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Smoking, acute mountain sickness and altitude acclimatisation: a cohort study
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ABSTRACT

Rationale The relationship between cigarette smoking and acute mountain sickness (AMS) is not clear.

Objective To assess AMS risk and altitude acclimatisation in relation to smoking.

Methods 200 healthy non-smokers and 182 cigarette smokers were recruited from Han lowland workers. These were men without prior altitude exposure, matched for age, health status and occupation, who were transported to an altitude of 4525 masl.

Measurements AMS, smoking habits, arterial saturation (SpO₂), haemoglobin (Hb), lung function and mean pulmonary artery pressure (PAPm) were assessed upon arrival and after 3 and 6 months.

Main results Compared with non-smokers, smokers had a lower incidence of AMS and lower AMS scores than non-smokers upon arrival; higher Hb and PAPm associated with lower SpO₂ at 3 and 6 months at altitude; and lower forced expiratory volume in 1 s and maximal voluntary ventilation at 3 and 6 months.

Conclusions Smoking slightly decreases the risk of AMS but impairs long-term altitude acclimatisation and lung function during a prolonged stay at high altitude.

INTRODUCTION

In China in 2010, 53% of men and 28% overall smoked tobacco.1 Apart from its general health risks, smoking may influence altitude hypoxia tolerance. According to some it aggravates hypoxaemia and hence increases the risk for acute mountain sickness (AMS) (Hultgren, p.469),2 but mountaineers find that smoking decreases AMS risk.3 However, sound epidemiological data on the effects of smoking on risk and disease course of AMS are lacking. During the construction of the Qinghai–Tibet railroad from 2001 to 2005, >78 000 lowland workers ascended to work and live at altitude. Since 54% of the employed Han male workforce smoked cigarettes, this presented a unique occasion to directly investigate the effects of smoking on AMS risk. We therefore recruited construction workers ascending from low altitude to work and live at the highest construction sites at an average altitude of 4552 masl. We measured AMS incidence and progression, and acclimatisation in smokers and non-smokers.

METHODS

Three hospitals participated (4779 masl, barometric pressure (Pb) ~ 417 mm Hg, 4505 masl, Pb ~ 440 mm Hg; 4292 masl, Pb ~ 447 mm Hg). The highest work site was at 4905 masl. The study was approved by the China National Science Foundation and the Qinghai High Altitude Medical Research Institute Committee on Human Research. In 2003, a first group of 4683 workers was recruited. All prospective workers filled out a questionnaire providing information on age, sex, ethnicity, occupation, place of birth, altitude exposure, personal and family medical history, smoking and drinking behaviour. Subjects were interviewed and underwent a physical exam. Subjects in good health and physical condition were offered a job. The subjects could withdraw at any time and gave signed consent. We sequentially recruited 200 lowland smoking and 200 non-smoking apparently healthy non-acclimatised male first-time ascenders, based on capacity. Three smokers and four non-smokers refused to participate. Groups had similar age, body mass index, working altitude and work (mechanised, laying out tracks). Subjects travelled for 2 days by train to 2261 masl, stayed there for 8 h bus ride. Subjects travelled for 2 days by train to 2261 masl, stayed there for 2 days, and then travelled on for 12 h by train to 2808 masl where they stayed for 3 days. The final altitude was reached after a further 6–8 h bus ride.

A smoker was someone who smoked 10 or more cigarettes/day for >6 months. Non-smokers had never smoked; occasional smokers were excluded. Smoking was classified as mild (<1 pack/day, ie, 10–20 cigarettes/day), moderate (1 pack/day) or heavy (>1 pack/day). Smoking duration was short term (6 months to 2 years), medium term
Arterial oxygen saturation (SpO₂, finger oximetry, Ohmeda, Louisville, CO, USA) was measured in a seated position after 30 min of rest. Mean pulmonary artery pressure (PAPm) was estimated by Doppler. With a 3.5 MHz transducer (HF-Sonos 1000 or 1500, Palm-Auto, CA, USA) data were obtained from the parasternal short-axis or apical position, the subject lying in slight left oblique rotation. Recordings were stored on videotape for post hoc analysis by two independent cardiologists, unaware of smoking or altitude status. PAPm was estimated using the Kitabatake formula. In our institute correlation with directly measured pressure during right-heart catheterisation is high ($R^2=0.85$). PAPm $\geq 25$ mm Hg was considered pulmonary hypertension. Vital capacity (VC), forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁), forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of vital capacity (FEF25–75%) and 20 s maximal voluntary ventilation (MVV) were measured with a portable spirometer (COSMED, Italy). Haemoglobin (Hb) was measured on venous blood (Au-400, Olympus, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan). Measurements were done at low altitude, upon arrival (first hour, except PAPm, next day, and lung function, upon arrival and after 3 days), and again after 1 week, 5 months and 6 months.

AMS was assessed with Lake Louise Scoring (LLS), which consists of self-reported assessment of symptoms (headache, dizziness/light-headedness, fatigue, gastrointestinal upset (anorexia—nausea—vomiting) and difficulty sleeping), each scored from 0 to 3 (nil, mild, moderate, severe). It was completed on the evening of arrival at 4525 masl and the following evenings, for 1 week.

Data were analysed with SAS version 8.1 and are presented as mean±SD. Significance was set at $p<0.05$. AMS incidence was calculated as cumulative case rate. Frequencies were compared by $\chi^2$ test. Means were compared by t test. Pearson correlation was used for relationships between AMS scores and SpO₂, Hb, PAPm, and lung function measures. Lung function changes were analysed by two-way repeated measures analysis of variance, T uckey post hoc test and t test for group comparisons. Crude ORs with 95% CIs were calculated to quantify the association between smoking and AMS. Univariate logistic regression analysis was used to estimate AMS risk for smoking versus control and to examine relationships between individual variables and presence of AMS. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to test for the effects of independent variables and identify the main effects. Significant risk factors were entered into forward regression using the likelihood ratio test. The dichotomous dependent variable was AMS ($0=\text{no AMS}$, $1=\text{AMS}$, LLS cutoff score $\geq 4$). Independent variables were SpO₂, Hb, PAPm, VC, FEV₁, FEF25–75% and MVV. SpO₂ was recoded into 0 at least 90%, 1=86–89% and 2=up to 85%. Hb concentration was recoded into 0=up to 16 g/dl and 1=greater than 16 g/dl. PAPm was recoded into 0=up to 20 mm Hg and 1=greater than 20 mm Hg, VC, FEV₁, FEF25–75% and MVV was recoded as 0=normal low altitude value and 1=abnormal, that is, increased or decreased by >2 SD from the low altitude value. Smoking behaviour was coded as 0=no smoking, 1=less than 1 pack/day, 2=about 1 pack/day and 3=more than 1 pack/day. Smoking history was coded as 0=short-term, 1=medium-term and 2=long-term smoking.

### RESULTS

#### Subjects

Four smokers withdrew before ascent and 14 were lost to follow-up at altitude for non-medical reasons; all non-smokers completed the study. We obtained data from 182 smokers (SMO, age 38±7 years, range 25–54 years) and 200 non-smokers (CON, 38±6 years, 24–56 years).

#### Smoking

The SMO group comprised 18% mild, 45% moderate and 37% heavy smokers. Smoking habit was 23% short term, 35% medium term and 42% long term. At high altitude, packs/day smoked remained similar to low-altitude smoking ($p<0.05$).

#### Acute mountain sickness

AMS incidence in SMO was lower than in CON (LLS≥3: 45% vs 56%, $\chi^2=4.57$, $p=0.039$; LLS≥4: 39% vs 51%, $\chi^2=5.53$, $p=0.013$; LLS≥5: 3.4% vs 8.5%, $\chi^2=4.56$, $p=0.038$). Five per cent of subjects with LLS≥5 were hospitalised, more from CON than from SMO (17 vs 6 cases, $\chi^2=4.56$, $p=0.038$). On arrival, SMO had a lower LLS score than CON (1.6±0.6 vs 1.8±0.7, $p=0.004$). SMO with LLS≥3 had lower scores than CON (3.8±0.5 vs 4.0±0.6, $p<0.001$). At 1 week SMO still had lower scores than CON (1.4±0.8 vs 1.6±0.5, $p=0.005$). There was no altitude cerebral or pulmonary oedema. SpO₂ correlated negatively with LLS score ($R=-0.192$, $p=0.005$; SMO: $R=-0.174$, $p=0.019$; no difference between groups, $p=0.059$). PAPm

### Table 1  Symptoms and signs of acute mountain sickness in non-smokers at 4525 masl

| LLS symptom intensity | 0 (%) | 1 (%) | 2 (%) | 3 (%) | Total (%)
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Headache              | 46 (23) | 70 (35) | 56 (28) | 28 (14) | 154 (77)
| Dizziness or light-headedness | 158 (79) | 22 (11) | 12 (6) | 8 (4) | 42 (21)
| Weakness or fatigue    | 87 (43) | 68 (34) | 42 (21) | 3 (2) | 113 (57)
| Anorexia, nausea or vomiting | 102 (51) | 52 (26) | 36 (18) | 10 (5) | 98 (49)
| Difficulty sleeping    | 58 (29) | 72 (36) | 58 (29) | 12 (6) | 142 (71)
| Reduction in activity  | 112 (56) | 71 (36) | 17 (9) | 0 | 86 (44)
| Change in mental status| 196 (98) | 3 (1.5) | 1 (0.5) | 0 | 4 (2)
| Ataxia                 | 194 (97) | 6 (3) | 0 | 0 | 6 (3)
| Peripheral oedema      | 172 (86) | 21 (11) | 7 (3) | 0 | 28 (14)

Comparison between control group and smoking group for headache, $\chi^2=4.68$, $p=0.031$; for anorexia, nausea or vomiting, $\chi^2=3.85$, $p=0.049$; for difficulty sleeping $\chi^2=13.517$, $p<0.001$; for all other symptoms differences were non-significant. Total: the sum of scores $>0$.

### Table 2  Symptoms and signs of acute mountain sickness in smokers at 4525 masl

| LLS symptom intensity | 0 (%) | 1 (%) | 2 (%) | 3 (%) | Total (%)
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Headache              | 104 (57) | 42 (23) | 22 (12) | 14 (8) | 78 (43)
| Dizziness or light-headedness | 144 (79) | 22 (12) | 13 (7) | 3 (2) | 38 (21)
| Weakness or fatigue    | 81 (45) | 50 (27) | 42 (23) | 6 (3) | 101 (55)
| Anorexia, nausea or vomiting | 111 (61) | 39 (21) | 26 (14) | 6 (3) | 71 (39)
| Difficulty sleeping    | 86 (47) | 42 (23) | 45 (25) | 9 (5) | 96 (53)
| Reduction in activity  | 107 (59) | 58 (32) | 15 (8) | 2 (1) | 75 (41)
| Change in mental status| 180 (99) | 2 (1) | 0 | 0 | 2 (1)
| Ataxia                 | 178 (98) | 4 (2) | 0 | 0 | 4 (2)
| Peripheral oedema      | 158 (87) | 18 (10) | 6 (3) | 0 | 24 (13)

See table 1.
correlated negatively with LLS score (CON: \( R = -0.147, p = 0.044 \); SMO: \( R = -0.156, p = 0.048 \); no difference between groups \( p = 0.075 \)). There were no significant correlations with other variables. SMO suffered less from headache, anorexia—nausea—vomiting or sleep disturbances than CON but reported similar frequency and intensity for the other LLS symptoms (tables 1 and 2). Average peak scores for separate AMS symptoms differed significantly for headache, anorexia—nausea—vomiting and difficulty sleeping (table 3).

### Lung function

On arrival at 4525 masl VC tended to be lower in both groups (table 4). On day 3 the mean decrease was 4% and 6% in SMO and CON respectively. VC had normalised after 3 and 6 months in CON, but not in SMO. FEV₁ and FEF₂₅₋₇₅% were increased in CON and SMO upon arrival. They remained higher in CON, whereas they decreased in SMO over time. A similar pattern was observed for MVV.

### Oxygen saturation

Low-altitude \( \text{SpO}_2 \) values were similar (CON: 97±7%, SMO: 97±6%, \( p = 0.816 \)). Upon arrival, \( \text{SpO}_2 \) was lower in CON (83±6%, SMO: 85±5%, \( p = 0.001 \) vs low altitude, no difference between groups, \( p = 0.164 \)). With time spent at altitude, SMO developed a lower \( \text{SpO}_2 \) than CON (3 months: 85±5% vs 86±6%, \( p = 0.004 \); 6 months: 85±6% vs 86±6%, \( p = 0.002 \), table 5). This difference was due to improvement of \( \text{SpO}_2 \) in CON by 3.8% and 4.1% after 3 and 6 months respectively, whereas SMO \( \text{SpO}_2 \) only increased by 2.8% and 2.5% at 3 and 6 months respectively (\( p = 0.035 \) and \( p = 0.002 \)).

### Haemoglobin concentration

Initially both groups had similar Hb (low altitude, SMO: 15.8±2.1 g/dl, CON: 15.5±1.4 g/dl, \( p = 0.164 \); on arrival, SMO: 16.0±1.8 g/dl, CON: 15.8±1.6 g/dl, \( p = 0.189 \)). After 3 months the groups differed (SMO: 16.2±1.8 g/dl, CON: 15.8±1.5 g/dl, \( p = 0.021 \)). This difference was more marked after 6 months (SMO: 17.4±1.6 g/dl, CON: 16.2±1.5 g/dl, \( p < 0.001 \), see table 5). Hb increased with packs/day (\( R = 0.22, p = 0.005 \)) and years of smoking (\( R = 0.25, p = 0.001 \)). At 6 months, Hb was higher in heavy and long-term smokers (17.2±2.1 g/dl and 18.1±2.3 g/dl respectively) than in mild and moderate smokers (crude OR 1.1, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.26, \( p = 0.035 \)) as well as short-term or medium-term smokers (crude OR 1.1, 95% CI 1.11 to 1.87, \( p = 0.011 \), see table 6).

#### Pulmonary artery pressure

At low altitude \( P_{A\text{Pm}} \) was similar (SMO: 15.6±3.1 mm Hg, CON: 15.1±2.8 mm Hg, \( p = 0.101 \)). Both groups increased \( P_{A\text{Pm}} \) upon arrival and SMO had higher \( P_{A\text{Pm}} \) than CON (17.5±4.5 mm Hg vs 16.2±3.6 mm Hg, \( p = 0.005 \)). Over time \( P_{A\text{Pm}} \) increased further (3 months, SMO: 22.4±4.4 mm Hg, CON: 21.5±3.8 mm Hg, \( p = 0.005 \); 6 months, SMO: 23.1±4.8 mm Hg, CON: 21.7±4.1 mm Hg, \( p = 0.025 \), table 6). \( P_{A\text{Pm}} \) correlated with packs/day (\( R = 0.17, p = 0.008 \)) and years smoking (\( R = 0.19, p = 0.005 \)). At 6 months, \( P_{A\text{Pm}} \) in heavy and long-term smokers was 24.2±5.2 mm Hg and 24.0±5.7 mm Hg respectively, significantly higher than that of mild or moderate smokers (crude OR 1.1, 95% CI 1.05 to 1.68, \( p = 0.048 \)) and short-term and medium-term smokers (crude OR 1.2, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.71, \( p = 0.031 \), see table 5). \( P_{A\text{Pm}} \) correlated positively with \( P_{A\text{Pm}} \) in CON (\( R = 0.158, p = 0.019 \)) and negatively in SMO (\( R = -0.165, p = 0.023 \)).

#### Logistic regression

At altitude, subjects with \( \text{SpO}_2 \) ≤85% were 2.6 times more likely to have AMS than those with \( \text{SpO}_2 \) ≥90% (table 7). Hb, \( P_{A\text{Pm}} \), and lung function variables did not show significant effects. Crude ORs of FEV₁, FEF₂₅₋₇₅% and MVV were similar to those of VC (not shown). Heavy smoking and medium-term or long-term smoking history decreased AMS risk (table 7). In multivariate logistic regression only \( \text{SpO}_2 \), smoking habits and long-term smoking history decreased AMS risk (table 7).
of aggravated hypoxaemia through diminished blood oxygen-carrying capacity from carboxyhaemoglobin (COHb), decreased oxygen uptake due to the respiratory effects of smoking, and impaired peripheral oxygen extraction. One study reported that a combination of smoking and alcohol impeded altitude acclimatisation to 3200 masl in lowland workers, but did not report AMS.

### Headache, gastrointestinal upset and sleep disturbance

Differences in AMS incidence and severity were small but statistically highly significant. Of limited clinical relevance they are of interest for AMS pathophysiology. Smokers had less headache, anorexia—nausea—vomiting and sleep disturbance. AMS headache may result from hypoxia-induced cerebral vasodilation or its effectors, such as nitric oxide (NO), perhaps through activation of the trigeminovascular system and cerebral venous hypertension. At low altitude NO plays a role in tension type headache and NO prodrugs are associated with headache and nausea. Nicotuglycerin causes headache and exacerbates AMS as does sildenafil. Smoking impairs endothelial function, decreasing NO formation and increasing NO degradation and smokers expire less NO. We speculate that decreased NO levels protected smokers somewhat from headache and gastrointestinal upset.

Smokers reported fewer sleep problems. Altitude exposure induces a periodic breathing pattern. The oscillations result from high ventilatory sensitivity to carbon dioxide (CO2) and hypoxia in the presence of narrowed CO2 reserve and induce frequent arousals from sleep. Nicotine, NO and carbon monoxide (CO) influence the regulation of breathing. We speculate that smokers slept better because of less breathing instability through higher nicotine and CO, and lower NO levels.

### Pulmonary arterial pressure

Smokers tended to have higher PAPm at low altitude, which is expected since smoking increases PAP. At altitude, both groups had increased PAPm, which was expected since hypoxia increases PAPm. Smokers had higher PAPm, with a small significant difference between smokers and non-smokers at 3 and 6 months, which was more pronounced in heavy smokers, suggesting a dose—response effect. Increased PAP at altitude is associated with high altitude pulmonary oedema (HAPE).

People prone to HAPE exhale less NO. PAP can be lowered by inhaling NO, and increasing NO with tadalafil prevents HAPE. Since smoking impairs NO bioavailability and lowers exhaled NO levels we explain our findings of higher PAPm in smokers in part from decreased NO bioavailability in the pulmonary circulation.

### Table 5: Oxygen saturation, haemoglobin concentration and mean pulmonary artery pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>SpO2 (%)</th>
<th>Hb (g/dl)</th>
<th>PAPm (mm Hg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low altitude</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMO 182</td>
<td>96.5 ± 6.4</td>
<td>15.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>15.6 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON 200</td>
<td>97.2 ± 6.8</td>
<td>15.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>15.1 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After arrival at altitude</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMO 182</td>
<td>82.5 ± 5.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>17.5 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON 200</td>
<td>83.2 ± 5.8</td>
<td>15.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>16.2 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 3 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMO 182</td>
<td>84.8 ± 4.6</td>
<td>16.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>22.4 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON 200</td>
<td>86.4 ± 5.7</td>
<td>15.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>21.5 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 6 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMO 182</td>
<td>84.6 ± 6.3</td>
<td>17.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>23.1 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON 200</td>
<td>88.6 ± 6.7</td>
<td>16.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>21.7 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD.

**SMO versus CON: p < 0.001.**

† | SMO versus CON: p = 0.001. |

‡ | SMO versus CON: p = 0.021. |

§ | SMO versus CON: p < 0.001. |

**SMO versus CON: p = 0.004.**

**SMO versus CON: p = 0.004.**

SMO, smoking group; CON, control group; Hb, haemoglobin concentration; PAPm, mean pulmonary artery pressure; SpO2, arterial oxygen saturation.

#### Table 6: Oxygen saturation, haemoglobin concentration and mean pulmonary artery pressure at 6 months and intensity/history of smoking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking intensity/ smoking history</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>SpO2 (%)</th>
<th>Hb (g/dl)</th>
<th>PAPm (mm Hg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>84.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>16.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>22.5 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>84.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>16.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>22.8 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>83.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>17.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>24.2 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short term</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>85.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>16.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>22.1 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium term</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>84.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>17.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>22.5 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>84.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>18.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>24.0 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD.

**Heavy levels of smoking versus moderate (p < 0.002) and mild levels of smoking (p < 0.001).**

† | Long-term smoking versus medium-term (p = 0.009) and short-term smoking (p < 0.001). |

‡ | Long-term smoking versus moderate (p = 0.036) and mild levels of smoking (p < 0.001). |

§ | Long-term smoking versus medium-term (p = 0.008) and short-term smoking (p < 0.001). |

**Heavy levels of smoking versus moderate (p = 0.048) and mild levels of smoking (p = 0.049).**

**Long-term smoking versus medium-term (p = 0.057) and short-term smoking (p = 0.044).**

Hb, haemoglobin concentration; PAPm, mean pulmonary artery pressure; SpO2, arterial oxygen saturation.

#### Table 7: Results of multiple univariate regression analysis (unadjusted) for the variables in the left column

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>AMS, n (%)</th>
<th>Crude OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SpO2 (%)</td>
<td>≥90</td>
<td>32 (33)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86—89</td>
<td>65 (40)</td>
<td>0.996 (0.647 to 1.545)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤85</td>
<td>76 (62)</td>
<td>2.630 (2.156 to 3.274)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/dl)</td>
<td>≤16</td>
<td>142 (46)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;16</td>
<td>31 (42)</td>
<td>0.745 (0.504 to 0.762)</td>
<td>0.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPm (mm Hg)</td>
<td>≤20</td>
<td>164 (45)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td>0.645 (0.446 to 0.672)</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>168 (46)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less by ≥2 SD</td>
<td>5 (39)</td>
<td>0.211 (0.096 to 0.747)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Smoking

| No smoking | 102 (51) | 1 (ref) |
|<1 pack/day | 16 (49) | 0.860 (0.674 to 0.901) | 0.755 |
| 1 pack/day | 30 (37) | 0.786 (0.652 to 0.810) | 0.035 |
| >1 pack/day | 25 (37) | 0.627 (0.335 to 0.856) | 0.039 |
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high altitude. Chemoreceptor function is modulated by NO and CO. Nicotine increases peripheral chemoreflex sensitivity to reductions in arterial oxygen content in non-smokers but not in smokers. In people who live at altitude all their lives, a decrease in ventilation may eventually develop. The reduced ventilatory drive results from less sensitivity of central chemoreceptors for CO2 and of peripheral chemoreceptors for hypoxia, and leads to polycythaemia. Since smoking is a risk factor for this syndrome we speculate that smokers showed reduced ventilatory acclimatisation from reduced chemoreceptor sensitivity.

Most oximeters, including ours, interpret carboxyhaemoglobin as O2 saturation of Hb (HbO2) and thus indicate an erroneously high SpO2 in smokers. Since at altitude alveolar oxygen pressure (P\text{A}O_2) and PaO2 decrease while alveolar carbon dioxide pressure (P\text{A}CO2) remains similar (assuming CO exposure from smoking invariable), competition between CO and O2 in ventilation may eventually develop. The reduced ventilatory acclimatisation from reduced chemoreceptor sensitivity.

Smoking polycythaemia

Smoking causes polycythaemia. The tendency for higher Hb in smokers at low altitude became significant at 3 and 6 months at altitude. This increased blood oxygen carrying capacity, correcting for decreased saturation, as previously reported. Smoking-induced and hypoxia-induced erythropoiesis increased Hb more in smokers, placing them at higher risk of developing chronic interstitial oedema.

Smoking and health

Presenting ‘positive’ effects of smoking is uncomfortable; smoking must be strongly discouraged. We do not recommend smoking to prevent AMS. First, we did not study the effects in non-smokers but investigated habitual smokers. Second, smoking is strongly addictive and increases the risk of cardiorespiratory and other diseases, including cancer. Third, altitude is accompanied by cold exposure and smoking increases the risk of frostbite. Fourth, smoking decreases exercise capacity. Fifth, smoking represents risk for others because of secondhand smoke. Sixth, smoking must be strongly discouraged. We do not recommend smoking as a preventive measure for AMS but highlight the effects of smoking on NO metabolism and the
potential roles for CO, nicotine or other active compounds found in cigarette smoke in adaptation to altitude.
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