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ABSTRACT

Background In Africa, fewer than half of patients receiving therapy for multidrug-resistant TB (MDR TB) are successfully treated, with poor outcomes reported for HIV-infected patients.

Methods A standardised second-line drug (SLD) regimen was used in a non-governmental organisation–Ministry of Health (NGO-MOH) collaborative community and hospital-based programme in Ethiopia that included intensive side effect monitoring, adherence strategies and nutritional supplementation. Clinical outcomes for patients with at least 24 months of follow-up were reviewed and predictors of treatment failure or death were evaluated by Cox proportional hazards models.

Results From February 2009 to December 2014, 1044 patients were initiated on SLD. 612 patients with confirmed or presumed MDR TB had ≥24 months of follow-up, 551 (90.0%) were confirmed and 61 (10.0%) were suspected MDR TB cases. 603 (98.5%) had prior TB treatment, 133 (21.7%) were HIV coinfected and 85 (13.9%) were suspected MDR TB cases. 603 (98.5%) had prior TB treatment, 133 (21.7%) were HIV coinfected and 85 (13.9%) who completed treatment, 10 (1.6%) who failed, 85 (13.9%) who died and 36 (5.9%) who were lost to follow-up. HIV coinfection (adjusted HR (AHR): 2.60, p<0.001), BMI (AHR 0.88/kg/m², p=0.006) and nutritional supplementation. Clinical outcomes for patients with at least 24 months of follow-up were reviewed and predictors of treatment failure or death were evaluated by Cox proportional hazards models.

Conclusions We report from Ethiopia the highest MDR TB treatment success outcomes so far achieved in Africa, in a setting with severe resource constraints and patients with advanced disease. Intensive treatment of adverse effects, nutritional supplementation, adherence interventions and NGO-MOH collaboration were key strategies contributing to success. We argue these approaches should be routinely incorporated into programmes.

INTRODUCTION

Multidrug-resistant TB (MDR TB) continues to threaten global TB control and to cause tremendous morbidity and mortality worldwide. Of the estimated 450 000 individuals who developed MDR TB in 2012, only 77 000, or <20%, accessed treatment, with the largest gap in treatment access noted in the African region.1 The additional challenges of HIV coinfection, severe malnutrition and extreme poverty in Africa, coupled with less robust lab infrastructure and high rates of loss to follow-up, demand innovative clinical and programmatic models. An MDR TB programme response meeting these challenges could serve as a platform and model for additional scale-up to vulnerable populations in Africa and beyond.2,3

The WHO estimates that only 48% of patients with MDR TB who are diagnosed and treated in Africa are cured or successfully complete

Key messages

What is the key question?

▸ What are the patient-related (or clinical) and programmatic factors associated with successful multidrug-resistant TB (MDR TB) treatment outcomes in a highly resource constrained setting in Africa?

What is the bottom line?

▸ The highest outcomes for MDR TB treatment in Africa were achieved (surpassing WHO general targets) in this programme with severe resource constraints and patients with advanced disease through a non-governmental organisation–Ministry of Health collaboration, which included intensive treatment of adverse effects, nutritional supplementation and adherence interventions.

Why read on?

▸ These outcomes and this treatment programme provide both a model of care for MDR TB in the region and simultaneously raise the bar of expectations for MDR TB programme success in Africa and other resource-limited settings.

treatment. None of the 34 of 107 countries that have achieved the WHO target for treatment success of ≥75% are in Africa. Furthermore, sub-Saharan Africa has (1) the lowest coverage of drug susceptibility testing (DST) required for MDR TB diagnosis, (2) the lowest proportion of notified MDR TB cases starting therapy (51%) and (3) the highest mortality (17%) of any region among patients who receive therapy. For example, treatment success rates for South Africa range from 44% to 72%, and very low treatment success rates (40–62%) are reported for patients with MDR TB treated elsewhere in Africa. These figures underscore the enormous gaps in treatment coverage and a public health crisis in Africa that requires urgent intervention.

Ethiopia, the second most populous nation in Africa with a population of 92 million, is among the 27 high MDR-TB-burdened countries identified by the WHO and has an estimated 2100 new MDR TB cases per year. In 2009 in partnership with the Ethiopian Federal Ministry of Health (FMOH), the Global Health Committee (GHC) developed and then scaled up the first MDR TB treatment programme for Ethiopia, based upon a multidisciplinary model of care for TB/HIV developed in Cambodia. Here, we report the treatment outcomes from the first four years of this collaborative Ethiopian MDR TB treatment programme.

METHODS
Study sites and treatment programme
In February 2009, GHC and the Ethiopian FMOH initiated a treatment programme for MDR TB in Ethiopia at St. Peter’s Hospital in Addis Ababa. The second site was initiated in September 2010 at the University of Gondar Hospital (UoG) in Gondar, northwestern Ethiopia. Clinical staff involved in programme start-up first received didactic training in Addis Ababa and hands-on training in Cambodia from GHC and ongoing clinical mentorship. This group formed the key participants in the Ethiopian MDR Technical Working Group.

All patients with MDR TB, defined as having evidence of resistance to isoniazid and rifampicin by phenotypic drug-susceptibility testing or genotypic resistance by a line probe assay (GenoType MTBDRplus V2.0, HAIN Life Science, Nehren, Germany), were eligible to receive treatment. Additionally, patients with rifampicin-mono-resistance or those with clinically presumed MDR TB, based on multiple treatment failures despite directly observed therapy (DOT), or those who were close contacts of patients with MDR TB, were also eligible for treatment. A standardised, second-line drug (SLD) regimen was administered, consisting of (1) at least three oral agents to which the patient was presumed to have susceptibility (eg, levofloxacin, ethionamide, cycloserine or para-aminosalicylic acid (PAS)), (2) pyrazinamide and (3) an aminoglycoside (amikacin or kanamycin) or polypeptide (capreomycin) injectable agent. Injectable drugs; or adverse drug reactions (ADRs) making compliance not possible. Loss to follow-up was defined as treatment interruption for two or more consecutive months. Treatment success was defined as the sum of cure and treatment completion.

Patients were routinely evaluated for possible ADRs during follow-up, defined as adverse effects requiring intervention or treatment modification. Standard laboratory monitoring was performed on a monthly basis and included routine chemistries, hepatic and renal function, complete blood count and thyroid stimulating hormone screening. Formal audiology screening for ototoxicity was not available in our setting.

Results
Treatment outcomes were based on WHO’s revised definitions. Cure was defined as treatment completed without evidence of failure and with three or more consecutive negative cultures taken at least 30 days apart after the intensive phase. Treatment completion was defined as completion of therapy without failure when there was no record of three or more consecutive negative cultures taken at least 30 days apart. Treatment failure was defined as treatment termination or permanent regimen change of at least two drugs due to lack of conversion by the end of the intensive phase; or bacteriological reversion in the continuation phase after initial conversion; or evidence of additional acquired resistance to fluoroquinolones or second-line injectable drugs; or adverse drug reactions (ADRs) making completion not possible. Loss to follow-up was defined as treatment interruption for two or more consecutive months. Treatment success was defined as the sum of cure and treatment completion.

Data sources and outcomes
We reviewed clinical charts to obtain data on demographics, clinical findings, treatment regimens, laboratory and microbiology results. Treatment outcomes were based on WHO’s revised definitions. Cure was defined as treatment completed without evidence of failure and with three or more consecutive negative cultures taken at least 30 days apart after the intensive phase. Treatment completion was defined as completion of therapy without failure when there was no record of three or more consecutive negative cultures taken at least 30 days apart. Treatment failure was defined as treatment termination or permanent regimen change of at least two drugs due to lack of conversion by the end of the intensive phase; or bacteriological reversion in the continuation phase after initial conversion; or evidence of additional acquired resistance to fluoroquinolones or second-line injectable drugs; or adverse drug reactions (ADRs) making completion not possible. Loss to follow-up was defined as treatment interruption for two or more consecutive months. Treatment success was defined as the sum of cure and treatment completion.

Statistical analysis
We used a composite outcome of time to treatment failure or death and assessed demographic and clinical predictors, testing for equivalence of survival distributions by log-rank test. Multivariable Cox proportional hazards models were used to assess independent predictors of treatment outcome. We used Schoenfeld’s test to evaluate the proportionality of hazards assumption. Variables that were associated with the outcome at p<0.2 in multivariable analysis were included in a multivariable model; additionally, age and sex were included and retained by default. We used backward selection to remove other variables from the multivariable model until the least significant variable in the model had p<0.2. We compared a model in which non-confirmed MDR cases were excluded to assess for robustness of the results. STATAV11.0 was used for all analyses.
RESULTS
Demographic and clinical characteristics
From February 2009 through December 2014, 1044 patients were initiated on MDR TB treatment. Within this time period, 612 patients completed at least 24 months of follow-up and were included in the analysis. Median age was 27 years (IQR 22–36), and 46.9% were women (Table 1). Median body mass index (BMI) was 16.6 (IQR 14.8–19.1), and 64.4% of patients had cavitary lesions on chest radiograph. The majority of patients (603 of 612; 98.5%) had been previously treated for TB, with a median of two prior treatments. In 551 patients (90.0%), MDR TB was confirmed by phenotypic (348/551) or genotypic (203/551) DST. Among 189 line probe assay (LPA)-confirmed patients with MDR TB for whom mutation data were available, only three (1.6%) had inhA promoter region mutations. Among 61 (10.0%) patients who had a median of three prior treatments for TB with documented unsuccessful cure by first-line treatment, but without microbiological confirmation, MDR TB was presumed.

In total, 133 patients (21.7%) were HIV-infected. Of the 97 patients for whom a CD4+ T cell count was available at the start of MDR TB treatment, the median CD4 count was 239 cells/mL (IQR 143–358 cells/mL). All 133 HIV-infected patients were confirmed to be HIV-infected prior to enrolment, and of these, 120 had begun ART before enrolment. Eleven patients were started on ART after initiation of MDR TB treatment and two declined ART.

ART regimen data were available for 115 patients. Among these, most received efavirenz (83%), lamivudine (97%) along with tenofovir (40%), zidovudine (36%) or stavudine (24%) according to the National Ethioian HIV treatment guidelines. The baseline SLD regimen for MDR TB used in the programme included a median of five drugs. Capreomycin (81.4%) was the most common injectable used, followed by amikacin (15.7%) and kanamycin (0.8%), while injectable data were not available for 12 (2%) of patients who had completed their injection phase at a private clinic. The median duration of injectable use was 9.6 months (IQR 8.1–11.0 months). Additional length of use in certain patients was driven by the extent of disease and frequent delays and/or missing culture results related to laboratory interruptions in culture processing. These factors prolonged injectable use in such patients when faced with a paucity of culture-related data supporting discontinuation. The most common oral agents employed were ethionamide (98.9%), levofloxacin (95.9%) and cycloserine (96.9%). Most patients also received pyrazinamide (86.4%). Six patients (1.0%) had presumed extensively drug-resistant TB and received additional medications including moxifloxacin, PAS, clofazimine, linezolid and bedaquiline on an individual basis based on clinical and bacteriological evolution.

Outcomes and predictors of treatment failure or death
Overall, 396 patients (64.7%) were cured, and 85 (13.9%) completed treatment for a combined treatment success of 78.6%. In total, 36 (5.9%) patients were lost to follow-up, 10 (1.6%) failed treatment and 85 (13.9%) died (Table 2). Treatment success rates were higher for HIV-uninfected compared with HIV-infected individuals (81.0% vs 69.9%, p=0.008). The proportion of patients who died or failed treatment and who started as outpatients (n=79) was lower than those who started treatment as inpatients (5.4% vs 17.3%, p=0.006). The majority (95%) of patients were >18 years of age; treatment success was similar (20/25; 80%) in the small number of patients <18 years of age (youngest: 8 years) compared with the above 18-year-old age group. Kaplan–Meier analysis revealed that treatment failure or death was higher in patients who were HIV-infected (Figure 1A; p value by log-rank: 0.008), those who presented with cor pulmonale (Figure 1B; p value by log-rank: 0.001) or who had severe malnutrition (BMI <16 kg/m2) (15.1% vs 6.8%, p=0.003).

In multivariable analysis, HIV seropositivity (adjusted HR (AHR) 2.60; 95% CI 1.48 to 4.56), baseline BMI (AHR 0.88/kg/m2; 95% CI 0.81 to 0.96), confirmed MDR TB (AHR 0.50, 95% CI 0.27 to 0.92) and baseline cor pulmonale (AHR 3.61; 95% CI 1.56 to 8.32) were associated with treatment failure or death (Table 3). We note that baseline BMI values were only available for 63.5% of individuals who died compared with 84.3% of those who survived (p<10−4). Moreover, lack of height documentation, which was due to patient acuity upon admission and inability to stand for measurement, was one of the strongest risk factors for treatment failure or death (HR 4.41, p<10−10). Note, as of August 2013, only three patients who have completed treatment and were cured are known to have developed recurrent TB.

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with at least 24 months of follow-up for multidrug resistant (MDR) TB treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>N=612</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR)</td>
<td>27 (22–36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>287 (46.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously treated</td>
<td>603 (98.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous treatments, median (IQR)</td>
<td>2 (2–3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmed MDR, n (%)</td>
<td>551 (90.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrapulmonary disease, n (%)</td>
<td>44 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavitary lesions on radiograph, n (%)</td>
<td>306/475 (64.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, median (IQR) (n=521)</td>
<td>16.6 (14.8–19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/dL), median (IQR) (n=555)</td>
<td>12.7 (10.8–14.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor pulmonale, n (%)</td>
<td>32 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>33 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco smoker, n (%)</td>
<td>67/516 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug use, n (%)</td>
<td>37 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV infected, n (%)</td>
<td>133 (21.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 count, median cells/mL³ (IQR) (n=69)</td>
<td>239 (143–358)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIF monoresistance, n (%)</td>
<td>2/355 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance to INH and RIF only, n (%)</td>
<td>28/355 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance to INH, RIF, EMB, SM, n (%)</td>
<td>242/355 (68.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Among individuals with phenotypic drug-susceptibility testing performed; remaining participants had molecular resistance testing for INH and RIF only by line probe assay.

Table 2 Multidrug-resistant TB treatment outcomes by HIV status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>HIV-uninfected</th>
<th>HIV-infected</th>
<th>All patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=479</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N=133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment success</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cure</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Toxicities

The majority of participants (88.9%) had at least one reported adverse effect or toxicity during treatment. The most common adverse effects were gastritis (epigastric pain/discomfort, indigestion, bloating) (42.6%), nausea/vomiting (40.5%) and arthralgias/arthritis (39.7%) (Figure 2). Hypothyroidism occurred in 17.2% of patients, 13.2% had peripheral neuropathy, 8.8% had renal insufficiency and 6.0% had hearing impairment. Psychiatric adverse effects were common with 14.4% of patients developing psychosis, 11.3% depression, 8.0% anxiety and 1.0% of patients reporting suicidal ideation. Hypokalaemia was more common in patients receiving capreomycin compared with those receiving other injectables (15.3% vs 6.1%, p=0.016). Symptomatic hearing loss was higher with amikacin/kanamycin (14%) compared with capreomycin (4.2%) (p<0.001). Overall adverse event rates were comparable among HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected individuals (p=0.478), but HIV-coinfected individuals had a higher incidence of peripheral neuropathy (24.8% vs 10.0%, p<0.0001). No patient developed severe enough ADRs to result in permanent discontinuation of ≥2 drugs due to ADRs.

DISCUSSION

We report the treatment success rates and 2-year outcomes in the first cohort of HIV-negative (81.0%) and HIV-coinfected (69.9%) patients (combined treatment success of 78.6%) enrolled into MDR TB treatment in Ethiopia. These outcomes surpass the outcomes reported elsewhere in Africa and exceed the WHO 2015 target of at least 75% treatment success of MDR TB. Furthermore, these outcomes were achieved in a setting with many programmatic challenges including lack of both SLD and isolation space at programme initiation and the persistent programmatic challenges of lack of oxygen support, lack of consistent lab capacity and ancillary drug supply. Furthermore, the cohort was treatment-experienced with advanced disease, had a substantial HIV-coinfection rate and nearly half of the cohort had severe malnutrition (BMI<16). Our positive outcomes are thus in striking contrast to reports of high mortality and lower treatment success rates (40–62%) for patients with MDR TB treated elsewhere in Africa.

Figure 1  Survival without treatment failure or death among patients receiving treatment for multidrug-resistant TB, according to (A) HIV status and (B) presence of cor pulmonale.

Table 3  Bivariate HR and multivariable adjusted HRs (AHR) for treatment failure or death among patients enrolled in treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>AHR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00 to 1.03</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.99 to 1.03</td>
<td>0.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.72 to 1.61</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.60 to 1.76</td>
<td>0.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous TB treatments</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.79 to 1.18</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.17 to 2.77</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1.48 to 4.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrapulmonary disease</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.36 to 1.89</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavitary disease</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.82 to 2.37</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.82 to 0.98</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.81 to 0.96</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.86 to 1.00</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.57 to 2.72</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor pulmonale</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>3.06 to 8.55</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>1.56 to 8.32</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.62 to 2.10</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug use</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.63 to 2.73</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmed MDR</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.39 to 1.50</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.27 to 0.92</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistant to HRES</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.66 to 2.11</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 count*</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.85 to 1.35</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Among HIV-infected patients; hazard ratio reflects difference of 100 cells/ml. BMI, body mass index; HRES, isoniazid, rifampicin, ethambutol, streptomycin; MDR, multidrug resistant.
One of the important barriers to successful treatment globally has been high rates of patients who were lost to follow-up.18 A recent systematic review and meta-analysis, including 75 studies, reported a mean loss to follow-up rate of 14.8% (12.4–17.4%). This study identified the use of community health workers and DOT throughout treatment as strategies associated with lower loss to follow-up rates.18 In South Africa, most cohorts have reported >20% loss to follow-up rates,31 01 92 0 including 30% in an outpatient programme in Khayelitsha.21 By contrast, in our programme, 5.9% of patients were lost to follow-up.

A fundamental aspect of the programme presented here was the implementation of adherence strategies successfully employed in the GHC’s programme in Cambodia,14 15 22 including monthly home visits and monthly patient visits to the treatment initiation site’s outpatient department, identification of a patient supporter to assist with DOT, psychosocial support, monthly food baskets and social support for the most destitute patients. We note that other programmes that have reported low loss to follow-up rates also provide some nutritional, social and/or economic support.11 We conclude that such measures are integral to the success of treatment programmes, especially in settings of extreme poverty.

As part of this cohort, we also initiated 79 outpatients on MDR TB therapy at home using roving nurses to provide injections and to supervise DOT. Though this group had lower rates of death or treatment failure compared with patients who began treatment as inpatients, these outcomes were influenced by a more favourable baseline clinical status at the onset of treatment, leading to their selection as outpatient candidates for Ethiopia’s pilot outpatient programme. We argue that given the individual and public health impact of high loss to follow-up rates, community-based interventions should be considered an essential component of MDR TB treatment programmes.

Since the first reports of MDR TB in the early 1990s, HIV coinfection has been associated with poor outcomes in patients with MDR TB.22–24 In more recent studies, mortality has continued to be higher among HIV-coinfected patients, particularly at lower CD4 cell counts.3 25 In the data from our cohort presented here, regardless of CD4 cell count, death or treatment failure was associated with HIV coinfection in the multivariable analysis. Strikingly, however, our treatment success rate (69.9%) among HIV-coinfected patients was higher, and mortality (20.3%) lower, than reported elsewhere in sub-Saharan Africa.3 8 9 11 26 27

All patients in our programme were offered HIV testing and, if HIV infected, were offered ART if not on ART prior to enrolment (all of our coinfected patients were known to be HIV infected prior to enrolment). Though most patients were already on ART, for those who were not yet on ART, this intervention was typically started within 8 weeks of initiation of MDR TB therapy or as soon as the SLD were tolerated. These results and successful outcomes are consistent with recent small studies indicating that early initiation of ART may reduce mortality from MDR TB.27 28 The median CD4 T cell count of 239 cells/m3 in our cohort at the time of MDR TB diagnosis was higher than that reported in a cohort from South Africa,26 but was comparable to CD4 T cell counts reported in another cohort from Lesotho, where the treatment success rate in HIV-coinfected patients was reported as 66%11 compared with our 69.9%. We also note that treatment success in HIV-negative patients in this Lesotho study was reported as 32% compared with the 81.0% achieved in our study (table 2).

Figure 2 Frequency of adverse effects and toxicities occurring during multidrug-resistant TB treatment.

The majority of our patients were severely malnourished with a median BMI of 16.6 and with no difference between HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected patients. Furthermore, higher mortality was observed among individuals with severe undernutrition (BMI <16) and low BMI-predicted treatment failure or death in our cohort. Moreover, these associations were likely underestimated as we lacked height and weight measurement data on many patients who were too ill to stand on a scale at admission, which likely biased associations between BMI and outcomes towards the null. Strikingly, we found that the subgroup of our cohort with unmeasured BMI had much higher mortality (35.6%) than the group with a recorded BMI (10.1%, p<10^-10). We note that in pooled analyses low BMI has been identified as a predictor of poor outcomes.\textsuperscript{25, 29} All patients in our programme received food parcels to address the high rates of undernutrition. Given the profound malnutrition and the gastrointestinal toxicities noted during MDR TB treatment in most cohorts from resource-constrained settings, additional studies on targeted nutritional interventions alongside SLD are urgently needed.

We detected cor pulmonale in 5.2% of our patients (with echocardiographic confirmation in 74% of these patients), which was strongly associated with poor outcome in multivariate analysis (AHR 3.61). The magnitude of cor pulmonale in the cohort is another marker of the advanced disease characterising our heavily pretreated patient population, where most patients cycled through ineffective regimens (up to nine treatments) prior to the availability of MDR treatment in the country. Even among MDR TB survivors with a successful MDR TB treatment outcome, those who had cor pulmonale had substantial long-term morbidity, including a significant proportion of patients remaining oxygen-dependent or with severe functional impairment. Thus, the necessity for additional interventions aimed at earlier diagnosis of MDR TB and faster linkage into appropriate care cannot be overstated.

Adverse drug effects were encountered in most patients, with gastrointestinal toxicity, arthralgias/arthritides and hypothyroidism being the most common, consistent with reports from other MDR TB treatment cohorts.\textsuperscript{11, 25} In the case of hypokalaemia, it being the most common, consistent with reports from other studies on targeted nutritional interventions alongside SLD are urgently needed.\textsuperscript{25, 29}

Our observations have several limitations. There were missing data on some variables due to incomplete medical records, which may have impacted our ability to detect associations between outcomes and certain variables, such as baseline BMI as discussed above. Furthermore, we used the revised WHO MDR TB outcome definitions, which precludes direct comparison with outcomes from cohorts using earlier definitions.\textsuperscript{16} In addition, a minority (10.0%) of patients had presumed MDR based on a median of three prior treatment failures, which could not be microbiologically confirmed. However, we note that this group had lower treatment success (62.3%) than those with confirmed MDR (80.4%) (p=0.002), and thus, if not representing true MDR TB, actually biased treatment success downward. Testing of resistance for SLD—though likely to be important as a predictor of outcome—was only done on a selective basis and would be strongly biased in association with patients failing therapy.

One of the questions that arise with our approach is the cost of the programme and its general scalability. While a rigorous cost-effectiveness analysis is beyond the scope of this paper, our gross estimate of programme costs, exclusive of SLD, is approximately $2000 per patient over the 2-year period of treatment. These costs include ancillary medications, laboratory monitoring including cultures, DST and other routine labs that were periodically unavailable through the public sector, food supplementation, transportation and accommodation for patients, home visits, capacity building, programme management, personnel training, salaries for dedicated staff and salary supplementation of national staff and some infrastructure improvements. This programme was nested within the hospital-based infrastructure at St. Peter’s and UoG, and these estimates do not include the baseline costs for infrastructure and personnel associated with hospital-based care. We conclude that these per-patient costs are comparable to other community-based programmes in Africa, adjusting for purchasing power parity.\textsuperscript{12}

In conclusion, we have presented a successful community-based model of MDR TB care with a hospitalisation component achieving the highest 2-year positive outcomes reported to date in Africa. This collaborative programme between the Ethiopian national programme and an non-governmental organisation has overcome the
challenges of initiation and scale-up of MDR TB care in the second most populous country in Africa, amid large resource constraints and with a substantial HIV burden. It provides both a model of care for the region and simultaneously raises the bar of expectations for programme success in Africa and elsewhere.
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