical drive (PaCO_2 , PaO_2 and metabolic acidosis), the load on the ventilatory muscles (TTIi, TTIe, length-tension inappropriateness, work), and ventilatory indices (VE, VT), or a combination of these factors. All measurements were recorded during an incremental cycle ergometer test performed by 50 patients with a wide range of obstructive pulmonary diseases. Patients were subdivided into those with carbon dioxide retention ($\Delta\text{PaCO}_2 \geq 0$) and those without ($\Delta\text{PaCO}_2 \leq 0$) during exercise. In this way the subjects with a minimal airflow limitation were separated from those with more severe airflow limitation. It is possible that qualitatively different sensations lead to the perception of dyspnoea in the two groups which might enable us to evaluate the mechanical and chemical aspects more distinctly. Figure 1 shows our hypothesis for the steps leading from central motor output to alveolar ventilation and the concomitant results in blood gas tensions are presented schematically. The various physiological parameters measured in this study are also shown.⁵

Methods

SUBJECTS

Fifty patients with obstructive pulmonary disease of a wide range of severity (chronic asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, COPD) were selected for the study. Patients performed an incremental exercise test during examination before pulmonary rehabilitation. Data from these tests were used in this study. The characteristics of the subjects are given in table 1. Patients were subdivided into two groups based on the presence or absence of CO_2 retention during exercise ($\Delta\text{PaCO}_2 \geq 0$ and $\Delta\text{PaCO}_2 < 0$, respectively). All subjects performed an incremental cycle ergometer test, the load being increased every minute by 10% of estimated maximal work (Wmax).²² The patients were strongly encouraged to perform maximally during the test. Ventilatory indices were measured with a mixing chamber ergo-spirometer (Oxycon IV, Mijnhardt, Bunnik, The Netherlands) which was calibrated before every experiment with two gas mixtures and a three litre calibration syringe. Maximal voluntary ventilation (MVV) was estimated by multiplying FEV_1 by 37.5.

BLOOD GAS TENSIONS

Blood was sampled from an indwelling catheter in the brachial artery before the start of the ergometer test and then every three minutes at

Table 1 Mean (SD) characteristics of patients and *p* value of differences between the two groups

	CO_2 retention (<i>n</i> = 22)	No CO_2 retention (<i>n</i> = 28)	<i>p</i> value
Age (years)	54.5 (14.8)	50.3 (13.9)	0.305
Height (m)	1.69 (0.11)	1.72 (0.10)	0.264
Sex (M/F)*	(11/11)	(18/10)	0.310
Weight (kg)	69.3 (12.3)	72.9 (15.8)	0.387
FEV_1 (%pred)	46.7 (21.7)	81.3 (24.3)	0.000
VE (%MVV)	25 (11.3)	13.5 (8.9)	0.000
IVC (%pred)	80.7 (17.1)	92.8 (19.8)	0.029
TLC (%pred)	97.5 (17.8)	94.5 (18.6)	0.581
RV (%pred)	135.9 (47.8)	105.0 (50.4)	0.034
FEV_1/VC (%pred)	58.0 (20.1)	91.1 (19.6)	0.000
RV/TLC (%pred)	131.0 (25.2)	103.2 (32.8)	0.002
Pimax (kPa)	7.48 (1.27)	7.62 (3.40)	0.837
Pemax (kPa)	11.21 (3.71)	10.66 (3.85)	0.616
Wmax (Watt)	84.31 (52.9)	138.0 (69.7)	0.004

FEV_1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; VE = minute ventilation; MVV = maximal voluntary ventilation; IVC = inspiratory vital capacity; TLC = total lung capacity; RV = residual volume; Pimax, Pemax = maximum inspiratory and expiratory pressures; Wmax = maximal work load. Differences between groups analysed by *t* test or χ^2 test.

Figure 2 Recording of oesophageal pressures during an incremental exercise test in a patient with COPD. Poes scale, calibration +1 and -1 kPa.

maximal exercise and three minutes after maximal exercise. In this way P_{aO_2} , P_{aCO_2} and base excess (BE) were measured.

REPRODUCIBILITY OF CO_2 RETENTION

All subjects who performed a second incremental cycle ergometer test within one year were included in a small reproducibility study in which it was again assessed whether or not patients had CO_2 retention at maximal work load. The percentage agreement between the two tests was calculated using 2×2 tables, the sum of the concordant observations being divided by the total pairs of observations (concordant pairs plus discordant pairs) and multiplied by 100%.

DYSPNOEA AND LEG EFFORT

Perceived dyspnoea and leg effort were measured separately using the modified Borg scale.²¹ The perceived sensation was matched with a number from 0 to 10 on a logarithmic scale; descriptive expressions were anchored to specific numbers.

To measure perceived dyspnoea (dyspnoea score) the subjects were asked the following question: "How difficult is it to breathe at this moment, do you get enough air?" According to Elliott *et al* these descriptors are spontaneously chosen by a large proportion of patients with COPD (65%).²³

To measure the perceived leg effort (leg score) the subjects were asked the following question: "How difficult is it to move your legs at this moment?"

MECHANICAL LOAD ON THE VENTILATORY MUSCLES

An oesophageal balloon was inserted through the nose with the tip 40 cm from the nares. Oesophageal pressures were recorded continuously during the test (fig 2), though the paper speed was only increased during rest and was maximal for calculation of TTI. From these recordings the mechanical load on the ventilatory muscles at rest and during maximal exercise was assessed in several ways.

Firstly, by calculating the time tension index (TTI).¹⁸ The oesophageal P_{imax} and P_{emax} were assessed by maximal Valsalva and Müller manoeuvres from residual volume (RV) and total lung capacity (TLC), respectively, before the start of the test. In this way the TTI could be calculated at rest and during maximal exercise by the following equation:

$$TTI = (P_{iact}/P_{imax}) \times (T_i/T_{tot})$$

where P_{iact} (P_{eact}) = actual pleural pressure during tidal breathing, P_{imax} (P_{emax}) = maximal inspiratory or expiratory pressure, T_i (T_e) = time of inspiration or expiration, and T_{tot} = total time of the respiratory cycle. TTI was calculated both for the inspiratory and expiratory pressures (TTI_i and TTI_e). An increase in this parameter is proportional to the increase in the mechanical load on the respiratory muscles.

Secondly, the total pleural pressure swing generated at rest and W_{max} ($P_i + P_e$) was calculated for one tidal breath (P_{tot}). This factor gives an indication of the forces generated by the inspiratory and expiratory muscles during one tidal breath at rest and W_{max} . Furthermore, this total pressure swing was also calculated relative to the maximal amplitude that was achieved when adding P_{imax} and P_{emax} . P_{tot} was divided by $P_{imax} + P_{emax}$ and expressed as a percentage ($P_{tot}\%$).

$$P_{tot} = (P_{iact} + P_{eact})$$

$$P_{tot}\% = (P_{iact} + P_{eact}) / (P_{imax} + P_{emax})$$

Furthermore, an indication of the work delivered by the ventilatory muscles at rest and W_{max} was achieved by multiplying the total amplitude/pressure swing (P_{tot}) at W_{max} with the tidal volume both at rest and W_{max} ($P_{tot} \times V_T$).

Finally, to get an indication of the velocity of the contraction, tidal volume was divided by the time in which this volume is inspired (V_T/T_i). Dividing the generated inspiratory pressure by the time in which it is generated gives an indication of the respiratory drive (P_i/T_i).

LENGTH-TENSION INAPPROPRIATENESS

The actual volume displacement per unit of pressure generated was analysed by analysis of covariance. In this analysis ΔV_T was the dependent variable and ΔP_{tot} the independent variable. Another variable was included to fit the two groups and an interaction term between this group variable and P_{tot} was also included, resulting in the following model:

$$\Delta V_T = \beta_0 \times \text{intercept} + \beta_1 \times \text{group} + \beta_2 \times \Delta P_{tot} + \beta_3 \times \text{group} \times \Delta P_{tot}$$

A significant interaction term (β_3) would imply a different relationship in one group compared with the other. A smaller slope (β_2) means more length-tension inappropriateness.

Table 2 Mean (SD) changes (Δ) between maximum work load and at rest for the two groups with and without CO₂ retention independently. *p* values (*t* test) are given for the differences between the two groups

	CO ₂ retention (<i>n</i> = 22)	No CO ₂ retention (<i>n</i> = 28)	<i>p</i> value
Δ VE (l/min)	31.4 (15.7)	59.5 (24.8)	0.000
Δ VE%MVV (%)	64.2 (18.2)	60.4 (17.0)	0.447
Δ V _T (l)	0.54 (0.33)	1.27 (0.59)	0.000
Δ Paco ₂ (kPa)	0.48 (0.33)	-0.50 (0.35)	0.000
Δ Pao ₂ (kPa)	-1.26 (1.51)	0.63 (2.03)	0.000
Δ BE (mol/l)	-5.25 (1.94)	-6.79 (3.10)	0.037
Δ TTi	0.039 (0.052)	0.067 (0.066)	0.100
Δ TTIe	0.079 (0.083)	0.056 (0.066)	0.310
Δ Ptot (kPa)	3.75 (1.98)	3.13 (1.43)	0.204
Δ Ptot% (%)	20.7 (10.3)	18.7 (11.0)	0.525
Δ Work (mW)	5.09 (2.81)	7.38 (4.58)	0.123
Δ (V _T /Ti) (l/s)	2.86 (1.54)	3.54 (1.71)	0.151
Δ (Pi/Ti) (kPa/s)	6.52 (3.64)	4.53 (2.27)	0.031
Δ Dyspnoea score	5.86 (2.61)	5.91 (2.10)	0.945
Δ Leg score	5.86 (2.30)	7.25 (2.19)	0.036

VE = minute ventilation; V_T = tidal volume; TTI, TTIe = inspiratory and expiratory time tension index; Ptot = total pressure of the respiratory cycle; Ti = inspiratory time; Pi = inspiratory pressure.

Table 3 Subjects with and without CO₂ retention during the first and the second test.

Test 1	Test 2		
	CO ₂ retention -	CO ₂ retention +	
CO ₂ retention -	11	3	14
CO ₂ retention +	1	13	14
Total	12	16	28

There were 24 concordant pairs of a total of 28 pairs, resulting in an agreement of 85.7%.

This parameter is similar to the “neuroventilatory coupling” that was proposed by O’Donnell²⁴ or the “length-tension inappropriateness” by Campbell.¹⁷ This possible length-tension inappropriateness is also a mechanical factor that can lead to the sensation of dyspnoea.

STATISTICAL METHODS

All parameters were measured at the start of the test and at maximal exercise. Changes (Δ) were calculated by subtracting the resting values from those at Wmax. Pearson correlations were calculated between changes in the dyspnoea score and Δ TTI, Δ TTIe, Δ Pao₂, Δ Paco₂, Δ BE, Δ V_T, Δ VE, Δ VE%MVV, Δ Ptot, Δ Work, Δ (V_T/Ti), Δ (Pi/Ti), and Δ Leg score.

Table 4 Correlations between changes in dyspnoea score and changes in other indices for the total group and for the two subgroups independently

	Pearson correlation coefficient		
	Total (<i>n</i> = 50)	Without CO ₂ (<i>n</i> = 28)	With CO ₂ (<i>n</i> = 22)
Δ Pao ₂	-0.1416	-0.2577**	-0.0487
Δ Paco ₂	0.1135	-0.0378	0.4951*
Δ TTi	-0.2272*	-0.3143*	-0.1467
Δ TTIe	0.0614	0.0619	0.0652
Δ BE	-0.0214	0.0864	-0.1976
Δ VE%MVV	0.2068**	0.1690	0.2490
Δ VE	0.0248	0.1043	-0.1066
Δ Work	0.0663	0.0109	0.2267
Δ Ptot	0.1502	0.1124	0.1922
Δ V _T	-0.0351	-0.0787	-0.0303
Δ (V _T /Ti)	0.2019**	0.2044	0.2057
Δ (Pi/Ti)	0.1735	0.0685	0.2658
Δ Ptot%	0.0132	-0.1396	0.1741

Pao₂, Paco₂ = arterial oxygen and carbon dioxide tension; TTI, TTIe = inspiratory and expiratory time tension index; BE = base excess; VE = minute ventilation; MVV = maximal voluntary ventilation; Ptot = total amplitude-pressure; V_T = tidal volume; Ti = inspiratory time; Pi = inspiratory pressure. **p* ≤ 0.05; ***p* ≤ 0.01.

Δ Ptot%, Δ Work, Δ (V_T/Ti), and Δ (Pi/Ti). Parameters that correlated (*p* ≤ 0.10, “relevant factors”) with a change in dyspnoea were further analysed by means analysis of covariance (SAS statistical package) to assess whether this relationship was different between the two subgroups using the following model:

Change in dyspnoea score = $\beta_0 \times \text{intercept} + \beta_1 \times \text{group} + \beta_2 \times \Delta$ relevant factor + $\beta_3 \times \text{group} \times \Delta$ relevant factor.

A significant interaction term (β_3) implies that the regression slope coefficient differs between the groups.

Multiple linear regression was used, both for the total group and the two subgroups independently, with change in dyspnoea score as the dependent variable and the assessed relevant factors as independent variables. The backward elimination procedure was used to assess which variables should be included in the model.

All variables were tested for normal distribution. The level of significance was set at *p* ≤ 0.05.

Results

EXERCISE TEST

All 50 patients completed the incremental exercise test. Changes in blood gas values and ventilatory indices during the test are shown in table 2. Twenty eight patients had no CO₂ retention during the test and 22 did have CO₂ retention. In the group without CO₂ retention a VE (%MVV) of 73.9% was reached, whereas the patients with CO₂ retention had a VE of 89.6% MVV, indicating that this last group almost reached the limit of their ventilation.

REPRODUCIBILITY

Of the 50 patients included in the study, 35 performed a second test and 28 of them were within one year of the first. During the first test 14 patients had no CO₂ retention and 14 had CO₂ retention, and during the second test the numbers were 12 and 16, respectively (table 3). In total there were 24 concordant pairs out of a total of 28, giving a percentage of agreement of 85.7%.

CORRELATIONS AND ANALYSIS OF COVARIANCE

Before performing the statistical analyses we confirmed that the changes in the measured parameters were all normally distributed.

The correlation coefficients between the parameters for all 50 subjects and for the two subgroups independently are shown in table 4. There was a significant correlation between the change in dyspnoea score and the change in inspiratory TTI for the total group and for the group of subjects with no CO₂ retention during the ergometer test (Δ Paco₂ ≤ 0). A significant correlation was found between change in dyspnoea score and Δ Paco₂ for the group with CO₂ retention. Furthermore, in the total group the relation between change in dyspnoea score, change in VE (%MVV), and the change V_T/Ti (indication for the velocity of contraction) had a *p* value between 0.05 and 0.10. The relation between change in dyspnoea score and change in Pao₂ had a *p* value between 0.05 and 0.10 in

Figure 3 Graphical expression of ΔP_{tot} against ΔV_T for both subgroups of patients. The slopes of each line are an indication of length-tension inappropriateness. According to the analysis of covariance, no relation was found between P_{tot} and V_T , nor was there a significant difference in one group compared with the other. This might indicate that length-tension inappropriateness is present in both groups, but there is a tendency towards a lesser magnitude in the group without CO_2 retention.

the group without CO_2 retention, so changes in inspiratory TTI, $Paco_2$, $VE\%MVV$, V_T/Ti and PaO_2 were included in the analysis of covariance independently. A significant interaction term was found in the relationship between change in $Paco_2$ and dyspnoea score ($p = 0.04$), indicating different relationships in the subgroups with and without CO_2 retention. Linear regression demonstrated that the dyspnoea score was significantly related to $Paco_2$ only in the group with CO_2 retention.

There was no significant relationship between change in dyspnoea score and change in inspiratory TTI, $VE\%MVV$, V_T/Ti and PaO_2 for both the total group and the two subgroups.

MULTIPLE REGRESSION

To determine the independent effects of each relevant factor a multiple regression with backward entering of the relevant variables was performed, with change in dyspnoea score as the dependent variable. The independent variables were the factors with a p value of ≤ 0.10 in the correlation analysis with the dyspnoea score: ΔTTI , $\Delta VE\%MVV$, ΔPaO_2 , $\Delta Paco_2$ and V_T/Ti . Furthermore, we assumed that in different subgroups different factors may lead to the sensation of dyspnoea so the analysis was also performed for both subgroups independently.

No significant regression models were found for all groups, except for the univariate model in the group with CO_2 retention, Borg dyspnoea with $\Delta Paco_2$. In this group $Paco_2$ is therefore an independent contributor to the dyspnoea sensation.

Table 5 Relation between change in tidal volume (V_T) and change in pressure swing (P_{tot}) by analysis of covariance for the total group

Relation between ΔV_T and ΔP_{tot}	Coefficient	p value
Intercept (β_0)	0.97	0.000
Group (β_1)	-0.42	0.208
ΔP_{tot} (β_2)	0.09	0.161
$\Delta P_{tot} \times \text{group}$ (β_3)	-0.10	0.254

No significant relation was found in the total group nor in one group compared with the other.

LENGTH-TENSION INAPPROPRIATENESS

Figure 3 shows the relation between the factors ΔP_{tot} and ΔV_T for the two subgroups. There is a tendency towards a smaller slope in the group with CO_2 retention which indicates more length-tension inappropriateness in this group than in the group with no CO_2 retention. However, the analysis of covariance showed no significant relation between ΔV_T and ΔP_{tot} in the total group (β_2), nor in the two subgroups (β_3 , table 5). When no length-tension inappropriateness is present a relationship between ΔV_T and ΔP_{tot} is expected, so we assume that in all these patients some length-tension inappropriateness is present but the magnitude of it is larger in the group with CO_2 retention.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to assess whether perception of dyspnoea during exercise was related to the chemical drive, the load on the inspiratory or expiratory muscles, or a combination or interaction of these parameters. We therefore investigated a group of patients with a wide range of severity of obstructive pulmonary disease. A subdivision was made between patients with or without CO_2 retention during exercise in order to distinguish between the effects of mechanical ventilatory loads and mechanical loads accompanied by a "chemical load" on the sensation of exertional dyspnoea, and to assess whether the sensations that lead to dyspnoea were qualitatively different in the two subgroups.

The results have shown that, in the total group and the group without CO_2 retention, a significant correlation existed between the Borg score for dyspnoea and the increase in time tension index for the inspiratory muscles. In the CO_2 retention group a significant correlation was seen between the Borg score for dyspnoea and $\Delta Paco_2$. Analysis of covariance showed that a significant relationship only existed in the group with CO_2 retention—that is, between the change in $Paco_2$ and change in dyspnoea score. This was also confirmed by the multiple regression analysis. Moreover, there was no relationship between ΔP_{tot} and ΔV_T in the total group nor in either subgroup, which may indicate the presence of length-tension inappropriateness. The slope of this relationship tended to be smaller in the group with CO_2 retention which means that the magnitude of this inappropriateness is larger in the group with CO_2 retention than in those without.

It is questionable whether the two subgroups are, indeed, different from a pulmonary point of view, with different dyspnogenic mechanisms. CO_2 retention can be seen as an indication of ventilatory limitation.¹³⁻¹⁵ The group with CO_2 retention might therefore be considered as a group of subjects with ventilatory limitation, although it is debatable whether CO_2 retention is the same as ventilatory limitation. The question is whether subjects are really limited by the increase in $Paco_2$ (a consequence of ventilatory limitation) or whether they would not go further because they simply did not want to. Looking at the ventilatory data at rest it can be seen that the

CO₂ retention group had a ventilation of 25%MVV whereas the group without CO₂ retention had a ventilation of 13%. During exercise ventilation increased to 89.6% in the CO₂ retention group and to 73.9% in the group without CO₂ retention. This indicates that the CO₂ retention group reached almost maximal ventilation, which is hard to continue for a long time, so it seems that ventilatory limitation is indeed nearly reached. Furthermore, the increase in PaCO₂ (a consequence of ventilatory insufficiency) was the only factor that was significantly related to the change in dyspnoea score, which is one of the most important limiting factors in exercise in these patients. We therefore consider that the increase in PaCO₂ was a true limiting factor and, as such, these subjects had a ventilatory limitation. In this ventilatory limited group the mechanisms that produce dyspnoea might indeed be qualitatively different from those in the group without CO₂ retention.

It is noteworthy that there is no correlation between dyspnoea and ventilation related indices. Other studies have shown highly significant correlations within subjects for these indices.^{10 25-27} It must be emphasised that, in this study, analysis has been done on differences between resting values and values at Wmax within the subgroups which might explain the absence of clear correlations. Furthermore, the measured oesophageal pressures are a better representation of the ventilatory effort than the actual ventilation since they were less modified by the mechanics of the respiratory system, although we are aware that oesophageal pressures are only a surrogate of the real inspiratory and expiratory activity. Nevertheless, the results of this study confirm the multifactorial aspect of the model of dyspnoea, with different stimuli contributing differently in various situations.^{2 3 8 28} In normocapnic exercise in patients with obstructive pulmonary disease the sensation of dyspnoea seems to be mainly determined by the mechanical load on both groups of respiratory muscles and the ensuing length-tension inappropriateness. However, when patients become hypercapnic this chemical stimulus seems to override the perception of mechanical load and the hypercapnia becomes the main determinant of the sensation of dyspnoea.

The fact that muscular effort and other mechanical indices contribute to the sensation of dyspnoea has been found by several other studies, both in normal subjects and in patients.^{10 16 29 30} In contrast, some other studies found no relationship between mechanical load on the ventilatory muscles and the sensation of dyspnoea.¹¹ The role of chemical sensation is more controversial. The additional dyspnoegenic effect of PaCO₂ found in this study was also seen by Chonan *et al*¹² who hypothesised that an additional effect caused by afferent chemoreceptor inputs accentuates the difficulty in breathing. He confirmed this hypothesis by inducing hypercapnia in healthy subjects during exercise. In patients with ventilatory limitation who develop hypercapnia during exercise this phenomenon should also

be present. Our results confirm this theory. In contrast, Kobayashi *et al*³¹ found that hypercapnia had no additional dyspnoegenic effect during a hypercapnic ventilatory response which was also seen by Clague *et al*.³² Interestingly, Kobayashi *et al* also found a dyspnoegenic effect of hypoxia during a hypoxic ventilatory response which occurred during moderate hypoxia (desaturation to 80%). Peripheral chemoreceptors normally respond to hypoxia only at very low levels of Pao₂; the relationship between afferent impulse activity and Pao₂ is hyperbolic. We therefore assumed that only increases in PaCO₂ act as dyspnoegenic stimuli from chemical origin during exercise, which is confirmed in our study. Gandevia *et al*⁶ studied the isolated effect of PaCO₂ which turned out to be a potent stimulus for dyspnoea. Although these findings appear to be inconsistent, we may at least conclude that the chemical drive does, indeed, play a part in the perception of dyspnoea. The precise mechanisms underlying the individual stimuli and the interactions between them need to be investigated further.

Furthermore, our results have shown that in the two subgroups with airflow limitation of different severity the perceived dyspnoea at Wmax is almost equal. This agrees with the findings of Killian *et al*³³ who found that the average subject stops exercise when either dyspnoea or leg effort reaches an intensity of approximately 7, regardless of whether they are normal subjects or patients with airflow limitation. Patients in both subgroups of our study stopped exercise when the dyspnoea intensity reached a Borg score of about 6, although the sensory inputs turned out to be quite different in the two groups. This dyspnoea score apparently does not discriminate between the type of stimulus responsible for the sensation of dyspnoea, which might be a consequence of what question was asked before selecting a number on the Borg scale. Some studies have shown that dyspnoea can be evoked by other sensations and that subjects are able to distinguish these.^{23 34 35} Other studies have shown that, despite the fact that subjects can distinguish sensory sensations, they scored the same intensity on scales with different definitions of dyspnoea, based on qualitatively different inputs.^{26 36} Mador *et al* concluded that patients were unable to distinguish respiratory effort from respiratory discomfort when forced to make rapid decisions during incremental exercise, which suggests that the two different scales used were measuring a common element. Because most subjects name their experienced sensations "breathlessness" or "dyspnoea" despite the fact that different stimuli might have led to this perception of dyspnoea, we chose to ask for the effort of breathing, indicating breathlessness. We hoped that the assessed correlations between the different sensations and dyspnoea would give more insight into which stimuli give the general sensation of breathlessness.

As shown in fig 1, there are many inputs that may contribute to the sensation of dyspnoea. In this study we measured most of these inputs or indicators for them. One factor that we did not

measure was the magnitude of hyperinflation which is also thought to be an important stimulus for the dyspnoea sensation.¹⁶ Nevertheless, we think that we measured enough mechanical and chemical parameters to get a good indication of these two groups of stimuli. In this study it seems that the load on the ventilatory muscles is one of the important factors that correlated with the sensation of dyspnoea in the group without CO₂ retention, whereas in the group with CO₂ retention an increase in PaCO₂ seems to be the most important stimulus. The therapeutic consequence of this concept of dyspnoea may be that improving the strength of respiratory muscles by respiratory muscle training will lead to lower values for TTI_i, TTI_e, Ptot, and PaCO₂ during exercise, and subsequently to lower dyspnoea. When we know more about the effects of these single triggers it might be possible to say more about the multifactorial aspect of dyspnoea. Studying the effects of individual (isolated) stimuli is important, but might lead to paradoxical findings. Clague *et al* found no relation between the isolated CO₂ stimuli and dyspnoea sensation, whereas in our study and that of Chonan *et al* an additional dyspnoegenic effect of CO₂ on top of mechanical stimuli was found. Both the role of isolated stimuli and of their interactions must therefore be studied further to achieve a better understanding of the mechanisms that produce dyspnoea. When we know more about this aspect of dyspnoea we may be able to determine what treatment is needed. For instance, training of isolated muscle groups might produce less CO₂ than training more groups of muscles. Furthermore, training with negative work loads leads to a lesser production of CO₂ also. Finally, inspiratory muscle training might result in better ventilation during exercise which may result in better exhalation of CO₂.

- 1 Anonymous. The enigma of breathlessness. *Lancet* 1986;891-2.
- 2 Schwartzstein RM, Manning HL, Weiss JW, *et al*. Dyspnoea: a sensory experience. *Lung* 1990;68:185-99.
- 3 Burki NK. Dyspnoea. *Lung* 1987;165:269-77.
- 4 Altose M, Cherniack N, Fishman AP. Respiratory sensations and dyspnoea: perspective. *J Appl Physiol* 1985;58:1051-4.
- 5 Killian KJ, Campbell EJM. In: Mahler DA, ed. *Dyspnoea*. New York: Futura Publishing Company, 1990: 55.
- 6 Gandevia SC, Killian K, McKenzie DK, *et al*. Respiratory sensations, cardiovascular control, kinaesthesia and transcranial stimulation during paralysis in humans. *J Physiol* 1993;470:85-107.
- 7 Killian KJ, Jones NL. Respiratory muscles and dyspnoea. *Clin Chest Med* 1988;9:237-48.
- 8 Kearon MC, Summers E, Jones NL, *et al*. Breathing during prolonged exercise in humans. *J Physiol* 1991;442:477-87.
- 9 Ferrari K, Goti P, Duranti R, *et al*. Breathlessness and control of breathing in patients with COPD. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* 1997;52:18-23.

- 10 Leblanc P, Bowie DM, Summers E, *et al*. Breathlessness and exercise in patients with cardiorespiratory disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1986;133:21-5.
- 11 Freedman S, Lane R, Guz A. Breathlessness and respiratory mechanics during reflex or voluntary hyperventilation in patients with chronic airflow limitation. *Clin Sci* 1987;73:311-8.
- 12 Chonan T, Mulholland MB, Leitner J, *et al*. Sensation of dyspnoea during hypercapnia, exercise, and voluntary hyperventilation. *J Appl Physiol* 1990;68:2100-6.
- 13 Wasserman K, Hansen JE, Sue DY, *et al*. *Principles of exercise testing and interpretation* Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger, 1987.
- 14 Dempsey JA. Is the lung built for exercise? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1986;18:143-55.
- 15 Folgering H, Dekhuijzen R, Cox N, *et al*. The rationale of pulmonary rehabilitation. *Eur Respir Rev* 1991;1:464-71.
- 16 O'Donnell DE, Webb KA. Exertional breathlessness in patients with chronic airflow limitation. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993;148:1351-7.
- 17 Campbell EJM, Howell JBL. The sensation of breathlessness. *Br J Med Psychol* 1963;19:36-40.
- 18 Bellemare F, Grassino A. Effect of pressure and timing of contraction on human diaphragm fatigue. *J Appl Physiol* 1982;53:1190-5.
- 19 Bellemare F, Grassino A. Force reserve of the diaphragm in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Appl Physiol* 1983;55:8-15.
- 20 Macklem PT, Macklem DM, De Troyer A. A model of inspiratory muscle mechanics. *J Appl Physiol: Respirat Environ Exercise Physiol* 1983;55:547-57.
- 21 Borg GAV. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1982;14:377-81.
- 22 Folgering H, Doorn PV, Cox N. A prediction equation for W_{max} in COPD patients. *Eur Respir J* 1988;1:67S.
- 23 Elliott MW, Adams L, Cockcroft A, *et al*. The language of breathlessness: use of verbal descriptors by patients with cardiopulmonary disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991;144:826-32.
- 24 O'Donnell DE. Breathlessness in patients with chronic airflow limitation mechanisms and management. *Chest* 1994;3:904-12.
- 25 Muza SR, Silverman MT, Gilmore GC, *et al*. Comparison of scales used to quantitate the sense of effort to breathe in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1990;141:909-13.
- 26 Mador MJ, Kufel TJ. Reproducibility of visual analog scale measurements of dyspnoea in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1992;146:82-7.
- 27 Rampulla C, Baiocchi S, Dacosta E, *et al*. Dyspnoea on exercise: pathophysiologic mechanisms. *Chest* 1992;101:248-52S.
- 28 Mahler DA, Horowitz MB. Clinical evaluation of exertional dyspnoea. *Clin Chest Med* 1994;15:259-69.
- 29 El-Manshawi A, Killian KJ, Summers E, *et al*. Breathlessness during exercise with and without resistive loading. *J Appl Physiol* 1986;61:896-905.
- 30 O'Donnell DE, Bertley JC, Chau LKL, *et al*. Qualitative aspects of exertional breathlessness in chronic airflow limitation: pathophysiologic mechanisms. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;155:109-15.
- 31 Kobayashi S, Nishimura M, Yamamoto M, *et al*. Relationship between breathlessness and hypoxic and hypercapnic ventilatory response in patients with COPD. *Eur Respir J* 1996;9:2340-5.
- 32 Clague JE, Carter J, Pearson MG, *et al*. Relationship between inspiratory drive and perceived inspiratory effort in normal man. *Clin Sci* 1990;78:493-6.
- 33 Killian KJ, Leblanc P, Martin DH, *et al*. Exercise capacity and ventilatory, circulatory, and symptom limitation in patients with chronic airflow limitation. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1992;146:935-40.
- 34 Simon PM, Schwartzstein RM, Weiss JW, *et al*. Distinguishable types of dyspnoea in patients with shortness of breath. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1990;142:1009-14.
- 35 Simon PM, Schwartzstein RM, Weiss JW, *et al*. Distinguishable sensations of breathlessness induced in normal volunteers. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1989;140:1021-7.
- 36 Mador MJ, Rodis A, Magalang UJ. Reproducibility of Borg scale measurements of dyspnoea during exercise in patients with COPD. *Chest* 1995;107:1590-7.