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ABSTRACT

Objective The Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) was designed to be self-completed by the patient. However, it may not be understood by all, and unrecognised problems with literacy can impair the process. The ESS has been translated into a pictorial version for use in those with normal or diminished literacy skills.

Methods An evaluation of the patients’ ability to self-complete the ESS was undertaken in sleep and non-sleep respiratory clinics. Errors or problems encountered were recorded on a standard questionnaire. With the aid of a medical artist, pictorial representations of the eight ESS questions were developed and the new pictorial ESS was offered to patients alongside the traditional ESS. The two scales were compared for agreement with a kappa statistic, and patients were asked to record a preference for either the written or the pictorial scale.

Results Evaluation of the traditional ESS showed that 33.8% (27/80) of ESS-naive patients made errors and 22.5% (18/80) needed help completing the questionnaire. The translated pictorial ESS showed good agreement with the traditional ESS on most questions; median kappa score 0.63, IQR 0.04. Fifty-five per cent reported a preference for the pictorial scale compared with the standard written ESS. Despite the fact that errors were frequently made on the traditional ESS, 96.8% of participants in the second study reported both scales to be easy to complete. More people (75.6%) reported the pictorial ESS to be very easy, in comparison with 64.6% of the worded ESS questionnaire.

Conclusion Errors are common when patients self-complete the traditional written ESS. Pictures with words have been shown to enhance the understanding and translation of medical information, and a pictorial translation of the ESS produces scores comparable with the traditional ESS and may be a suitable alternative for those with normal or diminished literacy.

INTRODUCTION

Obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome (OSAS) is estimated to affect 5% of the adult population. A key component of the disorder is excessive sleepiness in the daytime (EDS). Developed in 1991, the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) has become one of the most commonly used questionnaires to measure EDS. Designed to be self-administered, it asks the user to rate their level of sleepiness in eight different situations. Despite translation into several languages (including Chinese, German, Greek and Spanish), understanding of the scale is dependent on being able to read, write and interpret medical information. Our anecdotal experience suggests that patients have difficulty understanding and accurately self-completing the ESS.

Difﬁculty with completing forms can occur for many reasons. The written word and numerals are not universally understood; problems with functional literacy in medicine are both common and underestimated. Pictures or pictorial aids are a useful adjunct to medical information and aid the transfer and comprehension of written and spoken information. Recall of medication and understanding of instructions has been shown to be improved when pictures are used in conjunction with, or instead of, the written word, even in those with normal literacy skills. Such interventions are important as they can improve both understanding and compliance with medical treatments.

This report describes an evaluation of patients’ ability to self-complete the ESS and a study of the translation of the standard ESS into a pictorial scale to produce a questionnaire that is suitable for all, irrespective of literacy.

METHODS

An evaluation of patients’ ability to self-complete the ESS

For each of the eight questions in the ESS, the scorer (the patient) is required to rate their likelihood of sleepiness from 0 to 3: [0 = no, 1 = slight, 2 = moderate and 3 = high chance of dozing]. The total score is marked out of a maximum of 24, and a score ≥11 is considered abnormal.

We evaluated the ease of completing the ESS in Epworth-naive patients (new patients attending a sleep clinic, n = 80) and serial users of the scale (patients on treatment for OSAS attending a follow-up clinic, n = 121). Consecutive patients were given the ESS at the start of their clinic attendance and observed completing the form. Staff used a checklist (online figure 1) to record whether the ESS was correctly completed, whether help was provided and what errors, if any, were made.

Translating the ESS into a pictorial ESS (pESS)

Working with a medical artist, a team of health professionals with expertise in sleep medicine produced pictures representing the eight domains on the traditional ESS. A series of silhouette images depicting the sleepy person with an altered body position, with increased likelihood of sleepiness (ie, slumping forward in a chair) as in figure 1 were developed. Question 8 (Q8) required a decision as to whether the driver or the passenger was the sleepy character and two versions of the pESS representing this difference were produced and tested (studies 1 and 2). The sleep patients were then asked in study 3 to report a preference for the pESS or the traditional ESS.

Study 1

Patients attending the sleep centre or the lung function laboratory were asked to complete
a traditional ESS and a pESS, with the order of completion reversed on alternate days. Those attending the lung function laboratory were having routine lung function tests prior to operations, or for diagnosis or follow-up of a lung condition, and were not thought to have OSAS. Total ESS and pESS scores for each patient were compared for variability using the Wilcoxon signed rank test and a Cohen \( \kappa \) statistic to measure agreement between responses to the eight different pictorial and traditional ESS questions. Total sleepiness scores and responses to individual questions on the traditional ESS and pESS. Kappa scores are summarised in figure 2 and supplementary online figure 3. In figure 2, responses of our patients to each of the individual questions on both the traditional ESS and pESS are displayed. On the same chart are displayed the data from Professor Johns’ original study population in 1992. Wilcoxon signed rank test showed no significant difference between the overall score obtained from the traditional ESS compared with the scores on the pESS (\( p=0.086 \)). Ranking item scores from low to high (online data supplement table 2 and table 5) show similarities in response across the three groups, with some items (such as Q5) scoring consistently high while others (such as Q8 and Q6) produced consistently low results.

**Evaluation of the depiction of driving in the pESS (n = 136)**

A total of 136 patients (82 sleep centre and 54 lung function laboratory patients) completed the questionnaires. The mean sleepiness scores recorded were: 8.68 (± 5.2) pESS \( \sum Q1–8 \) (Q.8 representing the person in the vehicle as the sleepy driver), 8.54 (± 5.04) pESS \( \sum Q1–7 + Q.9 \) (Q.9 representing person in the image as the sleepy driver) and 8.63 (± 5.5) the standard
ESS; full tabulated results for total pESS and ESS scores are available online, in supplementary table 4. Item scores for the three different versions of Q8 were analysed separately. No differences in item scores across the three versions of Q8 were observed in those who reported they could not drive (n=49). However, respondents who held a driving licence (n=87) were significantly more likely to report sleepiness when the pESS depicted a sleepy passenger in the vehicle than when the pESS depicted a sleepy driver in the vehicle (p=0.003). A similar difference was seen in comparison with Q8 of the traditional ESS (p=0.02) and this was so whether the respondent came from the sleep or lung function group of patients (table 1).

Patient preferences for the traditional ESS or pESS (sleep n=82)
Eighty-two other patients attending the sleep centre, who had not taken part in the previous studies, completed evaluations of the pESS and traditional ESS questionnaires with the following mean sleepiness scores: pESS 9.24 (±4.48) and traditional ESS 9.56 (± 4.99); full online results, table 5. A total of 54.9% (45/82) reported a preference for the pESS compared with 45.1% (37/82) a preference for the traditional word-only ESS. The majority of patients, 96.8%, reported both scales to be easy to complete. More people, 75.6%, recorded the pESS as very easy to complete in contrast to the word ESS questionnaire, 64.6%.

DISCUSSION
Quantification of sleepiness remains an essential tool in sleep medicine. Objective laboratory measurements such as the multiple sleep latency test are useful but labour intensive and costly, and for this reason subjective sleepiness records are more commonly employed. While the traditional written ESS has received international acceptance, it has limitations. In our practice we observed patient difficulties in completing the form and quantified this finding. We have demonstrated how one-third (33.8%) of new users and one-sixth (15.7%) of routine users of the ESS make errors or need assistance completing of the

Table 1 Comparing item scores for two pictorial derivations of question 8 of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS); one showing a sleepy passenger (Q8) and the other showing a sleepy driver (Q9) in the vehicle, and question 8 of the traditional ESS, among total group (n=136), sleep centre (n=82) and lung function laboratory (n=54) patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers and non-drivers</th>
<th>Total group Sleep Lung Function</th>
<th>Total group Sleep Lung Function</th>
<th>Total group Sleep Lung Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drivers (n=87)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean score</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-drivers (n=49)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean score</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p=0.003 between drivers’ responses: pictorial ESS Q8 vs pictorial ESS Q9.
*p=0.02 pictorial ESS Q8 vs ESS Q8.
scale. For international use it requires translation and it is likely to be less useful in those with reduced literacy skills. Our study suggests that this is a highly prevalent problem. People have problems filling in forms for many reasons. The addition of pictures to words has been shown to be useful and is one method of broadening the accessibility of medical questionnaires or information. A South African group have previously developed a cartoon faces sleepiness scale for children, which measures ‘point’ or ‘here and now’ sleepiness (as opposed to propensity to sleepiness associated with behaviours over time). We worked with a medical artist to produce pictorial translations of the ESS questions and coupled images with words to produce a pESS. When tested with the traditional ESS the pictorial scale achieved an acceptable level of equivalence and comparable total ESS scores. Two of the item scores (Q5 and Q5) were in the moderate \( \kappa \) range; however, overall median \( \kappa \) results showed good agreement between the scales with an IQR of 0.04. John’s original study obviously used a different population from ours, but the distribution of scores between questions shows a similar pattern to that in our study, with patients being more likely to report excessive daytime sleepiness, for example, when watching television or when resting in the afternoon, than when sitting and talking to someone or when in a car stopped for a few moments in traffic.

Depicting the character of the sleepy person as the driver or the passenger in the vehicle resulted in different responses according to whether the respondent held a driving licence or not. Subjects with a driving licence were significantly less likely to record feeling sleepy on the traditional ESS Q8 and the pESS Q9 when the image depicted a sleepy driver in a vehicle, and reported a higher likelihood of sleepiness when the pESS showed a sleepy passenger in a vehicle. This difference in response was not observed among patients who were non-drivers. Measuring driver sleepiness is a sensitive and complicated issue, and the interpretation of this finding is difficult. There may be reluctance to admit to sleepiness while behind the wheel for legal reasons or for fear of losing one’s driving licence, particularly among people who rely on driving for their occupation. Alternatively, this difference may be the result of driving producing a sufficient stimulus to reduce sleepiness. For the purpose of eliciting a valid response to sleepiness in a vehicle, depicting the passenger as the sleepy character may be the preferred option and has been chosen for the final pESS.

Among patients who rated the two scales, both were reported to be easy to complete. However, more people rated the pictorial scale as very easy, and a preference for the pESS was found in 55% of users.

In summary, the addition of pictures to the ESS to produce a translated pESS did not detract from the original intent of the scale and we have shown that it is possible to devise a pESS which has good agreement with the traditional written ESS. Using pictures in medicine should not be to the exclusion of words. To elicit the best possible understanding, combining both pictures and words to maximise intended meaning is important and necessary. The authors make the pESS shown in this paper freely available and it may be downloaded at tinyurl.com/pictorialESS. Comments, feedback and discussion on the pESS are welcomed at this site.
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