	UMEC + ICS/LABA N=119)	PBO + ICS/LABA (N=117)	Treatment Diff. vs PBO (95% CI)	
Trough FEV1 at Day 85 LS mean change from	n = 109 0.090 (0.0183)	n = 110 -0.033 (0.0184)	0.123 <sup>a</sup>	
baseline, L (SE) 0–6 hours weighted	n = 107	n = 110	(0.071, 0.174)	
mean FEV1 at Day 84 LS mean change from baseline, L (SE)	0.184 (0.0176)	0.035 (0.0175)	0.148 <sup>a</sup> (0.099, 0.197)	
Rescue use (mean puffs/day)*	n = 119	n = 116		
LS mean change from baseline (SE)	-0.53 (0.105)	-0.15 (0.106)	-0.38 <sup>b</sup> (-0.67, -0.10)	
Rescue use (% rescue-free days)*,**	n = 119	n = 116	( ,	
Median (range)	76.8(0, 100)	62.9(0, 100)	0° (0.0, 3.4)	
CAT score at Day 84 LS mean change from baseline (SE)	n = 110 -0.37 (0.457)	n = 110 0.94 (0.457)	-1.31 <sup>b</sup> (-2.59, -0.04)	
TDI score at Day 84 LS mean (SE)	n = 105 1.07 (0.197)	n = 109 0.67 (0.195)	0.40 (-0.15, 0.95)	

 $^{a}$ p<0.001;  $^{b}$ p<0.05;  $^{c}$ p = 0.640, non-parametric analysis; \*use over 1–12 weeks; \*\*non-parametric analysis of % rescue-free days was a post hoc analysis and replaced the pre-specified analysis (percentage rescue-free day datadid not satisfy normality assumptions for MMRM analysis); improvements in CAT scores are shown by negative changes.

Conclusions UMEC+ICS/LABA improved lung function and reduced rescue medication use (mean puffs/day) and CAT score in patients with COPD versus PBO+ICS/LABA. No additional safety concerns were identified with UMEC+ICS/LABA.

Funded by GlaxoSmithKline (NCT02257372).

COI Statement ARS, JR, AC, WAF, CQZ and YSP are employees of GSK and hold stocks/shares in GSK.

P125

TIOTROPIUM PLUS OLODATEROL COMBINATION
THERAPY PROVIDES LUNG-FUNCTION BENEFITS WHEN
COMPARED TO TIOTROPIUM ALONE, IRRESPECTIVE
OF PRIOR TREATMENT WITH A LONG-ACTING
BRONCHODILATOR: POST HOC ANALYSES OF TWO
1-YEAR STUDIES

<sup>1</sup>R Buhl, <sup>2</sup>R Abrahams, <sup>3</sup>L Grönke, <sup>3</sup>L Korducki, <sup>4</sup>M Fležar, <sup>5</sup>GT Ferguson. <sup>1</sup>Pulmonary Department, Mainz University Hospital, Mainz, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Morgantown Pulmonary Associates, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA; <sup>3</sup>Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Ingelheim, Germany; <sup>4</sup>Klinika Golnik, Golnik, Slovenia; <sup>5</sup>Pulmonary Research Institute of Southeast Michigan, Livonia, Michigan, USA

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-207770.262

Rationale Tiotropium plus olodaterol (T+O) is a novel oncedaily combination of the long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) tiotropium (T) and the recently approved long-acting  $\beta_2$ -agonist (LABA) olodaterol, for use as maintenance treatment in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). These *post hoc* analyses of data from the two pivotal 1-year TONADO studies determined whether treatment with a long-acting

	No prior LABD	treatment		Prior LAB	D treatment	
				All		
	T+0	Т		T+0	Т	
Baseline characteristics						
n	426		454	603		579
Age (years), mean ± SD	62.7 ± 8.3		62.6 ± 8.8	$64.6 \pm 8.3$		$64.8 \pm 8.2$
Male, n (%)	291 (68.3)		338 (74.4)	442 (73.3)		417 (72.0)
Ex-smoker, n (%)	241 (56.6)		278 (61.2)	388 (64.3)		385 (66.5)
Post-bronchodilator FEV <sub>1</sub> (L), mean ± SD	1.38 ± 0.52		1.43 ± 0.54	1.32 (0.50)		1.32 (0.51)
Post-bronchodilator FEV <sub>1</sub> (% predicted), mean ± SD	50.7 ± 16.0		50.8 ± 15.7	48.3 ± 14.7		48.8 ± 15.0
Reversibility (mL), mean $\pm$ SD	158 ± 160		166 ± 144	169 ± 138		174 ± 147
Lung function after 24 weeks of treatment						
Adjusted mean FEV <sub>1</sub> AUC <sub>0–3,</sub> (mL) ± SE	270 ± 1		154 ± 9	256 ± 8		150 ± 8
Adjusted mean trough $FEV_1$ (mL) $\pm$ SE	148 ± 1		72 ± 1	134 ± 8		86 ± 8
			(	OLD 2		
	T+0	T	T+0		T	
Baseline characteristics						
n	228	240	274		277	
Age (years), mean ± SD	$63.0 \pm 8.2$	$62.5 \pm 8.9$	65.3 ± 8.9		$64.8 \pm 8.7$	
Male, n (%)	148 (64.9)	160 (66.7)	202 (73.7)		180 (65.0)	
Ex-smoker, n (%)	117 (51.3)	128 (53.3)	176 (64.2)		179 (64.6)	
Post-bronchodilator FEV <sub>1</sub> (L), mean ± SD	$1.72 \pm 0.44$	1.77 (0.47)	1.69 (0.44)		1.69 (0.45)	
Post-bronchodilator FEV <sub>1</sub> (% predicted), mean ± SD	$63.2 \pm 8.8$	$63.1 \pm 8.4$	$62.0 \pm 7.4$		62.7 ± 8.1	
Reversibility (mL), mean ± SD	175 ± 156	184 ± 163	201 ± 151		196 ± 170	
Lung function after 24 weeks of treatment						
Adjusted mean FEV <sub>1</sub> AUC <sub>0–3,</sub> (mL) ± SE	289 ± 13	175 ± 13	302 ± (12)		179 ± 12	
Adjusted mean trough FEV <sub>1</sub> (mL) ± SE	146 ± 14	68 ± 14	156 ± 13		95 ± 13	

A138 Thorax 2015;**70**(suppl 3):A1–A254

bronchodilator (LABD) prior to randomisation affected the lungfunction benefits of T+O  $5/5~\mu g$  (via Respimat<sup>®</sup>) compared to T  $5~\mu g$  (via Respimat<sup>®</sup>).

Methods In the studies, 2124 patients had not received prior LABD treatment (T+O n = 426; T n = 454) and 3038 patients had (T+O n = 603, T n = 579; 60.6% LAMA, 78.8% LABA). Baseline characteristics for all patients and a sub-group with Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) 2 lung-function impairment are presented in the Table 1. Forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV<sub>1</sub>) area under the curve from 0–3 h (AUC<sub>0–3</sub>) response (change from baseline) and trough FEV<sub>1</sub> response were primary end points in the studies.

Results Comparable responses for both  $FEV_1$   $AUC_{0-3}$  and trough  $FEV_1$  were observed in patients previously treated and untreated with LABD (see Table 1). The between-treatment differences (adjusted mean response [SE]; mL) for no prior LABD and prior LABD treatment, respectively, were: 116 (13) and 105 (11) for  $FEV_1$   $AUC_{0-3}$ , and 76 (14) and 49 (11) for trough  $FEV_1$ . In the GOLD 2 subgroup, the between-treatment differences (adjusted mean response [SE]; mL) for no prior LABD and prior LABD treatment, respectively, were: 114 (19) and 123 (17) for  $FEV_1$   $AUC_{0-3}$ , and 79 (20) and 61 (18) for trough  $FEV_1$ .

Conclusions Our analyses demonstrate the robust lung-function efficacy of T+O, compared to T alone, independent of the requirement for, or prior use of, LABD. These findings suggest a benefit of combination therapy over the mono-product as a first-line maintenance treatment.

Funding Boehringer Ingelheim.

P126

EFFICACY OF ACLIDINIUM BROMIDE COMPARED WITH TIOTROPIUM AND PLACEBO IN SYMPTOMATIC PATIENTS WITH MODERATE TO SEVERE CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE (COPD): POST-HOC ANALYSIS OF A PHASE IIIB STUDY

<sup>1</sup>J Beier, <sup>2</sup>R Mroz, <sup>3</sup>F Chuecos, <sup>3</sup>E Garcia Gil. <sup>1</sup>Insaf Respiratory Research Institute, Wiesbaden, Germany; <sup>2</sup>ISPL Centrum Medyczne, Białystok, Poland; <sup>3</sup>AstraZeneca PLC, Barcelona, Spain

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-207770.263

Introduction and objective Maintaining bronchodilation and symptom control throughout the day and night is an important COPD therapeutic aim. Here, we compare 24-hour lung function and symptom control in symptomatic patients with moderate to severe COPD treated with aclidinium or tiotropium, two long-acting, muscarinic antagonists.

Methods This was a post-hoc analysis of a 6-week, double-blind, Phase IIIb study comparing aclidinium 400 μg BID with tiotropium bromide 18 μg QD or placebo in patients with moderate to severe COPD (NCT01462929). Symptomatic patients were defined as having an EXAcerbations of Chronic pulmonary disease Tool-Respiratory Symptoms (E-RS) baseline score  $\geq$  10 units. Primary endpoint: change from baseline in normalised FEV<sub>1</sub> AUC over 24-hours post-morning dose (AUC<sub>-24/24h</sub>) at Week 6. Other endpoints: change from baseline in morning predose (trough) FEV<sub>1</sub> and change from baseline in FEV<sub>1</sub> AUC<sub>0-2/12h</sub>; 12--4/12h, E-RS, early-morning and night-time symptoms, and limitation of early-morning activities.

Results A total of 277/414 symptomatic patients were included; mean age was 62.1 years, 54.5% were current smokers, baseline  $FEV_1$  1.41  $\pm$  0.48 L. At Week 6, aclidinium 400  $\mu g$  BID improved FEV1 over 24 h from baseline vs placebo (Table 1). During the night-time period, aclidinium 400 µg BID improved FEV<sub>1</sub> from baseline vs tiotropium 18 μg QD. At Week 6, improvements in trough FEV<sub>1</sub> from baseline were observed with aclidinium vs tiotropium and placebo. Aclidinium improved E-RS total score from baseline vs tiotropium and placebo. Moreover, aclidinium improved early-morning and night-time symptom severity from baseline vs tiotropium and placebo over the treatment period (see Table 1 for all results described above). Limitation of early-morning activities caused by COPD symptoms was also improved with aclidinium vs tiotropium and placebo (p < 0.05). Tolerability has been previously reported (Beier COPD 2013) where adverse events (AEs) were similar in each arm, few anticholinergic AEs or serious AEs occurred in any group, and aclidinium was well tolerated.

Conclusions Aclidinium 400 µg BID improved bronchodilation, particularly during the night-time period, as well as early morning, daily and night-time symptoms, and early-morning limitation of activity in symptomatic patients compared with either tiotropium 18 µg QD or placebo.

Abstract P126 Table 1	Spirometric and sy	mptomatic v	variables in	symptomatic	natients with	COPD	(baseline F-RS >10	))

Change from baseline in normalised FEV <sub>1</sub> vs placebo, mL		Day	1	Week 6		
	Aclidinium 400 μg	Tiotropium 18 μg	Aclidinium vs tiotropium	Aclidinium 400 µg	Tiotropium 18 µg	Aclidinium vs tiotropium
FEV <sub>1</sub> AUC <sub>-24/24h</sub>	150*	87*	63†	140**	106*	34
FEV <sub>1</sub> AUC <sub>12-24/12h</sub> (night-time)	157**	67*	90†	153**	90**	63†
FEV <sub>1</sub> AUC <sub>-12</sub> (day time)	147**	112**	35	126*	123*	3
Morning pre-dose (trough) FEV <sub>1</sub>	136**	68*	68†	137*	70*	65†
E-RS Total Score over 6 weeks	-	-	-	-2.15*	-0.98	-1.17†
<sup>a</sup> Early morning symptom severity over 6 weeks	-	-	-	-0.25*	-0.11	-0.14 <sup>†</sup>
(% reduction)				(-9.54%)	(-4.33%)	(-5.21%)
<sup>b</sup> Night-time symptom severity over 6 weeks	-	-	-	-0.23*	-0.09	-0.14 <sup>†</sup>
(% reduction)				(-10.31%)	(-4.23%)	(-6.09%)

<sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05 vs placebo; \*\*p  $\leq$  0.0001 vs placebo;  $^{\dagger}p$  < 0.05 vs tiotropium.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Least squares mean change from baseline in the severity of early morning symptoms over 6 weeks: 1 = No symptoms, 2 = Mild, 3 = Moderate, 4 = Severe, 5 = Very severe. <sup>b</sup>Change from baseline in the severity of night-time symptoms over 6 weeks: 1 = No symptoms, 2 = Mild, 3 = Moderate, 4 = Severe, 5 = Very severe.

AUC, area under the curve; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; E-RS, EXAcerbations of Chronic pulmonary disease Tool-Respiratory Symptoms; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in 1 s.