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Asthma exacerbations during the first trimester of pregnancy and congenital malformations: revisiting the association in a large representative cohort
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ABSTRACT

Background We previously reported an increased prevalence of any congenital malformation among women experiencing moderate-to-severe asthma exacerbations during the first trimester of pregnancy, based on a study in which 90.1% of the cohort of women were social welfare recipients. This study re-examined the association between asthma exacerbations and congenital malformations in a new large representative cohort of asthmatic pregnant women.

Methods A cohort of 36 587 pregnancies in asthmatic women was reconstructed from Québec Province administrative databases (1998–2009). Occurrences of asthma exacerbations during the first trimester of pregnancy were assessed and categorised into severe, moderate and no such exacerbations. For comparison, we also considered moderate and severe asthma exacerbations combined. Congenital malformations were identified using diagnoses recorded in the hospitalisation database. Generalised estimation equations were used to estimate adjusted ORs of congenital malformations.

Results The prevalence of any congenital malformation was 19.1%, 11.7% and 12.0% among women with severe, moderate and no such exacerbations during the first trimester, respectively. The adjusted OR for all exacerbations was 1.64 (95% CI 1.02 to 2.64) when women with severe exacerbations were compared with those in the reference group, while no association was seen for moderate exacerbations. Also, no association was observed between cases of moderate and severe asthma exacerbations combined and any congenital malformation.

Conclusions Only severe asthma exacerbations were found to significantly increase the risk of congenital malformations in this representative study. Previous studies possibly overestimated the risk because they were based mainly on women at a lower socioeconomic status.

INTRODUCTION

Asthma is one of the most prevalent chronic diseases complicating pregnancy.1–2 Current asthma treatment guidelines highlight the importance and safety of the use of asthma medications during pregnancy as compared with the risk to the fetus of uncontrolled asthma.3 However, data regarding the association between asthma exacerbations during pregnancy and adverse fetal outcomes are inconclusive4–23 and can be questioned on the basis of the small sample sizes and lack of power of numerous studies, the interstudy variations in the characteristics of study populations, the definition of exacerbations and their timing during pregnancy and the choice of the reference group (women without asthma or asthmatic women without exacerbations).4–23

For congenital malformations in particular, we identified 13 observational studies and one meta-analysis investigating the potential increase of congenital malformations among women with asthma exacerbations during pregnancy.7 8 10 12–21 Nine of the 13 studies, including five with small sample sizes,7 8 10 12 21 compared asthmatic women with and without asthma exacerbations during pregnancy7 8 10 12–16 21 and reported ORs or risk ratios of congenital malformations (crude or adjusted) in the range of 1.0–1.7. Only one study, conducted by our group, reported a significant increased risk of any congenital malformation (adjusted OR=1.48, 95% CI 1.04 to 2.09).15 Interestingly, this study, along with a second study from our group,16 were the only studies that measured asthma exacerbations during the first trimester of pregnancy, that is, the most critical period for congenital malformations. Regarding the study...
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data source and ethics considerations
Data for the present study were retrieved from the Quebec Asthma and Pregnancy Database, which has been previously used to examine the association between asthma, asthma medications and congenital malformations.24,25 This database, which was formed from the linkage of two administrative health databases from the province of Quebec (Canada), the Maintenance et Exploitation des Données pour l’Étude de la Clientèle Hospitalière (MED-ECHO) and the Régie de l’assurance-maladie du Québec (RAMQ) databases, has been largely described in previous studies. Briefly, the MED-ECHO database contains information on all acute care hospitalisations, and the RAMQ database provides information on inpatient and ambulatory medical services claims, for all residents of Quebec. The MED-ECHO and RAMQ databases contain a unique identifier, the individual’s health insurance number, that serves as a link between them.

The Quebec Asthma and Pregnancy Database comprises all women who delivered between January 1990 and March 2010, and who had at least one asthma diagnosis recorded in the RAMQ or MED-ECHO databases in the 2 years prior to one or more of their deliveries, plus a fourfold larger random sample of other women who delivered during the same period. All pregnancies of the selected women with delivery between January 1990 and March 2010 were included in the database. Using the date of birth of the offspring recorded in the MED-ECHO database and the gestational age at birth, we retrospectively identified the date of the first day of the last menstrual period and the date of delivery for each pregnancy. The algorithms used to determine those dates have been formally evaluated and found to be highly valid.25 For each pregnancy included in the cohort, we identified the infants using the mother–child link provided in the RAMQ database; we had access to data related to hospitalisations and to medical services dispensed between January 1988 and March 2010, for all of the pregnant women and their infants.

An authorisation was obtained from the Commission d’accès à l’information du Québec before requesting and linking the information from the MED-ECHO and RAMQ databases.

Study design
A retrospective cohort design was used in this study. This cohort included pregnancies from the Quebec Asthma and Pregnancy Database that met the following inclusion criteria: (1) delivery between January 1998 and March 2009; (2) gestation of 20–45 weeks; (3) maternal age of 15–45 years at the onset of pregnancy and (4) pregnancy in a woman with active asthma. Asthma was defined as at least one asthma diagnosis (International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-9 code 493, except 493.2, or ICD-10 code J45) coded during a hospitalisation, or at least two medical claims with an asthma diagnosis within two consecutive years between 1988 and the delivery.26 This definition of an asthma case was validated in a cohort of men and women in the province of Ontario, Canada, and was found to have a sensitivity of 83.8% and a specificity of 76.5%.26 Among asthmatic women, asthma was considered to be active during pregnancy if there was at least one medical service for asthma recorded in the RAMQ or MED-ECHO databases within 2 years preceding the delivery. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) pregnancies with no data available for the offspring and (2) pregnancies with four or more births (including both live and stillbirths).

Maternal asthma exacerbations
The primary exposure of interest was the occurrence of asthma exacerbations during the first trimester of pregnancy (ie, during the first 14 weeks); exposure was categorised into three levels: (1) severe exacerbation: one or more hospitalisation with a primary or admission diagnosis of asthma; (2) moderate exacerbation: one or more emergency department (ED) visit for asthma, but no hospitalisation for asthma and (3) no hospitalisation and no ED visit for asthma (reference group). For purposes of comparison with prior studies, we also considered a two-level secondary exposure variable, in which moderate and severe asthma exacerbations were combined.

Congenital malformations
Based on the infant medical records for live births or the mothers’ records for stillbirths, we identified all cases of congenital malformations at birth or during the first year of life based on the diagnostic codes of the ICD (prior to 2006: ICD-9 740–759; since 2006: ICD-10 Q01–Q99) recorded in the MED-ECHO database. Our list of ICD-9 diagnostic codes for congenital malformations was compared with the list provided by the Collaborative Perinatal Group,27 and its accuracy and completeness were verified by a geneticist from Montréal’s Hôpital Ste-Justine. The conversion of ICD-9 to ICD-10 codes was validated by a medical archivist from the Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke.

As a first step, the geneticist classified the malformations as minor, major (life-threatening or causing major cosmetic defects) or undetermined (minor or major). In a second step, congenital malformations initially classified as undetermined were reclassified as major if there was at least one hospitalisation with an admission or a primary diagnosis of the malformation recorded in the MED-ECHO database within the first year of life. Congenital malformation diagnoses recorded in Québec’s administrative databases were found to have a positive predictive value of 82.2% for any malformation and 78.1% for major malformations among women with asthma.28 The primary outcome was any congenital malformation, and the secondary outcome was a major congenital malformation.

Potential confounders
Potential confounders included age at the start of pregnancy (classified as <18, 18–34 and >34 years), drug insurance status...
at the start of pregnancy (publicly insured with social welfare, publicly insured without social welfare and privately insured), area of residence at the start of pregnancy (rural/urban/missing), multiple pregnancies (twins or triplets/singleton), chronic hypertension (yes/no), diabetes mellitus (yes/no) and epilepsy (yes/no). Chronic hypertension, diabetes mellitus and epilepsy were identified from diagnoses recorded in the RAMQ or MED-ECHO databases within 1 year of the onset of pregnancy or during the first trimester of pregnancy.

Statistical analyses

The characteristics of pregnancies and the prevalence of congenital malformations were compared between the three levels of exacerbation using descriptive statistics. The association between maternal asthma exacerbations occurring during the first trimester of pregnancy and congenital malformations was evaluated using generalised estimation equations (GEE) models with a logit link. Two models (one for any malformations and the other for major congenital malformations) were evaluated using generalised estimation equations (GEE) models with a logit link. Two models (one for any malformations and the other for major congenital malformations) were used, both for the 3-level exacerbation variable and the 2-level exacerbation variable. The GEE models were used to estimate crude ORs and ORs adjusted for all confounding variables (and 95% CIs). The models also accounted for the fact that some women had two or more pregnancies during the study period by considering correlations between the consecutive pregnancies in these women. All analyses were performed using SAS V9.3 software (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

RESULTS

The cohort consisted of 36 587 pregnancies in women with active asthma. Among them, 110 (0.3%) had a severe exacerbation and 1413 (3.9%) had a moderate exacerbation in the first trimester of pregnancy. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the pregnancies according to the 3-level exacerbation variable.

The proportions of women aged ≤18 years and having public drug insurance or living in a rural area were greater in the group of women who had a severe exacerbation than in the other two groups. Moreover, the proportions of women with multiple pregnancies, diabetes mellitus, epilepsy or chronic hypertension were greater in women with a severe exacerbation. The prevalence of any congenital malformation was 19.1% in the severe exacerbation group, 11.7% in the moderate exacerbation group and 12.0% in the reference group; these values were 11.8%, 7.6% and 6.8%, respectively, for major malformations (table 2).

Having a severe exacerbation in the first trimester of pregnancy was associated with a significant OR of 1.64 for any congenital malformation (95% CI 1.02 to 2.64) and a non-significant OR of 1.70 for a major congenital malformation (95% CI 0.95 to 3.02), as compared with the reference group (table 3). No association was observed between moderate exacerbations and congenital malformations. Being the recipient of social welfare, living in a rural area, having multiple pregnancies or suffering from epilepsy also increased the prevalence of any malformation, and having diabetes mellitus increased the prevalence of major congenital malformations.

The adjusted regression models using the 2-level exacerbation variable revealed no association between moderate and severe asthma exacerbations combined and any congenital malformation (OR=1.02, 95% CI 0.87 to 1.19) or major congenital malformation (OR=1.15, 95% CI 0.95 to 1.39).

DISCUSSION

In this representative cohort, we found that severe maternal asthma exacerbations (ie, requiring hospitalisation for asthma) during the first trimester of pregnancy were significantly associated with an increased prevalence of congenital malformations, while moderate asthma exacerbations (ie, requiring an ED visit and no hospitalisation) were not. Also, we found no association between moderate and severe asthma exacerbations combined and the prevalence of congenital malformations.

We reviewed 13 observational studies and one meta-analysis that evaluated the impact of maternal asthma exacerbations on

---

### Table 1  Characteristics of pregnancies according to the level of asthma exacerbation in the first trimester of pregnancy: severe (hospitalisation), moderate (ED visit and no hospitalisation) and reference group (neither ED visit or hospitalisation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Hospitalisation for asthma (n=110)</th>
<th>ED visit and no hospitalisation for asthma (n=1413)</th>
<th>No hospitalisation and no ED visit for asthma (n=35 064)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age* (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>5 (4.5)</td>
<td>55 (3.9)</td>
<td>893 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–34</td>
<td>97 (88.2)</td>
<td>1264 (89.5)</td>
<td>30 596 (87.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;34</td>
<td>8 (7.3)</td>
<td>94 (6.6)</td>
<td>3575 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug insurance status*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicly insured women with social welfare</td>
<td>32 (29.1)</td>
<td>324 (22.9)</td>
<td>5584 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicly insured women without social welfare</td>
<td>34 (30.9)</td>
<td>427 (30.2)</td>
<td>8184 (23.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privately insured women</td>
<td>44 (40.0)</td>
<td>662 (46.9)</td>
<td>21 296 (60.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of residence*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>83 (75.5)</td>
<td>1146 (81.1)</td>
<td>29 166 (83.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>26 (23.6)</td>
<td>257 (18.2)</td>
<td>5604 (16.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>10 (0.7)</td>
<td>294 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple pregnancy</td>
<td>3 (2.7)</td>
<td>25 (1.8)</td>
<td>580 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus†</td>
<td>10 (9.1)</td>
<td>25 (1.8)</td>
<td>794 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy†</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>9 (0.6)</td>
<td>183 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic hypertension†</td>
<td>4 (3.6)</td>
<td>38 (2.7)</td>
<td>903 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data shown are n (%).

*At the start of pregnancy.
†Identified from diagnoses recorded in the databases within 1 year of the onset of pregnancy or during the first trimester of pregnancy.
ED, emergency department.
Congenital malformations, but found the results of these studies inconclusive, with ORs or risk ratios of 1.0–2.20 being significant in only three studies. One possible reason for the inconclusive results is related to the composition of control groups. For example, in four of the studies, two of which reported significant results, the reference group consisted of women without asthma, which therefore limits the capacities of these studies to isolate the impact of the exacerbations from the influence of the disease. Among the nine studies that used a reference group formed of asthmatic women without exacerbations, only one (conducted previously by our team) found a significant association between asthma exacerbations during the first trimester of pregnancy (defined as either a filled prescription of oral corticosteroids (≤14 days) or an ED visit or hospitalisation for asthma) and any congenital malformation (adjusted OR=1.48, 95% CI 1.04 to 2.09). However, this study was based on a cohort formed only of women covered by public drug insurance, 90.1% of whom were receiving social welfare; therefore, the external validity of the results is questionable. Moreover, in that study, we used an outcome definition that combined all markers of asthma exacerbation, regardless of the level of severity of the exacerbation.

In the present study, based on a representative cohort of pregnancies from women with asthma that included only 16% of women receiving social welfare, we found that the prevalence of any congenital malformation in the infants of women who had a severe asthma exacerbation in the first trimester of pregnancy was 64% greater than in the reference group, and no increased prevalence among women showing moderate exacerbations during the first trimester of pregnancy. In contrast to the results of our previous study, in which 90% of the cohort of women receiving social assistance, this study was based on a more representative cohort, in which our definition of asthma exacerbations was refined by considering moderate and severe exacerbations separately, and thereby demonstrating that the prevalence of congenital malformations was increased only in pregnant women with severe exacerbations. So as to compare our current results with our previous findings, we also estimated the impact of moderate and severe asthma exacerbations combined on congenital malformations, and this time found no significant association. In light of these results, we suspect that part of the increased prevalence of congenital malformation observed in our previous study was due to the composition of the cohort, which consisted predominantly of women receiving social welfare. In fact, in another recent study, we found that the impact of maternal asthma on major congenital malformations was significantly greater in women receiving social welfare than in other women.

It is noteworthy that in the present study, we had no access to drug data for approximately 70% of the pregnancies included in

### Table 2
Prevalence of congenital malformations according to the level of asthma exacerbation in the first trimester of pregnancy: severe (hospitalisation), moderate (ED visit and no hospitalisation) and reference group (neither ED visit nor hospitalisation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of asthma exacerbation</th>
<th>Hospitalisation for asthma (n=110)</th>
<th>ED visit and no hospitalisation for asthma (n=1413)</th>
<th>No hospitalisation and no ED visit for asthma (n=35064)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any congenital malformation, n (%)</td>
<td>21 (19.1)</td>
<td>166 (11.7)</td>
<td>4196 (12.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major congenital malformation, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (11.8)</td>
<td>107 (7.6)</td>
<td>2384 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ED, emergency department.

### Table 3
ORs describing the association between any and major congenital malformations and moderate and severe asthma exacerbations during the first trimester of pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of asthma exacerbation</th>
<th>Any congenital malformation</th>
<th>Major congenital malformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Crude OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate exacerbations (hospitalisation for asthma)</td>
<td>1.73 (1.07 to 2.78)</td>
<td>1.64 (1.02 to 2.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference group (no hospitalisation and no ED visit for asthma)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.83 to 1.16)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age* (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>1.01 (0.83 to 1.24)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.82 to 1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;34</td>
<td>0.97 (0.87 to 1.08)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.86 to 1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug insurance status*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicly insured women without social welfare</td>
<td>0.97 (0.89 to 1.05)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.88 to 1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicly insured women with social welfare</td>
<td>1.12 (1.03 to 1.22)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.02 to 1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privately insured women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of residence*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1.16 (1.06 to 1.26)</td>
<td>1.16 (1.06 to 1.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>0.79 (0.54 to 1.16)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.54 to 1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>2.39 (1.98 to 2.89)</td>
<td>2.40 (1.99 to 2.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple pregnancy</td>
<td>1.19 (0.97 to 1.45)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.94 to 1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus†</td>
<td>1.51 (1.04 to 2.20)</td>
<td>1.48 (1.01 to 2.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic hypertension†</td>
<td>1.18 (0.98 to 1.43)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.97 to 1.41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*At the start of pregnancy.
†Identified from diagnoses recorded in the databases within 1 year of the onset of pregnancy or during the first trimester of pregnancy.
ED, emergency department.
the cohort, which precluded our use of oral corticosteroid use in our definition of asthma exacerbation. Indeed, the RAMQ database provides data on prescribed medications only for patients covered by the RAMQ's public drug insurance plan, which includes approximately 30% of women of childbearing age.

It has been reported that asthma exacerbations requiring a hospitalisation occur in about 6% of pregnant women.31 Exacerbations during pregnancy occur primarily in the later part of the second trimester, which might explain the lower prevalence (0.3%) of hospitalisations for asthma observed in the present study as only the first trimester was examined here. Maternal asthma exacerbations, especially if severe, can plausibly be dangerous to the fetus because they can lead to impaired blood oxygenation and hypoxia in the fetus; an increasing body of evidence indicates that oxygen supply to the fetus in the first trimester is strongly regulated, and that hypoxia during this time results in abnormal fetal development.32 In addition, animal studies have shown that induced fetal hypoxia in mammalian and other animal embryos is associated with defects such as transverse limb reduction, cleft lip and heart defects.32

This study has some important strengths, mainly the representativeness of the population, the use of recognised and objective markers of asthma exacerbations33 and the large sample size, which allows for the assessment of exacerbations during the first trimester of pregnancy and the separation of moderate and severe exacerbations. In addition, using administrative databases, our data were collected prospectively and independently of the outcome, thus excluding the possibility of recall bias. Moreover, the asthma case definition used in the study and the congenital malformation diagnosis codes recorded in Québec’s administrative databases were found to be highly valid.

There are also some limitations of the study to be taken into account when interpreting the results. As mentioned previously, because we did not have access to drug data for 70% of the pregnancies, we were not able to consider the use of oral corticosteroids in our definition of asthma exacerbation or to describe other components of asthma control, such as short-acting β2-agonist use. The group of women without ED visits or hospitalisation for asthma might have shown mild asthma exacerbations that did not necessitate acute care, and because of this we may have underestimated the impact of moderate-to-severe asthma exacerbations on the prevalence of congenital malformations. In addition, we were not able to adjust the ORs for other known teratogenic drugs taken during the first trimester or for medications taken during hospitalisation. Women hospitalised for asthma are likely to have severe asthma, and it was therefore not possible in this study to differentiate between the impact of the severity of the disease and the impact of the severity of the exacerbation on the prevalence of congenital malformation. Finally, because of the nature of our observational design, it is possible that the study results were confounded by imbalances between the compared groups in variables that are not recorded in the databases but that are known to be associated with the prevalence of congenital malformations, such as obesity, alcohol use and cigarette smoking.

In conclusion, this large and representative cohort study showed that the prevalence of any congenital malformation was increased only for women with severe exacerbations requiring hospitalisation. Our previous findings on the association of moderate-to-severe asthma exacerbations and congenital malformations may have overestimated the risk, as the study was based on a cohort consisting mainly of women receiving social welfare. This study provides additional evidence on the necessity of keeping asthma under control during pregnancy, using adequate controller therapies, which are highly effective in reducing the risk of asthma-related exacerbations.34
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