

P228 EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF FLUTICASONE PROPIONATE/FORMOTEROL IN PAEDIATRIC PATIENTS WITH ASTHMA

¹A Ploszczuk, ²M Bosheva, ³K Spooner, ³T McIver, ³S Dissanayake. ¹Department of Allergology and Internal Medicine, University of Bialystok, Bialystok, Poland; ²MHAT "Sveti Georgi", Plovdiv, Bulgaria; ³Mundipharma Research Ltd, Cambridge, UK

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206260.356

Background Fluticasone propionate (FP) and formoterol (FORM) have been combined in a single inhaler (FP/FORM; *flutiform*[®]) for the treatment of adolescents and adults with asthma. This study assessed the efficacy and safety of FP/FORM in paediatric asthma patients.

Methods A total of 512 patients aged 5 to <12yrs were randomised 1:1:1 to 12 weeks of treatment with either FP/FORM (100/10 µg BID), FP (100 µg BID) or fluticasone propionate/salmeterol (FP/SAL) (100/50 µg BID) in a double-blind, parallel group, multicentre study. The objectives were to demonstrate superiority of FP/FORM to FP and non-inferiority to FP/SAL. The primary endpoint was the change from predose FEV₁ at baseline to 2-hour postdose FEV₁ over the 12 weeks. The two key secondary endpoints were FEV₁ AUC_{0–4h} at Week 12 and change from pre-dose FEV₁ over the 12 weeks.

Results FP/FORM was superior to FP for change from predose FEV₁ at baseline to 2-hour postdose FEV₁ (treatment difference = 0.07 L; 95% CI: 0.03, 0.11; p < 0.001) and FEV₁ AUC_{0–4h} at Week 12 (treatment difference = 0.09 L; 95% CI: 0.04, 0.13; p < 0.001). FP/FORM was non-inferior to FP/SAL for change from predose FEV₁ at baseline to 2-hour postdose FEV₁ (treatment difference = -0.00 L; 95% CI: -0.04, 0.04; p < 0.001), AUC_{0–4h} at Week 12 (treatment difference = 0.01 L; 95% CI: -0.03, 0.06; p < 0.001) and change from predose FEV₁ (treatment difference = -0.02 L; 95% CI: -0.06, 0.02; p < 0.001). The safety and tolerability profiles of all treatments were similar.

Conclusion In children 5 to <12yrs with asthma, FP/FORM was superior to FP, and non-inferior to FP/SAL for improvements in lung function, with a similar tolerability profile to both FP and FP/SAL.

Disclaimer Acknowledgement: Reproduced with permission of the European Respiratory Society: Eur Resp J September 2014; 44, Suppl. 58: In Press

P229 ONCE-DAILY TIOTROPIUM RESPIMAT® AS ADD-ON TO AT LEAST MEDIUM- TO HIGH-DOSE ICS, WITH OR WITHOUT LABA, IMPROVES LUNG FUNCTION IN PATIENTS WITH SYMPTOMATIC ASTHMA, INDEPENDENT OF ALLERGIC STATUS

¹R Dahl, ²T Casale, ³E Pizzichini, ⁴M Vandewalker, ⁵JC Virchow, ⁶M Engel, ⁶R Sigmund, ⁶P Moroni-Zentgraf, ⁷HAM Kerstjens. ¹Odense University Hospital, Allergy Centre, Odense, Denmark; ²University of South Florida, Morsani College of Medicine, Tampa, USA; ³NUPAIVA (Asthma Research Centre), Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil; ⁴Clinical Research of the Ozarks, Colombia, USA; ⁵Intensive Care Medicine, Zentrum Für Innere Medizin, Klinik I, University Clinic Rostock, Rostock, Germany; ⁶Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH and Co. KG, Ingelheim Am Rhein, Germany; ⁷University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206260.357

Background A substantial number of patients have symptomatic asthma despite treatment according to guidelines. Several studies have confirmed that tiotropium Respimat[®], a once-daily long-acting anticholinergic bronchodilator, improves lung function in symptomatic patients receiving at least medium-dose inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) + long-acting β₂-agonist (LABA) (Kerstjens *et al.* NEJM 2012;367:1198–207; Bateman *et al.* JACI 2011;128:315–22). Here we examine whether the atopic and/or allergic status of patients in these trials influenced their response to tiotropium Respimat[®].

Method Two 48-week trials of tiotropium Respimat[®] 5 µg (PrimoTinA-asthma[®]: NCT00776984, NCT00772538) in patients (n = 912) on high-dose ICS + LABA; two 24-week trials of tiotropium Respimat[®] 5 µg and 2.5 µg (MezzoTinA-asthma[®]: NCT01172808, NCT01172821) in patients (n = 2100) on moderate-dose ICS. Pre-planned analyses (pooled populations) were performed in two subgroups defined at baseline as total serum immunoglobulin E (IgE) ≤ or >430 µg/L or blood eosinophils ≤ or >0.6 × 10⁹/L or clinical judgement of allergic status ('No' or 'Yes'). All tiotropium doses were delivered via the Respimat[®] SoftMist[™] inhaler.

Results Tiotropium Respimat[®] 5 µg or 2.5 µg improved peak and trough forced expiratory volume in 1 second versus placebo (Table) independent of IgE, eosinophil count and clinical judgement.

Abstract P229 Table 1

Adjusted mean difference for tiotropium Respimat [®] from placebo (mL)		IgE ≤/ >430 µg/L	Interaction p value ^a	Eosinophils ≤/ >0.6 × 10 ⁹ /L	Interaction p value ^a	Clinical judgement	
						'No' or 'Yes'	Interaction p value ^a
	n ^b	336/377		654/175		335/516	
PrimoTinA-asthma [®]	Peak FEV ₁						
	(0–3h)	148/102	0.742	115/58	0.7021	76/130	0.2114
Tiotropium Respimat [®] 5 µg	FEV ₁	127/89	0.6209	103/52	0.7542	94/91	0.4099
	n ^b	356/610		769/201		349/624	
MezzoTinA-asthma [®]	Peak FEV ₁						
	(0–3h)	168/193	0.9677	170/240	0.2375	180/189	0.6233
Tiotropium Respimat [®] 5 µg	Trough						
	FEV ₁	139/152	0.8437	137/182	0.5148	138/153	0.6727
MezzoTinA-asthma [®]	n ^b	364/614		779/203		349/635	
	Peak FEV ₁						
Tiotropium Respimat [®] 2.5 µg	(0–3h)	197/237	0.9677	236/176	0.2375	243/213	0.6233
	Trough						
FEV ₁	167/188	0.8437	185/158	0.5148	209/164	0.6727	

^aFor treatment × subgroup interaction; ^bValues for active and placebo groups combined
FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second