Preliminary assessment of deptropine dihydrogen citrate in chronic airways obstruction

W. J. H. LECKIE AND N. W. HORNE

From the City Hospital, Edinburgh

The synthesis and the chemical properties of the compound 3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5-yloxy)-tropane citrate¹ and a number of its congeners have been described by van der Stelt, Harms, and Nauta (1961). Funcke, de Jonge, Tersteeg, Mulder, Harms, and Nauta (1962) outlined the diverse pharmacological properties of deptropine and made particular note of its effect on broncho-constriction induced by histamine and serotonin asthma in guinea-pigs. These authors also observed that deptropine had an antihistaminic effect on the isolated guinea-pig intestine 80 times more potent than diphenhydramine hydrochloride and a cholinolytic action on the same preparation about three times more active than atropine sulphate.

A similar compound, thiazinanium, with anticholinergic, antihistaminic, and antiserotonin properties, was found to be effective parenterally in reversible airways obstruction (Booy-Noord, Orie, ten Cate, Sloots, and Bolt, 1957; Van Geuns and Scherrer, 1955) although oral administration was surprisingly ineffective. Scherrer (1962) and Schmidt (1962) have described the clinical usefulness of the parenteral administration of deptropine in asthma and bronchitis. The former author compared the effect after three hours of the intramuscular administration of 2 or 3 mg. deptropine with 1% isoprenaline by aerosol and 0.25 g. aminophylline by intravenous injection, and found its action in increasing timed forced expiration to be three times as powerful as isoprenaline and six times as powerful as this dose of aminophylline. None of the published reports has objective proof that the drug is effective when administered orally. Scherrer (1962), on rather limited experience, doubted the efficacy of oral therapy. McNicol and Bruyns (1964) found active deptropine to have no significant advantage over placebo therapy in 22 patients suffering from chronic bronchitis, and it produced no sympto-

matic improvement despite a small but significant decrease in sputum volume. Recent reports of the General Practitioner Research Group (General Practitioner Clinical Trials, 1964), using largely subjective methods of assessment, also suggest that deptropine had no advantage over placebo in the prophylaxis of bronchitis, but that it was as effective as chlorpheniramine in the treatment of hay fever. Voorhorst (1962) thought that the drug was useful in atrophic vasomotor rhinitis and hay fever and also as an adjuvant to corticosteroids in the treatment of asthma. Nevertheless, Quarles van Ufford and Bruens (1962) were able to show that, of 12 patients suffering from 'asthmatic bronchitis', 11 described benefit from the active preparation whereas only one preferred the placebo. Schmidt (1962) estimated the maximum respiratory minute volume in 15 of 26 patients before and after two to six weeks' oral treatment with deptropine and found an average increase of 56%. This author also referred to the possible efficacy of the drug when combined with prednisolone.

In chronic bronchitis, significant reversal of airways obstruction is depressingly rare using any of the oral sympathomimetic drugs at present available. It seemed therefore most important to evaluate in some detail the effect of this compound, with its unusual pharmacological properties and promising preliminary reports, on patients suffering from bronchitis as well as on predominantly asthmatic subjects. In view of the suggestion that deptropine acted as an adjuvant to the corticosteroid therapy of asthma, patients achieving less than maximum benefit from corticosteroid treatment, as judged clinically and by ventilatory function tests, were also investigated. These patients are often severely disabled by their asthma and receive only marginal benefit from prednisolone. Significant further relief of airways obstruction would represent an important therapeutic advance.

Two groups of patients were therefore investigated:

¹Deptropine dihydrogen citrate, Brontina

Group I: Patients suffering from airways obstruction due predominantly to (1) chronic bronchitis (sub-group A) and (2) chronic asthma (sub-group B) and not receiving corticosteroids.

Group II: Patients receiving long-term corticosteroids for chronic asthma or asthmatic bronchitis but obtaining less than maximal improvement on an established routine of corticosteroid therapy.

METHODS

In group I, 20 patients were studied, of whom 13 were in sub-group A and seven in sub-group B. One of the patients in sub-group A had to be withdrawn during the course of the investigation because of a respiratory infection. Two of the seven patients in sub-group B were also withdrawn, one because of increasingly severe asthma and the other because of a respiratory infection. Seventeen patients therefore completed the investigation. Eight of the patients were investigated as in-patients and the remainder, including the three patients withdrawn, attended daily as out-patients.

The investigation was carried out over a period of two weeks. During the first week deprotine was administered in a dose of 1 mg. at 8 a.m. and at 8 p.m., and during the second week a placebo tablet of identical appearance was taken in the same dose routine. The order of treatment was randomized and unknown to the patient, the physician, or the technician recording ventilatory function.

For the in-patients, the 24-hour sputum volume was estimated and a daily recording of the one-second forced expiratory volume (F.E.V._{1.0}) and forced vital capacity (F.V.C.) was made between 10 and 10.30 a.m. A Gaensler spirometer was used to obtain these values, and the highest of three separate estimations was accepted. For the out-patients, the volume of sputum expectorated between waking and 9 a.m. each

morning was recorded, and the daily F.E.V._{1.0} was estimated (omitting Sundays) also at the same time of day. Bacteriological examination of the sputum was carried out at the beginning, in the middle, and at the end of the investigation, and its appearance was recorded throughout. Many of the patients were already taking tetracycline, and, in fact, significant pathogens were only isolated from two of the out-patients, both of whom had mucoid sputum. Two of the in-patients expectorated purulent sputum during the investigation, but this cleared rapidly with antibiotic therapy and was not thought to be of significance in assessing the results. All patients were asked about side-effects at the end of their investigation. In nine patients reversibility of airways obstruction produced by parenteral deprotine (2 mg. intramuscularly) and by adrenaline (0.5 ml. 1:1,000 solution subcutaneously) was measured by F.E.V._{1.0} estimations immediately before and 15 minutes and four hours after administration of the drugs. The main clinical features of the whole group are described in Table I.

In group II, 12 patients were studied over a period of four months. Three failed to complete the investigation: one was withdrawn because of genuine side-effects of deprotine; one because the patient considered that deterioration was due to the tablets at a time when she was, in fact, receiving placebo; and the third patient was admitted to hospital because of an unrelated disorder in the third month of the investigation.

Treatment with deprotine, 1 mg. at 8 a.m. and at 8 p.m., and placebo tablets in the same routine was randomized in four four-weekly periods, each patient receiving two periods of active and two periods of placebo therapy. The nature of the treatment was unknown to the patient, the physician, or the technician recording the F.E.V._{1.0} and sputum volume. F.E.V._{1.0} was recorded before treatment and fortnightly thereafter during the investigation. Sputum

TABLE I
CLINICAL FEATURES IN GROUP I

Case No.	Age	F.E.V. _{1.0} (ml.) ¹	F.V.C. (ml.) ¹	Eosinophils c.mm.	Asthma	Bronchitis	Sub-group (see text)	Duration of Symptoms (years)
1	59	1,100	3,800	300	+	+++	B	15
2	46	920	1,850	—	++	++	B	8
3	56	750	2,700	—	++	+	A	40
4	17	2,320	3,100	170	+++	—	A	15
5	57	790	2,550	450	++	+++	B	20
6	71	1,150	2,400	400	+	+++	B	45
7	41	2,500	4,500	650	++	++	A	2
8	59	1,150	2,650	0	+	+++	B	15
9	66	1,030	2,000	370	+	++	B	45
10	72	800	2,650	500	+++	++	A	17
11	36	1,600	4,200	0	+	+++	B	25
12	75	1,025	2,550	0	++	++	B	3
13	66	740	1,800	450	+	+++	B	55
14	56	1,900	4,800	400	+++	++	A	20
15	15	2,200	4,150	0	+++	—	B	14
16	45	1,350	3,600	—	+	++	B	35
17	58	1,690	3,200	0	+	++	B	25
18	66	2,600	5,100	0	+++	++	A	0.2
19	54	750	1,800	—	+	++	B	30
20	62	850	3,000	170	+	+++	B	18

¹ Highest values for F.E.V._{1.0} and F.V.C. obtained at any time other than during investigation.

TABLE II
CLINICAL FEATURES IN GROUP II

Case No.	Age	Prednisolone			Highest Pre-treatment		Eosino- phils/ c.mm.	History
		Dose (mg./day)	Regime	Duration (years)	F.E.V. _{1.0} (ml.)	F.V.C. (ml.)		
1	27	15	2/4	1.5	2,610	3,700	380	Asthma with marked allergic rhinitis 13 yrs.
2	41	20	3/7	1.3	1,720	3,750	390	Asthma with bronchitic episodes since infancy
3	58	20	3/7	0.8	1,350	2,220	200	Asthma with prominent bronchitic features 20 yrs. Mild anxiety state
4	29	17.5	2/4	1.4	3,900	5,200	450	Asthma with occasional bronchitic episodes 3 yrs.
5	56	10	2/4	3.1	2,000	3,000	100	Asthma with bronchitic episodes 15 yrs.
6	65	15	2/4	2.6	1,310	2,800	—	Asthma with occasional bronchitic episodes 16 yrs.
7	53	10	Daily	1.7	2,300	4,100	230	Bronchitis 8 yrs.; asthma and bronchitis 2 yrs.
8	70	20	3/7	0.6	950	2,320	50	Asthma with bronchitic episodes 20 yrs.
9	23	10	2/4	2.0	870	2,950	412	Severe asthma since infancy; very poor work record due to disablement prior to start of prednisolone therapy; 'pigeon-chest' deformity
10	61	12.5	Daily	5.1	1,210	2,100	—	Asthma; no history of allergy or bronchitis 20 yrs.
11	71	12.5	1/2	1.1	2,050	4,050	180	Increasing asthma associated with bronchitis and agitated depressive illness 7 yrs.
12	24	15	Daily	0.7	1,270	2,900	1,700	Severe asthma since age 4 with bronchitic exacerbations; hypersensitive to aspergillin but no improvement following hyposensitization; 'pigeon-chest' deformity

Regime: 1/2=alternate days 'on' and 'off' prednisolone. 2.4=alternate two days 'on' and 'off' prednisolone. 3/7=three consecutive days 'on' and four days 'off' prednisolone.

volume and character were recorded weekly, the sputum measured being that expectorated between waking and 9 a.m. Sputum was examined bacteriologically monthly. In four patients, tetracycline was taken continuously throughout the investigation, and these patients remained free of respiratory infection. Only two of the remaining five patients developed infections during the course of the investigation. One had three episodes of bronchitis with cough productive of purulent sputum, but these were controlled rapidly with tetracycline. The other patient had one mild infective exacerbation which was also rapidly controlled by tetracycline. No allowance has been made for these incidents as they occurred during months of active treatment and would tend therefore to influence the results only in favour of the placebo. The patients also kept a record sheet, noting daily the number of times per day they used an inhaler and whether they had a respiratory infection. They also recorded weekly whether their chest condition had been good, indifferent, or bad, and were asked to note any possible side-effects of treatment. The relevant clinical features of these patients are summarized in Table II.

RESULTS

GROUP I The results of oral therapy in this group are shown in Table III.

Ventilatory function There was no significant difference between placebo and active therapy when the average F.E.V._{1.0} values for the whole group were compared. Using the average of the F.E.V._{1.0} recordings during the placebo week as standard, nine of the 17 patients showed an improvement when taking the active preparation,

which averaged 10% of the standard (range 1.3% to 22%). However, seven showed a lower value with the active drug than with the placebo, the reduction also averaging 10% of the standard (range 1% to 26%). There was no difference between placebo and active therapy in one patient. When the eight in-patients were considered alone, substantially the same results were obtained, and the results were no better when the predominantly asthmatic subjects in sub-group B were evaluated separately.

Sputum volume The sputum volume of the out-patients showed an average reduction of 28% in four (average volume 18 ml.) and an average increase of 74% in four (average volume 4.5 ml.). In the in-patients there was an average reduction in sputum volume in six (average volume 27 ml.), and in two patients the average daily volume rose, one from 13 to 19 ml. and the other from 2 to 3 ml.

Inhaler usage Only six of the out-patients regularly used an inhaler, and in these patients the inhaler was used 71 times when they were receiving the active preparation and 42 times when they were receiving the placebo.

Subjective preference Four patients felt worse and one felt better when receiving deprotine. One felt much improved on the placebo. The others were unable to express a preference.

Side-effects Seven patients noticed marked dryness of the mouth when having deprotine, and of

TABLE III
RESULTS IN GROUP I

Case No.	F.E.V. _{1.0} (ml.) ¹						Sputum Volume (ml. day)		Total Times Inhaler Used	
	Average Active	Average Placebo	Change Active Placebo (%)	Reversibility ²			Active	Placebo	Active	Placebo
				Adrenaline	Deptropine	Atropine				
1	1,042	983	+6				14	9	31	16
2	Withdrawn									
3	730	720	+1	+330	+80		0.5	1	12	14
4	Withdrawn									
5 ^a	749	799	-7	+220			10	19	0	0
6	975	960	+2				13	20	0	0
7	2,007	2,725	-26				3	2	19	9
8	1,133	987	+15				4	1.5	0	0
9	1,065	1,020	+4	+30	+90		2.5	2.5	0	0
10 ^a	577	483	+20	+50	0	-10	18.5	13	0	0
11	1,282	1,292	-1				4	3.5	0	0
12 ^a	886	938	-16	+90	-80	-50	16	32	0	0
13 ^a	628	513	+22	+70	+180		1.5	7	0	0
14 ^a	1,499	1,321	+13	+600	+310	+800	43	60.5	0	0
15	Withdrawn									
16	685	750	-9				18	21	6	2
17 ^a	1,322	1,325	0	+550	+140	+280	3	2	0	0
18 ^a	488	531	-8	+280	+110	+90	22	35	0	1
19	683	635	+8				15	20	3	0
20 ^a	860	872	-1	+240	+80	+80	5.5	9	0	0
Group average percentage change active placebo							Total		71	42

¹ F.E.V._{1.0} value is average of six or seven results.

² Reversibility = increase in F.E.V._{1.0} 15 min. after the subcutaneous injection of 0.5 ml. 1:1,000 adrenaline or 0.6 mg. atropine; or four hours after the intramuscular injection of 2 mg. deptropine.

³ In-patients.

these, three complained of dryness combined with a feeling of being 'choked up' and sore throat. Two of the latter complained of 'dryness' throughout the investigation; one was in fact worse when receiving the placebo. One patient noted a disturbance of visual accommodation.

Parenteral administration In 10 patients the relief of airways obstruction 15 min. after 0.5 ml. 1:1,000 subcutaneous adrenaline was assessed. In nine, the response to deptropine, 2 mg. intramuscularly at 15 minutes and 4 hours, was also measured. The results are shown in Table III. Six patients showed an increase of over 200 ml. in F.E.V._{1.0} after the administration of adrenaline, whereas only one showed an increase of over 200 ml. after intramuscular deptropine. The average F.E.V._{1.0} results in the six patients who showed a definite response to adrenaline (indicating that at least some airways obstruction was reversible) did not show any increased advantage of active over placebo tablets when compared with the remainder of the group.

GROUP II The results from the nine patients in this group who completed the investigation are shown in Tables IV and V.

Ventilatory function The percentage improvement in F.E.V._{1.0} in the whole group when the

'active' months were compared with the 'placebo' was 23%. Seven of the nine patients showed an improvement when taking the active preparation (average 33%; range 6% to 66%) and two showed deteriorations of 11% and 17%. In two patients the improvement during the months of active therapy was dramatic, and five patients achieved F.E.V._{1.0} values higher than they had ever previously achieved, even in hospital.

In assessing the group results of 'placebo' and 'active' therapy, it was important to ensure that withdrawals and the date of starting treatment did not introduce a bias in the randomization of treatment due to variable climatic conditions. The period of the investigation was from November 1963 to April 1964. Apart from January, during which month six patients received active and three placebo tablets, the monthly distribution of active and placebo treatment was very even. In the six patients who received active treatment in January, the average F.E.V._{1.0} value during this month was 1,084 ml. compared to the 'active' two-month average in the same patients of 1,103 ml. It seems unlikely therefore that the climate could have influenced the results significantly.

Sputum volume No difference was found in the sputum volume during placebo and active treatment in this group, but none of the patients

TABLE IV
GROUP II

Case No.	F.E.V. ₁₋₀ (ml.) ¹					Sputum Volume ² (ml.)		Total Times Inhaler Used		Subjective Score ³	
	Highest Pre-test	Immediately Pre-test	Highest During Test	Average (4 Recordings)		Active	Placebo	Active	Placebo	Active	Placebo
				Active	Placebo						
1	2,610	1,200	1,540	1,330	1,135	3.5	1.5	71	268	24	16
2	1,720	1,720	2,350	2,140	1,695	5.5	5.0	12	31	24	22
3	Withdrawn										
4	Withdrawn										
5	Withdrawn										
6	1,310	880	930	830	935	3.5	4.5	6	10	23	18
7	2,300	880	1,490	1,150	880	3.0	3.0	58	102	18	15
8	950	720	1,100	960	770	9.0	10.0	1	14	24	24
9	870	750	1,100	1,010	610	4.5	4.5	84	419	22	9
10	1,210	950	1,260	1,060	1,000	0	0	72	68	19	17
11	2,050	1,470	1,400	1,350	1,530	6.5	6.5	393	460	12	16
12	1,270	1,040	1,500	1,220	760	0	0	0	0	24	24

¹ F.E.V.₁₋₀ assessment always carried out at the same time and in the same relation to regime of prednisolone therapy.² Volume expectorated between waking and 9 a.m.³ Weekly patient assessment: 3, good; 2, indifferent; 1, bad.TABLE V
PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE IN F.E.V.₁₋₀ AND INHALER USAGE IN GROUP II

Case No.	Change in F.E.V. ₁₋₀ (%) ¹	Reduction in Times Inhaler Used (%) ¹
1	+17	74
2	+26	60
6	-11	40
7	+31	43
8	+25	93
9	+66	80
10	+6	0
11	-17	15
12	+61	—
Group average difference	+23	Average 51

¹ Placebo values are used as standard.

produced more than 10 ml. sputum during the short period of each day in which the sputum was collected.

Inhaler usage Eight of the nine patients regularly used an inhaler, and inhaler usage was 49% less during the period of active than during the period of placebo administration.

Subjective preference The weekly score for good (3), indifferent (2), and bad (1) averaged 21/24 for the two 'active' months and 18/24 for the two 'placebo' months.

Side-effects One patient was withdrawn from the investigation because of disturbances in visual accommodation. One patient had to be withdrawn during a time when she was in fact receiving placebo tablets, because she felt they were doing her harm. She also complained of marked dryness of the mouth, worse when she had been taking the active than the placebo tablets, although still

pronounced with the latter. Only three of the nine patients who completed the investigation complained of dry mouth, and this was comparatively mild. No other side-effects were encountered.

DISCUSSION

The number of patients studied is not sufficiently large to draw valid statistical conclusions from the results. However we agree with McNicol and Bruyns (1964) that it is unlikely that depropine has an important place in the treatment of bronchitis, although the results of an investigation of long-term administration are awaited. The hope that a decrease in sputum volume, if achieved, in bronchitic patients with excessive sputum production would be of benefit to them was not substantiated. Although the sputum volume was reduced in some patients who expectorated a large volume, this did not result in symptomatic improvement, certainly within the comparatively short period of this investigation. Further experience outside this investigation suggests that the drug, by drying the secretions and so making the sputum tenacious and difficult to expectorate, may lead to retention of sputum and even lobar collapse. On the other hand, two patients with copious frothy sputum associated with asthma, also outside the investigation, have obtained good symptomatic relief from depropine.

The results within the investigation also proved disappointing in the non-corticosteroid-treated 'asthmatic' group. Indeed, one of the out-patients withdrawn was admitted to hospital because increasingly severe asthma developed during the week of active depropine therapy. It must again be emphasized, however, that the number

investigated was very small and there may be a few patients with chronic comparatively mild asthma who derive worthwhile benefit.

It is always possible that inconclusive results of 'group investigation' may mask a good therapeutic response in a few individuals within the group. This is particularly so when a drug is being assessed with side-effects which may adversely affect the recorded parameters of response. There were no patients in group I who could be considered to have gained clear-cut benefit. However, the majority of the patients in group II (those receiving corticosteroid treatment) showed a significant response. Perhaps more important, the F.E.V._{1.0} in three patients rose during the 'active' months to values higher than any previously recorded, and in two patients they increased to 60% above the average F.E.V._{1.0} estimations in the 'placebo' months. Treatment with depropine has been continued in five patients because of maintained objective evidence of improvement. Incidentally, all these patients have subsequently expressed a desire to continue with the drug.

In view of the promising results in this group, a more extensive investigation, which will provide statistical data, is in progress. Even if statistical confirmation of these results is obtained, however, it will still remain to be shown that depropine with its atropine-like action does not adversely affect regional pulmonary ventilation/perfusion relations. This is not as unlikely as it may at first sight appear, for the work of Daly, Ross, and Behnke (1963) suggests that atropine, in addition to other effects on the cardiovascular system, reduces pulmonary blood volume.

It seems probable that a decrease in airways obstruction associated with depropine therapy is largely due to its cholinolytic properties. There is, however, no evidence yet, in a limited number of patients investigated, that the response to oral depropine can be predicted from the effect on forced expiration of the parenteral administration of either atropine or, indeed, depropine itself.

Side-effects during treatment with depropine are common, and dry mouth, difficulty in coughing, failure of visual accommodation, urinary retention, psychic upsets, drowsiness, nausea, and light-headedness have been reported as occurring in between 10 and 60% of patients (Quarles van Ufford and Bruens, 1962; General Practitioner Clinical Trials, 1964; Schmidt, 1962; McNicol and Bruyns, 1964). Thirty-five per cent. of the patients in this investigation complained of dry mouth and 7% complained of disturbances of vision. Experience outside this investigation has

indicated that the dry mouth will disappear within a few days if the depropine is withdrawn and will not necessarily recur when treatment is recommenced. It is rarely troublesome when the dose is 1 mg. or less daily, but a dose of 2 mg. per day may produce such uncomfortable dryness of the mouth that treatment has to be stopped altogether. However, only one out of 12 patients receiving long-term depropine had to be withdrawn because of the side-effects of the drug. Neither drowsiness nor depression of any significant degree was found during this investigation. A history suggestive of prostatism or glaucoma should be regarded as a definite contra-indication to depropine therapy.

SUMMARY

None of 11 patients suffering from bronchitis, or of six suffering from asthma, was found to respond within one week by a significant increase in F.E.V._{1.0} to depropine by mouth, and no significant difference in F.E.V._{1.0} response to active and placebo therapy was noted during that time. The sputum volume is usually reduced in patients with a large volume of sputum, but this does not appear necessarily to benefit ventilatory function. The long-term effect of depropine alone on bronchitis or asthma was not assessed.

Depropine does appear to have a place in the treatment of some patients who have responded only partially to corticosteroid therapy. When given in conjunction with prednisolone, there was a dramatic rise in F.E.V._{1.0} in two out of nine patients and a moderate improvement in a further three. There was a significant reduction in inhaler usage in this group. Administered by intramuscular injection, depropine is slow-acting and has a prolonged effect with a maximum relief of airways obstruction usually occurring between two and four hours after injection. It rarely produces as great a maximum rise in F.E.V._{1.0} as subcutaneous adrenaline.

Side-effects during treatment with depropine are common; 35% of the patients in this investigation complained of dry mouth and 7% complained of disturbance of visual accommodation.

We are indebted to Brocades (Great Britain) Ltd. for supplies of Brontina and placebo tablets, and to Dr. John Rae for his help in planning the investigation. Technical assistance was provided by Miss S. Merchant, Miss A. G. Gregor, Miss S. Robertson, Staff-Nurse G. Mackenzie, and Sister E. Simpson. Our thanks are also due to Miss J. Holywell for her help with the preparation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Booy-Noord, H., Orie, N. G. M., ten Cate, H. J., Sloots, S., and Bolt, D. (1957). The influence of various drugs on the vital capacity of asthmatics. *Int. Arch. Allergy*, **10**, 321.
- Daly, W. J., Ross, J. C., and Behnke, R. N. (1963). The effect of changes in the pulmonary vascular bed produced by atropine, pulmonary engorgement, and positive-pressure breathing on diffusing and mechanical properties of the lung. *J. clin. Invest.*, **42**, 1083.
- Funcke, A. B. H., de Jonge, M. C., Tersteeg, H. M., Mulder, D., Harms, A. F., and Nauta, W. Th. (1962). Pharmacological properties of 3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[a,d]cyclo-hepten-5-yloxy)-tropine (BS 6987, dibenzheptopine citrate). *Acta physiol. pharmacol. neerl.*, **11**, 104.
- General Practitioner Clinical Trials (1964). *Practitioner*, **192**, 682. Reports Nos. 57 and 58 of the General Practitioner Research Group.
- McNicol, M. W., and Bruyns, C. (1964). Dibenzheptopine citrate ("Brontina")—a double blind trial in chronic chest disease. *Brit. J. Dis. Chest*, **58**, 135.
- Quarles van Ufford, W. J., and Bruens, J. C. E. (1962). *Meded. Sticht. exp. Onderz. Allerg.*, no. 7.
- Scherrer, M. (1962). *Ibid.*, no. 7.
- Schmidt, F. (1962). The frequency and diagnosis of chronic asthmatic bronchitis and some clinical experiences with a new synthetic bronchospasmolytic drug. Lecture given at the Antwerp Therapeutic Congress.
- Stelt, C. van der, Harms, A. F., and Nauta, W. Th. (1961). The effect of alkyl-substitution in drugs.—V. Synthesis and chemical properties of some dibenzo[a,d]1,4-cycloheptadienyl ethers. *J. med. pharm. Chem.*, **4**, 335.
- Van Geuns, H. A., and Scherrer, M. (1955). Einige Erfahrungen mit "Thiazinamium" bei Asthma bronchiale. *Int. Arch. Allergy*, **7**, 111.
- Voorhorst, R. (1962). Drug therapy of vasomotor rhinitis and asthma: dibenzheptopine, a valuable adjuvant in the corticoid treatment. *Curr. the. Res.*, **4**, 31.