Coarse and fine particles but not ultrafine particles in urban air trigger hospital admission for asthma in children
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ABSTRACT

Background Short-term exposure to air pollution can trigger hospital admissions for asthma in children, but it is not known which components of air pollution are most important. There are no available studies on the particular effect of ultrafine particles (UFPs) on paediatric admissions for asthma.

Aim To study whether short-term exposure to air pollution is associated with hospital admissions for asthma in children. It is hypothesised that (1) the association between asthma admissions and air pollution is stronger with UFPs than with coarse (PM₁₀) and fine (PM₂.₅) particles, nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) or nitrogen dioxide (NO₂); and (2) infants are more susceptible to the effects of exposure to air pollution than older children.

Method Daily counts of admissions for asthma in children aged 0–18 years to hospitals located within a 15 km radius of the central fixed background urban air pollution measurement station in Copenhagen between 2001 and 2008 were extracted from the Danish National Patient Registry. A time-stratified case crossover design was applied and data were analysed using conditional logistic regression to estimate the effect of air pollution on asthma admissions.

Results A significant association was found between hospital admissions for asthma in children aged 0–18 years and NOₓ (OR 1.11; 95% CI 1.05 to 1.17), NO₂ (1.10; 95% CI 1.04 to 1.16), PM₁₀ (1.07; 95% CI 1.03 to 1.12) and PM₂.₅ (1.09; 95% CI 1.04 to 1.13); there was no association with UFPs. The association was stronger in infants than in older children, but no statistically significant interaction was detected.

Conclusion Short-term exposure to air pollution can trigger hospital admission for asthma in children, with infants possibly being most susceptible. These effects seemed to be mediated by larger particles and traffic-related gases, whereas UFPs showed no effect.

INTRODUCTION

Asthma is the most common chronic disease in children and the main cause of emergency room visits, hospital admissions and school absences. The incidence of childhood asthma has increased in recent decades in high-income countries, and environmental factors have gained increasing attention in attempts to understand the determinants of the asthma epidemic. Exposure to air pollution from traffic is ubiquitous in urban environments, affecting millions of people. Children are possibly more susceptible to the effects of air pollution than adults because their lungs and immune systems are developing, they inhale higher doses of air pollution relative to adults due to differences in breathing rates and patterns, and because they are generally more active in environments with high levels of pollutants when participating in sports and outdoor play. Chronic exposure to traffic-related air pollution in the early years of life has been suggested to contribute to the development of asthma in children, although evidence is mixed.

Short-term exposures of several days to elevated levels of air pollution have been shown to trigger exacerbations of asthma leading to increased rates of emergency room visits and hospital admissions for asthma, wheezing symptoms and decreased peak expiratory flow. However, many aspects of the relationship between air pollution and asthma in childhood need to be clarified.
and childhood asthma are not fully understood, including susceptibility by age and gender as well as which pollutants are most relevant. Ultrafine particles (UFPs; particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter <0.1 μm), the smallest fraction of particulate matter generated mainly by traffic, have been suggested to have particularly strong effects in the airways due to a high level of pulmonary deposition and their ability to cause inflammation and oxidative stress. However, epidemiological data have not been able to confirm this hypothesis, possibly due to lack of data on UFPs.

We have studied the effects on hospital admissions for asthma in children aged 0–18 years in Copenhagen, Denmark related to short-term changes in exposure to UFPs, nitrogen oxides (NOx) and particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter ≤10 μm and 2.5 μm (PM10 and PM2.5), and tested for the effect modification by age (infants (0–1 years), preschool children (2–5 years) and school children (6–18 years)) and gender.

METHODS

Study population

Hospital admissions due to asthma were obtained from the Danish National Patient Registry (DNPR) which is managed by the National Board of Health. We extracted daily counts of hospital admissions for asthma (ICD-10 codes J45-J46) among children aged 0–18 years between 15 May 2001 and 31 December 2008. Asthma admissions were extracted from eight hospitals located within a 15 km radius (average 6 km) from the central fixed background urban air pollution measurement station in Copenhagen.

Air pollution and meteorology

The pollutant and meteorological data were measured by the Danish National Environmental Research Institute at a fixed urban background measurement station (20 m height) in the centre of Copenhagen with low contributions from local streets. Main streets are located about 300 m west and 50 m east of the central station in Copenhagen.

RESULTS

A total of 8226 hospital admissions for asthma were recorded among children aged 0–18 years in the eight study hospitals in Copenhagen between 15 May 2001 and 31 December 2008, with a mean of 2.95 admissions per day. Of the 8226 hospital admissions, 2765 (33.6%) were for infants (0–1 years), 2892 (35.2%) for preschool children (2–5 years) and 2569 (31.2%) for school children (6–18 years). The majority of admissions were boys (67.3%).

Air pollution and meteorological conditions in Copenhagen between 2001 and 2008 are shown in table 1, and the correlations between pollution and weather are shown in table E1 in the online supplement. The yearly distribution of air pollution levels and meteorological conditions are given in table E2 in the online supplement. The monthly number of asthma admissions between pollution and weather are shown in table E1 in the online supplement. The monthly levels of NOx and UFPs are shown in figure 1. The variation in hospital admissions over time showed approximately the same temporal variation as that of NOx, indicating similar patterns of higher levels in winter and lower levels in summer, while the variations in UFPs did not correlate well with asthma admissions.

Air pollution and risk of hospital admission for asthma

We detected a significant positive association between hospital admissions for asthma in children and air pollution measured...
as NOx, NO2, PM10 and PM2.5, whereas a weak positive and non-significant association was detected with UFPs (table 2).

The highest risk estimate per increase in IQR of 5-day mean air pollution levels prior to hospital admission for asthma was observed for NOx (1.11; 95% CI 1.05 to 1.17), followed by NO2 (1.10; 95% CI 1.04 to 1.16), PM2.5 (1.09; 95% CI 1.04 to 1.13) and PM10 (1.07; 95% CI 1.03 to 1.12). No significant effect modification between air pollution levels and asthma hospital admissions were detected by age or gender (table 2). The effect of NOx was slightly attenuated but significant in all two-pollutant models, except for NO2 (table 3) which showed a stronger association with hospital admissions for asthma than any other pollutant. The effect of NO2 was also slightly attenuated in two-pollutant models, except for PM2.5 and UFPs. The effect of PM10 and PM2.5 was slightly attenuated in two-pollutant models, except for the effect of PM10 which was slightly enhanced in the model with PM2.5. The effect of UFPs diminished after adjustment for other pollutants.

The associations between asthma admissions and single-day levels of air pollution up to 4 days prior to admission showed statistically significant associations for lag 3 (1.09; 95% CI 1.03 to 1.15 and 1.07; 95% CI 1.01 to 1.14) and lag 4 (1.09; 95% CI 1.03 to 1.15 and 1.08; 95% CI 1.02 to 1.14) for NOx and NO2, for lag 2 (1.04; 95% CI 1.00 to 1.08) and lag 3 (1.06; 95% CI 1.02 to 1.11) for PM10, and lag 1, lag 2 and lag 3 for PM2.5, with the strongest association at lag 3 (1.09; 95% CI 1.04 to 1.15) (figure 2). No significant association was found between any single-day levels of UFPs and asthma admission.

Higher effect estimates of exposure to NOx, NO2, and PM2.5 were observed for hospital admissions for asthma in boys, but no statistically significant difference was detected between genders (table 2). The effects of exposure to PM10 and UFPs on hospital admissions for asthma were identical in boys and girls. We did not detect significant modification of the effect of air pollution on hospital admissions for asthma by age, but we found a stronger association in infants than in preschool and school children which was consistent for all pollutants. We found slightly attenuated effects but statistically significant positive associations between hospital admissions for asthma and NOx, NO2, PM2.5 and PM10 without wind speed in the model (see table E3 in online supplement). Similarly, when modelling hospital admissions for asthma with the Poisson GAM model, almost identical results were observed (see table E4 in online supplement).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study showed that ambient levels of particulates PM10 and PM2.5 and gases NOx and NO2 are associated with childhood hospital admissions for asthma in Copenhagen with an increase in the rate of admission of approximately 10% per IQR increase in air pollution levels.

---

**Table 1** Air pollutant levels and meteorological conditions in urban background in Copenhagen (May 2001-December 2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air pollutant (unit)</th>
<th>N* (days)</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
<th>Percentile 25th</th>
<th>75th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx (ppb)</td>
<td>2645</td>
<td>14.46 (8.08)</td>
<td>12.48 (8.62)</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>17.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2 (ppb)</td>
<td>2645</td>
<td>11.34 (4.96)</td>
<td>10.48 (6.53)</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>14.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10 (µg/m³)</td>
<td>2569</td>
<td>26.18 (12.84)</td>
<td>23.7 (13.4)</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5 (µg/m³)</td>
<td>1773</td>
<td>10.32 (5.39)</td>
<td>8.98 (4.8)</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>11.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFPs (particles/cm³)</td>
<td>1653</td>
<td>6399.24 (3203.95)</td>
<td>5839.13 (3812.86)</td>
<td>4136.22</td>
<td>7951.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dew point (°C)</td>
<td>2679</td>
<td>5.16 (6.03)</td>
<td>5.2 (9.43)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>10.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed (m/s)</td>
<td>2619</td>
<td>4.13 (1.49)</td>
<td>3.89 (1.99)</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global radiation (W/m²)</td>
<td>2679</td>
<td>116.8 (97.51)</td>
<td>95.72 (162.8)</td>
<td>26.77</td>
<td>189.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of days with available measurements of pollutants.

PM2.5 is measured with TEOM that measures about 30% too low particle mass due to evaporation of part of the particle mass inside the instrument.

---

**Figure 1** Number of hospitalised children with asthma during the study period (dashed lines) and average monthly air pollution measured as (A) nitrogen oxides (NOx) in parts per billion and (B) ultrafine particles (UFPs) in particles/cm³ (solid lines).
Table 2 Association between air pollution (per IQR increase in mean 5-day (lag 0–4) levels) and hospital admission for asthma in children, and effect modification by gender and age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>8155</td>
<td>1.11 (1.05 to 1.17)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>5508</td>
<td>1.13 (1.06 to 1.20)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>2647</td>
<td>1.08 (1.00 to 1.17)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infants (0–1 years)</td>
<td>2741</td>
<td>1.12 (1.04 to 1.21)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preschool children (2–5 years)</td>
<td>2873</td>
<td>1.11 (1.02 to 1.20)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School children (6–18 years)</td>
<td>2541</td>
<td>1.10 (1.02 to 1.20)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>8155</td>
<td>1.07 (1.03 to 1.12)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>5508</td>
<td>1.07 (1.02 to 1.12)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>2647</td>
<td>1.07 (1.00 to 1.14)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infants (0–1 years)</td>
<td>2741</td>
<td>1.11 (1.05 to 1.18)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preschool children (2–5 years)</td>
<td>2873</td>
<td>1.03 (0.97 to 1.10)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School children (6–18 years)</td>
<td>2541</td>
<td>1.07 (1.00 to 1.14)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM₂₅</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>6329</td>
<td>1.04 (1.00 to 1.12)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>4338</td>
<td>1.10 (1.05 to 1.15)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>1.06 (0.99 to 1.13)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infants (0–1 years)</td>
<td>2161</td>
<td>1.11 (1.04 to 1.18)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preschool children (2–5 years)</td>
<td>2236</td>
<td>1.06 (1.00 to 1.13)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School children (6–18 years)</td>
<td>1932</td>
<td>1.09 (1.01 to 1.16)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFPs</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>7004</td>
<td>1.06 (0.98 to 1.14)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>4756</td>
<td>1.06 (0.97 to 1.15)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>2246</td>
<td>1.06 (0.95 to 1.20)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infants (0–1 years)</td>
<td>2369</td>
<td>1.08 (0.97 to 1.22)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preschool children (2–5 years)</td>
<td>2464</td>
<td>1.07 (0.96 to 1.20)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School children (6–18 years)</td>
<td>2171</td>
<td>1.02 (0.91 to 1.15)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for dew point, wind speed and global radiation.
† Wald or likelihood ratio test for interaction.

We found no association between asthma admissions and UFPs, suggesting that adverse effects of acute exposures to air pollution on airways in children are more likely mediated by larger particles and/or gaseous emissions. We previously studied similar exposures in the Copenhagen Prospective Study on Asthma Birth Cohort and found that several days of exposure to NO₂ and NOₓ triggered episodes of ‘wheezing’ in infants born to mothers with asthma, whereas no significant association was detected with UFPs. Another Danish study of hospital admissions in school children (5–18 years) detected an association of borderline significance with PM₂₅ and weaker and non-significant associations with UFPs. The effects associated with UFPs in both studies were similar and slightly stronger than those observed with PM₁₀, but the study with hospital admissions showed that PM₂₅ and not UFPs mediated the effect of particles. Both of these studies with follow-up until 2004 were limited by a large number of missing data on UFPs which were first available in Denmark in May 2001. In the present study on hospital admissions in children aged 0–18 years and UFP data from 2001 to 2008, we found that hospital admissions for asthma were triggered by several days’ exposure to larger particles PM₁₀ and PM₂₅ but not to UFPs. This finding is in agreement with a study reporting acute effects of PM₁₀ but no effect of UFPs on peak expiratory flow in children aged 7–12 years with asthma. Similarly, a study in dogs failed to detect an effect of acute exposure to UFPs on airway reactivity or immune response. On the other hand, three studies in adults with asthma showed that respiratory effects related to air pollution were mediated by UFPs and not by larger particles. Overall, the evidence regarding the effects of UFPs on asthma is limited and conflicting, warranting more research.

The main limitation of this study is the assessment of air pollution exposure from a single background monitor as a proxy for personal exposure. Depending on the traffic intensity, wind direction and speed and building topography, the concentration of pollutants can vary considerably between sites within a city. The resulting exposure misclassifications introduce bias into our analysis which is not possible to quantify owing to lack of information on personal exposure. Furthermore, it can be argued that exposure misclassification may be larger for UFPs than for larger particles, which may explain the lack of association with this fraction of particulate matter. A 15 km radius from the central urban background measurement station represents the municipality limits of Copenhagen City with a similar population and traffic density and is assumed to be representative of air pollution levels measured at the central urban background measurement station. We have previously shown that the levels of UFPs at this site correlate well with the levels at a monitoring site at the kerbside (2 m height) of a busy street 3000 m away.
(Spearman correlation coefficient (RS)=0.62) and a rural monitoring site located in an agricultural area 30 km west of Copenhagen (RS=0.80). This indicates that the daily oscillations in traffic-related air pollutants on busy streets due to variation in traffic intensity, weather conditions and other factors are also reflected by urban background monitoring, and that a radius of 15 km from the background monitor is reasonable for the assessment of daily variations in population exposure. However, in the same study we showed that correlation between measurements of the urban background (20 m) and kerbside (2 m) monitor was lower for UFPs (RS=0.62) than for PM_{2.5} (RS=0.86) and PM_{10} (RS=0.65) and was lowest for the smallest fractions of UFPs, implying larger exposure misclassification for UFPs than for larger particles, and probably a larger bias towards zero in UFP effect estimates. We therefore cannot exclude the possibility that the lack of effect of UFPs in this study is due to differential exposure misclassification. On the other hand, we have earlier linked exposure to the same UFP data (and not with larger particles) in Copenhagen to hospital admissions for stroke in the same nine hospitals using the same epidemiological design (within 15 km radius from the central measurement station).

Various factors may modify the effect of air pollution on asthma. No significant evidence for effect modification by gender or age was found. However, boys seemed to be slightly more susceptible to outdoor air pollution than girls, since the risk estimates related to NOX and PM_{2.5} are higher. The effects of exposure to air pollution on asthma exacerbations are suggested to be stronger in children than in adults, as corroborated by two studies but not confirmed by a study reporting similar effects for children (3–15 years) and adults (15–49 years). Seasonality and associations with air pollution of asthma admissions in children have been shown to vary with age, showing the highest association with hospital admission rates for asthma among the youngest children (<2 years). One study found a stronger association between hospital admissions for asthma and air pollution in school children (age 6–18 years) than in preschool children (age <6 years) whereas, in our earlier birth cohort study, greater effects of air pollution on wheezing were seen in infants (aged 0–1 years) than in children.
aged 2–3 years. Other studies did not assess the susceptibility to air pollution by age. 

The strengths of our study include the long time series of daily measurements of UFP data (2001–8) which made it possible, for the first time, to investigate the association between UFPs and hospital admissions for asthma. A further strength of our study is the high quality of the hospitalisation register data derived from the DNPR. A validity study of DNFR data on asthma diagnoses in children aged 6–14 years showed that data on discharge asthma diagnoses were correct in 91% of cases, with medical records serving as the gold standard. Furthermore, the use of a time-stratified case crossover design minimises the effects of long-term seasonal trends and serial autocorrelation in the data and provides for full adjustment for confounders. We adjusted for possible effects of seasonal and long-term trends in air pollution levels or hospital admissions for asthma in the case crossover design by matching the air pollution level on the day of admission to hospital for asthma with the levels on the same weekday in the same month. Similarly, in time-series analyses (see online supplement), we modelled the calendar time by restricted cubic spline and thus adjusted for seasonal and long-varying trends. Finally, our findings were robust to a different modelling approach, using a time-series analysis with the GAM which used a different model of meteorology and long-term trends (see table E4 in online supplement).

The risk of hospital admission for asthma using GAM was estimated to be 10% (95% CI 5% to 16%) per IQR increase for NOx (see table E4 in online supplement). The GAM analysis also indicated that infants were more sensitive to air pollution than preschool children and school children.

Our study also has some limitations. Caution should be taken when interpreting results from two-pollutant models with PM_{10} and PM_{2.5} (correlation coefficient r = 0.95) as well as NOx and NO2 (r = 0.85) as the effect estimates of these highly correlated variables may be artefacts of collinearity. Furthermore, the air pollution data were measured at a single background monitoring station and there were no residential or school and kindergarten addresses. Finally, we cannot exclude the possibility that the lack of effect of exposure to UFPs in this study is due to a potentially larger measurement error in UFP data than in data on larger particles and gases, and thus higher exposure misclassification for UFPs which would bias estimates towards zero.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Short-term exposure to ambient air pollution increases the risk of admission to hospital for asthma among children. Gases (NOx and NO2) and coarse and fine particles (PM_{2.5} and PM_{10}) mediate the adverse effects whereas UFPs have no effect.
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