Relative versus absolute change in forced vital capacity in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis
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ABSTRACT

Background Decline in forced vital capacity (FVC) over time reliably predicts mortality in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. The use of this measure in clinical practice is recommended by current evidence-based guidelines. It is unknown if the method of calculating decline in FVC (relative vs absolute change) impacts its frequency or its ability to predict mortality.

Methods Patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis from two prospective cohorts were included if they had a baseline and 12-month follow-up FVC. A $\geq 10\%$ decline in FVC from baseline was calculated in two ways: a relative decline of 10% (eg, from 60% predicted to 54% predicted) and an absolute decline of 10% (eg, from 60% predicted to 50% predicted). The frequency of a $\geq 10\%$ decline in FVC and its ability to predict 2-year transplant-free survival were compared between these two methods. Declines in FVC of $\geq 5\%$ and $\geq 15\%$ were similarly compared. Analyses were performed unadjusted and adjusted for age, gender, use of oxygen, baseline FVC and baseline diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide.

Results The frequency of any given FVC decline was significantly greater using the relative change in FVC method. For $\geq 10\%$ decline, both methods predicted 2-year transplant-free survival with similar accuracy, and remained significant predictors after adjusting for baseline characteristics. The absolute change method appeared more predictive for $\geq 5\%$ decline.

Conclusions Using the relative change in FVC maximises the chance of identifying a $\geq 10\%$ decline in FVC without sacrificing prognostic accuracy. This may not hold true for $\geq 5\%$ decline in FVC. These findings have important implications for clinical practice and the design of clinical trials.

INTRODUCTION

Serial change in forced vital capacity (FVC) is an accepted measure of the disease course in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF).1–7 FVC decline has been used as the primary endpoint in several randomised controlled drug trials.6–13 and the European Medicines Agency recently approved pirfenidone for use in patients with IPF based on studies using this endpoint.13 A $\geq 10\%$ decline in FVC has been reliably correlated with worse survival time in IPF1–5 and recent evidence-based guidelines recommend that an absolute decrease in FVC of $\geq 10\%$ can be used as a surrogate marker of mortality.14 In addition, recent studies have suggested that an FVC decline of $\geq 5\%$ may also have clinical significance.5

A $\geq 10\%$ decline in FVC can be a relative decline of 10% (eg, from 4 to 5.6 litres or from 60% predicted to 54% predicted) or an absolute decline of 10% (eg, from 60% predicted to 50% predicted). Some clinical trials in patients with IPF have used the relative change from baseline in FVC (or VC),8 15–17 while other clinical trials and cohort studies have used the absolute change from baseline.1–5 8 10 12 13 15 The frequency and predictive abilities of relative and absolute changes in FVC have not been directly compared.

The frequency and prognostic value of any given decline in FVC may depend on which method of calculation is used. Such differences would have important implications for clinical practice and clinical trial design. Consequently, we sought to evaluate how the method of calculation (relative vs absolute) impacted the frequency and prognostic value of declines in FVC in patients with IPF.

METHODS

Patients Consecutive patients with a new diagnosis of IPF based on international guidelines19 were identified from two independent longitudinal cohorts at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) and the Mayo Clinic (Rochester, Minnesota, USA).
Patients were included in the study if they had two serial FVC measurements 12 months apart. The Institutional Review Boards at each institution approved the protocol.

Predictor and outcome variables
The primary predictor variable was a ≥10% decline in FVC, defined as the difference between the baseline FVC and the 12-month FVC (±3 months, figure 1). The change in FVC was calculated as relative change \( \frac{\text{FVC}_{\text{baseline}} - \text{FVC}_{\text{12-months}}}{\text{FVC}_{\text{baseline}}} \) using either FVC in litres or % predicted FVC and absolute change \( \text{FVC}_{\text{baseline}} - \text{FVC}_{\text{12-months}} \) using % predicted FVC. Since the two methods of calculating relative change are mathematically equivalent, we only report the data from relative change in % predicted FVC (data for the relative change in FVC in litres is included in table 1 in the online data supplement). Secondary analysis included the predictor variable of ≥5% and ≥15% declines in FVC. Additional predictor variables included were age, gender, oxygen use, FVC and diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO). FVC and DLCO were measured according to previous guidelines and % predicted FVC was recalculated from the raw data using the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) equation.

The primary outcome was 2-year transplant-free survival, defined as the absence of death or lung transplant at 2 years measured from the date of the 12-month FVC (ie, 2 years after the change in FVC was observed). Secondary outcomes included survival at 2 years, transplant-free survival at 1 year, survival at 1 year, time to death or lung transplant, and time to death. For survival outcomes, lung transplant was either not considered an event (survival at 2 years, survival at 1 year) or subjects were censored on the date of transplant (time to death). Vital status and transplantation status were determined from review of the medical record and use of the Social Security Death Registry (accessed on 27 Jun 2011 at http://ssdi.rootsweb.ancestry.com).

Statistical analysis
The frequency of decline in FVC was determined for both methods and compared using McNemar’s \( \chi^2 \) test (test of symmetry). The impact of baseline FVC on frequency of decline in FVC was evaluated by considering baseline FVC as a continuous variable and by stratifying baseline FVC above or below the median value. Logistic regression was used to determine the association of dichotomised decline in FVC in 2-year transplant-free survival. Unadjusted analyses were performed, followed by adjustment for age, gender, oxygen use and baseline % predicted FVC and DLCO. The utility of adding change in FVC to the nested baseline variables was tested using a likelihood ratio test. These analyses were repeated for secondary endpoints (logistic regression and Cox proportional hazards). The predictive ability of each method was compared using OR or HRs and the area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) curve or c-statistic as appropriate. We compared test characteristics subjectively since there is no formal means to directly compare these non-nested models. Analyses were repeated excluding patients with severe disease by physiological criteria (FVC <50% or DLCO <35% predicted). All data analysis was performed using Stata V.11.0 (Stata Corp).

RESULTS

Patient population
A total of 142 patients were included in the primary analysis (88 from UCSF and 54 from Mayo Clinic, table 1). Included patients did not differ in baseline characteristics or survival from those excluded due to lack of a 12-month follow-up FVC (n=189; data not shown). The mean age at the time of diagnosis was 67 years, most patients were men with a history of smoking, and most than half had surgical lung biopsy. The mean FVC at baseline was 2.7 litres (68% predicted) and mean DLCO was 12.4 ml/min/mm Hg (49% predicted). Patients had a wide range of disease severity, with a broad distribution of baseline FVC and DLCO (see figure 1 in the online data supplement). There were 108 patients that met physiological inclusion criteria commonly used for intervention studies (FVC ≥50% predicted and DLCO ≥35% predicted).

Frequency of decline in FVC over 12 months
The frequency of a ≥10% decline in FVC over 12 months was almost twice as high using the relative change in FVC than using the absolute change in FVC (50% vs 18%, p<0.001) (table 2). There was no significant relationship between baseline FVC and the prevalence of ≥10% decline in FVC over 12 months using either method (see table 2 and figure 2 in the online data supplement). The results were similar for 5% and 15% declines in FVC and when excluding patients with severe disease (table 2).

Baseline patient characteristics were not different when comparing patients without a ≥10% decline in FVC by either method (n=99), patients with a ≥10% decline only by the relative method (n=17), and patients with decline by both methods (n=26) (data not shown). Median transplant-free survival was 4.7 years for patients without a ≥10% decline in FVC by either method, 2.6 years for patients with a ≥10% decline only by the relative method, and 2.0 years for patients with a ≥10% decline by both methods (p value=0.001 for the difference between all groups).

Table 1 Baseline patient characteristics (n=142)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean (SD) or %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>67.0 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of smoking</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently smoking</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy proven disease</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current or previous prednose use</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term oxygen therapy</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, litres</td>
<td>2.70 (0.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, % predicted</td>
<td>67.6 (16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO (ml/min/mm Hg)</td>
<td>12.4 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO, % predicted</td>
<td>48.5 (15.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DLCO, diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide; FVC, forced vital capacity.
Whole cohort (n = 142)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of calculation</th>
<th>12-month FVC decline</th>
<th>15%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative change</td>
<td>70 (49.3)</td>
<td>43 (30.3)</td>
<td>30 (21.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute change</td>
<td>52 (35.6)</td>
<td>26 (18.3)</td>
<td>11 (7.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value for difference between methods</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excluding patients with severe disease (n = 108)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of calculation</th>
<th>12-month FVC decline</th>
<th>15%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative change</td>
<td>58 (53.7)</td>
<td>36 (33.3)</td>
<td>25 (23.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute change</td>
<td>46 (42.6)</td>
<td>22 (20.4)</td>
<td>7 (6.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value for difference between methods</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as number of patients (% of patients) meeting the stated threshold.

*p* Patients were excluded from this analysis if baseline FVC <50% or DLCO <35%

**Table 2** Frequency of ≥5%, ≥10% and ≥15% decline in FVC at 12 months

**Predictive value of decline in FVC over 12 months**

Both methods of calculating ≥10% decline in FVC predicted transplant-free survival at 2 years, using both unadjusted and adjusted analysis (table 3 and figure 2). The adjusted ORs for death or transplant at 2 years were 3.59 (95% CI 1.14 to 10.07) for the relative method and 4.52 (95% CI 1.27 to 16.12) for the absolute method, with overlapping CIs. Using the relative or absolute method, the AUROC was 0.82 with the addition of ≥10% decline in FVC to the baseline variables age, gender, oxygen use, % predicted FVC and % predicted DLCO. The addition of ≥10% FVC decline to baseline variables significantly improved model performance for both the relative and absolute methods (likelihood ratio test p value = 0.02 for both methods).

A ≥5% decline in FVC over 12 months predicted a greater risk of death or transplant at 2 years on adjusted analysis using only the absolute method of calculation (table 3). Adjustment for each individual covariate (age, gender, oxygen use, baseline FVC and baseline DLCO) increased the OR of death or transplant at 2 years. The greatest increase in OR was seen with the adjustment for baseline FVC. A ≥15% decline in FVC over 12 months predicted a greater risk of death or transplant at 2 years on unadjusted and adjusted analysis using only the relative method of calculation (table 3). The results were qualitatively similar for all secondary outcomes, including the direction and magnitude of the effect (OR and HRs; see tables 3–5 in the online data supplement), and the overall predictive accuracy of the models (AUROC curve and c-statistic; data not shown). The results were also similar for all analyses when excluding patients with severe disease (table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Recent evidence-based guidelines for the management of IPF state that an absolute decline in FVC of ≥10% over time is an acceptable method to assess disease progression and estimate risk of future mortality in patients with IPF. As a consequence, a ≥10% decline in FVC affects management decisions (eg, enrolment in a clinical trial, start of a therapy, referral for lung transplant evaluation) and the counselling of patients. Our results show that the method used to calculate change in FVC has a significant impact on the frequency of a decline in FVC over 12 months in patients with IPF, and suggest that a ≥10% relative decline in FVC may be preferable to an absolute ≥10% decline in assessing disease progression.

The choice of method has potential implications for both clinical practice and clinical trial design. Clinically, the use of the absolute method to calculate a ≥10% decline in FVC fails to identify almost half of patients with a ≥10% decline in FVC calculated using the relative method. These ‘relative-method positive, absolute-method negative’ patients had a similar 2-year transplant-free survival to patients with a ≥10% decline by both methods. This suggests that using the absolute method to calculate ≥10% decline in FVC will miss some patients that have a clinically meaningful decline in FVC, which could lead to delays in important management decisions.

Our findings also demonstrate the potential impact of the method used to calculate a ≥10% decline in FVC on the design and results of clinical trials. Almost twice as many patients...
Figure 3 Impact of method of calculating decline in forced vital capacity (FVC) on sample size estimates. Sample size requirements were determined for both methods of calculating change in FVC for a clinical trial using ≥10% decline in FVC as a primary endpoint. Sample size estimates are based on a head-to-head comparison of two therapies, with a baseline decline in FVC of equal frequency and variance compared with our cohort. Samples size estimates are provided for three different effect sizes (20% relative difference = 0.2, 35% relative difference = 0.35 and 50% relative difference = 0.5), and two different power levels (80% = black bar, 90% = black plus grey bar).
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