

## Spoken sessions

**Background** We evaluated the effect of once-daily tiotropium Respimat® 5 µg on lung function, asthma exacerbation and asthma symptom control among patients with symptomatic asthma receiving inhaled corticosteroids (ICS; ≥800 µg/day budesonide or equivalent) + long-acting β<sub>2</sub>-agonist (LABA).

**Methods** Data were pooled from two replicate, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 48-week, parallel-group studies of once-daily tiotropium 5 µg versus placebo, both delivered via the Respimat® SoftMist™ inhaler (PrimoTinA-asthma®: NCT00772538, NCT00776984). Eligible patients had: ≥5-year history of asthma diagnosed before the age of 40 years; seven-question Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ-7) score of ≥1.5; experienced ≥1 exacerbation during the previous year. Patients were either lifelong non-smokers, or ex-smokers (<10 pack-years) who quit smoking ≥1 year before study enrolment. Exclusion criteria included diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Co-primary end points in individual trials: peak forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV<sub>1</sub>) within 3 h post-dose (0–3 h) and trough FEV<sub>1</sub>. A co-primary end point in pooled data was time to first severe exacerbation; secondary end points included time to first episode of asthma worsening and ACQ-7 response. *Post hoc* efficacy analyses were performed.

**Results** 912 patients were randomised to receive tiotropium Respimat® (n = 456) or placebo Respimat® (n = 456). At Week 48, tiotropium Respimat® was associated with statistically significant improvements versus placebo Respimat® in peak FEV<sub>1(0–3h)</sub> (adjusted mean difference 100 mL; 95% confidence interval: 52, 148; p < 0.0001) and trough FEV<sub>1</sub> (adjusted mean difference 62 mL; 95% confidence interval: 18, 106; p = 0.006). Time to first severe asthma exacerbation was significantly longer with tiotropium Respimat® versus placebo Respimat® (282 vs 226 days, respectively; hazard ratio 0.79; p = 0.034), as was time to first episode of asthma worsening (315 vs 181 days, respectively; hazard ratio 0.69; p < 0.0001). At Week 24, ACQ-7 responder rate was significantly higher with tiotropium Respimat® (53.9%) versus placebo Respimat® (46.9%; odds ratio 1.32; p = 0.0427).

**Conclusion** Once-daily tiotropium Respimat® add-on to ICS + LABA improves lung function, reduces risk of severe asthma exacerbation and asthma worsening, and significantly improves asthma symptom control compared with placebo Respimat® in patients with symptomatic asthma.

### S92 EFFICACY OF ONCE-DAILY TIOTROPIUM RESPIMAT® 5 µG FROM FIVE PHASE III TRIALS IN ADULTS WITH SYMPTOMATIC ASTHMA

<sup>1</sup>D Price, <sup>2</sup>ED Bateman, <sup>3</sup>P Paggiaro, <sup>4</sup>A Kaplan, <sup>5</sup>M Engel, <sup>5</sup>H Schmidt, <sup>5</sup>P Moroni-Zentgraf, <sup>6</sup>HAM Kerstjens. <sup>1</sup>Institute of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK; <sup>2</sup>University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa; <sup>3</sup>University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy; <sup>4</sup>Family Physician Airways Group of Canada, Ontario, Canada; <sup>5</sup>Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH and Co. KG, Biberach an der Riss, Germany; <sup>6</sup>University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206260.98

**Background** Recent clinical trials have indicated that the long-acting antimuscarinic agent tiotropium, a once-daily long-acting bronchodilator, may provide benefit to patients with symptomatic asthma. We investigated primary efficacy data (lung function, risk of severe exacerbation and seven-question Asthma Control Questionnaire [ACQ-7] response) from five Phase III, randomised, double-blind, parallel-group trials that evaluated the efficacy and safety of once-daily tiotropium add-on versus placebo add-on (all tiotropium doses delivered via the Respimat®

### Abstract S92 Table 1

	Adjusted mean of difference in response from placebo (mL)		
	PrimoTinA-asthma® (Week 24)	MezzoTinA-asthma® (Week 24)	GraziaTinA-asthma® (Week 12)
	Tiotropium Respimat®5 µg <sup>a</sup> (n = 456)	Tiotropium Respimat®5 µg <sup>a</sup> (n = 517)	Tiotropium Respimat®5 µg (n = 155)
Peak FEV <sub>1(0–3h)</sub>	110 (p < 0.0001)	185 (p < 0.0001)	128 (p = 0.0005)
Trough FEV <sub>1</sub>	93 (p = 0.0058)	146 (p < 0.0001)	122 (p = 0.0010)
FEV <sub>1</sub> AUC <sub>(0–3h)</sub>	107 (p < 0.0001)	182 (p < 0.0001)	125 (p = 0.0003)
Peak FVC <sub>(0–3h)</sub>	87 (p = 0.0050)	95 (p < 0.0001)	57 (p = 0.1714)

<sup>a</sup>Pooled data  
AUC, area under the curve; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity

SoftMist™ inhaler) in adults with symptomatic asthma on inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) ± long-acting β<sub>2</sub>-agonist (LABA) maintenance therapy.

**Methods** Two 48-week trials of tiotropium Respimat® 5 µg (PrimoTinA-asthma®: NCT00776984, NCT00772538) in patients on high-dose ICS (≥800 µg budesonide or equivalent) + LABA; two 24-week trials of tiotropium Respimat® 5 µg and 2.5 µg (MezzoTinA-asthma®: NCT01172808, NCT01172821) in patients on moderate-dose ICS (400–800 µg budesonide or equivalent); one 12-week trial of tiotropium Respimat® 5 µg and 2.5 µg (GraziaTinA-asthma®: NCT01316380) in patients on low-dose ICS (200–400 µg budesonide or equivalent).

**Results** 3476 patients were treated, of whom 1128 received tiotropium Respimat® 5 µg. Once-daily tiotropium Respimat® 5 µg significantly improved lung function (Table) in patients with not fully controlled asthma receiving low- to high-dose ICS. In addition, tiotropium Respimat® 5 µg reduced the risk of severe exacerbations versus placebo (co-primary end point) in patients on high-dose ICS + LABA (hazard ratio 0.79; p = 0.0343), and there was an increase in ACQ-7 responder rate (co-primary end point) with the 5 µg dose (odds ratio 1.32; p = 0.0308) compared with placebo in patients on moderate-dose ICS.

**Conclusion** Once-daily tiotropium Respimat® significantly improves lung function in adult patients with symptomatic asthma receiving a range of doses of ICS, including even high-dose ICS + LABA, suggesting a potential role for this treatment as add-on to ICS in adults with symptomatic asthma.

### S93 A PROSPECTIVE STUDY INVESTIGATING EXACERBATIONS, HEALTHCARE UTILISATION AND HEALTH ECONOMIC INDICATORS IN OMALIZUMAB TREATED SEVERE ALLERGIC ASTHMA PATIENTS – RESULTS FROM AN INTERIM ANALYSIS OF THE APEX II STUDY

<sup>1</sup>M Masoli, <sup>2</sup>A Menzies-Gow, <sup>3</sup>L Dobson, <sup>4</sup>JB Morjaria, <sup>5</sup>R Allcock, <sup>6</sup>R Niven. <sup>1</sup>Derriford Hospital, Derriford, UK; <sup>2</sup>Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK; <sup>3</sup>Torbay Hospital, Torbay, UK; <sup>4</sup>Hull Royal Infirmary, Hull, UK; <sup>5</sup>Queen Elizabeth Hospital Gateshead, Gateshead, UK; <sup>6</sup>University Hospital of South Manchester, Manchester, UK

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206260.99

**Background** A previous retrospective study of UK clinical practice demonstrated that omalizumab was associated with reduced exacerbations and healthcare utilisation in severe allergic asthmatics.