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ABSTRACT

Background While some retrospective studies have suggested that β-blocker use in patients with COPD is associated with a reduction in the frequency of acute exacerbations and lower mortality, there is concern that their use in patients with severe COPD on home oxygen may be harmful.

Methods Subjects with Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) stage 2–4 COPD participating in a prospective follow-up of the COPDGene cohort, a multicentre observational cohort of current and former smokers were recruited. Total and severe exacerbation rates were compared between groups categorised by β-blocker use on longitudinal follow-up using negative binomial regression analyses, after adjustment for demographics, airflow obstruction, %emphysema on CT, respiratory medications, presence of coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure and coronary artery calcification, and after adjustment for propensity to prescribe β-blockers.

Results 3464 subjects were included. During a median of 2.1 years of follow-up, β-blocker use was associated with a significantly lower rate of total (incidence risk ratio (IRR) 0.73, 95% CI 0.60 to 0.90; p=0.003) and severe exacerbations (IRR 0.67, 95% CI 0.48 to 0.93; p=0.016). In those with GOLD stage 3 and 4 and on home oxygen, use of β-blockers was again associated with a reduction in the rate of total (IRR 0.33, 95% CI 0.19 to 0.58; p<0.001) and severe exacerbations (IRR 0.35, 95% CI 0.16 to 0.76; p=0.008). Exacerbation reduction was greatest in GOLD stage B. There was no difference in all-cause mortality with β-blocker use.

Conclusions β-Blockers are associated with a significant reduction in COPD exacerbations regardless of severity of airflow obstruction. The findings of this study should be tested in a randomised, placebo-controlled trial.

Trial registration number (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00608764).

BACKGROUND

COPD is now the third leading cause of death in the USA, and the majority of COPD-related morbidity and healthcare costs is due to acute exacerbations.1 Unfortunately, current therapies targeting airflow limitation and pulmonary inflammation only result in a modest reduction in exacerbation risk even when treatments are used in combination.2 There is increasing recognition that COPD is a multisystem disease associated with a number of significant comorbid illnesses including diagnosed and subclinical cardiovascular disease, which can cause or contribute to moderate exacerbations managed in the outpatient setting as well as more severe events requiring hospitalisation.3 Despite concerns that β-blockers may worsen lung function in patients with COPD,45 randomised trials do not support this fear6 and multiple retrospective studies have in fact suggested that they are associated with a reduction in overall mortality,7–12 a reduction in the frequency of acute exacerbations10 12–14 and improved survival when continued in-hospital during an exacerbation.15 16 This may be due to cardioprotective effects17 though pulmonary-specific benefits could also play a role. These findings are in sharp contrast to those from the single prospective study examining the issue, which reported that β-blocker use in patients with severe COPD on home oxygen is associated with increased mortality.18 We sought to revisit this question by analysing prospectively collected data from the well-characterised COPDGene cohort19 and hypothesised that β-blockers would be associated with a reduction in exacerbations. We also analysed the relationships between calcium channel blockers and COPD exacerbations in a randomised controlled trial.20

Key messages

What is the key question?

▸ What is the impact of β-blockers on the rate of exacerbations in COPD?

What is the bottom line?

▸ We show that β-blockers are associated with a significant reduction in COPD exacerbations, an effect not seen with other cardiac medications, though this potential benefit should be tested in a randomised trial.

Why read on?

▸ The data also suggest that β-blockers are safe and associated with reduced exacerbations in subjects with severe COPD and on home oxygen, a subgroup that was considered at especially high risk for complications from β-blocker use.
blocks (CCBs) and ACE inhibitors (ACEIs)/angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) and the rate of exacerbations.

METHODS
Study population and assessments
Subjects from a large multicentre cohort study (COPDGene) with Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) stage 2–4 were included in the study. The COPDGene study enrolled a total of 10 300 persons, of whom 3464 participants had stage 2–4 COPD. The COPDGene study details have been previously published. Briefly, current and former smokers aged 45–80 years were enrolled, and those with known lung disease other than COPD and asthma were excluded. Diagnosis of COPD was made using the post-bronchodilator ratio of FEV$_1$ to the FVC of <0.70. Respiratory morbidity was assessed at baseline, and this included the 6 min walk distance, respiratory disease-related health impairment and quality of life (St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) score), and dyspnoea using the Modified Medical Research Council (MMRC) dyspnoea score. Subjects were categorised into GOLD stages A–D per GOLD COPD guidelines. Since we did not measure COPD Assessment Test scores, we used SGRQ as a substitute to derive GOLD stages.

High-resolution CT scans were performed at full inspiration and after exhalation. Emphysema was estimated by the percentage of lung volume on the inspiratory CT with attenuation <$-950$ Hounsfield Units (HU) (low attenuation area, %LAA950insp), and gas trapping by the percentage of lung volume on the expiratory CT with attenuation <$-856$ HU (%LAA856exp), using 3D Slicer software (http://www.airwayinspector.org). Airway wall thickness was measured by wall area percentage at the segmental and subsegmental level using Pulmonary Workstation 2 (VIDA Diagnostics, Coralville, Iowa, USA). Coronary artery calcification (CAC) was measured using Agatston scores. In order to examine relationship between β-blocker use and significant coronary artery disease (CAD), we used Agatston score >400 to indicate presence of significant CAD.

Comorbidities were recorded as patient-reported physician-diagnosed conditions. Data on medication use were obtained at the time of enrolment as reported by participants, and when available, by inspecting their medication containers. Medication history included use of respiratory inhalers such as short-acting and long-acting β agonists, short- and long-acting anticholinergics, and inhaled corticosteroids. Relevant cardiac medications including β-blockers, CCBs, ACEIs and ARBs were also recorded.

Exacerbations were defined as worsening of respiratory symptoms requiring use of either antibiotics or systemic steroids, and those requiring hospitalisation were termed severe exacerbations. Data on vital status were also obtained.

Statistical analyses
Subjects were categorised by use of three major categories of cardiac medications (β-blockers, CCBs and combined ACEI/ARBs). Bivariate comparisons were made between those using and not using these drugs using χ$^2$ test for categorical variables such as race, gender, CAD, congestive heart failure (CHF) and the use of long-acting respiratory medications (long-acting β-agonists/inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting antimuscarinics), and two-tailed two sample t tests for continuous variables including age, pack-years of smoking, body mass index (BMI), FEV$_1$, %emphysema on CT and log CAC. Data for CAC were log transformed due to its non-normal distribution.

Due to over-dispersion of exacerbations, negative binomial regression was performed for the primary analyses of rates of exacerbation on follow-up in patients taking β-blockers compared with those who were not. Predictors of exacerbations were selected based on previous COPD studies examining this issue, and log CAC was included as a potentially new predictor. Variables significant on bivariate analyses at a two-sided significance level of 0.05 were included in the multivariable negative binomial model. To identify potential multicollinearity problems, variance inflation factor (VIF) was estimated. Variables with VIF >5 were excluded from the model. Similar analyses were repeated for CCBs and ACEI/ARBs. To assess the robustness of the negative binomial models, we also compared the time to first contact at which an exacerbation was captured by the longitudinal follow-up system using Cox proportional hazards models. Unadjusted comparisons were first made for each covariate with time to exacerbation reporting as the outcome variable and those variables significant with a p value <0.05 were included to adjust HR. The aforementioned analyses were repeated for CCBs and ACEI/ARBs. Proportionality assumptions were tested by using log–log plot for categorical variables and Schoenfeld residual plots for each of the predictors.

Propensity score analysis
As β-blockers are more likely to be prescribed to patients with CAD and CHF, and there is a tendency to under-prescribe the drugs in those with COPD, propensity score was used to adjust for covariates associated with β-blocker use. A logistic regression model was first created with β-blocker use as the dependent variable and demographics including age, race, gender, BMI, smoking history in pack-years, CAD, CHF and COPD severity by GOLD stage (2–4) as covariates. The predicted probability of treatment with β-blocker was calculated from this regression model and was the propensity score used in an effort to balance the distribution of measured baseline covariates between treated and non-treated groups. The propensity score as a continuous variable was then entered into the original regression model to compare rates of exacerbations in those who were and were not receiving β-blockers. Sensitivity analyses were performed by adding baseline dyspnoea and quality of life as measured by MMRC and SGRQ scores to the propensity scores.

To assess survival with β-blocker use, Cox proportional hazards analysis was performed. Single predictor models were evaluated for demographics including age, race, gender, BMI, pack-years, CAD, CHF, long-acting respiratory medications, FEV$_1$, %emphysema on CT and log CAC, respectively. Those variables significant at a two-sided α level of 0.05 were included in the multivariable model to assess adjusted HR for mortality with β-blocker use. Final results were determined to be statistically significant when the accompanying statistical test yielded a two-tailed probability of ≤0.05. All analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS V22.0, SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

RESULTS
Subject characteristics
In total, 3464 subjects were included with a mean age (SD) of 63.4±8.5 years. Females comprised 44.4% (n=1537) of the cohort, 765 (22.1%) were African-American and 1410 (40.7%) were active smokers. Patients spanned the spectrum of COPD disease severity with 1831 (52.9%), 1092 (31.5%) and 541 (15.6%) having GOLD stage 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The mean per cent predicted FEV$_1$ was 50.3±17.8, 955 (27.6%) were on

home oxygen therapy and 777 (22.4%) subjects had a severe exacerbation in the year prior to enrolment. CAD was present in 565 (16.3%) of patients of whom 212 (37.5%) were on β-blockers. CHF was present in 181 (5.2%) of whom 58 (32.0%) were on β-blockers. More than half reported a history of hypertension.

Table 1 shows a comparison of the baseline characteristics and comorbidities for those receiving β-blockers versus those not prescribed these drugs. Similar comparisons for CCBs and ACE/ARBs are provided in online supplementary table S1. When compared with those not on β-blockers, those receiving these medications were older, had a greater smoking burden and had a higher BMI. African-Americans were significantly less likely to be taking β-blockers compared with Caucasians. Resting heart rate was lower in those on β-blockers compared with those not on the medication (69.5±12.0 vs 78.8±12.8; p<0.001). The overall propensity score for those on β-blockers was higher than in those not on β-blockers (0.25±0.18 vs 0.12 ±0.11; p<0.001). Addition of MMRC and SGRQ to the pro-

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics of subjects on β-blockers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>β-blocker</th>
<th>Yes (n=474)</th>
<th>No (n=2990)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>668 (7.7)</td>
<td>628 (8.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American (%)</td>
<td>189 (39.9)</td>
<td>1348 (45.1)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, African-American (%)</td>
<td>73 (15.4)</td>
<td>692 (23.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.9 (6.7)</td>
<td>27.8 (6.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack-years of smoking</td>
<td>66.6 (12.0)</td>
<td>78.8 (12.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (per minute)</td>
<td>69.5 (12.0)</td>
<td>78.8 (12.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.13)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLD stage, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>292 (61.6)</td>
<td>1539 (51.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>144 (30.4)</td>
<td>948 (31.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>38 (8.0)</td>
<td>503 (16.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100 (21.1)</td>
<td>855 (28.6)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home oxygen therapy, %</td>
<td>92 (19.4)</td>
<td>685 (22.9)</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe exacerbation in prior year, %</td>
<td>99 (20.9)</td>
<td>830 (27.8)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma, %</td>
<td>212 (44.7)</td>
<td>1533 (51.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease, %</td>
<td>37 (7.8)</td>
<td>83 (2.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease, %</td>
<td>51 (10.8)</td>
<td>155 (5.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure, %</td>
<td>58 (12.2)</td>
<td>123 (4.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, %</td>
<td>400 (84.4)</td>
<td>1536 (54.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, %</td>
<td>114 (21.4)</td>
<td>326 (10.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphysema (%LAA&lt;950 HU)</td>
<td>9.9 (10.5)</td>
<td>13.4 (12.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas trapping (%LAA&lt;850 HU)</td>
<td>34.8 (18.8)</td>
<td>39.7 (20.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall area % segmental</td>
<td>62.8 (3.0)</td>
<td>62.7 (3.0)</td>
<td>0.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>432.6 (73.9)</td>
<td>212.2 (397.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMRC</td>
<td>2.0 (1.4)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ</td>
<td>23.6 (20.2)</td>
<td>31.4 (22.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD (m)</td>
<td>349 (127)</td>
<td>351 (133)</td>
<td>0.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BODE</td>
<td>2.7 (1.9)**</td>
<td>3.0 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Comparison of respiratory medications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>β-Blocker</th>
<th>Yes (n=474)</th>
<th>No (n=2990)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SABA</td>
<td>264 (56.8)</td>
<td>1791 (60.7)</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMAX %</td>
<td>65 (14.3)</td>
<td>598 (20.6)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LABA %</td>
<td>188 (39.7)</td>
<td>1433 (47.9)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMA %</td>
<td>174 (37.6)</td>
<td>1166 (40.1)</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS %</td>
<td>191 (40.3)</td>
<td>1494 (50.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination ICS/LABA %</td>
<td>173 (37.9)</td>
<td>1324 (46.2)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple therapy %</td>
<td>102 (22.4)</td>
<td>814 (28.4)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theophylline %</td>
<td>9 (2.3)</td>
<td>138 (4.7)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic steroids %</td>
<td>11 (2.8)</td>
<td>178 (6.1)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This medication was used alone or in combination.

ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; LABA, long-acting β-agonist; LAMA, long-acting muscarinic antagonist; SABA, short-acting β-agonist; SAMA, short-acting muscarinic antagonist; Triple therapy, ICS+LABA+LAMA.
reduction in the rate of total (IRR 0.33, 95% CI 0.19 to 0.58; p<0.001) and severe exacerbations (IRR 0.35, 95% CI 0.16 to 0.76; p=0.008). On propensity adjustment using the above factors as well as baseline MMRC and SGRQ, the exacerbation rate remained lower with the use of β-blockers (IRR for total exacerbations 0.34, 95% CI 0.19 to 0.60; p<0.001, and IRR for severe exacerbations 0.36, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.79; p=0.010). We also specifically examined the relationship between non-selective β-blockers and exacerbation rates. Only 52 (2.1%) were on non-selective β-blockers and no differences in exacerbations with use of these medications were observed (adjusted IRR for total and severe exacerbations 1.16, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.87; p=0.546, and 1.12, 95% CI 0.51 to 2.44; p=0.774, respectively).

We further analysed the association between exacerbations and the use of β-blockers by the new GOLD stage scheme (table 3). There were 719, 856, 201 and 1688 subjects in GOLD stage A–D, and CAD was present in 12.9%, 16.5%, 10.0% and 18.4%, respectively. In adjusted analyses, β-blocker use was associated with the greatest reduction in exacerbation risk in GOLD stage B though an increased risk was observed in stage A patients (for total but not severe events).

We also examined the relationship between β-blocker use and exacerbation rates in subjects with and without significant CAC (Agatston score >400). Of the 3464 subjects, 620 (18%) had significant CAC. When compared with those with Agatston score <400, those with score >400 were more likely to have CAD (31.1% vs 12.9%; p<0.001) and CHF (7.3% vs 4.8%; p=0.01), and were more likely to be on β-blockers (24.7% vs 11.2%; p<0.001). In those with significant CAC, β-blockers were associated with a significant reduction in total (IRR 0.67, 95% CI 0.48 to 0.93; p=0.019) but not severe exacerbations (IRR 0.90, 95% CI 0.54 to 1.50; p=0.692). In those with CAC <400, β-blockers were associated with a significant reduction in both total and severe exacerbations (IRR 0.76, 95% CI 0.58 to 0.99; p=0.045 and 0.55, 95% CI 0.34 to 0.86; p=0.010, respectively).

In contrast, the use of CCBs in the cohort was associated with a significantly increased risk of severe exacerbations (IRR 1.65, 95% CI 1.23 to 2.22; p=0.001). The rate of severe exacerbations was not related to the use of ACEI/ARBs and neither ACEI/ARBs nor CCBs were associated with the rate of total exacerbations (see online supplementary figure S1).

### Time to first exacerbation

The use of β-blockers was associated with a longer time to first severe exacerbation (unadjusted HR 0.75, 95% CI 0.57 to 0.99; p=0.048 and after adjustment for age, race, CHF, FEV1, % emphysema on CT, respiratory medications, log CAC and the propensity to prescribe β-blockers, HR 0.69, 95% CI 0.47 to 1.02; p=0.060) (see online supplemental figure S2). B-Blocker use did not impact time to first total exacerbation (adjusted HR...
DISCUSSION

We found that the use of β-blockers by subjects with moderate to very severe COPD is associated with a significant reduction in exacerbations and that this benefit persists after adjustment for underlying cardiovascular disease as well as the propensity to receive the drugs. We also found that the use of other cardiac medications such as CCBs and ACEI/ARBs was not associated with a reduction in exacerbation risk, suggesting that the reduction seen with β-blockers is a class effect. We add to existing literature by suggesting beneficial effects of β-blockers in COPD using prospectively collected data from a well-characterised cohort with measures of respiratory morbidity, spirometrically proven COPD and CT measures of emphysema and CAC.

Because of the concern that β-blockers may trigger bronchoconstriction and worsening of lung function, they are significantly underprescribed in patients with COPD even in those with established cardiovascular disease in whom the drugs have known mortality and morbidity benefits. This practice pattern persists and was observed in our population despite multiple studies showing that there is no significant detrimental effect on lung function, especially with cardioselective β-blockers, even in patients with severe airflow limitation. There is also now clear evidence that COPD is associated with accelerated atherosclerosis and a greater frequency of subclinical CAD and cardiac dysfunction and thus β-blockers may also have benefits in patients without diagnosed cardiovascular disease. This is supported by our finding that the drugs reduced exacerbation rates regardless of the severity of coronary calcification. Cardiovascular risk is particularly accentuated during acute exacerbations that are associated with elevated levels of prognostic markers of cardiac injury and stretch (troponin and N-terminal brain natriuretic peptide) and a twofold increase in the risk of subsequent myocardial infarction. β-Blockers may ameliorate these effects and result in improved survival in the peri-exacerbation period and long term.

Our observational study cannot be used to conclude that β-blockers are beneficial in COPD nor to determine the mechanisms underlying their potential protective effects though both cardiac and non-cardiac benefits can be postulated. While β-blockers have a clear cardioprotective effect and a proportion of exacerbations may have cardiovascular causes, β-blockers may also have beneficial effects against episodes driven primarily by respiratory pathology. Long-term administration of β-blockers in murine models resulted in a sevenfold to eightfold increase in pulmonary β-adrenoceptor density, and this overexpression of β receptors can in turn decrease bronchoconstriction. Chronic β-blocker administration can also reduce airway inflammation and decrease mucus production. In addition, some selective β-blockers might modulate nitric oxide release, resulting in vasodilation and improved pulmonary haemodynamics, an effect that might also result from improvement in cardiac function with β-receptor blockade. β-Blockers also reduce resting tachycardia, an independent predictor of mortality in COPD, and might alleviate arrhythmias that can potentially cause cardiac and respiratory decompensation. They may also function by decreasing arrhythmogenesis and myocardial ischaemia associated with β agonists that are used with greater frequency during the peri-exacerbation period.

Previous retrospective studies support our findings, however, we add to the literature by using prospectively collected data from a well-characterised cohort with lung function measurements and spirometrically proven COPD. Building enthusiasm for β-blocker use was dampened by the findings of Ekstrom et al, who found in a prospective observational study that β-blocker therapy increased mortality in patients with severe COPD and on home oxygen. We did not find such an effect on mortality, and in fact found that the significant effect size for exacerbation reduction persisted in this group. The potential for a major cardio-protective effect of β-blockers in this population is plausible as chronic hypoxia is a known cardiovascular risk factor and can lead to autonomic dysfunction and arrhythmias. The absence of a difference in mortality between patients taking β-blockers and those that were not could be because the study was underpowered to detect a difference but also supports the possibility that their apparent benefits on exacerbations were not solely due to a ‘healthy’ user phenomenon though such bias cannot be excluded in our observational study. Pertinent differences between our study and that reported by Ekstrom include that we adjusted for a number of additional measures of disease expression including symptoms, FEV₁ and %emphysema, which could be important as the use of home oxygen does not necessarily capture all aspects of disease severity. Subjects in Ekstrom’s study were also a decade older (75.6 vs 65.5 years) but had better lung function (%predicted FEV₁ 40.5% vs 29.8%) than our population with very severe disease. We speculate that the harmful effects of β-blockers in Ekstrom’s report could be partly due to age-related effects and not due to COPD. Indeed, studies suggest that β-blockers might worsen composite cardiovascular outcomes in the elderly.

Finally, Ekstrom’s study did not assess the impact of β-blockers on exacerbations. We also found that the association between β-blockers and a reduction in exacerbations was greatest in patients with GOLD stage B, a group in which cardiovascular mortality is the highest. Of note, there was no benefit associated with non-selective β-blockers.

In addition to physician-diagnosed CAD, we used CAC as another objective indicator of presence of atherosclerosis and CAD, and its severity. Interestingly, the protective association of β-blockers was greater in those with CAC <400 than in those with CAC >400 in our cohort though this could be due to the low number of respiratory events in this smaller group of subjects with CAC >400. Alternatively, there are also data that greater calcium density is associated with lower risk of acute coronary events as these lesions may be more stable than less dense deposits and this phenomenon may extend to exacerbations triggered or worsened by coronary disease.
We also found that the use of CCBs was associated with an increased risk of severe exacerbations (though not a shorter time to first severe event or an association with total exacerbations). This was an unexpected result given that CCBs have been associated with potential benefits in asthma, but there are little published data to support either a harmful or beneficial effect of CCBs in COPD. Perhaps the best study examining CCB use in COPD did suggest that those patients taking the drugs had a higher risk of death and exacerbations than those taking β-blockers, consistent with our findings. No other study has directly compared exacerbation risk in those taking and not taking CCBs and such studies should be done.

Major strengths of the study are that the data were prospectively collected from a well-characterised COPD cohort with CT measures of emphysema and measurement of respiratory morbidity, and unlike a number of previous studies examining this issue, spirometry proven disease. Our study has several limitations. First, medication was self-reported; however, where possible, we confirmed drug prescriptions and dosage by examining medication containers. Second, patients with cardiac disease are more likely, and those with severe lung disease or greater dyspnoea less likely, to receive β-blockers, and though we performed propensity analyses to adjust for these factors, propensity analysis may not capture many intangible factors and residual bias remains possible. Third, we used the time to the first contact at which an exacerbation was reported rather than the exact date of the first exacerbation as the latter was not collected. Finally, mortality assessment was based on vital status information from the clinical centres; central adjudication has not yet been completed and thus cause-specific mortality has not been determined.

In summary, β-blocker use is associated with a reduction in COPD exacerbations, an effect not seen with other common cardiac medications. The findings of this study should be tested in a randomised, placebo-controlled study.
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