Case reports

A commentary on the following three case reports appears on pp 656-7.

Hypersensitivity pneumonitis induced by a smut fungus *Ustilago esculenta*

Kazuko Yoshida, Moritaka Suga, Hisato Yamasaki, Kenji Nakamura, Toyozo Sato, Makoto Kakishima, James A Dosman, Masayuki Ando

Abstract

A case of hypersensitivity pneumonitis caused by a smut fungus *Ustilago esculenta* is presented.

(Thorax 1996;51:650-651)

Keywords: hypersensitivity pneumonitis, smut, *Ustilago esculenta*.

Ustilago is the most prevalent smut fungus in grain.¹⁻⁴ It is listed as an aeroallergen associated with bronchial asthma, but not with hypersensitivity pneumonitis.⁴⁻⁷ This is the first reported case of hypersensitivity pneumonitis to be caused by *Ustilago esculenta*. We present this case in order to raise the possibility of *Ustilago* induced hypersensitivity pneumonitis among grain workers and farmers.

Case report

A 40 year old woman engaged in Japanese traditional handicrafts developed increasing dyspnoea, cough, and fever. She recovered completely after two weeks away from work but developed the same symptoms again at midnight on the day she returned to work.

The results of physical examination, routine laboratory studies, and pulmonary function tests were normal. A chest radiograph and high resolution computed tomographic scan showed diffuse bilateral fine nodular shadows without hilar adenopathy. Cultures for microorganisms including mycobacteria from sputum, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, and gastric juice showed normal flora or were negative. The bronchoalveolar lavage was performed a week after the last exposure by methods described previously.⁷ Of the 150 ml saline injected, 100 ml of bronchoalveolar fluid was recovered. The total cell yield was 78.4×10^6 (87.1×10^4 /ml), and the differential cell count was 54.7% lymphocytes, 41.5% pulmonary alveolar macrophages, 3.5% polymorphonuclear leucocytes, and 0.3% eosinophils with a CD4/CD8 ratio of 1.61. A transbronchial lung biopsy specimen revealed granulomatous alveolitis.

The causative antigen suspected was smut spores in her work place. She sprinkled these spores on lacquered wares and blew the excess off, producing a rusty colour. Macroscopically, the spores were brown and powdery, and microscopically they were single, globular or ellipsoid, measuring $5.0-10.2 \times 4.2-6.5 \mu\text{m}$. The smut teliospores were identified by morphometric examination as *Ustilago esculenta*.

Several procedures were conducted to prove that the spores of *U esculenta* were the causative antigen.

INDIRECT FLUORESCENT ANTIBODY (IFA) TEST

The method of Vogel, slightly modified, was performed as previously reported.^{8,9} Spores of *U esculenta* and dust from the work place of the patient were tested with the patient's serum and with control serum samples. The spores were only reactive to the patient's serum among the dusts tested and showed an IFA titre of 1:512, while control serum samples showed less than 1:8.

GEL DOUBLE DIFFUSION TEST

The method of Gerber and Jones, slightly modified, was performed as previously reported.^{8,9} Antigen was extracted from the teliospores of *U esculenta* with sodium bicarbonate buffered saline by a modified version of Santilli's method.⁶ The serum of the patient showed dense precipitins against the extracted antigen (10 mg dry weight/ml) and a faint precipitin against only *Aspergillus niger* from among common hypersensitivity pneumonitis related and *Aspergillus* related antigens (Hollister-Steir, Spokane, Washington).

LYMPHOCYTE PROLIFERATIVE RESPONSE TEST FOR PERIPHERAL BLOOD CELLS

Cellular incorporation of [³H]thymidine was determined by the method of Moore and co-workers⁹ and expressed as a stimulating index: the mean cpm of wells containing 1×10^5 lymphocytes (viability 98%) and antigen or mitogen divided by the mean cpm of wells without stimulant. Lymphoproliferative responses to the extracted antigen were observed in a dose dependent manner. The maximum net stimulation and stimulation index value were 5443 cpm and 23.8, respectively, at 0.8 µg/ml concentration. No significant response was observed in control cells.

SKIN TEST

Skin reaction to the extracted antigen was made by intradermal injection with 0.02 ml of a solution (0.1 mg/ml) and was read during the

First Department of Internal Medicine, Kumamoto University School of Medicine, 1-1-1 Honjo, Kumamoto 860, Japan
K Yoshida
M Suga
H Yamasaki
K Nakamura
M Ando

Division of Microbiology, National Institute of Agro-Environmental Sciences, Ibaraki, Japan
T Sato

Institute of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan
M Kakishima

Centre for Agricultural Medicine, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada
J A Dosman

Correspondence to: Dr K Yoshida.

Received 16 December 1994
Returned to authors 17 May 1995
Revised version received 15 June 1995
Accepted for publication 16 June 1995

Results of inhalation challenge test with *U. esculenta* performed on the patient using 1 mg of buffer extracted antigen inhaled through an ultrasonic nebuliser. The same provocation test did not show any effect on a healthy control subject.

Published reports of smut-related allergic respiratory diseases

Year	Country	No of patients	Symptoms	Antigens
1937	USA	1	Asthma	<i>Ustilago zeae</i> (= <i>maydis</i>), <i>Tilletia levis</i> (= <i>foetida</i>) etc
1939	USA	13	5 asthma, 6 hayfever, and 2 hayfever with asthma	<i>U. tritici</i> , <i>U. zeae</i> , <i>U. avenae</i> , <i>T. tritici</i> , <i>T. levis</i> , etc.
1940	USA	103	Asthma	<i>T. tritici</i> , <i>T. levis</i> , <i>U. zeae</i>
1940	USA	34	Asthma and/or hayfever	<i>Sphacelotheca sorghi</i>
1940	USA	19	17 asthma, 2 nasal allergy	<i>T. tritici</i> , <i>T. levis</i> , <i>U. zeae</i> etc
1941	Spain	2	Asthma	<i>T. caries</i> , <i>T. foetida</i>
1952	Brazil	1	Asthma	<i>U. tritici</i>
1957	France	5	Asthma	<i>U. maydis</i>
1965	India	?	Respiratory troubles	<i>U. virens</i>
1968	Japan	1	Asthma	<i>U. esculenta</i> ?
1979	Japan	2	Hypersensitivity pneumonitis	N.I.

NI=not identified. The antigen was not identified but the authors suspected *U. esculenta* to be the causative antigen in these cases. Details of the references are available from the authors on request.

next 48 hours. The patient showed immediate and Arthus-type reactions to the antigen but two healthy control subjects did not show any reaction.

INHALATION CHALLENGE TEST

Ten ml of the extracted antigen (0.1 mg/ml) were inhaled through an ultrasonic nebuliser (Omuron, Japan). Symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings were recorded during the next 48 hours. Symptoms and signs were reproduced five hours later with maximum effect nine hours later. Laboratory findings also worsened as shown in the figure. A healthy control subject who had an identical test did not show any abnormality.

The patient's symptoms have not recurred since moving to alternative employment.

Discussion

We have shown the smut fungus *U. esculenta* to be an aetiological antigen of hypersensitivity pneumonitis. The patient reacted to the antigen and did not show any precipitins to common hypersensitivity pneumonitis related antigens tested. These results agree with findings utilising an animal model where spores of basidiomycetes (including smuts) possessed antigens which were not cross reactive with those of certain fungi imperfecti.¹⁰

U. esculenta is distributed widely throughout Asia, and is parasitic on Manchurian wild rice (*Zizania latifolia*). In Japan the pure spores have been used as paint in the traditional lacquer industry. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis in millers who process wild rice that is infected by *U. esculenta* has also been reported but the antigen was not defined as inhalation challenge was carried out using the whole flour (table).

Smuts were listed as provocative antigens in bronchial asthma.⁴⁻⁷ Recently, Marx reported that the frequency of a positive skin test or RAST to grain smut was significantly higher (11.2%) among farming cases than controls (0%) in Wisconsin.⁷

At present, smuts are not as common in advanced countries as they used to be because of the development of fungicides and smut-resistant grains. However, *Ustilago* is still predominant in grain dust or among atmospheric spores.¹⁻³ Our observation of the dust from Saskatchewan grain elevators revealed that it still contained highly immunoreactive smut spores (unpublished data).

The writers wish to thank Dr Yasuhiko Nakagawa for notice of the patient, Dr Toshihiro Kajiwara, Director General of the Japan Plant Protection Association for the advice about smut fungi, Dr Miho Moriyama and Mr Manning Luo for their assistance, and the members of Centre for Agricultural Medicine for their cooperation.

1 Chan-Yeung M, Enarson DA, Kennedy SM. The impact of grain dust on respiratory health. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1992;145:476-87.

2 Lacey J. The microflora of grain dusts. In: Dosman JA, Cotton DJ, eds. *Occupational pulmonary disease. Focus on grain dust and health*. New York: Academic Press, 1980: 417-40.

3 Cosentino S, Pisano PL, Fadda ME, Palmas F. Pollen and mold allergy: aerobiologic survey in the atmosphere of Cagliari, Italy (1986-1988). *Ann Allergy* 1990;65:393-400.

4 Al-Doory Y, Domson JF. *Mould allergy*. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger, 1984.

5 Fischer GW, Holton CS. Effects of smuts on man and animals. In: *Biology and control of the smut fungi*. New York: The Ronald Press Company, 1957:377-92.

6 Santilli J Jr, Rockwell WJ, Collins RP. Individual patterns of immediate skin reactivity to mold extracts. *Ann Allergy* 1990;65:454-8.

7 Marx JJ Jr, Twigg JT, Ault BJ, Merchant JA, Fernandez-Caldas E. Inhaled aeroallergen and storage mite reactivity in a Wisconsin farmer: nested case-control study. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993;147:354-8.

8 Yoshida K, Ando M, Sakata T, Araki S. Environmental mycological studies on the causative agent of summer-type hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1988;81:475-83.

9 Ando M, Sakata T, Yoshida K, Yamasaki H, Araki S, Onoue K, Shinoda T. Serotype-related antigen of *Trichosporon cutaneum* in the induction of summer-type hypersensitivity pneumonitis: correlation between serotype of inhalation challenge-positive antigen and that of the isolates from patients' homes. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1990;85:36-44.

10 Lopez M, Salvaggio J, Butcher B. Allergenicity and immunogenicity of Basidiomycetes. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1976;57:480-8.