Poster sessions

33.9% squamous cell carcinoma, 7.3% large cell carcinoma, 6.1% other. The data is summarised in the table below:

Conclusion

- Audit over the past 3 years shown steady improvement in lymph node assessment performance.
- Continuous auditing and presentation of individual surgeon data at local, regional and national forums has contributed to the increasing compliance to the guideline targets
- There remains scope for further improvement and consultant engagement.
- Re-auditing will be essential to further improve compliance with guidelines.

P225

REVISED BTS GUIDELINES FOR SECURING CANCER DIAGNOSIS AT BRONCHOSCOPY – A HIGHER RECOMMENDED YIELD IS REALISTIC AND ACHIEVABLE

AE Stanton, CI Mackinlay. Department of Respiratory Medicine, Great Western Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Swindon, UK

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206260.354

Introduction The recently updated BTS guidelines¹ on bronchoscopy recommend that a diagnostic level of 85% should be attainable when definite endobronchial tumour is visible, an increase from previous recommendation of 80%. We investigated whether this higher level was achievable.

Methods All patients undergoing bronchoscopy for suspected lung cancer were prospectively entered into a departmental database from April 2010, with performance analysed annually. The following specific data were entered: level of tumour presence (none seen / possible / definite tumour); diagnostic specimens taken (biopsy, brush, wash, TBNA); result of each diagnostic specimen (tumour present / not present, with reports "suspicious or suggestive" of tumour classified as "not present" unless there was a specific MDT decision to give a cancer diagnosis), and whether bronchoscopy was diagnostic of lung cancer overall. Finally clinical records were reviewed in patients without a bronchoscopic diagnosis of cancer to determine their final diagnosis. Results In the 4 full years since commencement of data collection, 356 bronchoscopies were performed for suspected lung cancer, with confirmed cancer diagnosis in 301. Table 1 summarises diagnostic sensitivity for endobronchial biopsy, brush, wash and overall sensitivity for lung cancer diagnosis at bronchoscopy in patients with bronchoscopically definite tumour seen. In 3/4 years our overall diagnostic sensitivity has reached the level recommended (86.4-91.7%), with first year performance just below the new standard (84.4%).

Conclusions The revised level of recommended diagnostic rate at bronchoscopy for definite tumour appears to be realistic and achievable. This should remain as the standard of care for patients undergoing bronchoscopy for suspected lung cancer.

REFERENCE

BTS Guideline for diagnostic flexible bronchoscopy in adults. Thorax 2013;68(Suppl 1)

P226 WITHDRAWN

Asthma treatments

P227

EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF BUDESONIDE—FORMOTEROL (BF) SPIROMAX® IN ADULTS AND ADOLESCENTS WITH ASTHMA: RANDOMISED COMPARISON WITH BF TURBUHALER®

¹JC Virchow, ²G Gopalan, ³R Rodriguez-Roisin, ²Y Shu. ¹University Hospital Rostock, Rostock, Germany; ²Teva Pharmaceuticals, West Chester, USA; ³Universitat de Barcelona Villarroel, Barcelona, Spain

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206260.355

Background DuoResp Spiromax[®] (Teva Pharmaceuticals) is a dry-powder inhaler designed to deliver budesonide and formoterol fumarate (BF Spiromax[®]) with maximum ease of use. Pharmacokinetic studies have shown bioequivalence of BF Turbuhaler[®]. This study compared the efficacy and safety of these devices in patients with asthma.

Methods This was a 12-week, multicentre, double-blind, randomised, controlled trial (N=605). Eligible patients (≥12 years old) had persistent asthma with FEV₁ 40–85% predicted, had used a SABA and ICS for ≥8 weeks before screening and were maintained on stable-dose ICS for 4 weeks. The primary objective was to demonstrate non-inferiority of twice-daily BF Spiromax[®] 160/4.5mcg to BF Turbuhaler[®] 200/6mcg, with respect to change from baseline in weekly average of daily trough morning PEF.

Results This analysis was based on the per protocol population (N=290 and N=284 for BF Spiromax® and BF Turbuhaler® groups, respectively). The least squares mean change from baseline to Week 12 in morning PEF was 18.8 L/min with BF Spiromax® and 21.796 L/min with BF Turbuhaler®. Noninferiority of BF Spiromax® vs BF Turbuhaler® was demonstrated, as the lower limit of the 95% two-sided CI (–9.02 L/min) is greater than –15 L/min. Similarly, no significant between-group differences were observed in secondary efficacy endpoints. Both devices were well tolerated, with no significant differences in the incidence of adverse events or asthma exacerbations.

Conclusions This study has demonstrated the non-inferiority of BF Spiromax[®] vs BF Turbuhaler[®] in adults and adolescents with asthma. Further data are required to confirm whether BF Spiromax[®] can be used as an alternative to BF Turbuhaler[®] in other indications.

Sponsor: Teva Pharmaceuticals.

Abstract P225 Table 1 Diagnostic sensitivity of diagnostic sampling and overall performance in patients with bronchoscopically definite tumour							
	No. of Bronchs –	No. with	No. of bronchs –	Biopsy	Brushing sensitivity	Washing sensitivity	Overall sensitivity when
Year	Suspected LC	confirmed LC	definite tumour seen	sensitivity (%)	(%)	(%)	definite tumour seen (%)
2010–11	92	72	33	67.7	66.7	43.8	84.4
2011–12	87	71	41	80.6	60.7	27.8	86.4
2012-13	90	81	52	81.3	55.8	30.6	86.5
2013–14	87	77	36	80	71.4	47.2	91.7

A176 Thorax 2014;**69**(Suppl 2):A1–A233