

Abstract S33 Table 1 Main outcome measures at baseline and post intervention: change from baseline

Outcome Measure	Group	Baseline mean(SD) (n=23 PAI, n=27 PR)	Post intervention mean(SD) (PAI n=18, PR n=19)	Difference mean change (95% CI)	Effect Size
Actigraph step count	PAI	3305.65 (1960.24) N=17	4768.21 (2992.11) N=14	972.02 (-1080.35 to 3024.39)	0.42
	PR	3946.17 (2263.11) N=24	3476.60 (2307.87) N=12	4.26 (-440.94 to 449.46)	
Total moderate-vigorous PA	PAI	14.28 (15.30) N=17	24.49 (26.01) N=14	6.65 (-10.37 to 23.68)	0.30
	PR	14.64 (15.33) N=24	12.80 (20.05) N=12	0.86 (-3.19 to 4.90)	
Pedometer step count	PAI	3044.43 (1871.09) N=22	5570.75 (3486.70) N=16	2310.31 (384.20 to 4236.42)	0.77
	PR	3387.20 (1942.80) N=21	3917.54 (2194.95) N=13	146.91 (-823.42 to 1117.23)	
IPAQ Total PA level (MET/mins/week)	PAI	1464.07 (1553.34)	2427.75 (1559.72) N=18	907.50 (-221.57 to 2036.57)	0.14
	PR	1734.03 (1692.63)	2229.86 (2189.92) N=18 (Σ n=1)	547.52 (-827.74 to 1922.77)	
CAT (0-40; a higher score indicates a higher severity)	PAI	23.83(6.86)	22.47 (7.05) n=17 (*n=1)	0.65 (-3.29 to 4.58)	0.15
	PR	19.41 (8.01)	16.58 (5.26)	-0.42 (-3.51 to 2.67)	
ISWT Distance (M) (0-1020m; a higher score indicates a higher exercise capacity)	PAI	253.04 (118.84)	288.13 (107.03) n=16 (α n=1, *n=1)	-11.88 (-60.06 to 36.31)	-0.05
	PR	254.81 (139.80)	267.65 (144.50) n=17 (Σn=1, *n=1)	-7.65 (-43.57 to 28.28)	

*OM not available, α: unable to travel as unwell, *:paper based OMs available only, Σ:unwilling to travel,

S34 EFFECT OF 8 AND 12 WEEKS' ONCE-DAILY TIOTROPIUM AND OLODATEROL, ALONE AND COMBINED WITH EXERCISE TRAINING, ON EXERCISE ENDURANCE DURING WALKING IN PATIENTS WITH COPD

¹T Troosters, ²J Bourbeau, ³F Maltais, ⁴N Leidy, ⁵D Erzen, ⁶D De Sousa, ⁷L Korducki, ⁸KL Lavoie, ⁹W Janssens, ⁶A Hamilton. ¹KU Leuven, Department of Rehabilitation Sciences and University Hospital Leuven, Pulmonary Rehabilitation and Respiratory Division, Leuven, Belgium; ²McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Canada; ³Centre de Recherche, Institut Universitaire de Cardiologie et de Pneumologie de Québec, Université Laval, Laval, Canada; ⁴Evidera, Bethesda, USA; ⁵Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH and Co. KG, Biberach an der Riss, Germany; ⁶Boehringer Ingelheim (Canada) Ltd, Burlington, Canada; ⁷Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc, Ridgefield, USA; ⁸Montreal Behavioural Medicine Centre, Research Centre, Hopital du Sacré-Coeur de Montreal, Montreal, Canada; ⁹University Hospital Gasthuisberg, Respiratory Division, Leuven, Belgium

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-209333.40

Rationale Physical deconditioning is common in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), limiting exercise tolerance. PHYSACTO[®] (NCT02085161) tested the effects of long-acting bronchodilators alone or combined with exercise training (ExT) on exercise endurance time (EET) in patients with COPD. All patients took part in a standardised physical activity self-management behaviour-modification (BM) programme.

Methods A 12-week, randomised, partially double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial at 34 sites in Australia, New Zealand, USA, Canada and Europe. Interventions (all with 12-week BM) were: BM + placebo; BM + tiotropium (T) 5 µg; BM + T + olodaterol (T/O) 5/5 µg; BM + T/O 5/5 µg with 8 weeks' ExT (T/O 5/5 µg + ExT). EET (log transformed) during an endurance shuttle-walk test (ESWT) to symptom limitation was assessed after 8 weeks (primary end point) and 12 weeks.

Results 303 patients (200 men) were randomised and treated (full analysis set n=274). Mean post-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second was 1.59 L (57% predicted). EET was significantly increased in patients receiving BM + T/O 5/5 µg

Abstract S34 Table 1

Intervention arm	EET, treatment comparison vs BM + placebo		
	EET, adjusted mean ± SE, seconds	Adjusted mean difference ± SE, seconds	95% CI
Week 8	355.73 ± 24.787	1.458 ± 0.147 ^c	1.196,
BM + T/O + ExT	315.32 ± 21.671	1.292 ± 0.129 ^a	1.777
(n = 70)	254.18 ± 18.099	1.041 ± 0.106	1.061,
BM + T/O	244.07 ± 17.666		1.573
(n = 72)			0.853,
BM + T (n = 67)			1.272
BM + placebo			
(n = 65)			
Week 12	324.21 ± 24.095	1.333 ± 0.142 ^b	1.080,
BM + T/O + ExT	302.61 ± 21.691	1.244 ± 0.131 ^a	1.645
(n = 66)	255.67 ± 19.292	1.051 ± 0.113	1.011,
BM + T/O	243.30 ± 18.680		1.530
(n = 71)			0.850,
BM + T (n = 64)			1.299
BM + placebo			
(n = 62)			

^ap<0.05; ^bp<0.01; ^cp<0.001
SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval.

compared to placebo and was increased to a greater extent in patients receiving BM + T/O 5/5 µg + ExT compared to placebo at 8 weeks. EET was not significantly increased in patients receiving BM + T 5 µg compared to placebo (Table). Similar increases in EET were demonstrated at 12 weeks (Table). 13 patients reached test termination criteria (20 minutes) without symptom limitation (placebo, n=0; T, n=3; T/O, n=2; T/O + ExT, n=8) at 8 weeks. No safety concerns were identified.

Conclusions When added to BM, the bronchodilator combination T/O 5/5 µg, used alone and combined with ExT, improved EET during ESWT compared to placebo in moderate to severe COPD.

Funding Boehringer Ingelheim.

Please refer to page A270 for declarations of interest in relation to abstract S34.

S35 EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF THE DIRECT SWITCH FROM VARIOUS PREVIOUS TREATMENTS TO GLYCOPYRRONIUM OR INDACATEROL/ GLYCOPYRRONIUM IN PATIENTS WITH MODERATE COPD: THE CRYSTAL STUDY

¹C Vogelmeier, ²M Aalamian-Mattheis, ¹T Greulich, ³J M Marin, ⁴W Castellani, ⁵T Similowski, ⁶V Ninane, ⁷M Gaga, ⁸S Lane, ⁹X Nunez, ²F Patalano, ²A Clemens, ²K Kostikas. ¹University Medical Centre Giessen and Marburg, Marburg, Germany; ²Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland; ³Respiratory Medicine, Hospital Universitario Miguel Servet, Zaragoza, Spain; ⁴Department of Respiratory Physiopathology, Palagi Hospital, Florence, Italy; ⁵Respiratory Medicine and Intensive Care, Pitié – Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France; ⁶CHU Saint-Pierre – Service de Pneumologie, Brussels, Belgium; ⁷Athens Chest Hospital “Sotiria”, Athens, Greece; ⁸Adelaide and Meath Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; ⁹TFS Develop, Barcelona, Spain

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-209333.41

Introduction and objectives In contrast to clinical trials, changes to new therapies in clinical practice occur without any washout period. The CRYSTAL study was designed to mimic clinical practice. Patients with symptomatic, non-frequently exacerbating,

moderate COPD treated with various drugs were directly switched to glycopyrronium 50 µg (GLY) or indacaterol/glycopyrronium 110/50 µg (IND/GLY). Lung function and symptoms were evaluated.

Methods CRYSTAL was a prospective, multicentre, 12-week, randomised, pragmatic, open-label trial. Patients were recruited into 4 Groups according to previous medication and symptoms (mMRC) and randomised to a direct switch to GLY or IND/GLY vs. continuation of baseline therapy (3:1). Co-primary objectives were superiority of GLY vs. previous SABA and/or SAMA, non-inferiority of GLY vs. previous LABA or LAMA, and superiority of IND/GLY vs. LABA, LAMA and LABA+ICS regarding trough FEV₁ and transition dyspnoea index (TDI) at Week 12. Due to slow recruitment, Groups A and B were prematurely discontinued at the time of completion of Groups C and D.

Results Of the 4,389 patients randomised, 2,159 patients received IND/GLY (C2: n = 811; D2: n = 811) or continued their previous treatment (LABA + ICS C1: n = 269; LABA or LAMA D1: n = 268). IND/GLY provided superior improvement in trough FEV₁ at Week 12 vs. LABA + ICS (treatment difference (Δ) = 71 mL, p < 0.0001) and LABA or LAMA (Δ = 101 mL, p < 0.0001). IND/GLY also improved TDI vs. LABA + ICS (Δ = 1.10 units, p < 0.0001) and vs. LABA or LAMA (Δ = 1.26 units, p < 0.0001). Significantly more patients on IND/GLY reached the minimally clinically important difference (MCID) of 100 mL for trough FEV₁ and 1 point for TDI vs. comparators (Table 1). In the Groups A and B that were underpowered due to sample size, GLY was superior to previous SABA and/or SAMA and was non-inferior to previous LABA or LAMA on trough FEV₁ and TDI (Table 1). GLY and IND/GLY were well tolerated.

Conclusions In the pragmatic CRYSTAL trial, IND/GLY demonstrated superior improvement in lung function (trough FEV₁) and dyspnoea (TDI) after 12 weeks, in symptomatic patients with moderate COPD and a history of up to 1 exacerbation in the previous year, after direct switch from previous treatment with either LABA+ICS or with a LABA or LAMA.

Abstract S35 Table 1 CRYSTAL Study Results

	A1 (n = 122)	A2 (n = 369)	B1 (n = 420)	B2 (n = 1254)	C1 (n = 269)	C2 (n = 811)	D1 (n = 268)	D2 (n = 811)
Trough FEV ₁ (L)	1.826 [1.780, 1.873]	1.892 [1.865, 1.919]	1.800 [1.777, 1.824]	1.822 [1.808, 1.835]	1.685 [1.654, 1.715]	1.756 [1.738, 1.774]	1.673 [1.646, 1.699]	1.774 [1.759, 1.790]
Differences in trough FEV ₁ (L)	0.065 [0.011, 0.119]*		0.021 [-0.006, 0.048] [#]		0.071 [0.036, 0.107]**		0.101 [0.071, 0.132]**	
Patients with MCID in trough FEV ₁ (≥ 100 mL) [†]	1.770 (1.150, 2.724)		1.401 (1.092, 1.798)		1.902 (1.421, 2.546)		2.526 (1.863, 3.424)	
TDI	0.51 [-0.01, 1.03]	2.30 [2.00, 2.60]	0.70 [0.42, 0.98]	1.44 [1.27, 1.60]	0.90 [0.47, 1.23]	1.95 [1.72, 2.18]	0.90 [0.51, 1.22]	2.12 [1.91, 2.33]
Differences in TDI	1.79 [1.19, 2.39]**		0.74 [0.41, 1.06] [#]		1.10 [0.652, 1.55]**		1.26 [0.848, 1.67]**	
Patients with MCID in TDI (≥ 1 point) [†]	4.58 [2.86, 7.34]		2.57 [2.00, 3.29]		2.609 [1.94, 3.50]		2.853 [2.13, 3.82]	

All data are LSM Data with [95% CI], unless otherwise stated

[†]Odds ratios are displayed with [95% CI]

Linear mixed model. All primary efficacy analysis are superiority analysis except B1-B2 which are non-inferiority analysis.

Group B: non-inferiority testing (Δ: -40mL for trough FEV₁ and -0.6 points for TDI)

* p < 0.05; ** p < 0.0001; [#]p < 0.0001 (non-inferiority)

A1 (any SABA and/or SAMA), A2 (GLY), B1 (any LABA or LABA and mMRC = 1), B2 (GLY and mMRC = 1)

C1 (any LABA and ICS), C2 (IND/GLY), D1 (any LABA or LABA and mMRC > 1), D2 (IND/GLY and mMRC > 1)

CI: confidence intervals; LSM: least square means; OR: odds ratio; MCID, minimal clinically important difference